

TRANSCRIPT_GATEBURTON_ISH3_SESSION3_2_24082023

00:05

Thank you ladies and gentleman is is no 25 to

00:11

the hearing has resumed

00:20

we are

00:22

concluded at Agenda Item

00:26

12.

00:28

So I'll move on to my first agenda item 13

00:32

electromagnetic fields

00:37

are too two general areas, human health and then impact on ecology I want to touch upon dealing firstly with human health, I've had a further submission from the UK health security agency rep 2066. In which is stated is not clear how your conclusions that EMF rapidly reduces rapidly and a maximum 4% of the permitted levels and five meters will be experienced is reached. And therefore, the UK HSA would like to see a clear explanation of the methodology and calculations employed for assessing compliance with the exposure guidelines as set out in the code of practice.

01:24

I just wanted an explanation as to how you will seek to resolve this

01:30

general terms explained what the methodology and calculations are that you have employed, and how and when you would expect that matter to be resolved.

01:41

Any studying on behalf of the applicant? Yes, sir. I am aware that

01:47

Mr. House has online has prepared a draft response to this which we're intending to submit it there they three I'll pass the muster house to see if there's any sort of overview high level comments he wants to provide no, but it is in hand and we are preparing a technical response.

02:02

Thank you.

02:05

Thank you. I'm Jonah hills. So I'm speaking on behalf of the applicant. Yes, just to reiterate, or advocate has said there we've been very happy to submit the response that we've drafted on this matter as as soon as as as practical just to cover some of the sort of the key points which will be outlined in the in the notes and the the methodology or main main sort of sources of reference that we've taken account of in developing the response and indeed the assessment which informed the the the environmental statement chapter 14 of the of that document which is application document Zero to Three first First of all, it's it's the consideration that the residential the minimum distance to residential receptors from from the 400 KV cable, which is the cable cabling question within the UK to say so, comment would be would be 10 meters this would allow construction vehicles and lay down at the boundary, but the cable centerline will be a minimum of 10 meters from from any residential properties. This distance is secured from a specific requirement in the outline design principles as well as the construction environmental management plan should say the varying the cable is considered to be mitigation as far as possible, as research available available from national grid shows that the EMF is noticeably noticeably higher from overhead lines than it is from very cables with these buried cables generally removing any electrical field. In developing our our sort of calculation we've had reference to the to the national grid document and underground in high voltage electricity trend transmission lines that states that for a 400 KV cable buried at naught point nine meters depth which would be would be the minimum expected the magnetic field is 24 microTesla Is it three magnetizes at five and nor point nine at 10 meters. So that's the that's the general sort of standards we've had reference to in in looking at our suitability of our cable and the potential for electro electro magnetic fields. For also say that the the based on this and this is this the detail behind this you know of course will be set out within our responses that the the level of exposure for affordable KV cable buried at naught point nine meters in depth in percentage terms of more than the the reference level would be 96% If it were under the property 4% at five meters from the centerline and just 1% where it would be 10 meters from the centerline. So in respect of what we've what we've worked with the conditions and requirements secured with the project here is that for permanent residents given the minimum 10 meters distance from the centerline to properties and taking into account the evidence and

05:00

and just detail in the note in the guidance documents, you've had reference to the the maximum reported EMF buried at like naught point nine meters, we feel we would comply with the ICN RP guidelines. So I CNRP for everyone's references International Commission on non ionizing radiation protection.

05:20

And that would be even if they were directly under the property, but they're 10 meters away as a minimum, just to touch on the the other

05:29

receptors that might be impacted by by EMF. So for individuals using the public rights of way, who are exposed to EMF, that would be for only short periods of time, of course, given the transitory and use of those routes, the exposure there is generally considered to be similar to that associated with a general household appliances, and noticeably less than or associated with the exposure when say using a vacuum. Vacuum Cleaner. So it is very, very, very minimal. And a transitory experience should just just finalize that statement by saying that, you know, we do deliver environmental statements for national grid for 400 kV, very cables and, and overhead lines. And, and yeah, it's it's, it's the methodology that we've employed here is the same as it is there and which has generally been been reassuring to the to the regulators. So, yeah, but we'll we'll make sure that our response covers all these matters in enough detail to satisfy UK just hshs requests for both ematic methodology and the calculation as to why we think there's no significant effects. Okay.

