

Triton Knoll Electrical System - Examining Authority's Third Written Questions

The following table sets out the Examining Authority (ExA)'s Third Written Questions and requests in relation to the Triton Knoll Electrical System (TKES).

Responses are required by Deadline 6, 17 February 2016. Please note that if this deadline is missed the ExA is not obliged to take account of your response.

Each question has a unique number in Column 1, which is largely based upon the ExA's initial assessment of principal issues contained in Annex C of the Notice of Preliminary Meeting.

Please use the number reference system when responding to a question. Column 2 identifies the organisation(s) or individual(s) from which answers are sought. Column 3 sets out the question, often with a contextual introduction.

The ExA would be grateful if all named bodies would answer questions directed at them, providing either a substantive response or explaining why the question is not relevant to them. The expectation is that each organisation will provide an answer to each question asked of it, but joint answers are acceptable if the relevant issue is addressed. If the answer to a question is set out in, for example, a Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) then a cross reference to where the issue is addressed is acceptable.

In some areas there may be a degree of overlap between the answers to questions and it is acceptable to provide a single answer which responds to multiple questions or answer questions individually and provide cross references between multiple answers where appropriate. If you do so, please use all number references and ensure all elements are addressed.

The list of organisations to which an individual question is addressed is not exclusive. You may put relevant evidence to the ExA in response to any question asked of any party.

Contents

Draft Development Consent Order.....	3
Compulsory Acquisition.....	10
Archaeology and Heritage	15
Environmental Issues: Off-shore.....	16
Environmental Issues: On-shore	17
Landscape and Visual Impact	18
Socio-economic	19

DCO	Draft Development Consent Order	
DCO 3.1	All Parties	<p>The ExA have issued their recommended draft Development Consent Order (DCO) in the form of a <i>Schedule of ExA's recommended amendments to the Applicant's draft DCO version F</i>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) All parties are requested to provide comments and, if relevant, suggestions for amendments to the entirety of the ExA's recommended draft DCO. b) In particular, all parties are requested to provide comments and, if relevant, suggestions for amendments to wording to the ExA's recommended additional wording, including a recommended new Requirement on local employment. c) All parties are also requested to check the <i>Schedule of ExA's recommended amendments to the Applicant's draft DCO version F</i> taken with the Applicant's <i>Revised draft DCO</i> [REP5-035] and inform the ExA if they consider that any matters that they have raised in respect to the draft DCO during the course of the Examination have not been dealt with.
DCO 3.2	All Parties	<p>The Applicant's <i>Revised draft DCO</i> [REP5-035] included an amended version of the Restrictive Covenant at Schedule 5. This is included unchanged in the ExA's recommended draft DCO at this stage.</p> <p>The ExA requests that all parties including, in particular, affected landowners and tenants provide comments and, if relevant, suggestions for amendments to wording to the draft Restrictive Covenant.</p>
DCO 3.3	The Applicant	<p>Provide an updated schedule of progress with Statements of Common Ground following from your update for Deadline 5 [REP5-041].</p> <p>In addition, provide a further update for Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>

DCO 3.4	The Applicant	<p>Confirm that all co-ordinates within the draft DCO, Deemed Marine Licences and plans are consistent and correct.</p> <p>It would assist the ExA if this could be clarified through mapping of co-ordinates or in a written confirmation.</p> <p>This is important to ensure that, particularly offshore, the SoS can be clear in the area in which consent is being sought.</p>
DCO 3.5	The Applicant	<p>Provide, by Deadline 7, a clean revised DCO in the SI template in Word and PDF; a report to evidence that the DCO has been put in the SI template and a tracked change DCO from DCO 1 to the latest version.</p>
DCO 3.6	The Applicant	<p>Article 2 - Interpretation excludes 'the removal of hedgerows' from the definition of commence. Requirement 13(3) states that:</p> <p><i>"Any hedgerow removal must be carried out in accordance with the details set out in the outline landscape strategy and ecological management plan."</i></p> <p>However, Paragraph 6.18 of the <i>Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</i> states that:</p> <p><i>"Hedgerows which will have been removed <u>during the construction period</u> will be replanted, including on the cable route"</i> (ExA's own underlining).</p> <p>a) Does this, in effect, mean that this commitment to replanting in the <i>Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</i> does not apply to those hedgerows removed pre-commencement?</p> <p>b) If so, should the words "during the construction period" be removed from paragraph 6.18 of the <i>Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan</i>?</p> <p>In putting these questions, the ExA is aware of the Applicant's statements at paragraphs 1.3 and 1.4 of the Applicant's <i>Written Summary of the oral case put at the DCO Hearing on 22 January 2016</i> [REP5-016].</p>

