



# **The North Wales Wind Farms Connection Project**

Option B Submission

Application for Compulsory Acquisition of Additional Land

September 2015



Document reference OPTIONB CA DOCUMENT 1

**The Planning Act 2008**

**The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009**

**and**

**The Infrastructure Planning (Compulsory Acquisition) Regulations 2010**

**The North Wales Wind Farms Connection Project**

**Application for Compulsory Acquisition of Additional Land**

<b>Document Reference No.</b>	<b>OPTION B CA DOCUMENT 1</b>
<b>Author</b>	<b>SP Manweb</b>
<b>Date</b>	<b>September 2015</b>
<b>Version</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Planning Inspectorate Reference No.</b>	<b>EN020014</b>

SP Manweb plc, Registered Office: 3 Prenton Way Prenton CH43 3ET. Registered in England  
No. 02366937

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2	INTRODUCTION	5
3	PROPOSED CHANGES TO LIMITS OF DEVIATION AND ORDER LIMITS	6
4	CA REGULATIONS	12
5	CHANGES TO THE APPLICATION	15
6	CONSULTATION	16
7	ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT IN SUPPORT OF OPTION B'	16

## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This submission relates to an application by SP Manweb PLC ("**SP Manweb**") for development consent ("**Application**") to construct and install, operate and maintain an approximately 17.3 kilometre 132kV electric line above ground from a collector substation at Clocaenog Forest, Denbighshire to a terminal point located to the south of the unnamed Groessford Marli to Glascoed Road and the B5381 near to St Asaph, Denbighshire. It is also a written request for powers of compulsory acquisition in respect of 'additional land' as defined in Regulation 2(1) of the Infrastructure Planning (Compulsory Acquisition) Regulations 2010.
- 1.2 The Application followed consultation with various parties over a prolonged period of time, as well as three stages of non-statutory and statutory consultation.
- 1.3 In accordance with good practice as well as the requirements of compulsory acquisition guidance, SP Manweb has continued to engage with interested parties, including affected landowners.
- 1.4 SP Manweb has been asked by various affected landowners to consider a series of amendments to the Application that was made in March 2015. These requested changes are more fully described below in section 3.4 of this document.
- 1.5 The amendments to the Application relates to additional land at 8 locations along the alignment of the overhead line. These are at the following locations:
  - 1.5.1 Indicative pole locations 6-15;
  - 1.5.2 Indicative pole locations 54-60;
  - 1.5.3 Indicative pole locations 62-69;
  - 1.5.4 Indicative pole location 96;
  - 1.5.5 Indicative pole locations 117 to 121;
  - 1.5.6 Indicative pole locations 175 to 177;
  - 1.5.7 Indicative pole locations 178 to 188; and
  - 1.5.8 Indicative pole locations 209 to 214.
- 1.6 In each of the 8 locations, the additional land sought is relatively small and of a localised nature and does not include any land where there are new land interests. In other words no new persons would be affected by this application. None of the additional land - either individually or collectively - is considered to be a material amendment to the Application. As such there are no material changes to the Application arising from this submission.
- 1.7 To support SP Manweb's view that the changes to the Application are not material, it has submitted an Environmental Report. It confirms that the proposed amendments do not change the conclusions of the Environmental Statement submitted with the Application.
- 1.8 This submission comprises SP Manweb's 'proposed provision' under Regulation 5 of the Infrastructure Planning (Compulsory Acquisition) Regulations 2010.
- 1.9 The submission is made in the context of section 123 of the Planning Act 2008 and Regulation 4 of the Infrastructure Planning (Compulsory Acquisition) Regulations 2010, pursuant to which subsection 123(4) and Regulation 4 require the prescribed procedure in Regulations 5 to 19 to apply, where: (a) it is proposed to include in an

order granting development consent a provision authorising the compulsory acquisition of additional land; and (b) a person with an interest in the additional land does not consent to the inclusion of such provision in the order.