06:41

Thank you.

06:43

Sounds to me that you're going to submit that document to the examination. And then that UK HSA to then review that, as it were, have you engaged with any direct discussion with

07:03

the UK HSA, isn't

07:08

it? I mean, would it not be better to sort of prevent, present them with the document, get them to agree that and then submit to the examination, a document which sort of goes, Yes, HSA agree that this is a satisfactory solution based on this technical note and provide a copy of that note at that stage, sort of close the circle before you actually submit the document to go now speaking for the applicant would be very, very, very, very happy to do that. And, indeed, we've got the, you know, the relevant contact details of the of the, the personality question at UK HSA. So we will, we will endeavor to do that. So it's so it's so so it goes in the right direction, as you've outlined, that would be helpful. If that doesn't mean that you don't get it complete by deadline three, because that's only a week away. I still think it would be more useful to do that. And then just put a note in your letter saying that will be provided a deadline for but you started that communication.

08:07

That's sensible. Thank you.

08:10

Okay, thank you very much. The other issue is on impact and ecology. I'll come back to everybody else, once I've dealt with my issues, first of all, and then I'll open it out to the rest of the room.

08:23

We will hear from Mr. Clegg in a minute, but I've received a representation from Mr. Clegg, which is rep zero 89, in which he's raised concerns in respect of the effect of EMF on marine life, flora and fauna,

08:36

not addressed in ies to any great extent.

08:42

It doesn't appear to be

08:45

referenced in any way, in your Statement of common ground with Natural England, or environmental agency.

08:57

I'm not sure if that because you've had a discussion, and there's no issue and therefore it wasn't necessary to be in the statement of common ground or because the issue hasn't been touched upon at all. So it'd be interesting to know, what the position is in terms of statue entities, whether or not they've actually engaged with those considerations, or whether or not there's actually a non issue there for them, and they didn't even think it was worthy of putting it in.

09:27

If, if that were the case, I think it might be worth even just to have that note there just to create that closing of that circle.

09:38

But can you give me a general response in the matters raised and

09:42

follow that up with with any comments

09:47

at your gates on behalf of the applicant? So firstly, in terms of the regulators and the the relevant stakeholders as well, they haven't raised that as an issue and something

10:00

They consider us to have to assess the environmental statement.

10:05

I think firstly, I would point to the fact that there is no evidence to suggest that impacts from EMF on ecology biodiversity in the UK context exist.

10:20

There is a lot of buried cable going on across the countryside, including designated sites, there's nothing to link impacts from those on conditions of habitats or the ability of species or species communities to consider to continue to exist in those designated sites. I think as my colleague Mr. House pointed out in his statement,

10:46

to a certain extent, the scheme has mitigated any potential impacts because the cable was buried. So that therefore sort of effectively removes electrical fields and reduces magnetic fields as well.

11:02

I suppose we can also point to the fact that there is now a wealth of information on monitoring operational solar farms as well, which demonstrates that with the right creation of habitats, and the management of those habitats, then actually solar farms can be very good for biodiversity.

11:26

In terms of burying the cable,

11:30

and the

11:32

grid connection corridor, leading, we talked about naught point nine meters depth, I think you're going deeper than that in terms of some 1.4, or something of that nature, meters in depth. Is there any additional material that's being added? That displaces the electrical field or the magnetic field?

11:55

Or is it just you putting the soil back in? Or is there any P shingle or any other substrata? That's put back into that which has an overall effect on the dispersal of those fields? Is there any sort of physical mitigation to those effects? Or is it just simply, it's buried back in the soil? That's fine. Any standing on behalf of the applicant? And I think the understanding of the people in this room is that and online is that is the obviously cables are cased. And then it's the fact of bedding and it's the fact of distance. That creates the the barrier to EMF, which I think was outlined in this submissions you heard earlier just on your own health. So now there's no sort of additional mitigation required knowledge to understand what the situation was. That's fine.