DCO 3.7	The Applicant	<p>Article 2 – Interpretation provides a definition for “mean high water springs” and for “mean low water”.</p> <p>Explain why high water is tied to spring tides and low water is not.</p>
DCO 3.8	The Applicant	<p>Article 5 - Transfer of benefit of Order</p> <p>a) Explain why it is necessary and justified for the transfer of offshore works and the DMLs to be permitted without consent to any person after the expiration of time limits for claims for compensation in accordance with 5(6)(b).</p> <p>b) Should the power to transfer without consent to a s.6 licence holder be limited to the works that will be transferred to an OFTO?</p>
DCO 3.9	The Marine Management Organisation (MMO)	<p>Article 5 - Transfer of benefit of Order</p> <p>The version of the Revised Draft DCO (version F) submitted for Deadline 5 removes the words:</p> <p><i>“save that, at least 28 days prior to any partial transfer or grant of the deemed marine licence under this paragraph, the undertaker must consult the MMO on the restrictions, liabilities and obligations that will apply to the person exercising the powers transferred or granted”</i></p> <p>The Applicant’s <i>Written Summary of the oral case put at the DCO Hearing on 22 January 2016</i> [REP5-016] (paragraph 1.10) states that:</p> <p><i>“Following discussions between the Applicant and the MMO after the DCO hearing on 22 January 2016, it has been agreed that this wording is not required and it has therefore been deleted.”</i></p> <p>State whether, in light of your concern that there is no requirement for consultation prior to grant or transfer in the joint position statement, you agree that the wording above is not required.</p>

DCO 3.10	<p>The Marine Management Organisation (MMO)</p> <p>The Applicant</p>	<p>Article 5 - Transfer of benefit of Order</p> <p>The MMO</p> <p>In the event that the transfer without consent provisions in 5(6) are included in the DCO, would the MMO welcome the inclusion of this provision?</p> <p><i>"The undertaker must consult the MMO at least 28 days before the transfer of relevant provisions pursuant to an agreement under paragraph (1) in a case where the Secretary of State's consent to such a transfer is not required (because paragraph (6) applies)."</i></p> <p>The Applicant</p> <p>Comment on the suggested wording above.</p>
DCO 3.11	<p>The Applicant</p>	<p>Article 13 – Authority to survey and investigate the land</p> <p>Plots 03/07, 05/34, 07/07, 11/14, 12/19, 12/23, 15/14, 33/08 and 33/09, coloured green ('Environmental Survey Access') on the Land Plans is land which, according to the <i>Book of Reference</i> [APP-130] (paragraph 1.11) will only be subject to the Article 13 survey power.</p> <p>Article 13 grants a power to enter on land to survey or investigate and related actions.</p> <p>a) Is the Applicant content that Article 13 grants the undertaker a right of access over land where this is required to reach the land on which they need to undertake the environmental surveys?</p> <p>b) If not provide suggested wording to amend the DCO as appropriate to secure rights of access where required.</p> <p>The Applicant should note that there are questions related to these plots at ExA's questions CA 3.5 and CA 3.6, below.</p>

