

TRANSCRIPT_DRAXBECES_CAH1_SESSION2_23032023

00:17

Okay, it's 1155. So good morning and welcome back to this compulsory acquisition hearing, which is compulsory acquisition hearing one. Before we begin, can everyone hear me clearly?

00:30

Could Mr. Harold also confirm that the live streaming and recording has commenced? Thank you.

00:40

We'll start back where we left off, which is Item five, which is site specific representations by affected persons. We haven't actually had any requests from any affected persons to speak under this item.

00:54

Are there any in the room today who do wish to speak?

01:02

In which case, we'll just move on to item six, which is statutory statutory undertakers.

01:09

I know you did touch upon this in

01:13

Italy beginning Mr. Griffith.

01:16

Do you want to summarise any outstanding matters arising from any representations by statutory undertakers? Don't don't feel the need to repeat anything that you've already said.

01:26

But any impediments that you see in reaching agreements with anyone?

01:31

Thank you, which was on Park the applicant? Yes, I touched on statue Undertaker's going through the section 127 Section 138 earlier in the hearing, so I won't repeat that.

01:44

We don't envisage any impediment to agreeing.

01:51

The outstanding protective provisions. I would add that there are some especially takers who aren't engaging, but they have the benefit of the general protective provisions in part one, and

02:05

part

02:07

two to have sheduled 12 Part One being who electricity, gas, water and sewerage undertakers, part two being for the telecommunication companies. I mentioned the national grid carbon and be going in there work will be coming out. I failed to mention this morning, early this morning that and we touched on this yesterday, national highways will be also going into sheduled 12. With benefits of tax provisions which we are actively discussing with them at the moment. So there'll be a new party. I think the only other stuff you undertake that's worth mentioning is

02:44

Yorkshire water, who of course are with us, virtually. So I don't know whether you would want me to start and go through the issues or whether you were to invite Yorkshire water to run through their observations there. Yeah, it might be worth while asking Yorkshire water at this point to come in and specify their concerns. Mr. Surtees? Do you want to turn your camera on for me, please?

03:09

Hello, yes, just give me one second, I'll work off this phone.

03:15

Yep.

03:28

There we go. We can see you know, great. Thank you for being patient with us this morning. No, no problem. Thanks. Do you want anything you have four areas of concern that affect your clean water assets? Do you want to run through those with us, please? Yep, I do with that. Sorry.

03:44

Could I possibly share my screen?

03:48

And I can then show on the PowerPoint what I've actually done, because I'm actually struggling a little bit with the information that was sent

03:57

from the actual carbon capture storage scheme. So yeah, I think because we weren't given an advance notice that you wanted to share your screen, we might just check with the team

04:13

which you give some of the options, there is an option to share their

04:18

temptation, because the areas

04:22

of their that we understand that their concerns are identified in that that could be the way forward. And then Yorkshire water could perhaps some talk to that. Yeah, we just if you could just bear with us one moment, we'll just double check with our case team was it'll take them a little while to find that I think, well, if not, I could actually just talk you through sort of what I've gotten.

04:45

Now we might be able to get that up restarted. If you could just bear with us a couple of minutes while we check whether we can get a hold of your relevant representation to share. And then we put that on the screen.

04:57

Okay, just while obviously while they're waiting for that what

05:00

I mean, the only main concern we've got really is

05:05

the areas that I've pointed out. There's a blue section, which is obviously the that's just the outline of the Drax power station itself, that I'm guessing. And on the plans, there's some red, there's a red outline footprint as well. And within that, we've actually got some strategic water mains. And one of my, one of my questions really was,

05:26

what exactly is going into the ground? Is it or is it all just going to be you're going to be putting the cables into the ground? Or is there going to be anything constructed on top of, you know, I'm the one that could sort of impact on our water mains. So will any any structures, any permanent structures, or semi permanent structures do actually go in

05:46

actually being built or extended from drags? That will kind of one of our main concerns?