12:47

Okay, so

12:50

I'll turn to Mr. Clay. First of all, I know he's got some conditions on the map and wanted to come along to speak on this issue.

12:59

My written representations and I don't intend to go through them one by one. But you've got a detailed submission, first of all, but as I said earlier, if you want to go through in general terms here, so that the applicant is aware of that, and then submit a more fuller response by deadline theory, I'm happy for you to do that. Thank you. First and foremost, I want to say quite clearly, that my written representations do not deal with the effects of EMF on human life.

13:36

They deal with the effects on aquatic life, flora and fauna, wildlife, and biodiversity.

13:47

The important thing to remember as been put forward by the first speaker, is that

13:56

in terms of electrical magnification fields, from power lines, there is a very minimum distance from the ground up seven meters. And normally speaking, there are at least 10 meters.

14:13

When you bring those power lines down to the ground, the effect of that on the electromagnetic fields is that they're dispersed

14:26

a further seven or 10 meters further afield.

14:33

And the fact that we have, first and foremost, a crossing

14:40

under the river trend.

14:44

That will certainly affect the aquatic life that's flowing over or in the trend above where that crossing takes place. And secondly,

15:01

that there is a myriad of cables ranging in voltages and culminating up to 400 KV

15:12

that will also have a significant effect on flora and fauna and also some wildlife and also as a result of that, the eagle life that exists

15:47

the E Coli in terms of its dispersal is covered

15:54

by the effects seen in lung bass, aerial, aquatic and plant species of very low intensity our exposures far below the ICN IRP slash I triple E stroke FCC guidelines.

16:14

And there are at least 48 papers showing DNA damage after exposure.

16:24

Prior to this, and since the 1930s,

16:30

there have been hundreds if not 1000s of research papers that when extrapolated, clearly demonstrate the effect of EMF on those

16:47

aspects that I've described.

16:53

I've pinpointed in my written representations, the various species and micro species that have been so affected.

17:09

And the important thing is to remember is that all of these micro species are all uniquely tied together

17:23

and that there are negative cascading effects across all ecosystems.

17:33

If we look at some of the

17:39

dangers, as it were, to electromagnetic fields,

17:47

EMF has risen significantly sharply over the last five decades and is only expected to grow dramatically as new sources of renewable energy are built to replace fossil fuels.

18:15

In terms of aquatic life,

18:18

there was quite a number of species that are protected and are on the endangered list

18:27

some of which are actually

18:30

localized in the river Trent.

18:44

And included within that and identified by the canal and rivers trust along with the Nottinghamshire biological and journal I'm sorry, geological record centers

19:00

are the Allis shad Brook Lamprey, the bullhead Colin European sturgeon crucian carp, Eel River Glen pray seal lamprey smelt spined loach, tweed, Chad and white Craig clawed crayfish

19:23

along with the brown trout and the Atlantic salmon,

19:29

all of those on the protected list and there are some of those that are within the endangered list

19:40

also.

19:42

So in terms of

19:47

the effect of EMF

19:51

no amount of covering over

19:55

with the cable

19:58

will stop

20:00

The EMF from being transmitted

20:07

I put it to you the effects of EMF

20:10

have not been considered adequately.

20:42

Have you concluded Mr. Kay,

20:44

thank you very much.

20:49

And I turned to anyone else in the room?

20:53

Was Lindsey No, Lincolnshire No 7000? No. Nobody else in the room I turn to the virtual room. Does anybody wish to make any contribution to this part of the debate?

21:08

I'm not seeing any hands raised. So I shall come back to the applicant and say, do you wish your rate of reply or wish to comment on any of the matters that have just been raised?

