

From: Helen Bowler [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 August 2015 15:50
To: Sarah Jones
Subject:

Dear Ms Jones,

- 1. Triton Knoll Electrical System ENO20019**
- 2. National Grid Viking Link Ltd**
- 3. Ecotricity Heckington Turbines Infrastructure**

You are aware that due to serious ill health I cannot attend the 3/9/15 Preliminary Meeting, and wish to comment on a letter from National Grid's solicitors posted on your website.

Clearly NG is trying to stop you considering cumulative effect of the Viking Link in your Triton Knoll deliberations. NG wishes to hide its proposals to avoid scrutiny and then just tell the appropriate Local Authorities what they are going to do, hoping that no money is available to fight on behalf of residents affected.

Having daily dealings with NG over a period of years I know how they work, and the serious adverse effect they have on peoples lives and the environment. I will detail exactly what happened when the existing NG sub-station was built on Bicker Fen in due course.

You will no doubt take into account that the Triton Knoll consultation has been totally inadequate, and that no consultation whatsoever has taken place for the Viking Link or Ecotricity infrastructure. No planning permissions are in place for the latter two schemes.

However, the common denominator is that all three schemes will, it is proposed, end up in Bicker Parish, and therefore the cumulative effect of all three schemes (probably constructed at the same time) should be considered as one.

There could not be a more affected area than Bicker Parish in the country. You know that I hold a letter from the Department of Communities and Local Government stating that total cumulative effect and loss of prime agricultural land should be considered, amongst other things.

Residents are horrified at the prospect of destruction of their lives and local environment by RWE, National Grid, Western Power Distribution and Ecotricity. Please, therefore, stick to your decision to examine cumulative effect (and other aspects set out by the Government) now.

It is impossible to believe that NG has not considered the Viking Link. Clearly their plans are all but finalised; they have certainly had sufficient time, and the project is due to be up and running by October 2020.

If NG persists in refusing to give details of Viking Link, then the examination process should be stopped now, until you can consider the cumulative effect of all three schemes together. No doubt you will need a full report on the adverse health effects on local residents of the proposed and existing mass of electrical wiring, by way of electromagnetic radiation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yours sincerely

DJ & HP Bowler