05:54

Here we go. I think we've managed to get your,

05:58

your real rep. Is there an attachment to?

06:02

Yeah, yes, that's it. Yeah.

06:06

So

06:07

from what, from what I've been looking at, as I've just outlined, section 123, and four, and in section one ditch the red line there.

06:17

That's what we kind of need to know what exactly is that red line going to be? Is there gonna be anything built on that? Or is that just going to be where you're going to be cabling underground?

06:27

Which goes on? Is it helpful if if

06:31

it's to cert sois? Surtees? 30, sorry, thank you. So please, go through each of these outlines, and then I respond immediately have got answers, then it's, I think, easier for your the examining authority to understand. So that's one,

06:46

two, the relevant plot that we've identified, this relating to for the examining authorities benefit is plot 0101.

06:55

And we understand from Yorkshire water that there's a critical trunk main that does go across. As you can see the blue line,

07:06

I can reassure Yorkshire water that all we're doing in our, in this area, in our order lands and otter limits.

07:17

But oh, 101 is basically an existing access track.

07:22

And the reason why that access track is in our order limits is that we need a right of access over that track to instal planting, or to plug in holes to existing planting that are not on the existing critical main. So all we'll be doing is accessing along that track with new plants, so no heavy goods, vehicles, etc, just ordinary traffic that can go down that track immediately, today, and to reach our planting areas. So from our perspective, there's no interaction with the critical main.

07:59

That's wonderful, absolutely. Fantastic. Thank you.

08:03

Right, so, you know, as far as we're concerned, that's, that's, that's, that's brilliant, that that we would have? No, obviously, we'll have no concerns about that at all.

08:13

You want to move on to the second, please.

08:21

So again, yeah, thank you. So again, it's basically just within the red light. Now, we've already got a three inch UPVC man that runs up that road, it is actually in the carriage way.

08:32

Now, I was just sort of concerned if you will expand in anything and either widening or moving the carriageway at all or any construction depths within that cottage, where is this going to be a sort of similar thing to number one or

08:48

thank you so much for joining us on behalf of the applicant. So this the this relates to plots 01 116 And which is our temporary construction lay down area. And then the road itself is 01 114, which is new road. So it's helpful that has been clarified that the

09:07

water asset is within the highway. So yes, so it's obviously existing road. So we're not doing I can confirm that the applicant is not applying for any extensions or widening of the carriageway.

09:25

However, we are creating a temporary construction compound on the

09:32

to the right of the of the pipelines where your arrow is your arrow on that plan is within the construct our temporary construction compound. And we will be creating a new temporary access

09:45

bomb new road

09:47

into that lay down area between points C and D that are on the access and rights of way plans that are in front of the examining authority. So

09:59

and

10:00

There will be heavy good vehicles going down new road and going into the temporary construction compounds and over that new access. So from that's all we're doing. So I suppose the question to Yorkshire water would be in terms of our creation of our new access, what measures would they want in place, if any, to protect the

10:23

range may and

10:26

it may not there may not be any, which would take into account. But I don't think we need to discuss agree those now. Because Yorkshire water has the benefit of the protective provisions in part one of the order that says that where we are doing any work that may impact an asset, and you could argue that creating a new access over the Wayne might impact the asset that we would need to liaise with Yorkshire water over what if any protection might be needed in terms of the weight of the heavy goods vehicles? From our perspective, the only issue here is we're driving along new road, which is an existing road, we're creating new access into our temporary construction access. Is there anything we need to do in the creation of that access? For your protection?

11:13

And is that a compensation that you can take away outside of this this hearing, please, we certainly weren't. And as I said, I think the answer here lies with the protective provisions that we've already sent to Yorkshire water. Okay, Mr. Surtees, you, you're in receipt of those protective provisions that the applicant has sent you. Excuse me, our it'll have gone to another department, the developer services, but it will actually initially come to me yet.

11:35

So I can look through them. But initially, on top of that, we've got no real concerns about that. But it is something that like so we can take outside of this meeting.