- 1.10 At the present time, notwithstanding SP Manweb's ongoing negotiations with landowners with an interest in the proposed additional land, Regulations 4(a) and 4(b) apply. This submission is therefore made in parallel with SP Manweb's ongoing negotiations with affected land owners, with the aim of minimising the risk of there being any impediments to the implementation of the Proposed Development, for instance, should landowner consent still not be forthcoming by the time the order was made and development consent granted.
- 1.11 SP Manweb's need for additional land arises from ongoing discussions and engagement with landowners.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 On 20 March 2015 SP Manweb plc ("**SP Manweb**") submitted to the Secretary of State its application for development consent ("**Application**") to construct and install, operate and maintain an approximately 17.3 kilometre 132kV electric line above ground from a collector substation at Clocaenog Forest, Denbighshire to a terminal point located to the south of the unnamed Groessford Marli to Glascoed Road and the B5381 near to St Asaph, Denbighshire. This Application followed consultation with various parties over a prolonged period of time, as well as three stages of non-statutory and statutory consultation.
- 2.2 In accordance with good practice as well as the requirements of compulsory acquisition guidance, SP Manweb has continued to engage with interested parties, including affected landowners.
- 2.3 SP Manweb has been asked by various affected landowners to consider a series of amendments to the Application that was made in March 2015. These requested changes are more fully described below in section 3.4 of this document.
- 2.4 These requested changes have been received during the course of SP Manweb's negotiations with landowners to acquire the necessary property rights by private treaty, rather than relying on the proposed powers of compulsory acquisition which are included in the Application.
- 2.5 Whilst SP Manweb considers that its Application made in March 2015 is acceptable and should be granted development consent as the Application currently stands, SP Manweb has considered the landowner suggestions and compiled an "Option B" application collating various changes that SP Manweb would like the Examining Authority and Secretary of State to consider. As such, SP Manweb is requesting that the Examining Authority, on behalf of the Secretary of State, accepts a proposal for an "Option B" that takes the March 2015 Application scheme and incorporates the various collated landowner requests.
- 2.6 If the Secretary of State considers that Option B rather than the March 2015 Application ought to be granted development consent then SP Manweb would not object to this outcome. SP Manweb has decided to present the Examining Authority, and the Secretary of State, with an Option A (being the Application as submitted in March 2015) and an Option B on the basis that whilst SP Manweb has analysed all requests for changes, and one of its criteria was impact on other interested parties, there will inevitably be parties who may not agree with the changes. Accordingly, SP Manweb considers it appropriate to maintain Option A for consideration.
- 2.7 As Option B would require an amendment to the Order land over which powers of compulsory acquisition are sought, there is "additional land" within the meaning of the Infrastructure Planning (Compulsory Acquisition) Regulations 2010 (the "**CA Regulations**"). This submission is, therefore, SP Manweb's written request to the Examining Authority to consider changes to its Application, including powers of compulsory acquisition in respect of "additional land" as defined in Regulation 2(1) of the CA Regulations ("**Submission**").
- 2.8 In the context of the CA Regulations, this Submission is a compulsory acquisition request to the Secretary of State in respect of additional land, and, as such, is a 'proposed provision' (as defined at Regulation 2(1)) and is made under Regulation 5 of the CA Regulations.
- 2.9 This Submission includes the following elements:
- 2.9.1 Suggested "Option B" amendments to the Order land (as defined in the draft Development Consent Order (Document Reference 3.1)(the "DCO")) to

which powers of compulsory acquisition relate which have been requested by various landowners; and

2.9.2 Suggested "Option B" amendments to the Order limits (and limits of deviation) (as defined in the DCO) to which the powers to carry out and maintain the authorised development relate, which have been requested by various landowners.

2.10 This Submission also forms SP Manweb's written representation requesting that "Option B" be more widely considered as part of the Examination into the application for development consent for the Proposed Development.

**3. PROPOSED CHANGES TO LIMITS OF DEVIATION AND ORDER LIMITS**

3.1 The changes are more fully described in Table 1 below.

3.2 All of the changes described are as a consequence of on going consultation with land owners. Land owners have made suggestions for amendments to the Proposed Development and SP Manweb has sought to accommodate those changes where possible.

