



SCHEDULE OF CHANGES TO THE DRAFT DCO: 9.4

Cory Decarbonisation Project

PINS Reference: EN010128

December 2024

Revision C

0.Schedule of Changes to the draft DCO [APP-018] (“dDCO”)

The table below details the changes made to the dDCO since its submission. The table below does not detail minor changes made in relation to typographical errors, consistency checks and updates in cross-referencing.

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Articles			
Article 2	Insertion of definition of ‘2005 Agreement’.	As now referred to in Article 50 (Crossness Local Nature Reserve). See below.	Deadline 1
	Insertion of definition of ‘authorised navigational channel of the river Thames’.	As now referred to in Article 7 following engagement with the PLA and consideration of their Relevant Representation. See below.	Pre-Examination
	Insertion of definition of ‘authorised person’.	As now referred to in the new Articles 51 and 52 following consideration of the most appropriate approach to the development of byelaws for the extended Crossness LNR. See further below.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>Definition of 'belvedere power station jetty'. Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“means the existing jetty contained within plots 1-107 and <u>1-110</u> 1-111 and <u>1-118</u> on the land plans”</i></p>	<p>Plot numbers added to capture the full extent of the BPS Jetty, as requested by the PLA.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
	<p>Definition of 'belvedere power station jetty'. Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“means the existing jetty and associated outfall and dolphins contained within plots 1-107, 1-110, 1-111 and 1-118 on the land plans, and the associated cowshed located within plot 1-113”</i></p>	<p>Plot numbers added to capture the full extent of the structures associated with the BPS Jetty that will be subject to the revised drafting of article 8, as requested by the PLA.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>
	<p>Insertion of definition of 'business day'.</p>	<p>To ensure consistency throughout the whole draft DCO by replacing the definition of 'working day', as working day and business day were used interchangeably throughout. Any references to 'working day' have been replaced to 'business day'.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	Deletion of definition of 'crossness local nature reserve byelaws'.	The defined term is no longer used following the deletion of Article 48(2)(b) and Requirement 12(3)(k) of Schedule 2. See below.	Pre-Examination
	Insertion of definition of 'electronic communication'.	As now referred to in new Article 3 (Electronic communications). See below.	Deadline 1
	<p>Definition of 'limits of deviation'. Amended to state:</p> <p><i>"in the case of Work No. 4C, dredging to a depth of – 10.50m<u>11.00m</u> chart datum and within the dredging co-ordinates shown on the works plans;"</i></p>	To reflect on-going discussions with Viking CCS (the CCS storage provider) to continue to develop its understanding of the best way of taking forward the usage of the Proposed Jetty, not least to ensure its usage best achieves the aims of Government in bringing forward Non-Pipeline Transport as part of the CCS sector.	Change Request dated 25 October 2024
	Insertion of definition of 'Middleton Jetty'.	As now referred to in Article 8(9) (Interaction with the 1968 Act). See below.	Deadline 1

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	Insertion of definition of 'Permit Scheme'	As now referred to in new Article 12 (Application of the permit scheme). See below.	Deadline 1
	Addition of definition of Riverside 1 and Riverside 2.	As these terms are now used in article 7 and the new Heat Strategy Requirement (discussed below).	Pre-Examination
	Deletion of the words " <i>of that part of the Order land identified in the book of reference</i> " from the definitions of REPL and RRRL	Deleted to avoid ambiguity as the previous wording was not clear what is meant by 'that part'.	Pre-Examination
	Definition of 'undertaker'. Amended to state: <i>"means Cory Environmental Holdings Limited (company number 05360864) whose registered office is at Level 5, 10 Dominion Street, London, England, EC2M 2EF".</i>	To include the registered office address of the undertaker entity.	Pre-Examination
	Deletion of definition of 'working day'.	Replaced by a new definition of 'business day' to ensure consistency throughout the whole draft DCO, as working day and business day were used interchangeably throughout. Any references to 'working day' have been replaced to 'business day'.	Deadline 2