11:43

Thank you. Do you want to move on to number three? Please?

11:55

So this is again, just in relation to around obviously that roundabout, nice seats to the south. On what is rockliffe rail da 164614. We do actually have a clean water main, again,

12:10

ruling, what is it sort of follows what was the old road. So again, it's just it's mainly the one to the east.

12:20

What exactly will be sort of taking place on on on that part of the rail there in relation to what we kind of need to look at with protecting our main

12:33

which gave us on party applicants. So I confirm that we're not doing any, we're not creating any new accesses here.

12:40

All we're doing down here. And the relevant accesses are shown on the access rights away plan being ehive to f and g to h, which are existing accesses. They're in the order limits, because we might need to remove some street furniture, cut back some hedgerows, for example, to get the vehicles into the site. So it's an existing highway, heavy good vehicles, enter those into the power station site. From those two access points already. The only reason why it's in here is I say to remove street furniture. So it's we don't see any interaction here with the watermain.

13:20

Mr. Sanchez, is that alleviate some of your concerns? That yes, it does. Yeah. Again, this is going to be something that if it's to do with street furniture, ie valve lids, and things like that, it could be something that I could also take outside the meeting that I'll look into regarding the three inch main further up. Again, it's something that's not other major concern to us. And regardless, either way, it will we can either protect it or divert it's not a, you know, it's not a showstopper. It's just something that was a bit of a concern to us as it was actually outlined. But again, we can take that outside this meeting. Okay. Thank you. And

14:07

yeah, this is Ec, it's a very similar looking thing on the same road just further down at Amin roundabout. Again, just you know what kind of construction if any, or development might be going ahead there that again could impact our main

14:29

which groups on behalf of the applicant.

14:32

This relates to

14:34

the overhead line to works. There will be an interaction here as we are

14:43

crossing undergrounding the overhead the overhead line, and we need to cross the water asset. So that's where the provisions will come into play in that we can't do that on the grounding

14:54

or the door the Northern Powergrid can't do it without speaking to their counterparts at Yorkshire water

15:00

So, in terms of statue Undertaker's to ensure that the undergrounding and the pipe can coexist. That's where the protective provisions kick in. And is that the particular versions that you've sent to your pure

water? Or are the existing ones that we've sent to Yorkshire water the the provisions that are currently in the draft Development Centre water, which may be sufficient. But as you're aware that some statue Undertaker's have their own bespoke protect provisions. And if the option was to have those, then we would negotiate those with them. Okay. Do you know when they were sent to Yorkshire water, they were sent in March 2022.

15:38

Again,

15:41

the March 2022, we first sent them through identify the interaction. They were then sent again in August 2022. And we we sent them about two weeks ago.

15:53

Mr. thirty's I don't know whether you're aware we've actually got a hearing on the draft development consent order, which is where the protective provisions exist.

16:03

Now, you're more than welcome to come along to to that hearing tomorrow, if you did want to discuss them. But I do think it'd be beneficial, obviously, if you're not aware of those protective provisions that have been sent to Yorkshire water by the applicant, and whether you want to take a bit of time to try and find them and have a read of them. And

16:22

yeah, excuse me, this is the first I was sent this light, so probably been weeks or months. And I've looked back on that I can't see anything that I received as sort of March last year.

16:38

What I,

16:41

what, obviously, what normally happens is it goes to just goes to the generic developer services, and then it gets sent unto me. Now I could look back and try and find some information from this. And I've actually tried to do that. But at the minute, these are the only this is the only information that I could find that's actually been forwarded on to me.

17:00

Excuse my ignorance, if this is all is quite new to me things like this. I'm a hydraulic engineer, I kind of deal just with a lot of people.

17:11

So as I said, this is all quite new to me. So

17:15

I'd really just like to sort of know who would your if you've got a contract partner or service partner that I could I could liaise with who was actually going to be doing this work to talk about the protection of the mains.