21:18

Me standing on behalf of the applicant? I think just as the SIR is the app against position that the bidding of the cable is efficient mitigation and protection and that there are no effects and no evidence of perfect on any photo of Juana. And we obviously have received events and missions for Mr. Clegg. And we will be responding to those and their responses in in preparation. And also just to confirm that there is also a commitment to install the cables under the river train via horizontal directional drilling as well, there's no suggest and as surface Lake cables.

21:56

I'm not sure whether or not it is possible or practical.

22:01

But given that you haven't yet concluded the statements of common ground with Natural England or a whether or not, they would be prepared to make a statement

22:12

as a statutory body to the effect that whether or not they have any issue with that.

22:18

I mean, it may well be that they're not prepared to have that. But if there's a way in which they they can contribute to the discussion, it would be it would be helpful MFA for the applicant and not can speak for them. So but we'll certainly take it away and discuss it with them. Thank you, thank you.

22:50

Okay, thank you very much.

22:54

No other matters on

22:57

electromagnetic fields.

23:00

No, okay, that moves me on to noise and again, I've got quite a short point here. So,

23:10

noise policy for England identifies the concept of no observed adverse effect level, the lowest observed adverse effect level and the significant observed adverse effect level.

23:24

It also introduces three aims, which are to avoid significant adverse impacts on health, quality of life. Secondly, to mitigate and minimize adverse effects on health and quality of life. And 30 were possible to contribute to the improvement of health and quality of life through effective management.

23:50

Yes, Stephen does actually identify that there are construction noises where noise will rise above low

24:01

and up to the soil or between the lowland so

24:07

there are indeed some potential effects that may exceed the soil.

24:14

Think there's a limited number of points where that is, I think 66 High Street is one that's identified where that might well be the case, which is a receptor within 15 meters of the cable

24:29

in terms of the HDD

24:33

the horizontal directional drilling, if that extends into the nighttime, then there's also a potential for

24:42

an impact above the soil.

24:46

And obviously, within nature of

24:51

the advice in the noise policy.

24:55

The attempt is to try and reduce or not have an impact a bulb

25:00

So,

25:01

and there are very limited locations where that happens. I just wonder whether or not

25:08

there are any opportunities for reducing that further, it seems that the HDD can be mitigated to some extent by acoustic fencing.

25:18

I just wanted to make sure that that was a commitment that that would be done rather than I think the way it was phrased in the EDS was, this could be reduced to the low of the soul if acoustic fencing was used.

25:32

So therefore, no significant effect was established. I just wanted to make sure that that was then identified that that was actually an action that was taken and terms of

25:45

high street whether or not a consideration or there is any

25:53

parameters, which prevent from looking to

25:58

lose the cable within the cable corridor a little further, so that it increases the distance, and therefore moves it outside that saw level or whether or not there could be a commitment to

26:13

acoustic fencing because there was no reference in the ies commentary about acoustic fencing at that location that I saw whether or not that could therefore be a specific aspect, which could then reduce that further. I see the stuff about best practical means I see this stuff about this section 61.

26:38

But it does seem to me that if there are ways in which we can prevent the effect that would be better than simply going well, we'll do it during the day and not really be done objectionable. And we'll try and

keep it to shorter periods as possible, as opposed to actually trying to look at whether or not there are sort of physical elements that we can use to

27:00

and

27:02

to control or to bring that in.

27:07

Amy Sterling for the applicant? Yes, sir. I think I'll pass to Mr. Edward Robinson, who's online, he's a principal acoustics consultant at AECOM, and he can provide you some information as to the mitigation that M has been committed to in order to reduce noise effect. And to the extent that we take anything away to consider further mitigation, then we'll do that. Thank you.

27:32

I Edward Robinson on behalf of the applicant, and if I could start with horizontal directional drilling, and what we've committed to there is, is a hierarchy of mitigation measures. So because the final, the actual locations of the horizontal directional drilling aren't, aren't fixed at the moment, we you know, we need to have some flexibility there. So, there is a commitment to use acoustic barriers, but that commitment is made if

28:06

if the nighttime Saul is going to be exceeded any sensitive receptors nearby. So, so, so, we are we are making that commitment, and

28:19

that that will be secured through the section 61 consent process, where

28:26

we will there will be an assessment by the principal contractor of of the final method for undertaking HDD works and additional mitigation will be secured. So that there is a there is a commitment there.