3.3 As explained above, SP Manweb considers the Application to be acceptable in the form submitted to the Secretary of State in March 2015 and the amendments described below are put forward for the benefit of land owners only.

3.4 **Table 1:** Description of changes to the Application

	<b>DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE</b>	<b>POLE NUMBERS REQUIRING A DIFFERENT LOCATION AS A RESULT OF THE REQUESTED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>CHANGE IN THE ORDER LAND / ORDER LIMITS</b>	<b>COMMENT</b>
1	Amend indicative location of pole 7 including additional pole 9b so that the pole is not in the corner of the field.	2-14	Yes	The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 7 is limited to approximately 47 metres to the south west (and now on the opposite side of Hafody Ddu Road).  The relocation in pole 7 has resulted in changes to the Order limits/Order Land surrounding pole positions 6 to15 and indicative pole positions of poles 2 to 14. This has necessitated a new indicative pole 9b. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material

				change.
2	Amend indicative location of pole 24 to the south – west so that it is closer to the boundary of the field	24, 25	No	<p>The indicative locations of poles 24 and 25 have been moved within the existing limits of deviation, as per landowner request.</p> <p>This change has been included in the Option B redesign. It could also be accommodated within the limits of deviation of Option A if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.</p>
3	Amend indicative locations of poles 38-41, including additional pole 38b such that pole 39 can be placed on the field boundary	38, 38b, 39, 40 and 41	No	<p>The indicative location of poles 38, 39, 40 and 41 have been moved within the existing limits of deviation to the boundary, as per landowner request. This has necessitated a new indicative pole 38b. This change has been included in the Option B redesign. It could also be accommodated within the limits of deviation of Option A if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.</p>
4	Amend indicative location of pole 59 away from the hedge to allow access south from the pole.	55-61	Yes	<p>The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 59 is limited to approximately 15 metres to the north.</p> <p>The relocation in pole 59 has resulted in changes to the Order limits/Order Land surrounding pole positions 54 to</p>

				60 and indicative pole positions of poles 55 to 61. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.
5	Amend indicative location of pole 64 and 66 south approximately 6m so that they are closer to the hedgerow.	62-69	Yes	<p>The change in position of the illustrative location for angle pole 64 is limited to 16 metres to the south.</p> <p>The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 66 is limited to 9 metres to the south west.</p> <p>The reposition of the angle pole has necessitated changes to the indicative locations of poles 62-69. It has also resulted in amendment to the Order limits / Order Land surrounding poles 62 and 69. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.</p>
6	Amendment to the Order limits around pole 71	N/A – change to mitigation only	No	The tenant farmer has removed the landscaping in this location and therefore no mitigation planting is now required. The Order limits/Order land has been reduced.
7	Amend indicative location of Pole 80 to be moved north – west to the hedge	80	No	<p>The indicative location of pole 80 has been moved within the existing limits of deviation, as per landowner request.</p> <p>This change has been included in the Option B redesign, but could also be accommodated</p>

				within the March 2015 Order limits if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.
8	Amend indicative location of pole 90, 92 and 96 such that they are placed on hedgelines	88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95 and 96	Yes	<p>The indicative locations of poles 88 to 96 have been moved within the existing limits of deviation to accommodate landowner requests in respect of poles 90 and 92 and 96. The relocation in pole 96 has resulted in changes to the Order limits/Order Land surrounding pole position 96 and indicative pole position of pole 96. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.</p> <p>The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 96 is limited to approximately 3 metres to the north west.</p>
9	Amend indicative location of Pole 99 line to the hedgeline.	99	No	<p>The indicative location of pole 99 has been moved within the existing limits of deviation, as per landowner request.</p> <p>This change has been included in the Option B redesign, but could also be accommodated within the March 2015 Order limits if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.</p>
10	Amend indicative location of poles 111 and 112 to	111 and 112	No	The indicative location of poles 111 and 112 have been