17:29

That's kind of where I'm sort of wanting to be at the minute if that's okay. So are you saying Mr. Sage is the best you would be the best person for the African to send you? Would you be? Would you be happy for our case seem to pass on your contact details to to the applicant? Yeah, no problem at all? Yeah, that'd be that'd be a lot better that rather than sort of going around all the houses and things got, obviously get mislaid and things don't get passed on to me. If you just come straight to me, I can then deal with, as I said, the service partner who will actually be doing the work. And you know, what the sort of steps we need to take? That'd be great. Thanks. Yes, we are heading sort of almost towards the halfway point of the examination. And we would encourage, you know, negotiations on protective provisions to progress and to for you to reach agreement with the applicant before the end of the examination, or as soon as possible, really. So what we can do is we can pass your details with your permission, the case team can pass your details on to the applicant, and we'll be rolling

18:27

out. So it wouldn't actually take long really, from what the information that I've actually been given and what you've just said, the more I can see it from my point of view, it wouldn't take a long time, basically to to discover the construction depth and the protection that we need to take. So if somebody can get that information to me, that'd be great. And I like saying we wouldn't be along. It wouldn't say one really.

18:52

Just give us some of the applicants and we already have the contact your contact details, we've already only sent directly to provision. So we're we don't need we already in contact. What was the plan of action?

19:05

We are responding

19:08

to this, this was this was a rep relevant rep that wasn't submitted at the original time with relevant reps. So if it's accepted at your discretion, we are responding to this at deadline for which is on Tuesday. So our explanation that I've been going through in high level will be provided in writing at deadline for

19:28

so there'll be in front of examining authority then we will also rather than having to wait Yorkshire Ward's having to wait for it to be published. Once you finish that document, we'll send it directly

19:39

to Yorkshire water. Together with again the protective provisions and dismounting from double recipe will be the point of contact from our end to progress negotiations. What we won't be able to do is go into

the depth of the construction work where the underground cable is being installed because we do not have that detail in most likely way.

20:00

Be Drax during that will be the statue Undertaker. But that's where the protective provisions come in, in that those words cannot take place without agreeing that construction methodology and the protection around the water asset. So I think it should be fairly quick conversation giving Yorkshire water comfort that actually it's only a certain it's predominantly the work number eight a interaction not the

20:24

the interaction

20:27

around the main power state station site for the reasons I said. And I suspect we can hopefully agree that the provisions that are already in the draft order are acceptable, but we're will liaise directly. That's great. If you can take that outside of this hearing and, and keep us updated at relevant points in the examination. That would be great. Thank you, Mr. Surtees? Is there anything else? No, I think that's, that's, that's it. Thank you, as I say it was just basically

20:54

who I sort of speak to in relation to the protection of our mains. But if, obviously, they're gonna get in touch with me outside this meeting. That's great. And I'm more than happy with that. Thank you very much.

21:06

That's great. Thank you very much for your contributions. Mr. Mr. 30s. Thank you. Just before just before we do move on, can I just check with the applicant that in terms of Yorkshire water assets, you're confident that all the plots have been correctly identified in the book a reference?

21:31

average current US on path applicants, we need to amend the book reference regarding 0101. We it was quite hard to identify on the drawing from which water were the main is, but we we understand 0101 So we'll make that amendment. Okay.

21:49

Thank you. Mr. Carroll. Did you have your hand up or?

21:54

Thank you, Sean Carroll, if the applicant, Mr. Griffith is COVID point. Thank you.

21:59

Okay, that's great. Thank you, sir. Did you can turn your camera microphone off now. Thank you. Thanks.

22:08

Okay, I have no more questions under this agenda item. Does anyone have any other points they wish to raise?

22:17

Okay, in which case, I will hand over to Mr. North over for Agenda Item seven.

22:26

Thank you, sir. Agenda Item Seven is related to funding. Can the applicant briefly summarise and advise of any updates to the funding statements base?