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Article 3	Insertion of new Article on Electronic Communication.	Article 3 (Electrical communications) has been added to confirm that references to documents in the Order include documents in electronic form and reference to communications being in writing include electronic communications. Paragraph (2) sets out conditions that must be satisfied in order for an electronic communication to be treated as valid. Paragraphs (3) to (5) set out the arrangements for sending paper copies and the revocation of consent by recipients to the use of electronic communications.	Deadline 1
Article 8	A number of changes to this article, which now states: <i>“(1) Any works licence granted by the PLA under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act which includes within its scope the belvedere power station jetty and still having effect immediately before this Order comes into force shall be varied such that such a</i>	Changes made after extensive discussions with the PLA which are ongoing.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>licence shall no longer apply to those structures from the earlier of the date that—</i></p> <p><i>(a) the undertaker begins Work No. 4;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the undertaker exercises the powers under articles 26 (compulsory acquisition of land), 28 (compulsory acquisition of rights) or 35 (temporary use of land for carrying out the authorised development) in respect of the belvedere power station jetty; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) a voluntary agreement has been completed for possession of the belvedere power station jetty to be transferred the undertaker,</i></p> <p><i>such date to be notified by the undertaker to the PLA within 30 days of it having occurred.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Any works licence granted by the PLA under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act in respect of existing structures within the limits of deviation for Work No. 4 and still having effect immediately before this Order comes into force is extinguished and no</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>longer has effects from the earlier of the date that—</i></p> <p><i>(a) the undertaker begins Work No. 4;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the undertaker exercises the powers under articles 26 (compulsory acquisition of land), 28 (compulsory acquisition of rights) or 35 (temporary use of land for carrying out the authorised development) over any plot shown on the land plans which includes the existing structure; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) a voluntary agreement has been completed for possession of the plot shown on the land plans which includes the land plans,</i></p> <p><i>such date to be notified by the undertaker to the PLA within 30 days of it having occurred.</i></p> <p><i>(3) If a works licence applies to an existing structure as well as to other works or structures within the limits of deviation for Work No. 4—</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>(a) paragraph (1) has effect to vary a works licence; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) paragraph (2) has effect to extinguish a works licence;</i></p> <p><i>only in relation to, and so far as it applies to, the existing structure.</i></p> <p><i>(4) Any existing structure within the limits of deviation for Work No. 4 and for which a works licence no longer subsists as a consequence of paragraph (1) or (2) may remain and subsist in the river Thames under the authority of, and subject to the terms of, this Order and the requirement to obtain a works licence under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act does not apply to the structure.</i></p> <p><i>(5) The PLA must not grant or vary—</i></p> <p><i>(a) a river works licence under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act; or</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>(b) a dredging licence under section 73 (licensing of dredging, etc.) of the 1968 Act, licensing any works or dredging within—</i></p> <p><i>(c) at any time, the limits of deviation for Work Nos. 4A or 4B; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) within the area of dredging within the limits of deviation of Work No. 4C that is approved by the PLA under Part 5 of Schedule 12 from the date that such approval is given by the PLA;</i></p> <p><i>without the consent of the undertaker not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed.</i></p> <p><i>(6) Paragraph (5) does not apply to the authorised navigational channel of the river Thames.</i></p> <p><i>(7) Despite the provisions of section 66(1)(b) of the 1968 Act, the grant or variation by the PLA of a river works licence in relation to any part of the river Thames situated within the limits of deviation for Work No. 4 belonging to</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>the PLA and in respect of which the undertaker has a proprietary interest is not, without the consent of the undertaker, to be deemed to confer on the holder of the licence such rights in, under or over the land as are necessary to enable the holder of the licence to enjoy the benefit of the licence.</i></p> <p><i>(8) If the undertaker refusing consent under paragraph (5) necessitates the PLA having to refuse a licence application from a third party, section 69 of the 1968 Act (appeal to board of trade) does not apply to that refusal.</i></p> <p><i>(9) The undertaker must not unreasonably withhold or delay its consent under paragraph (5) or paragraph (7) but may require reasonable modifications to the proposed works or dredging or impose reasonable terms and conditions on them, and in considering whether to grant consent, require modifications or impose terms and conditions the undertaker must have regard only to the matters mentioned in paragraph (10).</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>(10) The matters referred to in paragraph (9) are the prevention of significant interference with—</i></p> <p><i>(a) the works comprising the authorised development within the limits of deviation for Work Nos. 4A or 4B or dredging within those limits of deviation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the area of dredging within the limits of deviation of Work No. 4C that is approved by the PLA under Part 5 of Schedule 12;</i></p> <p><i>(c) access to and egress from those works or area of dredging; or</i></p> <p><i>(d) the use of and access to and egress from any other existing structures within the Order limits.”</i></p>		
	<p>The addition of the following wording to sub-paragraph (5):</p> <p>without the consent of the undertaker not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed and in event no longer than 65 days from the date of the</p>	<p>Wording added following discussions with the PLA and deal with their request that some certainty is brought to the process of the undertaker’s consent being required under sub-paragraph (5).</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>PLA notifying the undertaker that it has received an application for a river works licence under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act or a dredging licence under section 73 (licensing of dredging, etc.) of the 1968 Act to which this sub-paragraph applies.</p>		
	<p>The movement of previous sub-para (8) to sub-para (10) and extending it to include variations, and conditions as well as refusals of consents</p>	<p>To ensure that the ambit of the drafting covers all scenarios proposed in the rest of the article</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>
	<p>Modification of sub-paragraph (11):</p> <p><i>Any exclusion zone established pursuant to paragraph 17.1 of the PLA General Directions (or any equivalent provision in any replacement of those directions) in respect of an applicable vessel berthed at the jetty constructed as part of Work No. 4B shall not apply to vessels, tugs or barges transiting to or from the Middleton Jetty or any structures constructed as part of Work No. 4B for—that are associated with the authorised development, Riverside 1 or Riverside 2 passing through or that are moored within that exclusion zone.</i></p>	<p>Following discussion with the PLA has enabled this wording to develop further, but it is still under review.</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>(a) <i>operational reasons to transit to or from vessels, mooring or berths within that exclusion zone;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>to undertake works or dredgings consented by the PLA under the 1968 Act; or</i></p> <p>(c) <i>for navigational safety or emergency reasons.</i></p>		
	<p>Insertion of new sub-paragraph (11) to state: <i>“Any exclusion zone established pursuant to paragraph 17.1 of the PLA General Directions shall not apply to vessels that are associated with the authorised development, Riverside 1 or Riverside 2 passing through or that moored within that exclusion zone.”</i></p>	<p>The relevant parts of PLA General Directions paragraph 17 state as follows:</p> <p>17 NAVIGATIONAL RESTRICTIONS AND EXCLUSION ZONES</p> <p>17.1 No Vessel is to: a) enter any Exclusion Zone shown on PLA charts or established in the Thames from time to time by the PLA; b) approach within 60 metres of any Berthed tanker, or oil or gas jetty in the Thames; c) approach within 50 metres of any wind turbine tower unless for the purposes of construction or maintenance; d) transit through a bridge arch or span of the Thames Barrier which is closed to Navigation; or e) pass or overtake a ULCS</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		<p>between Knock John 1 and Knock John 4, except in an emergency or with the permission of the Harbourmaster.</p> <p>17.2 Vessels manoeuvring on or to an adjacent berth, mooring or anchorage where it is unavoidable for navigational safety reasons shall be exempt from the requirements of subparagraph a) and b) of General Direction 17.1.</p> <p>As noted in section 6.1 of the submitted NRA (APP-115) the current position in respect of the Proposed Scheme is that the PLA would seek to enforce a exclusion zone around LCO2 vessels berthed at the Proposed Scheme.</p> <p>As that text in the NRA makes clear, however, the PLA has indicated that such exclusion zone would not apply to Cory vessels. This has been provided for in the draft DCO as although Direction 17.2 is noted, the reference to 'navigational safety reasons' leads to ambiguity which the proposed drafting</p>	

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		<p>seeks to remove in respect of Cory vessels.</p> <p>This is still under discussion with the PLA.</p>	
	<p>A number of changes to this article clarifying or imposing notification requirements on the undertaker to allow the PLA to maintain the appropriate work licencing regime in respect of structures that may be affected by Work No. 4 as it is undertaken.</p>	<p>Following further discussions with the PLA. This reflects all key principles that the Applicant understands the PLA wants dealt with in the article. It is hoped that this can now be agreed but the PLA will review to allow for finalisation at Deadline 3, if necessary.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>
Article 10(3)	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“The Secretary of State must consult the MMO before giving consent to the transfer or grant to another person of the whole or any part of the benefit of the provisions of a deemed marine licence and must consult the PLA before giving consent to the transfer or grant to another person of the whole or part of the benefit of Work No. 4”</i></p>	<p>To add the PLA as a consultee in the event that the undertaker seeks consent from the Secretary of State to transfer the benefit of the whole benefit of Work No. 4 (i.e. works for the proposed Jetty, including Liquid Carbon Dioxide (LCO2)), following discussions with the PLA and in response to the PLA's relevant representation.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
Article 12	<p>Insertion of new Article 12 on permit scheme.</p>	<p>This article deals with the relationship between the Order powers and the</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		<p>permit scheme contained in the Schedule to the Traffic Management (London Borough of Bexley) Permit Scheme 2012. It has been introduced following the experience of the Applicant on the implementation of the Riverside 2 DCO.</p> <p>Paragraph (1) confirms that the Permit Scheme apply and will be used in connection with the construction, maintenance and decommissioning of the authorised development, subject to the qualifications in paragraphs (2) and (3).</p> <p>Paragraph (4) clarifies that the procedure for appeals in Schedule 14 of the draft DCO is capable of applying to any refusal to grant a permit, or to any decision to grant a permit subject to conditions, but not so as to limit any other appeal mechanism available under the permit schemes or otherwise.</p>	

