

Mr and Mrs Eagles

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear Mr. Broderick,

Stage 3 Consultation Poles 127 &128
Ref: WPD/NWD/164

I enclose below our objections and concerns to be taken into account regarding the stage three consultation.

- 1) We were told by WPD we would have 2 single poles on our land and now they have changed these to two H poles. Why is this? We require an explanation.
- 2) One H pole is to go into our woods but they will not tell us how many trees are to come down or how much we will be paid for these losses as we wish to carry out replanting on the land to compensate for the felled trees. The majority of the trees are mature scots pines and red woods and have been here for over 100 years.
- 3) We should have had a meeting on the 27th November 2015 with WPD and our land agent Iwan Jones. WPD did not turn up leaving our Land Agent and myself standing in the cold and rain for nearly an hour waiting for Freedom with no phone call to tell us they were not coming. We have still not received an apology from WPD or Freedom. Why?
- 4) WPD are going to use our yard entrance and pasture field for large lorries when no poles are going in this field. (Access to the other two fields can be made via the B4301, they are also making an access there) so why go through a field we have just had re-seeded? The field is sensitive wet lands with peat areas and we still do not know how they will preserve this land. We were hoping for answers at our meeting on the 27th November 2015 with Freedom.
- 5) We have a drainage ditch in between the pasture field and the peat field yet no mention of this is in their plans. This cannot be fill in and so we wanted to know how they were going to deal with this.
- 6) A few days ago we received papers from WPD asking about our boundary, this information has already been given to them, this should have been dealt with months ago, not at this stage. Our land agent has not received these papers so we have been unable to discuss them with him over the phone and he does not have time to come and see us before the deadline date tomorrow.
- 7) WPD seem to think they can do what they like, change whatever they like no matter about us the landowner. You requested that the parties engage in sensitivity but this is not apparent. We noted Mr Jones most recent e mails and these do not make good reading.

8) My husband and myself were prepared to accept the route comprising single poles. We have not objected to the scheme only wishing the line to go underground which we did not think was unreasonable. We have participated in the process throughout, we have been polite and always available to meet anybody from Freedom. Therefore, when we eventually received the HOT we were disappointed to note that our full engagement in the process amounted to nothing more than a charade and that WPD have not/never listened to us and have now made things much worse and have now changed single poles which we were prepared to accept to H poles which we do not accept especially, without telling us and we were never informed of these late changes to our land area. Why has this late change been made with no consultation with WPD?

This is giving my husband of 83 and myself a lot of stress and at our time in life it is not what we want. We are not farmers as many landowners are and have this land for pleasure to enjoy so why should WPD just come in pretend to engage with us and then change the scheme at the very last minute meaning a lot more tree cutting and visual intrusion. The whole engagement process has been a complete illusion' its only purpose to put a positive spin on the project to satisfy the inspector. We understand the needs for clean power but this is not the right way to go about it by deceiving landowners and refusing to engage in the process which WPD are compelled to do.

We would be grateful if you ask WPD why there have been changes so late in the process without our input. Do WPD think that they do not need to engage with landowners knowing full well that you will provide them with the power to do what they want to do in any case

My husband and I do not think that this is fair or equitable. We are very disappointed in the process.

Mr. & Mrs. R. Eagle

██████████
██████████
██████████
██████████