

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Aquind Interconnector](#)
Cc: [Bosher Simon \(Cllr\)](#)
Subject: Ref:- EN020022 - AQUIND Interconnector Project - AQUIND Limited (PDF, 37 MB)Deadline 3 Submission - 7.4.1.10 Appendix 10 Tree Survey Schedule and Constraint Plans - Rev-002
Date: 17 November 2020 12:06:12
Attachments: [1.0 Representation -Socio-Economic Effects - EMF on Spinal Cord Stimulator & Deep Brain Implants - Cancer - Noise - Property Value - docx](#)
[1.1 Letter to Aquind dated 21-03-19.pdf](#)
[1.2 AQUIND Interconnector Consultation – Response to EMF Query dated n31-05-19.pdf](#)
[2.0 Representation -Scope of the Environment Impact Assessment - Farlington Avenue - Width - lower section docx](#)

Hi

Unique Reference AQUI-AFP090 & AQUI-AFP091 – James & Joan Veryard – [REDACTED]

After reviewing some recent uploads of submissions by Aquind, I came across "**AQUIND Limited** (PDF, 37 MB)Deadline 3 Submission - 7.4.1.10 Appendix 10 Tree Survey Schedule and Constraint Plans - Rev-002" uploaded on 05/11/20.

This needs to be directly linked to my representation below:- submitted on the 06/10/20 "2.0 Representation - Scope of the Environment Impact Assessment - Farlington Avenue - Width - lower section", as Page 130 shows the trees which will be lost in lower Farlington Avenue due to the width of the cable corridor.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN020022/EN020022-002800-7.4.1.10%20Appendix%2010%20Tree%20Survey%20Schedule%20and%20Constraint%20Plans%20WQ%20TR1.17.2%20Rev002.pdf>

There is no statement as to their replacement, most likely due to their tree roots affecting the new cables.

In reality if Aquind are persisting with the Farlington Avenue route maybe only one cable should be laid in the centre of the road and the other cable laid via Gillman Road or Drayton Lane. This would lower the impact on the trees, pathways and Socio-Economic Effects I outlined in my "1.0 Representation - Socio-Economic Effects - EMF on Spinal Cord Stimulator & Deep Brain Implants - Cancer - Noise - Property Value" below.

As I have previously stated: - "Aquind are proposing to transmit the 5% of Great Britain's Electricity Consumption 8m from our home and through the residential streets of Portsmouth. We believe this is commercially acceptable, but it is socially irresponsible."

Regards

James Veryard

----- Forwarded message -----

From: James Veryard [REDACTED]
To: Aquind Interconnector <aquind@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Cc: Bosher Simon (Cllr) <simon.bosher@portsmouthcc.gov.uk>
Sent: Tuesday, 6 October 2020, 12:32:09 BST
Subject: Ref:- EN020022 - AQUIND Interconnector Project

Hi

Unique Reference AQUI-AFP090 & AQUI-AFP091 – James & Joan Veryard – [REDACTED]

Further to your letter dated 15th September 2020 (Ref:- EN020022 - AQUIND Interconnector Project)

I attach my written representations (2Nr) as requested by deadline 1 - Tuesday 6 October 2020.

1.0 Representation - Socio-Economic Effects - EMF on Spinal Cord Stimulator & Deep Brain Implants - Cancer - Noise - Property Value -

Backup letters:-

1.1 Letter to Aquind dated 21-03-19

1.2 AQUIND Interconnector Consultation – Response to EMF Query dated 31-05-19

2.0 Representation - Scope of the Environment Impact Assessment - Farlington Avenue - Width - lower section

At present I do not know where I need to participate is the "Open Floor Hearings", if I was to it would be :- Monday 7 December 2020 Open Floor Hearing 1 (OFH1) 10:00

If you believe by representations are applicable to any other hearing please add me in as "provisional".

Regards

James Veryard