	allow pole 112 to be closer to the field boundary.			<p>moved within the existing limits of deviation, as per landowner request.</p> <p>This change has been included in the Option B redesign, but could also be accommodated within the March 2015 Order limits if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.</p>
11	Amend indicative location of pole 119 to the east side of the hedgerow	118-120	Yes	<p>The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 119 is limited to approximately 10 metres to the east.</p> <p>This change will result in changes to the Order limits/ Order Land surrounding poles 117 to 121 as well as indicative poles positions of poles 118 to 120. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.</p>
12	Amend indicative location of pole 139 to the hedgerow	139	No	<p>The indicative location of pole 139 has been moved within the existing limits of deviation, as per landowner request.</p> <p>This change has been included in the Option B redesign, but could also be accommodated within the March 2015 Order limits if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.</p>
13	Amend indicative location of pole 176 – to 177 to avoid poles in the	176-177	Yes	<p>The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 176 is limited</p>

	middle of future building plot. Proposed pole in the corner of the plot.			to approximately 16 metres to the south west. This change will result in changes to the Order limits / Order Land surrounding poles 175 to 177 as well as indicative position of pole 176 - 177. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.
14	Amend indicative location of Poles 178 to 179 to be moved to the boundary and Poles 182 and 184 to be moved north to the field boundaries	178 to 188	Yes	<p>The change in position of the illustrative location for pole 179 is limited to approximately 22 metres to the south east.</p> <p>The change in position of the illustrative location for poles 182 and 184 is limited to 22 metres to the north east at its largest positional change.</p> <p>This change will result in changes to the Order limits / Order Land surrounding poles 178 to 188 as well as indicative poles positions of pole 178 to 187. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.</p>
15	Amend indicative location of pole locations 211 to 213 including additional pole 212a to move the line further to the east of the field.	211-213	Yes	<p>The change in position of the illustrative location for poles 211-213 is limited to approximately 40 metres to the north east at the point of the greatest positional change.</p> <p>This change will result in changes to the Order limits / Order Land</p>

				surrounding poles 209 to 214 as well as indicative poles positions of pole 211 to 213. This has necessitated new pole positions 212a. The amendment is minor and will not result in any material change.
16	Amend indicative location of poles 215, 216, 217 and 218 and new pole position 217b to allow stays to be removed from poles 216 and 217	215- to 218	No	The indicative location of poles 215 to 218 has been moved within the existing limits of deviation, as per landowner request. This has necessitated new pole position 217b.  This change has been included in the Option B redesign, but could also be accommodated within the March 2015 Order limits if Option B were not granted development consent by the Secretary of State.

3.5 It is noted that the comparison plans that are submitted with the Submission includes indicative pole numbers. There are no poles numbered 85, 89 or 201 (same as Option A) and there are new poles 9b, 38b, 212a and 217b

3.6 In accepting any application to amend the Order land, Order limits and limits of deviation pursuant to "Option B", the Examining Authority must:

3.6.1 consider whether the requirements of the CA Regulations have been met; and

3.6.2 give consideration under wider planning law principles as to whether the amendments constitute a new application for development consent. If the amendments are such that the Examining Authority considers that they constitute a new application for development consent, then those amendments should not be accepted.

#### 4. CA REGULATIONS

4.1 This Submission is made in the context of section 123 of the Planning Act 2008 and Regulation 4 of the CA Regulations, where, respectively subsection 123(4) and Regulation 4 require the prescribed procedure in Regulations 5 to 19 of the CA Regulations to apply and where: (a) it is proposed to include in an order granting

development consent a provision authorising the compulsory acquisition of additional land; and (b) a person with an interest in the additional land does not consent to the inclusion of such provision in the order.

4.2 At the present time, notwithstanding SP Manweb's negotiations with land owners with an interest in the proposed additional land, Regulation 4(a) and 4(b) apply, in that – subject to the acceptance of the Secretary of State pursuant to Regulation 6 - SP Manweb proposes to include in the draft DCO provisions, which, if the DCO were made, would authorise the compulsory acquisition of additional land, and also because to date, it has not been possible to secure the consent of all relevant landowners to the inclusion in the draft DCO of such a provision. This submission is therefore made in parallel with SP Manweb's on going negotiations with land owners, with the aim of minimising the risk of there being any impediments to the implementation of the Proposed Development, should the order be made and development consent granted.