22:39

Which grievous on behalf the applicant? We currently have no anticipated updates to the funding statement. So as far as we're concerned, it remains, as is told me to summarise what it says or are you happy with the submitted version?

22:57

Perhaps I just go straight to a few questions that I do have about it. So the funding statement estimates that the cost of the scheme would be circa 1.5 to 2 billion. How robust is this estimate? And when was this estimate

23:13

undertaken?

23:17

pass over to Mr. Jim Doyle from the applicant.

23:21

Kim Doyle, Drax power, so the estimate for a project of this magnitude is as accurate as it can be. But those figures are the sorts of figures. So one and a half to 2 billion to house or 2 billion pounds being the top end of the estimate.

23:40

And when when was this estimate? And second? So we we regularly review where we think we're going to land. But that estimate will have been completed back end of last year.

23:54

Okay. Thank you.

23:56

And would any costs associated with blight amount appreciate?

24:02

You haven't identified any potential

24:05

claimants blight. But would they be included for in the overall estimated cost to the scheme?

24:16

Ridge goes for the applicant? I think six point 1.2 Is the funding statements covers that point that the

24:25

current cost estimates that's in 5.1 Point one of the funding statements included amount to cover the total cost of any compensation, which would include we haven't identified any but any blight claims as well. And as we set out and as it's clear that the applicant has sufficient funds to meet those costs

24:51

and then in relation to paragraph five, point 1.3 of the funding statement and the project funding

25:00

I

25:02

could see the applicant give a steer on what proportion of construction costs will be funded by the cash reserves of the tracks group.

25:16

Average cars and pedestrians I think no isn't is that as you've seen,

25:22

Drax

25:25

is a group

25:27

has considerable assets, the auditor accounts up to 31st December 2022. For the PLC company stated a total net assets in excess of 1.3 billion.

25:42

So they've got the cash reserves, of course, for projects of this nature wouldn't purely be and cannot be constructed. And from its reserves, at the precise split will come down to should it get consent,

25:57

then it'll be down to seeking further investment, which takes into account where the government lands in terms of the business model for carbon capture and storage. And, and they're just and the split will be dealt with at that point, it's too early to say precisely what percentage of the construction costs would be

26:18

met by the group. But everything being cut out to date. So proceed this process, the design done to date,

26:27

the fee process all has been paid for by the company to its own private assets.

26:33

And to you, because you out outline for

26:38

for us what assurance the examining authority can have that the remaining funding from investment will can be secured.

26:53

The Well, I think, first of all, as we set out in the in the funding statement, that the company has a history of securing

27:03

funding from third parties. The power station has evolved from its original

27:11

coal fired power station to a biomass plant now, and has continually secured through its various companies and the group as outlined in a statement, that additional funding, given the nature of the project and the need, there, we see no reason why funding would not continue for the board, the power station asset and the Becks project.

27:41

Obviously,

27:43

as with all infrastructure projects, some of who some of which are promoted by SPVs. And this is not there's an established company that has a PLC behind it,

27:54

that the actual funding of a particular infrastructure project

27:59

is only determined at the point of post consent, the market conditions and then it goes through a finance decision, that finance decision or then pull together the fund third, seek third party funding, and then and

28:16

together with any government offices at the time, and that decision when they've got the funding only at that point, will the project proceed. So and in light of that, hence, you have the security provision in the demand consent order, that given the risk of post acquisition, we call it exercise those powers until we demonstrate to the government that there are sufficient funds for couples acquisition, which we could demonstrate today. Well, that's the key point we could demonstrate that security today. But we we were not seeking to obviously the actual we're not going to exercise those powers until funding until that funding decision. So I think all we can state is that there's history of funding of the of Drax. It's a PLC company's got significant reserves behind it. And infrastructure project of this, we see no reason why it wouldn't get the necessary support from other investors.

29:07

Thank you.

