

**From:** [Anthony Cox](#)  
**To:** [Triton Knoll Electrical System](#)  
**Subject:** 151201 EN020019 Cllr Anthony Cox - Comments on Appendix 5: Written Summary of The Applicant's Oral Case put at Socio-Economic Issue Specific Hearing on 19 November 2015  
**Date:** 01 December 2015 18:20:32

---

Dear Case Team

Thank you for your earlier reply and for publishing the responses by the Applicant to the recent hearings today.

I take issue with the following account given by the Applicant.

"Appendix 5: Written Summary of The Applicant's Oral Case put at Socio-Economic Issue Specific Hearing on 19 November 2015

1.89 A representative from Anderby Parish Council queried whether the Applicant has consulted the Centre for Protection of National Infrastructure (CPNI).

1.90 In response the Applicant confirmed that it has not. The Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC) is the responsible body for determining what is critical infrastructure in the Energy sector. Whilst the Triton Knoll Electrical System is critical to the TKOWF it is not critical national infrastructure. The Applicant further confirmed that safety and security are taken very seriously by both the joint venture companies involved in the TKOWF project."

My further point was that, taken together with Viking Link, this is likely to form CNI. Not necessarily TKES alone.

I made the point that it doesn't really matter whether the Applicant considers it to be CNI or NI, the CPNI should be consulted in any case - their title speaks of all 'National Infrastructure'.

I'm a bit alarmed that no specialist security advice is being sought by the Applicant in the current climate. There appears to be a curious complacency by the Applicant in this regard despite their bland assurance that "safety and security are taken very seriously by both the joint venture companies involved in the TKOWF project."

The Applicant appears to making their own assumptions with no expert advice. I suspect that cost is overcoming public safety.

The Applicant correctly asserts that it is the Secretary of State for DECC who determines whether TKES (and/or Viking Link) constitutes CNI. In fact, I informed them of that in my oral submission at the hearing.

But despite admitting they had taken no specialist security advice, **they now imply that DECC have been consulted and confirm that TKES is not of any concern re: CNI.**

I'm equally alarmed that they should place this information in the public domain now. It's possible this now makes it more vulnerable than less if its known publicly that they do not intend to take any CT measures based on this information.

If the DECC has indeed been in conversation with TKES in regard to security, I urge the DECC to conduct the same conversation with Viking Link and combine any risk assessment they imply has been conducted already - before this Application is considered for approval in isolation.

I realise this note may not be taken into consideration beyond yesterday's deadline, but it relates to the accuracy of information published only today. If not admissible, I will reiterate at the earliest opportunity in 2016

Kind regards

Anthony (Tony) Cox

Chair, Anderby parish Council

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.