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Article 13(1)(c)	Amended to state: <i>“(c) place and keep apparatus in the street”</i>	To confirm that the street works powers extend to allowing apparatus to be kept in a street having been placed there under the existing powers. This wording has precedent, for example The Abergelli Power Gas Fired Generating Station Order 2019, The Keadby 3 (Carbon Capture Equipped Gas Fired Generating Station) Order 2022 and The Medworth Energy from Waste Combined Heat and Power Facility Order 2024 .	Pre-Examination
Article 12(4)	Amended as follows: The powers conferred by paragraph (2) (4) must not be exercised without the consent of the street authority.	This corrects a typo in the submitted DCO. It reflects the approach on most made DCOs to date that street authority consent is required for ‘generic’ use of streets powers, but not for where specified extents of powers are requested. Please also see response to LBB’s Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
Article 15(4)	Insertion of new sub-paragraph to state: <i>“The undertaker must not exercise the powers in paragraph (3) until the landscape,</i>	This drafting is to acknowledge that the PRoW referred to at Articles 15(1) and 15(3) are part of the overall project and not to be considered in isolation and	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>biodiversity, access and recreation delivery strategy which relates to the land on which the substitute public rights of way is to be provided has been approved under requirement 12 (landscape, biodiversity, access and recreation delivery strategy)."</i>	ensure that the creation power must not be used until the full LaBARDS (Requirement 12) has been approved. This builds on the drafting already included at Article 15(2)(b) and responds to the concerns raised by the London Borough of Bexley in its relevant representation.	
Article 17	Sub-paragraph (5) amended to allow the undertaker to authorise the use of motor vehicle on: <i>"(a) the current route of footpath 2 between points H and L on the access and rights of way plan;"</i>	This is to allow for the same power previously sought to apply to the diverted route to the current route of the footpath, to allow for the period of time when the new route may not yet have been created.	Deadline 1
Article 21(4) and various other DCO provisions	Amended as follows: <i>"...such consent must not be unreasonably withheld or delayed."</i>	For consistency with other provisions of the DCO drafting and to ensure there is no undue delay to the provision of any consents required under the DCO.	Pre-Examination
Article 25(9)	Insertion of new sub-paragraph to state: <i>"(1) Notwithstanding sub-paragraphs (1) to (8), construction of the authorised development must be carried out so that—</i>	This incorporates wording from The Silvertown Tunnel Order 2018 to seek to minimise interference with navigation so far as is reasonably practicable and has	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>(d) <i>the suspension of the public right of navigation applies to no more of the river than is necessary in the circumstances;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>all reasonable steps are taken to secure that the period of suspension is kept to a minimum; and</i></p> <p>(f) <i>that the minimum obstruction, delay or interference is caused to vessels or craft which may be using or intending to use the part where the public right of navigation is so suspended."</i></p>	<p>been added following comments by the PLA.</p>	

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Article 27(4)	Addition made to the drafting: <i>The exercise of the powers of this article is subject to the requirements of Part 5 of Schedule 12 (protective provisions) as to the PLA's approval of dredging proposals and the payment of compensation for dredged material.</i>	Made further to discussion with the PLA to ensure consistency with their Protective Provisions	Pre-Examination
Article 32	Paragraph (6) amended to state: "(6) This article does not apply <u>in relation to</u> — (a) in relation to any right or apparatus to which section 138 (extinguishment of	Amended in response to the concerns of Western Riverside Waste Authority (WRWA) expressed in their Written Representation.	Deadline 2

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>rights, and removal of apparatus, of statutory undertakers etc.) of the 2008 Act or article 39 (statutory undertakers) applies; <u>and</u></i></p> <p>(b) <i><u>any contractual rights</u>, private rights and restrictive covenants owned <u>or held</u> by the undertaker REPL, RRRL or WRWA within the Order limits.”</i></p>		
<p>Article 34(6) and Article 33(2)</p>	<p>Amendment as follows: <i>“...the fiveseven year period mentioned in article 27 (time limit for exercise of authority to acquire land compulsorily)...”</i></p>	<p>To align with the time period in Article 29.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
<p>Article 42</p>	<p>New sub-paragraph (2) added: <i>“Any decision made by the MMO under conditions 26(2)(b) and 26(2)(c) of Schedule 11 (deemed marine licence) is deemed to be a decision able to be appealed under the Marine Licensing (Licence Application Appeals) Regulations 2011”.</i></p>	<p>This has been added by the Applicant as the MMO has requested to be not subject to arbitration, and was already not subject to Schedule 14 (which is preceded on other DCOs). As such, whilst this drafting is not preceded, the Applicant considers that it is not appropriate for there to be no ability to appeal a MMO decision given the criticality of the Proposed Jetty.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		This provision therefore allows for a process the MMO is used to dealing with, to be invoked.	
Article 48	<p>Sub-paragraph (1) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) Subject to paragraph (2), Schedule 14 (procedure in relation to certain approvals etc.) is to have effect in relation to all consents, agreements or approvals required or contemplated by any provisions of this Order, save for any approvals required under the deemed marine licence contained in Schedule 11 (deemed marine licence) or similar. including:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) consent, agreement or approval in respect of part of a requirement; or</i> <i>(b) any consent, agreement or approval required by any of the documents listed in Schedule 13 (documents and plans to be certified); or</i> <i>(c) documents approved pursuant to a requirement; or</i> 	Re-formatting and additional clarification to ensure that the scope of this article, which introduces Schedule 14, is consistent with the extent of the scope of application of Schedule 14 (as it was at application and also now extended – see below). The MMO text has moved to paragraph 2.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	(d) <i>consent sought under section 61 (prior consent for work on construction sites) of the Control of Pollution Act 1974.</i>		
Article 49(2)	Amended to state: <i>“Any matter for which the consent or approval of the Secretary of State or the MMO is required under any provision of this Order is not subject to arbitration.”</i>	Amendment made in response to a request from the MMO in its Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
Article 50(2)(b)	Deletion of Article 48(2)(b).	No longer required as Articles 53 and 54 now deal with the byelaws relating to the Crossness Local Nature Reserve. The Applicant considers it more appropriate for the process of making the byelaws to be separate from the discharge of the LaBARDS process, to allow for a process of bedding in of the new extended Crossness LNR and for it to be determined if byelaws are actually required.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Article 50	Insertion of new sub-paragraph into paragraph (2) to provide: <i>“(d) clause 24 of the 2005 agreement shall be abrogated in its entirety”.</i>	Wording added following discussions at ISH1 and with LBB, TWUL and SCNR reflecting the permissions and s.106 obligations which relate to the Norman Road Field.	Deadline 1
	Paragraph (3) amended to provide that the carrying out of Work No. 7 on the crossness local nature reserve as extended shall not constitute a breach of: <i>“...(b) the 2005 Agreement; or</i> <i>(c) planning permission numbers 91/1318U, 02/03373/OUTEA, 07/08166/FULM, 08/01834/FUL, 10/00063/OUTEA and 10/00063/OUTEA13’.</i>		Deadline 1
Article 53	Insertion of an Article: ‘Byelaws relating to the Crossness Local Nature Reserve’.	Wording added to provide the undertaker or the London Borough of Bexley with the power to make byelaws in relation to the Crossness Local Nature Reserve. Sub-paragraph (1) specifies the purposes for which the byelaws may be made. The London Borough of Bexley also has the power	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		under sub-paragraph (3) to vary or revoke any byelaws made by the undertaker in exercise of this power.	
	<p>Paragraph (1) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) Following the discharge of Requirement 12 (Landscape Biodiversity Access and Recreation Delivery Strategy) in Schedule 2 (Requirements) <u>and following consultation with TWUL</u>, the undertaker or London Borough of Bexley may make byelaws regulating—...”</i></p>	Following further engagement with Thames Water.	Deadline
Article 54	Insertion of an Article: ‘Fixed penalty notices relating to byelaws’.	Provisions added to set out the fixed penalty notice procedure to be followed in the event that it appears that a person has committed an offence under the byelaws made under Article 51. This power can be undertaken by an authorised person, defined to be a person employed by LBB.	Pre-Examination
Schedules			

