

Representation regarding location of pylons

Interested Parties Ref: **NWWFC-AFP012 and NWWFC-AFP011**
Mr Dewi Parry and Mrs Helen M Parry



We disagree with the design shown in 2.7.1 Section Drawings Sheet 1-9, Sheet 10.

Regarding the pylon shown on the right side of the photo below, we have requested that the Applicant reposition the pylon to the left of the hedge (a change of approx. 5m) in order to make valuable agricultural land available. This would necessitate also changing the position of the leftmost pylon accordingly. The field to the left has a steep gradient and is not workable land whereas the field to the left is flat and is workable land. SP Manweb’s representative has refused our request, citing technical reasons of insufficient height offered if it was moved. We disagree. And even if the reason is valid there are extended height double poles available. The additional height in this case would create additional visual impact compared to the existing size. The span length to the right is currently shorter than most and therefore span length would not be exceeded.

Extract from 2.7.1 Section Drawings Sheet 1-9 (Sheet 10)

