

Having viewed the updated photomontages it's extremely frustrating to have to point out that the applicant still is unable to match its own tree cutting surveys to what effect this will have on the landscape view and continues to include important trees that will be cut down.

- SP suggest that it was only a few young trees that they had forgot to take out of the photos, however in viewpoint 11 the most prominent tree in the photo which hides post 107 (and others) is still there even though it is surveyed to be cut down. It is quite obvious to even the untrained eye as it is right on the conductors.
- As mentioned previously the effect of removing this tree is significant as it creates an even more clear view of the stacking of the poles from the road.
- It is extremely worrying that even after this had been pointed out the applicant could not asses the right effect the development would have on the view. It must be questioned whether the visual effect has been rightly classified along the route if the applicant still cannot cross reference its own maps even when mistakes have been pointed out.
- The applicant is right to point out there is a gap in the distance from the Tir Mostyn windmills to the nearer view of the 132kv line but what the applicant does not state is that the gap will be filled with windmills from the Brenig Wind development which are bigger than the present ones. The presence of the Brenig Wind windmills should be in the photomontages to give the right post development view. A minor point also is that stays in photomontages should match the high visibility colours that they will be for safety reasons.

**From:** [Iwan Jones](#)  
**To:** [North Wales Wind Farm Connection](#)  
**Subject:** Updated photomontages  
**Date:** 13 November 2015 11:10:40  
**Attachments:** [photo\\_11.doc](#)

---

Could this attached document please be added with the e-mail I sent on photomontages a few days ago. We have only just spotted that for some reason post heights have shrunk in the photos since the consultation period.

  
Iwan Jones



This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk.  
Communications via the GSI may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.



The height of the posts in the recent photomontages is different to those supplied during consultation (above picture). My main point is that in new photomontages the posts are shorter which have the effect of lowering the steel structure lower into the landscape therefore not sky lining as much as the posts in the above picture. The height of the lines above the road in the new photos looks low and the heights do not correspond to the height measurements of the trees (as surveyed). It would be unfortunate if the post sizes have been adjusted so that they don't appear to skyline as much as they would in reality.