## The Planning Inspectorate Yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio

## Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 2 (CAH2)

## **Hearing Action Points**

Application by Mona Offshore Wind Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Mona Offshore Wind Farm Project (EN010137).

Actions arising from CAH2 held on Wednesday 11 December 2024.

	Action	Party	Deadline
1.	When voluntary agreements are reached with	The	D7
	APs, the Applicant is to request that the AP either:	Applicant	
	<ul> <li>withdraws their objection/ representation;</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>where it relates to planning issues as well</li> </ul>		
	as land rights, the part of it that is relevant		
	to land rights; or		
	<ul> <li>signs a declaration that they are</li> </ul>		
	withdrawing their objection either in whole		
	or just as it relates to land rights if planning		
	issues were also raised.		
2.	Applicant to respond to the Examining Authority's	The	D6 (or
	request for further evidence that drew on the Cefn	Applicant	earlier)
	Estate's submission [REP5-115] that the rights		,
	sought in its land are disproportionate. This is as		
	follows: 'In your response to Forsters' D4		
	submission on behalf of the Cefn Estate, you		
	distinguished between the land take for the		
	proposed substation and that for the Burbo Bank		
	Extension and Gwynt y Môr on the basis of the		
	respective developments' installed capacity.		
	However, that doesn't directly address the		
	Estate's point about the magnitude of the		
	differential in the comparative land-take whereby		
	the other 2 substations are said to occupy 4.76		
	and 3.82 acres respectively compared to the cited		
	137 acres of land within the Option area of which		
	over 20 acres is proposed as permanent		
	operational land and a further 37 acres for non-		
	operational purposes. If the comparative		
	differential is down to installed capacity alone then		
	a fuller explanation needs to be given as to how		
	this corresponds with the magnitude of the		
	differential in land-take. If there are other		
	contributing factors, you need to identify them so		
	that the SoS will have the evidential basis on		
	which to consider this outstanding, pertinent point		
	in applying the tests for compulsory acquisition set		
	out in s122 of the PA2008'.		



	Action	Party	Deadline
	If this answer is available before D6, it is to be sent directly to Forsters.		
3.	Where agreement on Protective Provisions and/ or any associated commercial or side agreements has been reached that addresses a SU's concerns, the Applicant is to ask them to advise the ExA that they are withdrawing their associated objection.	The Applicant	D7
4.	Colour code final land rights tracker: green if voluntary agreement has been reached; and red where negotiations have concluded without agreement being reached	The Applicant	D6
5.	In the absence of detailed economic assessment of the Proposed Development's likely impact on the operations and viability of the 3 intensive dairy farms identified in its response to ExQ1 [REP3- 062], Applicant to consider if the degree of importance that has been given to existing farming uses of the land and rights therein that it proposes to compulsorily acquire, is consistent with Articles 8 and 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights and Article 1 of the First Protocol?	The Applicant	D6
6.	Consider if in exercising their public sector equality duty as required by the Equality Act 2010, what weight should the SoS give to the contention that construction noise is likely to have a differentially worse impact on a tinnitus sufferer than a person without the impairment?	The Applicant	D6