DCO 3.12	Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) The Applicant	<p>Requirement 8</p> <p>Paragraph 5.3 of the Statement of Common Ground between Triton Knoll Offshore Wind Farm Limited and Lincolnshire County Council dated 1 February 2016 [REP5-053] states:</p> <p><i>"It is not yet agreed that the wording of Requirement 8 of the draft DCO (document reference 3.1) ensures that any highways works are appropriately signed off and adequately secures an Access Management Plan (AMP), which accords with the principles set out in the Outline AMP (document reference 8.13)."</i></p> <p>LCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Explain the nature of your concerns; b) If relevant, suggest wording that would be acceptable to you; c) Indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016). <p>The Applicant</p> <p>Comment on LCC's concerns in this respect and indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
DCO 3.13	Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) The Applicant	<p>Requirement 16</p> <p>Paragraph 5.4 of the Statement of Common Ground between Triton Knoll Offshore Wind Farm Limited and Lincolnshire County Council dated 1 February 2016 [REP5-053] states:</p> <p><i>"It is not yet agreed that the wording of Requirement 16 of the draft DCO (document reference 3.1) adequately secures appropriate restrictions to the working hours permitted under the Order."</i></p> <p>LCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Explain the nature of your concerns; b) If relevant, suggest wording that would be acceptable to you; c) Indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).

		<p>The Applicant</p> <p>Comment on LCC’s concerns in this respect and indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
DCO 3.14	<p>Lincolnshire County Council (LCC)</p> <p>The Applicant</p>	<p>Requirement 18</p> <p>Paragraph 5.5 of the Statement of Common Ground between Triton Knoll Offshore Wind Farm Limited and Lincolnshire County Council dated 1 February 2016 [REP5-053] states:</p> <p><i>"It is not yet agreed that the wording of Requirement 18 of the draft DCO (document reference 3.1) adequately secures a construction phase Traffic Management Plan (TMP), which accords with the principles set out in the Outline TMP (document reference 8.9) and includes a Contractor Travel Plan (CTP)."</i></p> <p>LCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Explain the nature of your concerns; b) If relevant, suggest wording that would be acceptable to you; c) Indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016). <p>The Applicant</p> <p>Comment on LCC’s concerns in this respect and indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
DCO 3.15	<p>Lincolnshire County Council (LCC)</p> <p>The Applicant</p>	<p>Requirement 20</p> <p>Paragraph 5.6 of the Statement of Common Ground between Triton Knoll Offshore Wind Farm Limited and Lincolnshire County Council dated 1 February 2016 [REP5-053] states:</p> <p><i>"It is not yet agreed that the wording of Requirement 20 of the draft DCO (document reference 3.1) adequately secures the reinstatement of any land used temporarily for construction of the onshore works."</i></p>

		<p>LCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Explain the nature of your concerns; b) If relevant, suggest wording that would be acceptable to you; c) Indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016). <p>The Applicant</p> <p>Comment on LCC's concerns in this respect and indicate the likelihood of reaching agreement on this matter on, or before, Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
--	--	--

CA	Compulsory Acquisition	
CA 3.1	The Applicant	<p>Paragraph 1.81 of the Applicant's <i>Written Summary of the oral case put at the CA Hearing on 20 and 21 January 2016</i> [REP5-015] states that the number of agreements is eight.</p> <p>Your <i>Schedule of Compulsory Acquisition</i> [REP5-040] still has only one 'Yes' in Column 12 (Reached agreement).</p> <p>Explain this apparent discrepancy.</p>
CA 3.2	The Applicant	<p>Provide an updated <i>Update of Progress for Protective Provisions</i> following from your update for Deadline 5 [REP5-034].</p> <p>In addition, provide a further update for Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
CA 3.3	The Applicant	<p>Provide an updated <i>Schedule of Compulsory Acquisition</i> following from your update for Deadline 5 [REP5-040].</p> <p>In addition, provide a further update for Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
CA 3.4	Lincolnshire County Council The Applicant	<p>The LCC DL 5 submission states at para 36:</p> <p><i>"If the TJB are granted consent in this location the effect on the POS and also the LCCP would be obvious and apparent and would be at odds with the nature of the area to such an extent that it cannot be said that the POS itself would meet the test of being no less advantageous. To conclude that it would be is to limit that test to a simple test of whether the POS could physically be used, which it could physically be, without taking into account the nature and extent of the use, the significance placed upon it and the enjoyment made of it. If the proposals were to proceed then the LCC view would be that enhanced mitigation within the LCCP to ensure that there is no net loss of biodiversity or public enjoyment in the LCCP would be essential."</i></p>

