

1 the owners of Newlands Farm do not have any objections to the proposed Yorkshire Green Project but they do to the location of the sealing end compounds due to be located on the farm

2 Alternative site 1 and Alternative 2 are referred to in the written submissions for deadline 3

3 Alternative site 1

this proposes that the new line runs from the current angle tower 2TW169. NG state that this route would take the line closer to Woodstock Lodge and it is accepted that this is the case. However this route would mean that Newlands Lodge is left alone and the owners can continue to run the multiple enterprise farm as it was intended to when they purchased it in the spring of 2018.

b this route follows land that is in third party ownership

c this route is Holford compliant

4 Alternative site 2

a this proposes that the sealing end compound remains on Newlands Farm but is located in the field south of the homestead which allows the programmed business expansion including the extension of the farm buildings to proceed unimpeded. In fact one of the earth wall silage clamps has already had to be turned 90 degrees to take into account the NG proposals.

b NG have, following discussion and written representation, redesigned the sealing end compound to reduce the land take area in the homestead field which is much appreciated, however as already stated this still has a significant impact.

c this proposed alternative is Holford compliant.

d NG state that this proposal produces a number of angle towers ; 2TW 168 and 2TW169 are already angle towers, YO40 would become an angle tower but this is located in the sealing end compound, YR038 would become an angle tower but the angle is only very slight, therefore overall the aesthetic view of the line for this alternative will only be very slightly different to that proposed by NG but would reduce the effect on the business at Newlands Farm dramatically.

e NG state that this proposal will mean a significant diversion to the north of tower YO038 and that woodland to the south will need to be removed. In both cases this is on land that forms part of Newlands Farm and therefore can be easily accommodated.

f NG state that this alternative would mean the removal of existing infrastructure and replacement with new which is not required under their proposal. This may be correct but it does not take into account the massive impact their proposal will have on the business that is run from Newlands Farm - NG attitude and mind set is their design is the one that is going to be built and there is little or no regard for those that are affected.

g NG state that the decision to proceed with their design is an economic one but there has been no discussion with the owners of Newlands Farm as to the economic effect it will have on them. Therefore without this knowledge how can NG calculate whether their proposal is a better proposal than this one. It feels that NG opinion of the effect on the farming business is irrelevant or they do not register it is an issue.

5 If the NG proposal does ultimately take place is it possible for the permanent access track to the sealing end compound be relocated so that it runs parallel to the southern hedge. The current route follows the hedge line and this is the wettest part of the field. The road could then be used to access both the sealing end compound and the farm yard. As part of the programmed buildings expansion it was proposed to put a road in from this location. The route would be within the red line of the Order.