28:40

And

28:42

the point about the existence of the soul at 66 High Street next to the grid connection corridor, I would say that it's

28:54

it's a precautionary it's identification of an exceedance of the soul. Because the way we assess construction noise is that we've just taken the closest point to each sensitive receptors and considered what's happening there. So

29:12

and that that can be at any point within the grid connection corridor, whereas it in reality, it's, you know, the works are going to be focused on the cable route. So, so it's very, very precautionary. And, you know, in all likelihood, the accidents of the soul wouldn't happen because

29:29

the cable route,

29:31

you know, might be further away in that case, but

29:36

I would

29:38

I would also state that

29:41

the plant, the main noise generating plant for the cable Lane works is will be mobile, so it won't be fixed in any one position for any extended periods of time. So, so, you know, it's it's quite unlikely that experience is the sole art

30:00

under current if they do occur, it will just be for a very limited period of time. And

30:06

in that in that eventuality, there's community engagement will will keep the the residents of that receptor informed of the timings and durations of works. So

30:21

that's considered to be

30:25

that they might be willing to accept higher levels of noise if they last for short periods of time. So that's based on their guidance in British standard 52.

30:36

Thank you.

30:38

My only comment that I would make on those comments was that,

30:43

yes, is based on a worst case scenario. Yes, I understand that you're looking at the grid connection corridor, and therefore the other parameter of the grid connection corridor, and works occurring at that point. And therefore, your assessment identifies there's a potential but because it's identified, there's a potential Could you not put an exclusion zone in

31:04

William Barrett for the applicant? Yes, sir. And also on your earlier point about

31:11

the strengthening of the wording in the environmental management plan in terms of the what will be undertaken to ensure that we minimize any likelihood of the soul being exceeded. What we'd like to do is now take this away and revisit that wording in the construction environmental management plan. Because I know from the noise team, that we have taken this worst case approach, you know, we've gone to right to the limit to the edges of that corridor. And clearly with micro siting and techniques such as acoustic cladding and acoustic fencing, there are ways to ensure that the soul is not exceeded. Now, if there are exceedances, Edward has explained that as a process for that, but I want now to look at the wording and strengthen the wording in the camp based on discussions with the noise team to make that commitment around the mitigation. And we can confirm that in the revised camp d3 or default. Okay, thank you very much. That's very helpful. Thank you.

32:29

Okay, that was all that I had on noise. I slept the night out. Does anybody else wish to raise any noise? That was Lindsay? Nope.

32:37

Nope.

32:38

What happened to?

32:42

Nothing computed? Do you wish to raise any issues or noise?

32:47

No. So I've got nothing to raise on that. Okay, thank you very much. And that goes anybody else in the room?

32:54

Now, anybody else on the virtual room?

32:58

Okay, dealing with noise shows that takes me down to

33:04

like 15, or 14 on the

33:10

scores weren't 59 Because it was 230 mins. But

33:14

in terms of my concluding actions, review of issues and actions, for this point, I've got no specific action points, I would just draw people's attention again, as I have throughout all of these hearings to Deadline three, and any updated documents that need to be provided any responses by deadline three, which is valid first of September. And also any written summaries of submissions put orally to me today, by any party, can you please provide those by deadline three, which is Friday, the first

33:49

takes me to the penultimate matter. So is there any other business tiger on the room? First of all,

33:59

I've got one point from 7000 acres, and then somebody from Sturgeon Bay still

34:05

to allow having the room so I'll take those first. And then I'll come to the ice a hand up as well, Mr.

34:12

Which I'll come to after I've dealt with the people in the room. So just bear with me. And if I can just get the list of participants down the side of the screen so that I can see their full name now that would be helpful as well.

34:25

Thank you very much.

34:28

So that's Mr. Stansfield. I will come to you in a moment. But first of all, at 7000 acres.