		<p>The Applicant</p> <p>Provide comments on the above statement and other material relevant to the issue of open space land provided by Lincolnshire County Council for Deadline 5.</p> <p>Lincolnshire County Council</p> <p>a) Provide comments on material relevant to the issue of open space land provided by the Applicant for Deadline 5.</p> <p>b) Paragraph 29 of your <i>Response of the Lincolnshire County Council to Matters Raised at The Second Round of Hearings, Submitted For Deadline 5</i> [REP5-005] states: <i>"Although there is no public access through the TJB area the site will be visible from two footpath routes and public open space."</i> Provide authority for your proposition that open space is less advantageous if views out from it are affected?</p> <p>c) Specify what enhanced mitigation you would require to ensure, in your view, no net loss of biodiversity or public enjoyment in the Lincolnshire Coastal Country Park.</p>
CA 3.5	The Applicant	<p>Authority to survey and investigate the land</p> <p>Paragraph 1.120 of the Applicant's <i>Written Summary of the oral case put at the CA Hearing on 20 and 21 January 2016</i> [REP5-015] states that:</p> <p><i>" ... the Applicant proposes to amend the descriptions of land comprising plots 03/07, 05/34, 07/07, 11/14, 12/19, 12/23, 15/14, 33/08, 33/09 in the Book of Reference [APP-130] so that they read as follows (new text in red): "New rights (pursuant to Article 13) to survey and investigate, and to take access for that purpose, over..."</i></p> <p>Provide a copy of the revised <i>Book of Reference</i> showing this change.</p> <p>In addition, if the <i>Book of Reference</i> is further changed between Deadline 6 and Deadline 7, provide a final version of the <i>Book of Reference</i> at Deadline 7, highlighting any changes or state at Deadline 7 that the version submitted for Deadline 6 remains the Applicant's final version.</p>

CA 3.6	Charles Sharpe Joyce Blanchard Brenda Wright Pridgeon Farms Limited Richard Yeadon Nicola Yeadon Joseph Mackinder Judith Mackinder David Simpson Witham Forth District Internal Drainage Board Steven Lunn James Lunn Western Power Distribution (East Midlands) plc	<p>Authority to survey and investigate the land</p> <p>Paragraph 1.120 of the Applicant's <i>Written Summary of the oral case put at the CA Hearing on 20 and 21 January 2016</i> [REP5-015] states that:</p> <p>" ... the Applicant proposes to amend the descriptions of land comprising plots 03/07, 05/34, 07/07, 11/14, 12/19, 12/23, 15/14, 33/08, 33/09 in the Book of Reference [APP-130] so that they read as follows (new text in red): "New rights (pursuant to Article 13) <i>to survey and investigate, and to take access for that purpose, over...</i>".</p> <p>Provide any comments on, and state your satisfaction or otherwise of, this amended wording.</p>
CA 3.7	The Canal and River Trust	<p>The Applicant has recorded its difficulties in obtaining responses from the Canal and River Trust on key issues (see, for example, paragraph 1.14 of <i>Written Summary of the oral case put at the CA Hearing on 20 and 21 January 2016</i> [REP5-015]) and has stated in the <i>Update of Progress for Protective Provisions</i> [REP5-034] that:</p> <p>"it is possible the Applicant will not have agreed Protective Provisions with CRT by the close of the examination."</p>