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Schedule 1 end 'catch all'	Amendment made: <i>"and further ancillary or related development associated development"</i>	Amendment made to be consistent with drafting prior to the preceding list and to reflect recent precedent – this catch all list should not be limited to those elements related to the 'associated development'.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 1 (Work No. 2)	Amendment made: <i>"Work No. 2A – process steam and condensate connections, and heat offtake infrastructure, including—..."</i>	This amendment has been made to correct a typographical omission from the drafting as these words should always have been present at this location. This ensures that the wording is consistent with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the overall description of Work No. 2, which refers to 'interconnection'; • the Works Plans, which reference to this work number as involving 'Connections'; and • Chapter 2 of the Environmental Statement, which is clear that the 	Deadline 2

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		focus of these works is connections.	
Schedule 2 (Requirement 1)	Definition of 'jetty works environmental design scheme'. Amended to state: <i>"(c) details of the undertaker's proposals for environmental measures in the intertidal environment (which may include measures outside of the Order limits or the payment of credits (as appropriate))..."</i>	To make it clear that environmental measures within the intertidal environment may incorporate environmental measures offsite, for example outside of the Order limits or through the payment of credits. This approach aligns with the principle already captured in the LaBARDS (Requirement 12) for terrestrial BNG.	Pre-Examination
	Insertion of definition of 'National Highways'.	As now referred to in Requirement 9 (Construction traffic management plan) and Requirement 24 (Decommissioning traffic management plan). See below.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 2 (Requirement 2)	Amended to state: <i>"The authorised development must not commence begin after the expiry of seven years from the date on which this Order comes into force."</i>	In light of recent case law, this change seeks to make it clear that the Permitted Preliminary Works are caught by this Requirement. It is felt that this will bring additional clarity to all parties and follows the approach in the recent consented A66 DCO.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 4)</p>	<p>Sub-paragraph (2) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“Subject to sub-paragraph (4), Work No. 1 must be carried out designed and constructed in accordance with the design parameters in Schedule 16 (design parameters).”</i></p> <p>Insertion of new sub-paragraph (4):</p> <p><i>“Any part of the authorised development may be designed and constructed to deviate from the design parameters set out in Schedule 16 (design parameters) if the Applicant has first sought and obtained approval for such deviations from the relevant planning authority prior to the commencement of that part of the authorised development.”</i></p> <p>Insertion of new sub-paragraph (5):</p> <p><i>“The relevant planning authority—</i> <i>(a) may only give approval under sub-paragraph (4) if the undertaker has demonstrated to the relevant planning authority’s satisfaction that that the</i></p>	<p>This wording has been added to allow for deviation from the parameters in the DCO, as the ‘limits of deviation’ definition is limited to lateral deviation and dredging matters.</p> <p>There is much precedent for vertical limits of deviation being able to be varied within the assessment envelope and this principle has therefore been applied.</p> <p>For example, most recently on the A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Development Consent Order 2024 and the A303 Stonehenge (Amesbury to Berwick Down) Order 2023.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>proposed deviation from the design parameters set out in Schedule 16 (design parameters) will not lead to materially new or materially different effects than those identified in the environmental statement; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) must as part of its approval under sub-paragraph (4) certify that it agrees with the undertaker that the proposed deviation from the design parameters set out in Schedule 16 (design parameters) will not lead to materially new or materially different effects than those identified in the environmental statement; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) must, in relation to any submission by the undertaker under sub-paragraph (4) which relates to works within the river Thames, consult with the PLA before giving any approval.</i></p>		
Schedule 2 (Requirement 7)	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) No part of the authorised development may commence until a code of construction</i></p>	<p>To identify the PLA as a consultee for the purposes of discharging the code of construction practice requirement where the code of construction practice</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>practice for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the PLA where the code of construction practice submitted relates to construction activities in the river Thames...</i></p>	<p>submitted relates to construction activities in the river Thames, in response to comments made in the PLA's Relevant Representation.</p>	
	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) No part of the authorised development may commence until a code of construction practice for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the PLA<u>WRWA</u> and where the code of construction practice submitted relates to construction activities in the river Thames, <u>the PLA</u>.”</i></p>	<p>In response to WRWA's comments in its Written Representation.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 9)</p>	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) No part of the authorised development may commence until a construction traffic management plan (which must be substantially in accordance with the framework construction traffic management plan) for that part has been submitted to and</i></p>	<p>To identify National Highways as a consultee for the purposes of discharging the construction traffic management plan requirement, in response to National Highways' Relevant Representation.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>approved by the relevant planning authority in consultation with the relevant highways authority and National Highways.”</i></p>		
	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“No part of the authorised development may commence until a construction traffic management plan (which must be substantially in accordance with the framework construction traffic management plan) for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority in consultation with the relevant highways authority and National Highways <u>and WRWA</u>”</i></p>	<p>In response to WRWA’s comments in its Written Representation.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 10)</p>	<p>Sub-paragraph (1) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) Work Nos. 1 and 4 must not be fully commissioned until an emergency preparedness and response plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority in consultation with the Environment Agency, lead local flood authority</i></p>	<p>To identify the PLA as a consultee for the purposes of discharging the emergency preparedness and response plan requirement in respect of Work No. 4, in response to the PLA’s Relevant Representation.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>and, the London Fire Brigade and, in respect of Work No. 4 only, the PLA.”</i>		
	<p>Inserted new sub-paragraph (2) to state:</p> <p><i>“(2) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (1), the undertaker may submit one emergency preparedness and response plan for both Work Nos. 1 and 4 or one for each of Work No. 1 and Work No. 4.”</i></p>	<p>To clarify the practicalities of the requirement and confirm that the undertaker may submit a single plan covering both sets of works or separate plans to cover each respective set of works.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
	<p>Sub-paragraph (3) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(3) The emergency preparedness and response plan or plans submitted under sub-paragraphs (1) and (2) must be substantially in accordance with the outline emergency preparedness and response plan”</i></p>	<p>Consequential amendment of the wording added at sub-paragraph (2) above.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 11)</p>	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) No part of the authorised development may commence until lighting strategy has been submitted to and approved by the</i></p>	<p>To identify the PLA as a consultee for the purposes of discharging the lighting strategy requirement in respect of Work No. 4, in response to the PLA’s Relevant Representation.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>relevant planning authority, in consultation with the PLA.”</i>		
Schedule 2 (Requirement 12)	TWUL added as a consultee for the approval of the detailed LaBARDS.	Added at the request of TWUL.	Deadline 1
	Sub-paragraph (3)(h) amended to state: <i>“(h) the final routing, specification and maintenance regime for permissive paths and the public rights of way referred to in article 15(1) and article 15(3);...”</i>	This drafting is to acknowledge that the PRow referred to at Articles 15(1) and 15(3) are part of the overall project and not to be considered in isolation and ensure that the creation power must not be used until the full LaBARDS (Requirement 12) has been approved. This builds on the drafting already included at Article 15(2)(b) and the new drafting at Article 15(4) (see above), in response to concerns raised by the London Borough of Bexley in its Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
	Deletion of sub-paragraph 3(k).	No longer required as Articles 51 and 52 now deal with the byelaws relating to the Crossness Local Nature Reserve. The Applicant considers it more appropriate for the process of making the byelaws to be separate from the	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		discharge of the LaBARDS process, to allow for a process of bedding in of the new extended Crossness LNR and for it to be determined if byelaws are actually required.	
Schedule 2 (Requirement 12)	Sub-paragraph (2)(a) to read " <i>paragraph (c), (e) and the matters listed under additional mitigation of item 1.12</i> "	This change is made to correct the type – (h) should have been (c) and the addition of a new mitigation measures at (e). This is a mitigation measure that has been developed to ensure that deposition levels at the Thames Marshes SSSI are significantly reduced, well below critical load levels. This has been proposed in discussion with Natural England as part of providing detailed modelling on this issue.	Deadline 1
Schedule 2 (Requirement 15)	Amended to state: <i>(1) No part of Work No. 1 may be fully commissioned<u>commence</u> until a written skills and employment plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority.</i>	Added further to London Borough of Bexley's Written Representation and discussions on their SoCG. The outline skills and employment plan has been submitted at Deadline 2.	Deadline 2