4.3 Regulation 5 of the CA Regulations requires that the applicant, SP Manweb, must send certain information to the Examining Authority as part of its application. These are summarised and included within this application as follows:

<b>Document Required pursuant to Regulation 5</b>	<b>Document title(s) as part of the application</b>	<b>Document reference(s)</b>	<b>Included as part of the Application?</b>
Supplementary Book of Reference	Book of Reference - Option B	Document Reference 4.3 Option B	Yes
Land plans identifying the additional land	Land Plans – Option B	Document Reference 2.2.0 to 2.2.13 Option B V0	Yes
Statement of Reasons explaining why the additional land is required	Statement of Reasons	Document Reference 4.1 Version 2	Yes
Statement to indicate how an order that contains the authorisation of the compulsory acquisition of the additional land is proposed to be funded	The CA Regulations require that a statement to indicate how an order that contains the authorisation of the compulsory acquisition of the additional land is proposed to be funded is submitted with the proposed provision. SP Manweb considers that the Funding Statement submitted in March 2015 applies equally to the proposed provision and therefore this document should be read to apply to the proposed provision.		Yes

4.4 In addition to the documents specified by Regulation 5 of the CA Regulations, the following additional documents are included with the Submission:

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Version</b>	<b>Document title</b>
Option B CP 1-13	-	Comparison plans. Plans showing the changes in the Order land, Order limits and Limits of Deviation between Option A and Option B
2.3.0 2.3.13	- Option B, Version 0	Works Plans
2.4.0 2.4.13	- Option B, Version 0	Access Rights of Way Plans
2.5.0 2.5.3	- Option B, Version 0	Crown Plans
2.6.0 2.6.13	- Option B, Version 0	Landscaping Plans
2.7.0 2.7.13	- Option B, Version 0	Section Plans
4.3	Option B	Book of Reference.  Submitted with the book of reference is a tracked change version of the Book of Reference, showing changes between the Book of Reference submitted on 1 September 2015 (Version 2) and the Book of Reference submitted as part of this Submission. There is also a Schedule of Changes document.
Option B ER Document 1	Option B, Version 0	Environmental Report

- 4.5 The Book of Reference lists all the land over which SP Manweb is seeking powers to acquire rights over land compulsorily, or to possess and use land temporarily, pursuant to the development consent order for the Proposed Development. It also lists rights over the Order land which may be interfered with, suspended or extinguished. There are no new land owners identified in the Book of Reference (Document reference 4.3), however some of the plots and description of land has changed. This is clearly illustrated in the tracked changed document which has also been submitted with this Submission.
- 4.6 SP Manweb includes at Annex 1 to this Submission a full schedule of all application documents and this list is annotated to show which documents have been updated.
- 4.7 At Annex 2 is the Schedule of Changes to the Development Consent Order, should the Secretary of State consider that Option B should be granted instead of Option A,

## 5. CHANGES TO THE APPLICATION

- 5.1 SP Manweb is aware of the principles set down by the court in *Bernard Wheatcroft Ltd v Secretary of State for Environment and another* (1982) 43 P. & C.R. 233.
- 5.2 These principles were amplified in the letter from Bob Neill MP to Sir Michael Pitt, dated 28 November 2011 (the "DCLG letter").
- 5.3 Further, the Planning Inspectorate has recently published Advice Note 16 – How to request a change which may be material, which has been considered.
- 5.4 All of the above have been considered in this Submission.
- 5.5 In the case of Option B, SP Manweb does not consider that the changes are sufficient to be considered material, let alone sufficient so as to constitute a new application for development consent.
- 5.6 Table 1 demonstrates that in many cases the proposed amendments to the authorised development would result in the poles being located within the limits of deviation included within the existing March 2015 application for development consent (and therefore should not be classed as "changes" as they can already be accommodated within Option A – as SP Manweb is submitting revised plans, SP Manweb took the opportunity to also include the new illustrative location of certain poles within the existing the limits of deviation to demonstrate to various landowners that their pole suggestions can be accommodated within the application as submitted in March 2015). Certain changes, however, require the limits of deviation (and therefore the Order land) to be moved slightly so that the range of 20m to 40m flexibility is preserved (see 1.2.5 of the Statement of Reasons submitted with this Submission).
- 5.7 There are then 8 proposed changes to pole locations where the revised pole location, necessitates a change in the Order land / Order limits from that included in the Application. This has resulted in an increase in the area of the Order limits from 899238.16m<sup>2</sup> to 900663.60m<sup>2</sup>, resulting in a net increase of +1425.44m<sup>2</sup>. In respect of the limits of deviation they have increased from 421368.50m<sup>2</sup> to 422108.89m<sup>2</sup> resulting in a net increase of +740.39m<sup>2</sup>.
- 5.8 In their totality, the proposed changes are considered to be minor amendments to the authorised development which are not material changes to the scheme as a whole and are not such as to constitute a new application. Further, given that the changes are being put forward by SP Manweb in respect to requests from affected landowners and that no new persons with an interest in land have been identified, it is clear that the refinements will not cause prejudice to anyone.