		<p>The Canal and River Trust is reminded that the ExA cannot report to the Secretary of State on any agreements or other material that is submitted after the close of the Examination.</p> <p>Provide a comprehensive statement on those matters which the Canal and River Trust considers still to be unresolved, including any matters of disagreement and any reasons why agreement on these matters may not be able to be reached by the final deadline for this Examination (Deadline 7 on 24 February 2016).</p>
CA 3.8	Statutory Undertakers Independent Drainage Boards	<p>The ExA reminds all Statutory Undertakers and the Independent Drainage Boards that, if and when they reach agreements on matters including land arrangements and protective provisions and are then in a position to withdraw outstanding written objections, then they should do so explicitly in writing to the ExA on or before Deadline 7 (24 February 2016).</p>
CA 3.9	The Applicant	<p>The ExA assumes that Paragraph 3.21 of the <i>Updated Funding Statement</i> [REP5-024] should read :</p> <p><i>" ... the compulsory purchase powers in articles 15 to 31 of the Order ..."</i></p> <p>State whether this assumption is correct.</p>
CA 3.10	The Applicant	<p>Paragraph 3.6 of the <i>Updated Funding Statement</i> [REP5-024] states that:</p> <p><i>"TKOWFL is developing a funding strategy that includes active consideration of 'project finance' as a likely source of a proportion of the finance required to build the TKES, and therefore fund land assembly requirements."</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) State whether this strategy will restrict possible funding sources to commercial banks and multilaterals as stated in paragraph 3.7 or whether other commercial or institutional sources of funding may be considered. b) State the timing for the preparation of this strategy. c) State whether the strategy will be a public document.

CA 3.11	The Applicant	<p>Appendix 2 of the <i>Updated Funding Statement</i> [REP5-024] sets out the RWE Statement on Restructuring dated 11 December 2015.</p> <p>State whether you consider that the creation of a new subsidiary company through an IPO will affect the ExA's assessment of whether there is a reasonable prospect of the requisite funding for the proposed project and for compulsory acquisition becoming available.</p>
CA 3.12	The Applicant	<p>Paragraph 3.25 of the <i>Updated Funding Statement</i> [REP5-024] states that:</p> <p><i>"... the shareholders will ensure (through both the contractual arrangements for transfer of shares and the guarantee document itself) that any replacement of guarantees will only be possible in circumstances where the incoming guarantor is of equal or better creditworthiness to the exiting shareholder. Through these provisions, the Secretary of State will be able to legally manage any changes of guarantor and will be able to ensure that an appropriate level of creditworthiness (vis-à-vis outstanding obligations of TKOWFL) is maintained."</i></p> <p>State whether the contractual arrangements for transfer of shares will be a public document to be made available to the Secretary of State.</p>
CA 3.13	The Applicant	<p>What is the process for landowners and tenants to obtain written permission (from TKES) to undertake works which require consent under the terms of the Restrictive Covenant?</p> <p>Where is this process detailed and secured in the draft DCO?</p>
CA 3.14	The Applicant	<p>Do you have details of all those, including tenants, who will be affected by the scheme?</p>

AH	Archaeology and Heritage	
AH 3.1	Historic England	<p>In Question AH 2.8 [PD-014] the ExA asked for confirmation that the Drainage Scoop Wheel and Channel heritage asset had been incorrectly plotted. The response from Historic England [REP4-011] confirmed the incorrect plotting but Historic England stated that <i>"it is for the applicant to demonstrate their case that given the corrected location, harm would not occur due to screening by the intervening private residence (or that any harm would be both temporary and negligible)"</i>.</p> <p>Has the Applicant adequately demonstrated this?</p>