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>(2) The skills and employment plan must include the measures set out in item 11.3 of the mitigation—schedule <u>be substantially in accordance with the outline skills and employment plan.</u></p> <p>(3) <i>The skills and employment plan must be implemented as approved.</i></p>		
Schedule 2 (Requirement 19)	Deletion of sub-paragraph (1).	The preliminary Navigational Risk Assessment has been updated to account for a vessel mooring interaction study (but not yet for the Applicant's proposed Change Request) and is submitted at Pre-Examination alongside this Schedule of Changes document. The DCO drafting has been updated to reflect this.	Pre-Examination
	Sub-paragraph (2) becomes sub-paragraph (1) and is amended to state: <i>"Prior to commencement of construction of Work No. 4 and following consultation with the PLA, the undertaker must update the preliminary navigation risk assessment to take</i>	Updated to reflect the above and to streamline the process, further to discussions with the PLA.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>account of the final detailed design and construction methodology of Work No. 4 and submit the updated navigation risk assessment to the PLA for its approval, which must not be unreasonably withheld or delayed and, in doing so, must take account of the results of the passing vessel mooring interaction survey carried out under sub-paragraph (1)."</i></p> <p>Sub-paragraph (3) is deleted accordingly.</p>		
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 21)</p>	<p>Amended sub-para (1) as follows:</p> <p><i>No part of the authorised development may commence until a ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the Environment Agency and where the ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy submitted covers investigations and assessments to carried out in the river Thames, the Port of London Authority.</i></p>	<p>Change made at the request of the PLA.</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>Amended as follows:</p> <p><i>“No part of Work No. 1 the authorised development may commence until a ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the Environment Agency.”</i></p> <p>References to ‘part’ have also been added to the definition of <i>ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy</i> in paragraph 1 of Schedule 2 accordingly.</p>	<p>The scope of the requirement has been expanded to apply pre-commencement of the whole development as opposed to pre-commencement of Work No.1 only, to reflect that ground investigations will be required outside of Work No.1.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“No part of the authorised development may commence until a ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the Environment Agency, the WRWA, and where the ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy submitted covers investigations and</i></p>	<p>In response to WRWA’s comments in its Written Representation.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>assessments to carried out in the river Thames, the Port of London Authority."</i>		
Schedule 2 (Requirement 22)	Sub-paragraph (1) amended to state: <i>"(1) No part of the authorised development may commence until an archaeological mitigation strategy, including a required written scheme of archaeological investigation (or multiple written schemes of archaeological investigation) for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, in consultation with the PLA and the MMO"</i>	To identify the MMO as a consultee for the purposes of discharging the archaeological mitigation strategy requirement, in response to the PLA and MMO's Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 2 (Requirement 23)	Sub-paragraph (1) amended to state: <i>"Within 12 months of the date that the undertaker decides to decommission any part of the authorised development, the undertaker must submit to the relevant planning authority for its approval, after consultation with the Environment Agency and if the decommissioning environmental management plan to be submitted relates to decommissioning works within the river</i>	To identify the PLA and the MMO as consultees for the purposes of discharging the decommissioning environmental management plan requirement insofar as the plan relates to decommissioning works within the river Thames. This amendment is in response to the PLA's and MMO's Relevant Representations.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>Thames, the PLA and the MMO, a decommissioning environmental management plan for that part.”</i></p> <p>Sub-paragraph (2)(d) amended to state: <i>“(d) any restoration works (including the proposed finished levels of the land following those works) to restore the land to a condition agreed with the relevant planning authority;...”</i></p>	<p>To clarify the scope of ‘restoration works’ to be detailed within the decommissioning environmental management plan, further to the Environment Agency’s Relevant Representation.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 24)</p>	<p>Amended to state: <i>“Within 12 months of the date that the undertaker decides to decommission any part of the authorised development, the undertaker must submit to the relevant planning authority for its approval, after consultation with the relevant highway authority and National Highways, a decommissioning traffic management plan for that part.”</i></p>	<p>To identify National Highways as a consultee for the purposes of discharging the decommissioning traffic management plan requirement, in response to National Highways’ Relevant Representation.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
<p>Schedule 2 (Requirement 25)</p>	<p>Insertion of a new Requirement: ‘Heat Strategy’.</p>	<p>A new requirement for a heat strategy to be submitted to the relevant planning authority in consultation with the Greater London Authority. This wording</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
		<p>is inserted to ensure that a strategy is submitted across the whole of the Riverside Campus, including Riverside 1, Riverside 2 and the authorised development. It has been developed further to LBB's Relevant Representation and also to provide greater certainty to the delivery of the benefits of the heat network.</p>	
	<p>Paragraphs (3) and (4) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(3) The heat strategy submitted, and approved <u>the relevant planning authority approval</u> under sub-paragraph (1) does <u>must</u> not need to require the undertaker to repeat actions already undertaken pursuant to—...”</i></p> <p><i>“(4) The heat strategy must be implemented as approved and such implementation does not constitute a breach of the documents, conditions and Requirements referred to in sub-paragraphs (1)(a)-(f).”</i></p>	<p>Further to the Applicant's response to LBB's comments on this Requirement in its Written Representation.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Schedule 8	Re-allocation of plots within the table to better reflect the Land Plans	Updated to reflect section 51 advice and the Applicant's errata check to ensure alignment with the limits of deviation on the Works Plans.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 10	Removal of plot 01-122	This plot has been removed further to the Environment Agency's Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 1, Paragraph 1)	Insertion of definition of 'licensable area'.	As now referred to in the Condition (3)(1). See below.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 1, Paragraph 3)	Sub-paragraph (1) amended to state: <i>"Subject to the licence conditions in Part 2, this licence authorises the licence holder (and any agent, contractor or subcontractor acting on their behalf) to carry out any licensable marine activities under section 66(1) (licensable marine activities) of the 2009 Act within the licensable area..."</i>	To limit the scope of where licensable marine activities may be carried out pursuant to the Deemed Marine Licence. The powers are limited to a newly defined 'licensable area', which <i>"means the grid coordinates within the UK Marine Area within which the licence holder may carry out a licensed activity, as shown on the works plans"</i> . This responds to comments in the MMO's Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Schedule 11 (Part 2, Condition 14)	Sub-paragraph (2) amended to state: <i>“Where practicable, the The licence holder must site concrete and cement mixing and washing areas at least 10 metres away from the River and any surface water drain to minimise the risk of run off entering the River.”</i>	In response to the MMO’s Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 2, Condition 16)	Amended to state: <i>“The licence holder must—</i> <i>(a) store, handle, transport and use fuels, lubricants, chemicals and other substances so as to prevent releases the release of fuel, oils and chemicals associated with plan, refuelling and construction equipment into the marine environment, including bunding with a capacity of no less than 110% of the total volume of all reservoirs’ and containers’ storage capacity;</i> <i>(b) report any spill of oil, fuel or chemicals into the marine area environment to the MMO Marine Pollution Response Team pursuant to paragraph 2(2) of this licence, as</i>	In response to the MMO’s Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>soon as reasonably practicable, but in any event within 12 hours of the spill occurring being identified; and...</i>		
Schedule 11 (Part 2, Condition 20)	Amended to state: <i>“The material to be disposed of within the disposal site referred to in condition 2019 (or any other disposal site approved in writing by the MMO) must be placed evenly within the boundaries of that site.”</i>	In response to the MMO’s Relevant Representation.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 2, Condition 21)	Deletion of Condition 21.	This wording is not needed additionally to the preceding condition (Condition 20).	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 2, New Condition 21)	Insertion of new Condition 21: ‘Agents, contractors and sub-contractors’.	In response to the MMO’s Relevant Representation to give the MMO notice of any agents, contractors or subcontractors that may carry out licensed activities under the marine licence.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Schedule 11 (Part 2, New Condition 22)	Insertion of new Condition 22: 'Dropped Objects'.	In response to the MMO's Relevant Representation to require the licence holder to report all dropped objects to the MMO using the Dropped Object Procedure Form.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 2, New Condition 23)	Insertion of new Condition 23: 'Time periods'.	In response to the MMO's Relevant Representation to provide a time limit on the extent to which the deemed marine licence will remain in force. There is no need to refer to s106 (approval of decommissioning programmes) of the 2004 Act because the MMO is already involved in this process as a result of the drafting of Requirement 23. As per Requirement 23(3)(c), the DEMP must include details of the phasing of the demolition and removal works and the Applicant has added the MMO as a consultee for the purposes of discharging Requirement 23.	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
Schedule 11 (Part 3, Paragraph 28)	Insertion of new Condition 28: 'Variations of approvals of Part 2 Conditions'.	In response to the MMO's Relevant Representation to confirm that any plans, protocols or statements to be approved under the licence will include any amendments that may be subsequently approved by the MMO.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 11 (Part 1, Paragraph 1)	Insertion of definition of Works Plans: <i><u>"the works plans" has the same meaning as in the Order."</u></i>	To ensure consistency across the DCO and Deemed Marine Licence.	Deadline 2
Schedule 11 (Part 1, Paragraph 2)	Amendment of correspondence address of the MMO: "MMO Lowestoft, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk, NR33 0HT; Tel – 01502 573 149 or 01502 572 769; Email – [REDACTED]" <u>Muriel Matters House, Breeds Place, Hastings, Kent TN34 2EZ; Tel – 0208 026 9180 Email – [REDACTED]"</u>	At the request of the MMO, to ensure accurate email and postal returns and correspondence.	Deadline 2