### *The requirements of the DCLG letter and Advice note 16*

- 5.9 The DCLG letter provides that if the Examining Authority is to consider material changes to an application as part of the Examination they will need to act reasonably and in accordance with the principles of natural justice. Further, anyone affected by the amended proposals must have a fair opportunity to have their views heard and properly taken into account.
- 5.10 As explained above, SP Manweb does not consider that the proposed amendments to the authorised development are material. However, it addresses the issues raised in the DCLG letter and Advice note 16 as follows:
- 5.11 Each of the changes proposed in this Submission has arisen and been developed through SP Manweb's on-going engagement with those parties affected by the changes, in each case with the aim of identifying a solution which seeks to balance the needs of the affected parties with SP Manweb's obligations in connection with delivering the scheme.

- 5.12 The application to amend the limits of deviation and the Order limits has been made within 6 weeks of the commencement of the Examination and therefore there is ample opportunity for the Examining Authority to seek the written comments of interested parties pursuant to rule 17 of the Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010. Further, Option B has been discussed with relevant landowners prior to this submission being made and it has been raised as SP Manweb's intention at the Preliminary Meeting on 28 July 2015.

## 6. **CONSULTATION**

- 6.1 SP Manweb aims to acquire land for the scheme by agreement wherever possible, without resort to the exercise of powers of compulsory acquisition. Accordingly, negotiations with the affected parties identified in the Option B Book of Reference will be continued in parallel with steps in compliance with the procedural requirements of Regulations 5 to 19 of the CA Regulations. SP Manweb considers that the incorporation of those procedural requirements into the on-going examination timetable would provide further opportunities for consultation and consideration of the proposed amendments by affected parties
- 6.2 The application to amend the limits of deviation and Order limits does not involve any new persons who have an interest in the land. Therefore all persons affected by the proposed amendments were notified of the acceptance of the Application pursuant to section 56 of the Planning Act 2008 and therefore were provided with an opportunity to become an interested party. Therefore, there is unlikely to be a need for the Examining Authority to exercise its discretion to allow persons who are not interested persons an opportunity to submit representations on Option B.
- 6.3 SP Manweb is aware of the requirements of paragraph 3.3 of Advice Note 16, which recommends consultation prior to any application to make a change to an application for development consent. This recommendation does not apply when changes to the application are not material. Therefore, given SP Manweb's view that the proposed amendments are not material; it is not considered that the consultation requirements apply.
- 6.4 If, in the alternative, the Examining Authority concludes that the changes are material, SP Manweb considers that the consultation referred to above is sufficient and complies with the Wheatcroft principle.