EOf	Environmental Issues: Off-shore	
EOf 3.1	The Applicant Natural England	<p>Condition 12 of the <i>draft Deemed Marine Licence</i> [REP5-035] requires pre-construction monitoring and surveys to be undertaken. Condition 13 requires post construction surveys.</p> <p>However the ExA is concerned that neither of these makes adequate provision for reef survey work to be undertaken prior to any maintenance activities; that Condition 13 seeks to determine the effects of construction activity only.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the Statement of Common Ground between the Applicant and Natural England [REP5-044] which states that the <i>Outline Offshore Operations and Maintenance Plan</i> [APP-114] (O&M Plan) “<i>is appropriate and reasonable to inform the final O&M plan</i>”, the ExA is concerned that the O&M plan is not particularly detailed in regard to the requirements for cable and reef surveys, and the ExA notes that reef surveys prior to maintenance activities are not secured in the draft Deemed Marine Licence.</p> <p>Comment and explain whether the O&M Plan and the draft DML need to be updated in this respect.</p>
EOf 3.2	The Applicant Natural England	<p>Does the Statement of Common Ground between the Applicant and Natural England [REP5-044] need to be updated to reflect the most up-to-date draft DCO and draft DML [Revision F REP5-035], as there are references notably at Paragraphs 4.253 and 4.254 to the agreement of the wording and measures as set out in Schedule 9 Part 2 of the dDCO and dDML Version E [REP4-042]?</p>

EOn	Environmental Issues: On-shore	
EOn 3.1	The Applicant	<p>In paragraphs 6.7 to 6.11 of its marked up version of its Statement of Common Ground submitted at Deadline 5 [REP5-053], Lincolnshire County Council appears to be pointing out errors within the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>a) Do you agree that these are errors? b) If so, do they have any bearing on, or fundamentally alter any findings in the Environmental Statement?</p> <p>Provide an errata sheet clearly identifying all corrections made to the Environmental Statement during the Examination by Deadline 7</p>
EOn 3.2	Land Interest Group	<p>At the Local Impacts Hearing on 19 January 2016 the Applicant stated that it is technically possible to reinstate drainage within the Order Limits.</p> <p>Do you agree with this statement? If not, explain why.</p>
EOn 3.3	The Applicant Land Interest Group	<p>Provide a joint statement on your meeting held on 4 February 2016, detailing matters discussed, matters now agreed and outstanding matters of disagreement.</p>
EOn 3.4	The Applicant	<p>Update the <i>Soil Management Plan</i>, the <i>Construction Method Statement</i> and any other relevant plans to reflect the outcome of discussions at your meeting of 4 February 2016 with the Land Interest Group.</p>
Eon 3.5	The Applicant	<p>a) Confirm that annual inspections of the buried cable and associated infrastructure will be undertaken by the operator and that such cable and associated infrastructure will be reburied where it poses a risk to agricultural operations. b) Explain how and where this obligation is secured in the DCO.</p>

LVI	Landscape and Visual Impact	
LVI 3.1	The Applicant Mr Spence Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust (Mr Wardle)	<p>The ExA notes the comments from the Applicant in section 2 of <i>Appendix 13</i> [REP5-026] relating to the dispute between the Applicant and Mr Spence in respect to how the habitat was created. The Applicant said this was achieved by impeding the flow of water (entering as rainfall) into the drainage ditches that surround the site through the compaction of soil around the field's perimeter and the breaking of the existing drainage infrastructure; Mr Spence stating it was achieved differently.</p> <p>Can the Applicant and Mr Spence establish the correct method of drainage?</p> <p>If the Applicant concedes that Mr Spence is correct, does this affect how construction techniques would be deployed at the site, particularly as Appendix 1 of the <i>Construction Method Statement</i> [REP4-048] says that trenchless techniques would be used at the site's boundaries?</p>
LVI 3.2	The Applicant Mr Spence Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust (Mr Wardle)	<p>Provide comments on the statement made by the Applicant in Section 4 and Appendix 1 of <i>Appendix 13 Lincolnshire Coastal Grazing Marsh - Field 6 Site E Clarification Note</i> [REP5-026] in respect of the disadvantages and potential other issues from realigning the cable route closer to the boundaries of the site.</p>
LVI 3.3	The Applicant	<p>The NFU/LAAV have in their response to Deadline 5 [REP5-007] requested a number of changes to the <i>Soil Management Plan</i> [REP4-050] in respect, amongst other things, of soil shrinkage and soil aftercare. Do you consent to these changes?</p> <p>a) Will you be updating the <i>Soil Management Plan</i> accordingly?</p> <p>b) Are there any implications for the draft DCO?</p>