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>Insertion of new sub-paragraph (3):</p> <p><i>“(3) Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the MMO, all applications or notifications required under this licence must be sent by the undertaker to the MMO using the MMO’s marine case management system.”</i></p>	<p>At the request of the MMO.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>
<p>Schedule 12 (Part 4, Paragraph 39)</p>	<p>Amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) The undertaker must not stop up in whole or in part the access road under article 14 (permanent stopping up of specified street and private means of access) or extinguish in whole or in part any right of TWUL along the access road unless and until...”</i></p> <p><i>and (c) TWUL’s agreement and approvals under sub-paragraphs (a) and (b) must not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed and, in any event shall be deemed to be given if not otherwise stated within 28 days but may be given in accordance with such reasonable requirements as may be made by TWUL in respect of ensuring continued operational and</i></p>	<p>Reference added for certainty as to the access road being referred to. Separate to the DCO, changes are being made to the Outline CoCP to deal with the temporary position.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>emergency access to the existing Crossness Sewage Treatment Works from Norman Road.</i>		
Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 44)	Amended to state: <i>“The provisions of this Part of this Schedule have effect, unless otherwise agreed in writing between the undertaker and the PLA, for the protection of the PLA in relation to the construction and, maintenance, operation and decommissioning of the authorised development.”</i>	Following engagement with the PLA, to confirm that the Protective Provisions for the benefit of the PLA apply in relation to all phases of the authorised development, including the construction, maintenance, operation and decommissioning.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 45)	Amended as follows: <i>“specified function” means any function of the undertaker under this Order (except any function under articles 26 (compulsory acquisition of land), 28 (compulsory acquisition of rights) and 29 (acquisition of subsoil or airspace only) , the exercise of which may affect the river Thames or any function of the PLA and for the avoidance of doubt includes article 25 (power to dredge); and</i>	Amendments made following discussions with the PLA	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>“specified work” means—</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) any part of the authorised development (which for this purpose includes the removal of any part of the authorised development or any decommissioning works), which—</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(i) is, may be, or takes place in, on, under or over the surface of land below mean high water level forming part of the river Thames; or</i> <i>(ii) may affect the river Thames or any function of the PLA;</i> <i>(b) any dredging, including pursuant to article 25 (power to dredge); and</i> <i>(c) including any projection over the river Thames (whether inside or outside of the Order limits) by any authorised work or any plant or machinery.</i> 		
<p>Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 45)</p>	<p>Amended to state: <i>“plans” includes navigational risk assessments, plans, sections, elevations, drawings, specifications, programmes,</i></p>	<p>Further to discussion with the PLA.</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>construction methods and descriptions including, where applicable, such relevant hydraulic information about the river Thames as may be reasonably requested by the PLA and details of where the undertaker considers that paragraph 46(8), paragraph 46(10) or article 8(1)(b)(ii) should apply to existing structures”</i></p>		
<p>Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 46)</p>	<p>Sub-paragraph (8) to (11) added.</p>	<p>This wording has been added to seek to respond to concerns raised by the PLA in respect of what the regulatory position should be for works undertaken by the Applicant that are not covered by the provisions of article 7.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
<p>Sub-paragraph (8) (now (12)) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(a) “commence” includes investigations for the purpose of assessing ground conditions (including the making of trial boreholes) and archaeological surveys and investigations the permitted preliminary works where they take place in the river Thames,</i></p>	<p>Commence definition amended following discussion with the PLA who seek the ability to control all permitted preliminary works in the river.</p> <p>“Plans” definition amended following PLA’s comments in its Relevant Representation. The Applicant wishes to avoid duplication with MMO, but appreciates the PLA does not wish to lose its existing regulatory controls over</p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>(d) “plans” shall, in respect of the exercising of article 25, only mean navigational risk assessments such information which the PLA publishes on its website from time to time as being required to accompany an application for a licence to dredge under section 73 (licensing of dredging, etc.) of the 1968 Act and any documents required by the PLA pertaining to sampling but and not any other document under the definition of plans in paragraph 45, in respect of the exercising of article 25, where the undertaker has already received approval for method statements from the Marine Management Organisation to those dredging activities pursuant to condition 10 of Schedule 11 (deemed marine licence) prior to seeking approval under this paragraph; and</i></p>	<p>maintenance dredging. This re-drafting ensures that both aims are achieved.</p>	
	<p>Sub-paragraph (8) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(8) To the extent that the PLA approves under sub-paragraph (1) the carrying out of any specific works or the exercising of any</i></p>	<p>Further to discussion with the PLA.</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>specified functions outside of the limits of deviation of Work No.4, and the physical extent of any existing structures that are authorised by a works licence granted by the PLA under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act is changed or any of the existing structures are removed in their entirety as a result of the carrying out of that specified work or exercise of that specific function,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) such works shall not constitute a breach of that works licence;</i> <i>(b) the undertaker must notify the holder of that works licence of the specified works or specified functions that are intended to be carried out on the existing structures at least 30 business days before the specified works or specified functions are carried out;</i> <i>(c) following the completion of that specified work or exercise of that specific function, the undertaker must provide the PLA with information as to the changed physical extent or to</i> 		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>confirm the entire removal of those existing structures;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>unless sub-paragraph (10) applies, the PLA must issue to the holder of the works licence granted by the PLA under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act in respect of the existing structures in question a new licence to reflect that variation to its physical extent the physical changes to the existing structures and any changes to the conditions of the works licence it considers necessary to reflect that variation the physical changes and to meet the requirements of the 1968 Act and the PLA's duties under it;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>from the date of its issue that new licence shall apply to the changed extent of the remaining existing structures in question, the existing works licence shall be revoked, the holder of the licence must comply with the conditions of the new licence and the 1968 Act shall apply to the</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>remaining</i> existing structures and works authorised by the new licence; and</p> <p>(f) <i>the holder of the works licence for the existing structures in questions shall have the right to appeal the terms conditions of any new licence issued by the PLA sub-paragraph (b) under section 69 (appeal to board of trade) of the 1968 Act.</i>"</p> <p>Sub-paragraph (10) amended to state:</p> <p><i>"(10) To the extent that the PLA approves under sub-paragraph (1) the carrying out of any specific works or the exercising of any specified functions outside of the limits of deviation of Work No.4 and all any existing structures that are authorised by a works licence granted by the PLA under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act are removed or demolished in their entirety as a result of the carrying out of that specified work or exercise of that specific function, and article 8(1)(b)(ii) does not apply—</i></p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>(a) <i>following the completion of that specified work or exercise of that specific function, the undertaker must provide the PLA with information to demonstrate that the existing structures have been removed or demolished in their entirety; and</i></p> <p>(b) <i>upon the PLA being satisfied that the existing structures have been removed or demolished in their entirety it must—</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(i) notify the undertaker that it is so satisfied; and</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(ii) write to the holder of the works licence to confirm that that works licence is terminated.”</i></p>		
	<p>Amended sub-paragraph (7) to state:</p> <p><i>“(7) To the extent that the PLA approves under sub-paragraph (1) the carrying out of any specific works or the exercising of any specified functions outside of the limits of deviation of Work No.4, and the physical extent of any existing structures that are authorised by a works licence granted by the PLA under</i></p>	<p>Following further engagement with the PLA and to align with the updated drafting in Article 8 of the DCO.</p>	<p>Deadline 2</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act is changed or any of the existing structures are removed in their entirety as a result of the carrying out of that specified work or exercise of that specific function,</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) such works shall not constitute a breach of that works licence;</i> <i>(b) the undertaker must notify the holder of that works licence of the specified works or specified functions that are intended to be carried out on the existing structures, at least 30 business days before the specified works or specified functions are carried out;</i> <i>(c) <u>within 30 business days</u> following the completion of that specified work or exercise of that specific function, the undertaker must provide the PLA with <u>such</u> information as <u>the PLA may reasonably require as</u> to the changed physical extent or to confirm the entire removal of those existing structures;</i> <i>(d) unless sub-paragraph (10) applies, the PLA must <u>within 30 business days of</u></i> 		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><u>receipt of the information referred to in sub-paragraph (c)</u> issue to the holder of the works licence granted by the PLA under section 66 (licensing of works) of the 1968 Act in respect of the existing structures in question a new licence to reflect the physical changes to the existing structures and;</p> <p>(i) the physical changes to the existing structures; and</p> <p>(ii) any changes to the conditions of the works licence it considers necessary to reflect the physical changes and to meet the requirements of the 1968 Act and the PLA's duties under it;</p> <p>(e) from the date of its issue that new licence shall apply to the changed extent of the remaining existing structures in question, the existing works licence shall be revoked, the holder of the licence must comply with the conditions of the new licence and the 1968 Act shall apply to the</p>		