## 7. **ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT IN SUPPORT OF OPTION B'**

- 7.1 The Environmental Report in support of Option B confirms that the proposed provision does not give rise to any new likely significant effects. The effects have therefore been adequately assessed in the Environmental Statement submitted with the Application and the provisions of Regulation 17 of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 do not apply.
- 7.2 For the reasons set out above in sections 5, 6 and 7, SP Manweb considers that matters referred to in the DCLG letter and Advice note 16 are addressed and further that in accepting the proposed amendments, the Examining Authority would be acting both reasonably and in accordance with the principles of natural justice.
- 7.3 The DCLG letter makes it clear that applications to make changes to an application for development consent can, and where appropriate should, be accepted by the Examining Authority. There is precedence for Examining Authorities doing so in other examinations. We refer the Examination Authority to the following examples:
- 7.3.1 Application by Norfolk County Council for dual-carriageway distributor road which would link the A1067 Fakenham Road at Attlebridge in the west, to the A47 at Postwick in the east over a length of 20.1km. PINS reference: TR010015 (this included an application for additional land);

7.3.2 Application by Highways England for the A14 Cambridge to Huntingdon improvement scheme. PINS reference TR010018.

# Proposed SP Manweb North Wales Wind Farms Connection Order

## Annex 1 to Option B Submission

### Full Schedule of application documents

**Table 1**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Document title</b>	<b>Does this document require updating for the purposes of the changes application?</b>	<b>Document reference for revised documents</b>
1.1	Application letter	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
1.2	Application form	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
1.3	Newspaper notices	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
2.1	Location plan	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
2.2	Land Plans	The Land Plans (Document References 2.2.0 to 2.2.13) have been amended for Option B.	Document Reference 2.2.0 to 2.2.13 Option B V0
2.3	Works Plans	The Works Plans (Document References 2.3.0 to 2.3.13) have been amended for Option B.	Document Reference 2.3.0 to 2.3.13 Option B V0
2.4	Access Rights of Way plans	The Access Rights of Way plans (Document References 2.4.0 to 2.4.13) have been amended for Option B.	Document Reference 2.4.0 to 2.4.13 Option B V0
2.5	Crown Plans	The Crown Plans (Document References 2.5.0 to 2.5.3) have been updated for Option B.	Document Reference 2.5.0 to 2.5.3 Option B V0
2.6	Landscaping Plans	The Landscaping Plans (Document References 2.6.1 to 2.6.13) are proposed to be amended. The amended version reference that appears on the updated Landscaping Plans is 'Option B - v0'.	Document Reference 2.6.1 – 2.6.13 Option B
2.7	Section Plans	The Section Plans (Document References 2.7.0 to 2.7.29) are proposed to be amended. The amended version reference that appears on the updated Section Plans is 'Option B - v0'.	Document Reference 2.7.0 – 2.7.29 Option B

**Proposed SP Manweb North Wales Wind Farms Connection  
Order  
Annex 1 to Option B Submission  
Full Schedule of application documents**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Document title</b>	<b>Does this document require updating for the purposes of the changes application?</b>	<b>Document reference for revised documents</b>
2.8	Nature Conservation Site Plans	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
2.9	Historic Sites Plans	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
3.1	DCO	<p>It is not proposed to submit a revised DCO at this stage. The draft order will remain as currently drafted with the exception of amendments to the definitions of the access and rights of ways plans, book of reference, land plans and works plans, together with minor amendments to the description of land in Schedule 8.</p> <p>These changes are shown in the Schedule of Changes accompanying this Submission</p> <p>The most up to date version of the DCO is therefore given Document Reference 3.1, version 2 (September 2015).</p>	Annex 2 of Option B CA Document 1
3.2	Explanatory memo	The existing explanatory memorandum applies equally to Option B and there is no need to submit an updated document.	None
4.1	Statement of Reasons	The Statement of Reasons has been amended. The amended version reference is Version 2.	Document Reference 4.1 (version 2)
4.2	Funding Statement	The existing funding statement applies equally to Option B and there is no need to submit an updated document.	None
4.3	Book of Reference	The Book of Reference has been amended.	Document Reference 4.3 Option B
5.1-5.2	Consultation Report	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None