SE	Socio-economic	
SE 3.1	National Farmers' Union Lincolnshire Association of Agricultural Valuers	<p>At paragraph 5 of the Applicant's response to our question CA 2.18 [REP4-027] the Applicant estimates crop loss to be approximately £4million, assuming a 3.5 year occupation of the cable easement; with full crop loss for the first year after construction; 50% loss for the second year after construction; and 25% loss for the third year after construction, saying that the figures are a worst case to provide a robust estimation.</p> <p>In paragraph 3.3 of your joint CA submission [REP5-054] you state that TKES has estimated the private loss to be £4M and highlight that "<i>the crop loss is for up to 5 years during construction and for numerous years after reinstatement ... over approximately 360ha (900 acres)</i>".</p> <p>a) How many years is "numerous"?</p> <p>b) Do you agree with the figure of £4M and the underlying assumptions?</p> <p>c) If you do not agree with the figure of £4M and the underlying assumptions, state your assumptions and explain what you think the figure should be.</p>
SE 3.2	The Applicant	<p>Section 2 of Revision C of the <i>outline Soil Management Plan (SMP)</i> [REP5-027] makes reference both to the Agricultural Liaison Officer (ALO) and to the ALO team.</p> <p>Given the size and complexity of the scheme and the number of farms affected:</p> <p>a) Confirm that the ALO is an ALO team, i.e. several people rather than a single person, and amend the outline SMP to make this clear; and</p> <p>b) Make it clear in the outline SMP what will happen at decommissioning.</p>
SE 3.3	Lincolnshire CC	<p>Paragraph 20 of your Deadline 5 submission [REP5-005] says in respect of impact on tourism that "<i>The County has ... provided the relevant information in respect of tourism to enable the proper judgment (sic) about the effects of it (sic) to be made</i>". Explain how the figures you have introduced into the examination at Deadline 5 [REP5-006] demonstrate to the ExA the expected impact of the project on tourism.</p>

SE 3.4	Lincolnshire CC	<p>In paragraph 48 of your Deadline 5 submission [REP5-005] you say that "<i>by way of summary the visitor economy of Greater Lincolnshire supports over 39,000 jobs ...</i>"</p> <p>Given that there is no commentary supplied with these figures, explain what impact you expect the proposal to have on the figure you quote, and the reasoning behind how this impact is arrived at.</p>
SE 3.5	Lincolnshire CC	<p>Section 11 of the <i>Greater Lincolnshire Destination Management Plan 2013-2020</i> [REP5-005] quotes impacts of £1.115bn and 17,796 jobs in 2012 and says with reference to the STEAM model used to produce the figures that "<i>alternatives are available and different methodologies will give different results.</i>"</p> <p>Bearing in mind the figure quoted in SE 3.4, above:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Which figure is correct? and b) Have any sensitivity tests been done, or any other models been used either to calibrate or to validate the figures, so as to give confidence that they are accurate?
SE 3.6	The Applicant	<p>With reference to paragraph 2.45 of Appendix 10 to your Deadline 5 submission [REP5-023], provide details of the outcomes of meetings you have held with the Greater Lincolnshire Local Enterprise Partnership.</p>
SE 3.7	Land Interest Group	<p>Provide evidence of instances where buried infrastructure has moved significantly post-installation so as to pose a risk to agricultural operations.</p>