

-----Original Message-----

From: Diana Reader [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 February 2016 15:57
To: Brechfa Connection
Subject: FAO: Katherine King

Sent from my iPad

>
> Good Afternoon Katherine
>
> Further to today's hearing.
>
> Regarding my question on the mail-out of Stage 3 Consultation.
>
> I queried if the letters of invite to a one to one briefing and a site tour of the final design had in fact gone out to the Elected Representatives, as out lined in the Community Consultation Document.
>
> The Applicant advised at the close of the meeting that they had.
>
> As I stated, Consultation Appendix 7.5 / Page 47 contains copies of the proof of postings which went out to consultees at Stage 3. As I stated, our Elected Representatives do not appear in the list of proof of postings.
>
> Additionally, there is no illustration of the letter template which went out to them.
>
> In the statement of Community Consultation, page 19, 9.2.1. there is a list of which groups would be consulted which includes our Elected Representatives.
>
> On page 35 it lists the names of which individual Elected Representatives would be included.
>
> at 9.6.1 it outlines that they will receive and invite for a one to one briefing and a site tour.
>
> In the Consultation Report 5.1
> Page 55, 7.5.5.it refers to what went out and again refers to Appendix 7.5 as evidence.
>
> Page 64 , 8.5.4 - please note that it is stated that "WDP did not receive any requests for a briefing from any of the Elected Representatives "(this should read a one to one briefing and invite to a site tour)
>
> Please can you advise the Inspector, as it was suggested in the hearing today that the evidence was in Appendix 7.5, in fact it is not. I know they may be able to locate the record of the postings, they may have been genuinely left out by mistake.

>

> But I am not happy that their QC responded with the implication that the evidence was there and it was implied that I was mistaken. This is not true.

This did have an affect on the credibility of my questions, I didn't feel able to confront the statement at the time although I was quite sure of my facts.

If the Inspector is mulling over the day and the submissions I want to be aware as I feel my credibility was in question.

I will provide the details in my statement.

Yours sincerely

Diana Reader

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Sent from my iPad