**Proposed SP Manweb North Wales Wind Farms Connection  
Order  
Annex 1 to Option B Submission  
Full Schedule of application documents**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Document title</b>	<b>Does this document require updating for the purposes of the changes application?</b>	<b>Document reference for revised documents</b>
5.3	FCA	Option B does not necessitate any change to the FCA and the existing document should be read to apply equally to Option B.	None
5.4	Not used	NA	
5.5-5.6	Statement of statutory nuisance	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
5.7 – 5.8	NSER	Option B does not necessitate any change to the NSER and the existing document should be read to apply equally to Option B.	None
5.9	Other consents and licences report	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
6	Environmental Statement	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
7.1-7.2	Design and construction report	Option B does not necessitate any change to the Design and Construction Report and the existing document should be read to apply equally to Option B.	None
7.3	Strategic options report	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None
7.4-7.5	Planning Statement	This document has not been updated for Option B.	None

In addition to the revised versions of the application documents referred to in Table 1, the following additional documents are proposed to be submitted as part of the Submission:

**Table 2: Additional documents to be submitted for changes application**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Document title</b>
OPTION B	Comparison Plans – Option A (Scheme As Submitted In March 2015) and Option B (Scheme As Amended In September 2015).

**Proposed SP Manweb North Wales Wind Farms Connection  
Order  
Annex 1 to Option B Submission  
Full Schedule of application documents**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Document title</b>
CP	
Option B CA Document 1	Option B Submission Document: Application for Compulsory Acquisition of Additional Land.
Option B CA Document 2	Book of Reference Option B Parts 1 to 5 - Tracked Changes Between Option A V2 and Option B.
Option B CA Document 3	Schedule of Changes to the Book of Reference Between Option A V2 and Option B.
Option B ER Document 1	Environmental Report in Support of Option B

# Proposed SP Manweb North Wales Wind Farms Connection Order

## Annex 2 to Option B Submission Amendments to the DCO

Where amendments are proposed to Schedule 8 of the draft Development Consent Order the entry in column 2 of Table 1 has been taken from the Book of Reference submitted to the Examining Authority on 1 September 2015 (Document Reference 4.3 v.2). The draft DCO which will be submitted at Deadline 2 has been updated to reflect that version of the Book of Reference. This means that the table below, in respect of the changes to Schedule 8 describes changes proposed from the draft DCO to be submitted for Deadline 2. This approach has been taken as it is anticipated that this will be the most up to date version of the DCO, should the Examining Authority decide to accept the application for the proposed provision.

**Table 1: Proposed amendments to the DCO**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Current entry</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Article 2: "access and rights of way plans"	means the plans identified with document reference numbers 2.4.0 - 2.4.13 certified as the access and rights of way plans by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order	means the plans identified with document reference numbers 2.4.0 - 2.4.13 Option B V0 certified as the access and rights of way plans by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order
Article 2: "the land plans"	means the plans identified with document reference numbers 2.2.0-2.2.13 certified as the land plans by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order	means the plans identified with document reference numbers 2.2.0-2.2.13 Option B V0 certified as the land plans by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order
Article 2: "the works plans"	means the plans identified with document reference numbers 2.3.0-2.3.13 certified as the works plans by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order.	means the plans identified with document reference numbers 2.3.0-2.3.13 Option B V0 certified as the works plans by the Secretary of State for the purposes of this Order
Column 1 of Schedule 8: Plot no. 5A	Fencing and hard standing situated to the west of Hafodty Ddu	Agricultural land, hedgerows, fencing, gate and track situated to the west of Hafodty Ddu
Column 1 of Schedule 8	None	Fencing and hard standing situated to the west of Hafodty Ddu
Column 2 of Schedule 8	None	5B
Column 3 of Schedule 8	None	Temporary use to facilitate construction of the authorised development

**Proposed SP Manweb North Wales Wind Farms Connection  
Order  
Annex 2 to Option B Submission  
Amendments to the DCO**

<b>Document reference</b>	<b>Current entry</b>	<b>Proposed Amendment</b>
Column 4 of Schedule 8	None	Part of number work 2A
Column 1 of Schedule 8:  Plot no. 106A	public adopted highway known as the Groesffordd Marli to Cefn Meiriadog road (unnamed) and hedgerows, telecommunications lines over and pole situated to the east of Plas Hafod	Public adopted highway known as the Groesffordd Marli to Cefn Meiriadog road (unnamed) and hedgerows, telecommunications line over situated to the east of Plas Hafod