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>remaining existing structures and works authorised by the new licence; and</i></p> <p>(f) <i>the holder of the works licence for the existing structures in questions shall have the right to appeal the conditions of any new licence issued by the PLA <u>under</u> sub-paragraph (1)(b) underof section 69 (appeal to board of trade) of the 1968 Act.”</i></p>		
<p>Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 56)</p>	<p>Sub-paragraph (1)(b) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(b) during construction or carrying out gives rise to sedimentation, scouring, currents, wave action, or material worsening of the condition of the river bed, which would be materially detrimental to traffic in, or the flow or regime of, the river Thames”</i></p>	<p>This amendment made following engagement with PLA – it is appreciated that the test in question is whether there is a material detriment to traffic however that is caused.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
	<p>Sub-paragraph (4) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(4) If a specified work gives rise to materially new or materially different environmental impacts over and above those anticipated by effects than those identified in any environmental document, the undertaker</i></p>	<p>Change made to align with the language used in the rest of the DCO in the relationship to the ES.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>must, in compliance with its duties under any enactment, take such action as is necessary to prevent or mitigate these adverse environmental impacts and in so doing must consult and seek to agree the necessary measures with the PLA.”</i></p> <p>Similar changes are made to sub-paragraph (5).</p>		
<p>Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 59)</p>	<p>Insertion of new sub-paragraph (1)(c) to state that surveys can be carried out:</p> <p><i>during the construction of any specified work, or the carrying out of any specified function, as is reasonably required</i></p> <p>This is subject to the caveats added in new sub-paragraph (2):</p> <p><i>The PLA may only carry out surveys under sub-paragraph (1)(c)—</i></p> <p><i>(a) if it has given at least 30 days notice of its intention to do so to the undertaker; and</i></p>	<p>This has been added following requests from the PLA to be able to undertake surveys during construction. The Applicant accepts that this is a precedented position, but requires notice to ensure this can be managed during the construction process without incurring undue delay and cost.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>(b) <i>subject to the undertaker's ability to refuse access for surveys if the undertaker considers that the carrying out of surveys at that time would lead to safety concerns in the construction of the specified work or the carrying out of the specified function.</i></p>		
<p>Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 61)</p>	<p>Deletion of previous wording and replacement with entire new provision.</p>	<p>The PLA's preferred wording inserted following discussions with them.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
	<p>Sub-paragraph (1)(a) amended to state:</p> <p><i>"(a) nothing contained in Part 3 of this Order nor article 3938 (statutory undertakers) authorises the acquisition of any interest in, or the acquisition, appropriation, interference, overriding or extinguishment of any right in, on or over, or the imposing of restrictive covenants in any Order land (including airspace and subsoil) if the interest or right or the land to be affected by the restrictive covenant is (at the time of the proposed acquisition, appropriation, interference, overriding, or extinguishment or the imposition</i></p>	<p>Further to discussion with the PLA.</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>of the restrictive covenant) the land, airspace, subsoil or a right is vested in the PLA".</i>		
Schedule 12 (Part 5, Paragraph 64)	<p>New paragraph added:</p> <p><i>"The undertaker must consult with the PLA on draft documentation, prior to the submission of any plan, scheme or strategy under requirements 7 (code of construction practice), 10 (emergency preparedness and response plan), 11 (lighting strategy), 16 (jetty works environmental design scheme) and 23 (decommissioning environmental management plan) which relate to Work No. 4, to the relevant planning authority."</i></p>	<p>Added further to PLA engagement as PLA wishes to see documentation before submission.</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>
	<p>Insertion of a new paragraph (2):</p> <p><i>"(2) The undertaker must consult with the PLA on any updates to a plan, scheme or strategy approved under requirements 7 (code of construction practice), 10 (emergency preparedness and response plan), 11 (lighting strategy), 16 (jetty works environmental design scheme) and 23 (decommissioning environmental management plan) which relate</i></p>	<p>Further to discussion with the PLA.</p>	<p>Deadline 1</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<i>to Work No. 4, prior to submission to the relevant planning authority under requirement 3 (approved details and amendments to them)."</i>		
Schedule 13	Updates to document references, revision numbers and dates of latest documents.	General updates to reflect latest documents submitted alongside this Schedule of Changes.	Each deadline
Schedule 14 (Paragraph 1)	Insertion of new definition: 'the 2004 Act': <i>"means the Traffic Management Act 2004"</i>	As referred to in the definition of 'permit scheme'. See below.	Pre-Examination
	Insertion of new definition: 'permit scheme': <i>"means any schemes made under Part 3 of the 2004 Act in force at the date on which this Order is made"</i>	As now referred to at paragraph 4(1)(b) of Schedule 14. See below.	Pre-Examination
Schedule 14 (Paragraph 2)	Amended to state: <i>"Subject to article 46(2) (procedures in relation to certain approvals etc.), where an application has been made to the relevant authority for any consent, agreement or</i>	To confirm that Schedule 14 will apply for a consent sought under section 61 (prior consent for work on construction sites) of the Control of Pollution Act	Pre-Examination

