

LATE SCOPING CONSULTATION RESPONSES

Consultation bodies have 28 days to respond with any comments, stating either the information that they consider should be included in the ES or that they do not have any comments.

Any responses received after the deadline will not be considered within the scoping opinion but are forwarded to the applicant for consideration in accordance with the policy set out in Advice Note 7: Environmental Impact Assessment, Screening and Scoping.

The following EIA scoping consultation responses were received after the consultation deadline specified under legislation and therefore did not form part of the Secretary of State's scoping opinion.

From: [Firth, Jo](#)
To: [Alan Ridley](#);
Subject: Bramford to Twinstead
Date: 22 March 2013 10:55:45
Attachments: [Bramford to Twinstead - EA further comments.docx](#)

Hi Alan

Further to our telephone conversation earlier this morning, please find attached our additional comments on the Bramford to Twinstead proposals.

Kind regards
Jo

Jo Firth
Senior Planning Liaison Officer - Sustainable Places team
Anglian Eastern Area

¹ 01473 706016

Ⓔ jo.firth@environment-agency.gov.uk

* Icen House, Cobham Road, Ipswich, Suffolk. IP3 9JD



FAO: Mr Alan Ridley
The Planning Inspectorate
3/18 Eagle Wing
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Bristol
BS1 6PN

Our ref: AE/2013/115767/02-L01
Your ref: Bramford-Twinstead
Date: 22 March 2013

Dear Mr Ridley

PROPOSED BRAMFORD TO TWINSTEAD TEE 400KV CONNECTION PROPOSED BY NATIONAL GRID.

Further to our letter dated 15 March 2013, we would like to provide further clarification on the comments we provided relating to Water Quality (chapter 9 of the Scoping Report). I understand that you will be able to pass these comments to the applicant and publish them on your website.

Water Quality

As we previously advised, we welcome that the ES will include a qualitative assessment to determine the proposals compliance with the objectives of the Water Framework Directive (WFD), the issues set out for the watercourses under the Anglian RBMP and any WFD mitigation measures to improve the status of locally designated water bodies.

We will be happy to advise the applicant on the extent they will need to address these requirements of the WFD. To enable us to do this we will need some additional information including:

- The name of each waterbody affected by the works (including those not in the Anglian River Basin Management Plan);
- The length of each waterbody to be affected by the works;
- A brief explanation of how each waterbody will be affected by the works (e.g. channel diversion, sediment management, culverting, tree removal, new structures within 9m of the main river channel, river-adjacent earthworks, etc)

Further, contrary to paragraph 9.4.8 of the Scoping Report, an appraisal of the construction effects of the proposal will be required as although the period of construction activity may be temporary, the period of construction effects may be non-temporary (e.g. increased surface runoff leading to additional allochthonous fine sediment inputs and in-stream landform formation).

We would be happy to have further discussions with the applicant on these matters should they find this useful.

Yours sincerely



Mrs Jo Firth
Senior Planning Liaison Officer

Direct dial: 01473 706016

Direct e-mail: jo.firth@environment-agency.gov.uk

End

2

to Twinstead - EA further comments.docx