34:35

Yeah, Peter already for 7000 acres. And the first thing I just like to do very briefly is Thank you, sir, for moving the location for this set of hearings, and also facilitating an evening session. We certainly provided the opportunity for more of the community to engage. And I think hopefully you'll get a sense of the feeling from the from the community

35:00

In terms of the environmental masters that we've discussed today, I think the thing that has struck me reflecting on on the way that the discussion has gone today, the benefit, the benefit is very much national.

35:18

And there's very, very little benefit that's local. So in terms of national, you know, we've talked about what solar can do at scale,

35:28

it is limited by load factor, it is limited by mismatching supply and demand. And it and in terms of large scale ground mounted, it does place additional competition on land use.

35:41

It doesn't provide anything for the region in terms of particular energy, because if you go to the national grid, or jobs

35:48

with regard to impacts of environmental matters, by contrast, they're pretty much exclusively locally felt, they're very much locally in nature.

36:00

And that's in a concentrated area. So all the things that we've talked about today, this afternoon, in terms of construction, noise, and disturbance and flood risk, and wildlife and traffic and all that sort of stuff.

36:12

The Net Impact is negative on a cluster of small communities.

36:18

And, and all that standing in the way of that commitments, that things will be managed, things like traffic and flood risk and screening and all these things.

36:29

But any failure in those, then the community will be exposed.

36:33

And I think that's something that we kind of take away and reflect to something we're concerned from today. Thank you.

36:45

Thank you very much.

36:48

Skullgard

36:50

are Gilbert step by step. So basically, I concur with every single seven facts today, because they've just said

36:58

with regard to the cumulative impacts,

37:03

on specifically on the road traffic management, you are dealing with a road.

37:10

Now with a cumulative impact, a lot of events will be used, not specifically by gate burden, but in other projects.

37:21

And I would appreciate that, that this is taken on board.

37:27

Our roads are very narrow, they're very small, a lot on the single track. A lot are used exclusively by walkers, horse riders,

37:38

dog walking, you know, normal pursuits that you would find in this part of the world. And I would really, really appreciate that the cumulative impact of these projects are taken on board.

37:55

Thank you.

38:06

Thank you.

38:10

Anybody else in the room that would wish to make a comment?

38:16

Just one final comment, please. And that is that fair? Just to identify yourself. I do beg your pardon. Roy Clegg, really bad independent.

38:25

Simple comment is this.

38:28

We, as a human race, have gone a long overdue obligation to consider the potential consequences to other species.

38:40

an obligation that so far, we've not considered. We need to do it now. Before more species are extinct.

38:52

Thank you.

39:01

Thank you very much.

39:04

That's everybody in the room. So I shall then turn to Mr. Stansfield. You have your hands up to interpret your camera on and then raise whatever matter it is that you would wish to with me?

39:16

Sir, thank you, Mr. Mansfield. I'm a resident of Vauxhall and a member of a group mandated by our parish meeting to be the focus, conduit and facilitators for information regarding all of the proposed solar projects in our locale, some of which are four miles away, and others outside our kitchen window. I have two points to raise. Earlier we heard from Mr. Peter O'Grady and experienced chartered electrical engineer, who emphasized the relative value of placing solar arrays on rooftops and emphasize the merits of locally generated power, which does not require the wasteful stepping up and down or a secret when changes

40:00

That's a national drive

40:03

to solarize all domestic and commercial properties in Britain. In your recommendations to the secretary of state, we urge you to prioritize the use of proximal generation over the use of valuable farmland. In making your consideration, I invite you this evening as you leave blocks on campus to check what proportion of that very modern building you are setting right now, actually uses solar utilizes solar panels. Not all maybe visible from the ground, but a check on Google Earth reveals so only about half of the southern facing roofs are utilized. As you go out the gate, look over to the vast modern residential estate of RAF Scampton, and consider what ratio of rooftops have solar panels. If you turn left and traveled down the 1500 or Middle Street, you'll see the mid harvest Vista for Lincoln edge over the Trent Valley towards getting burned. I hope you'll keep in out you'll keep the contrast between the empty roof space and the productive farmland in mind when you come to your decision. Point to want to two relates to my role in helping keeping the books on residents informed of all developments. At minute 48 of the meeting today, you requested

41:23

the expert contributors to consider the lay experience when presenting you the question of this accommodation in your town planner.

