



Planning Inspectorate

Application by RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (West) Ltd and RWE Renewables UK Dogger Bank South (East) Ltd for an Order granting Development Consent for the Dogger Bank South Offshore Wind Farms (Ref: EN010125)

Action points arising from the Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 1 (CAH1) held on 14 January 2025

Action No.	Directed to	Action
1	The Applicants	Submit a copy of the script read out by Mr Boswall under agenda item 2 which set out the case for Compulsory Acquisition and Temporary Possession against the tests set out in sections 122 and 123 of the Planning Act 2008.
2	The Applicants	Clarify if the existing boat storage would be affected by the proposed emergency beach access road and temporary construction compound. If the boat storage would not be affected set out how the boat storage would be retained and accessed for the duration of the proposed works. <i>This item will also be discussed under Item 14 (Traffic and Transport) at Issue Specific Hearing 2.</i>
3	The Applicants	Confirm if the areas of segregated land along the proposed onshore cable corridor have been included in the assessment of land loss within the Environmental Statement (ES). If they have signpost where in the ES this is detailed and if not, why not and should it be? <i>This item will also be discussed under Item 13 (land use and ground conditions) at Issue Specific Hearing 2.</i>
4	The Applicants	Provide background and justification as to why all the proposed construction compounds in the converter station area would be needed, as identified on page 18 of the Work Plans (onshore) [PDA-003] .
5	The Applicants	Review the Public Rights of Way Plans [APP-017] and the outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan [AS-094] , page 1151] and update as necessary to ensure it is clear whether Walkington Footpath 9 is or is not within the Order Limits.

Action No.	Directed to	Action
6	The Applicants	Confirm why the proposed access and haul road adjacent to Mouse Hill would be necessary.
7	The Applicants	Reconcile the land plot differences identified with the Land Rights Tracker [AS-045] and Book of Reference [AS-043] related to Albanwise Ltd and Albanwise Synergy Ltd. Specifically plots 11-015, 12-002/003/004/006 and 19-002/003/007.
8	Albanwise Ltd and Albanwise Synergy Ltd	Provide comments on the Applicants' response [PDA-013] to the points raised in Albanwise's Relevant Representations [RR-001] and [RR-002] .
9	The Applicants	Clarify why Network Rail Infrastructure Limited appears twice in the Land Rights Tracker [AS-045] .
10	Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd	Provide an update on negotiations with the Applicants and an indication of whether agreement will be reached before the close of the Examination.
11	Los Trustees (represented by Michael Glover LLP)	Confirm whether the entry for Richard Hendrick Los for an interest in plot 14-003 in the Book of Reference [AS-043] is correct and whether the objections raised in relation to acquiring plot 14-006 would also apply to plot 14-003.
12	The Applicants	Respond to the Ripplingham Estates Ltd and The Los Trustees representation made by Michael Glover LLP of 13 January 2025 [AS-153]
13	The Applicants	Clarify how the affected persons (J L White and Son, Oliver White, Pamela White, Andrew James Martin White and Albanwise Ltd and Albanwise Synergy Ltd) and their respective land interests are linked and ensure this is appropriately reflected in the Land Rights Tracker [AS-045] .
14	J L White and Son	J L White and Son to comment on the Applicants' response [PDA-013] in relation to its original representations.
15	The Applicants	Provide an explanation as to why only Lake Farm, Rose Cottage and St Peters House in Bentley are identified as Category 3 parties when these properties form part of a longer row of properties which are also identified in Requirement 21 of the draft Development Consent Order [AS-120] , namely Church Cottage, 1-4 Manor Farm Cottages and Keeper's Cottage. If these properties should be identified as Category 3 set out any implications that this may have for the Examination.
16	The Applicants	Requirement 21 also identifies: 156 Victoria Road; Maurice Wood, Jocks Lodge, Victoria Road;

Action No.	Directed to	Action
		Bentley Lodge, Victoria Road; Spring Mount, Victoria Road; and Rose Villa, Victoria Road as requiring noise limits to be put in place during operation. Only Bentley Lodge is listed in the Book of Reference as having a Category 3 interest. Provide an explanation as to why these properties are not listed and set out any implications for the Examination if they need to be included in the Book of Reference as a Category 3 interest.
17	The Applicants	Confirm whether people would have access to the beach for the duration of the construction works. If access would be restricted or lost provide further details.
18	The Applicants	If Crown consent has not been secured before Deadline 8, the Applicants are to provide an explanation at that deadline of how the project could proceed if all Crown land was removed from the Order Limits.
19	The Applicants	Respond to the concerns raised by the ExA regarding the Funding Statement [APP-033], including a request for it to be reviewed and updated to provide additional information breaking down how the projects costs were calculated and how and when the funding would be secured.

The responses to these action points should be provided by **Deadline 1, 29 January 2025**, unless stated otherwise.