

### **Notes from Issue Specific Hearing 3, Regarding Temporary Access Track at AB-AP5.**

The Applicant explained, for the first time, that the two temporary access tracks leading to pylon RB4 are alternatives. Only one will be used during construction and fully re-instated when construction is complete.

The preferred access is the one at AB-AP5, adjacent to Rose Cottage, but if this cannot be utilised for any reason, such as difficulty in creating a safe access without infringing unreasonably on the land of Rose Cottage, then the more northerly one at AB-AP4 will be used instead.

████████████████████ I agree this could now form the basis of an acceptable solution, but this is dependent on the Applicant's interpretation of "unreasonable".

SCC Highways department outlined their normal sightline requirements which were far in excess of what NG had estimated at this location (which was already unreasonable), and would almost certainly require felling of multiple oak trees which would not be acceptable.