41:33

The applicants are keen to keep all of these for practically contiguous developments appear to separate but the resulting lived experience of all the effective residents is that they are suffering from consultation fatigue.

41:49

The working age population of voxels spends their time farming, providing court tourist accommodation in agricultural and agricultural contracting and engineering. Even with a group from the publisher meeting dedicated to helping them engage they are swamped.

42:08

When I attempt by synopsis of these meetings for the parish, a third of the notes will not be circulated by email, I will deliver them as paper copies. A proportion of the residents are elderly, retired, and don't even have an internet connection, let alone know what Microsoft Teams is. I feel sure we are not alone in this on all of the parish representatives attending the 7008 acres meeting would endorse what I'm saying. I suggest that this examination process fall short of providing authentic engagement. Thank you.

43:00

Thank you very much for that.

43:03

You can just take your hand down.

43:06

Is there anybody else in the virtual room that would like to thank you very much. Anybody else in the virtual room? Who would like to make any contribution?

43:16

No, right. Thank you. That seems to be everybody then. So I should just turn to the applicant for a final rate of reply to any of those matters that they've heard

43:25

me standing on behalf of the applicant and nothing further to add to just thank you to everyone for their attendance this week. It has been an intensive couple of days and particularly warm and the room. So yeah, thank you.

43:40

Okay, thank you all very much.

43:43

I would reiterate those comments in terms of thanking everybody for attending coming along contributing.

43:51

As has been noted, it's been a very intensive period of time over the days, afternoons evenings. But it's been very helpful to me and find in gathering information that I need. The process does continue. We

still have various deadlines and various opportunities for written comments. So please, if you feel that there are further points that you wish to raise,

44:16

do make those contributions at the appropriate times.

44:20

Thank everybody for their civility in terms of the way in which they've conducted themselves as well. That's been very helpful. So thank you all very much.

44:30

I will just point that we've got a an accompanied state inspection tomorrow morning

44:37

for those parties that have indicated a wish to attend.

44:42

So a reminder for that.

44:46

Heat in the room here will hopefully mean that the

44:50

will be faced with reasonable weather tomorrow. So that's good. I would also say make sure you've got reasonable footwear and attire

45:00

For the event, certainly I won't be dressed in a suit or anything of that nature. We're walking around fields and things of that nature. So please come comfortably dressed and have suitable footwear for walking around on even grind.

45:15

I think that's all that I wish to comment

45:19

on. Take any final matters

45:29

Yeah, I did go for a drive earlier on in terms of the

45:36

wild salmon, I mean, the locality and I didn't know that Willingham road was closed. And so that says you got a five six rated play going Willingham road. There is a

45:54

route closure notice I didn't drive along that far into it. I noticed that we are meant to be meeting tomorrow at near village hall.

46:06

And just wondering whether or not that restricts my access to get there because of the road closure.

46:23

If I'm going to the village hall, I can't get to it from coming in off the a 156 drive to.

46:41

Write Okay, interesting. Yes. You're not not that turn off. Lee.

46:45

No, sorry. Just understanding how to get there in the morning to make sure that we're there. Yes. Just in case anybody arrived to that? No.

46:59

Yes, I see. I've got a picture and a hand up is that Mr. Brian? It is just on that last question of yours the you can get to Nathe Village Hall via XP Lane, the road closure is on the hill as you go down into Gainsborough.

47:18

Right.

47:20

Okay, thank you very much. That's very helpful. No problem.

47:28

Okay, I think that's everything then. So thank you all very much for your contributions and both within the room and virtually.

47:36

I found it very helpful over the past few days and thank you very much. It is no 1622 and a sh three is closed. Thank you very much.