

Our Ref: NWWFC-AFP067

28th August 2015

Your Ref: EN020014

The Planning Inspectorate
3/18 Eagle Wing
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Bristol
B51 6PN

Dear Sir

Re: North Wales Wind Farm Connection

We act on behalf of Mr. John Ll. Evans, Bryn Hen Farm, Marli, Abergele, Conwy LL22 9ED and write to oppose the proposed development. The items which are of concern to my client are as follows:-

1. It is intended to put the new 132kV electricity line and apparatus above ground. This will impact on the environment and will also have a negative impact upon the visual quality of the area. To counter this, the 132kV line should be buried beneath the ground.
2. There is already a lower voltage 33kV line running across my clients land, together with an 11kV line on the opposite side of the road. If the proposed route is approved, it is intended to bury the lower voltage 33kV line for a length of approximately 420 metres and place the new line above ground. It is also intended to bury the 11kV line on the opposite side of the road. This is a waste of money and it would make more sense to bury the new line. The existing lines have been in place for many years and from an environmental and aesthetical point of view, they are now accepted into the landscape. The new line will have a far greater impact, especially as it is intended to run over the brow of a hill, which is in a very prominent position and can be seen by thousands of homes and businesses below.
3. The proposed position of the new line will have a hugh impact on the potential land use of the field. At the present time it is an arable field, but if the proposed apparatus is constructed, the field would only be useful for grazing and its productivity would fall and ultimately it's value would fall too.

4. If the intention is to bury the 33kV line, this will prove very problematic to install, as the ground beneath the intended route is basically rock. However the land beneath the proposed route of the 132kV line is very manageable and therefore it would make more sense to bury this cable instead.
5. If the 132kV cable cannot be buried, then the proposed route takes it right across the middle of the field. Instead it should be located in the hedgerow to minimise disruption to my clients agricultural activities.
6. We feel the position of the poles and stays could be better placed to provide a lower impact on the field. We also feel it would be beneficial to use less poles
7. It is intended to run the new 132kV line onto and over a hill at the top of my clients field. My client has plans to quarry some stone for his own use from this part of the field. If the new line is constructed, he will be prevented from doing this.

We hope you will feel the above points are valid, but should you need any point clarified, we will be glad to assist. We would also welcome an "on site" inspection of the land by yourselves, either accompanied or unaccompanied, which we feel will help you gain a clearer understanding.

Yours faithfully

JAMES B. GRIFFITHS MRICS
J. BRADBURNE PRICE & CO.