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p><i>approval required or contemplated by any provisions of this Order—, including:</i></p> <p><i>(a) consent, agreement or approval in respect of part of a requirement and; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) any consent, agreement or approval required by any of the documents listed in Schedule 13 (documents and plans to be certified);</i></p> <p><i>(c) documents approved pursuant to a requirement); or</i></p> <p><i>(d) consent sought under section 61 (prior consent for work on construction sites) of the Control of Pollution Act 1974”</i></p>	<p>1974, for consistency with Article 46(1)(d).</p>	
<p>Schedule 14 (Paragraph 4)</p>	<p>Sub-paragraph (1) amended to state:</p> <p><i>“(1) The undertaker may appeal in the event that—</i></p> <p><i>(a) the relevant authority refuses (including a deemed refusal pursuant to paragraph 2(4)) an application for any consent, agreement or</i></p>	<p>To extend the circumstances within which the undertaker may appeal a decision that may be relevant to the construction of the authorised development to include if a relevant authority refused an application for any schemes under Part 3 of the Traffic Management Act 2004 that may into</p>	<p>Pre-Examination</p>

ARTICLE	CHANGE	REASON FOR CHANGE	DEADLINE CHANGE WAS MADE AT
	<p>approval required or contemplated by any of the provisions of this Order referred to in paragraph 1 of this Schedule 14 or grants it subject to conditions; or</p> <p>(b) the relevant authority refuses an application for a permit under a permit scheme, or grants such a permit subject to conditions; or</p> <p>(c) the relevant authority issues a notice to the undertaker under section 60 (control of noise on construction sites) of the Control of Pollution Act 1974; or</p> <p>(d) ...”</p>	<p>force at the date on which this Order is made and if a relevant authority issues a notice to the undertaker under section 60 (control of noise on construction sites) of the Control of Pollution Act 1974.</p>	



DECARBONISATION

10 Dominion Street
Floor 5
Moorgate, London
EC2M 2EF
Contact Tel: 020 7417 5200
Email: enquiries@corygroup.co.uk
corygroup.co.uk