29:09

And so Appendix B of the funding statements, that annual reports and accounts and

29:14

the version we've got currently is up to December 2021. are that the reports and accounts for 2022 available? And

29:26

can this be updated in the funding statement? Yes, I mentioned obviously December 2022. With the 1.3 billion. Yes, they are variable and we can

29:37

add Appendix C to the funding statement with those latest accounts.

29:43

Thank you, and then question relation to the draft amendment consent order. Article 42

29:51

relates to guarantees in respect to the payment of compensation, and includes a list of related articles in sub paragraph two

30:01

As article 18, which is power to override easements and other rights, makes reference to compensation where an interest rate or restriction is overwritten.

30:13

Can you explain why this article isn't listed within article 42? subparagraph? Two

30:23

which groups apart applicants?

30:25

We would add that into article 42. Thanks for pointing that out. Thank you.

30:32

I don't have any more questions under this agenda item, Does anyone wish to comment on anything

30:39

relating to funding.

30:45

I will now move on to Agenda Item eight, which is review and issues of actions arising.

30:52

So I'll briefly run through the list of actions we've identified today and the deadlines for such acts and actions.

31:02

So I have a list of five actions.

31:07

Firstly, all of which, for the applicant, firstly,

31:13

for part two of sheduled, eight of the draft DCA to be updated to include all bots coloured green.

31:20

And that deadline for with

31:23

the updated development consent order.

31:30

That comes action is written confirmation that the title has trans has been transferred for plots, Sarah 183 and 01 87.

31:43

And we're requesting that for a deadline for

31:48

which goes on holiday, I think we'll do our best for deadline for that. I think it needs to be marked on your on your list of actions. Is it possible deadline for otherwise, deadline five, we obviously need to speak to the department we've already made. We've already done some actions after the break, to obtain, for example, the trunk road order itself

32:12

and the parent attach that but how quickly we get that depends on either east riding Council or the Department of Transport. So at this point, I think we need that order to add the commentary around the Highways Act and how that operates. So it may be that we I don't submit something when we're still waiting, we might have something to do in one go. So if we could mark it as default or D five, they'll be appreciated. That's absolutely fine. I think what I would just suggest is a deadline for you just give us an update on where you're at in what way where you expect to get the information. And

32:47

then the third action is an overlay of the Redline and extent of built area for the extant permission for employment development.

32:58

And we're requesting that deadline five. So that that should take into account that buys outline five, we're expecting you have submitted the change request.

33:17

Which was the appearance. I mentioned in the hearing that we would do that it makes sense if that overlay to be done with the post change application. I can't commit that the change application would be submitted at deadline five.

33:31

So I think it would make sense that the overlays submitted when there is a proposed change application coming I can commit to that.

33:39

We obviously are hoping that we're going to get the asset protection, the northern power grid to confirm tomorrow that our proposed land plans are acceptable. But equally that could slip

33:50

I would suggest that perhaps the action is marked as to be submitted with the proposed change application. We also wanted to as quickly as possible. But I can't I can't I want to give you a full state that it will be dead definitely deadline five. I think my suggestion would be for

34:07

that that'd be fine that for that to come in with a change request. But my my request would be that you still submit to us the redline plan from the planning application so that we've got it before us. So you can still put that in by deadline for and then we've got a copy of that before us because

34:23

the change request

34:26

is at our discretion as to whether we accept it or not. So we would still like a copy of that the redline plan for the planning application if that's okay.

34:40

Is that acceptable? Yes, we will do an overlay on deadline for with the current land plans. And then we will submit an updated one with the proposed change. Thank you.

34:55

Then action for is an appendix C to the funding statement.

35:00

Well, which would include the updated 2022.

35:06

accounts? And because we have that deadline for

35:12

us, that's fine.

35:14

And then final action is the amendment of article 42 to refer to article 18. And again, if we could have that

35:27

deadline for with the updated draft development consent order

35:38

Yes, that's fine. We'll be updating TCO. There's also the updates the book reference, and the sheduled changes I mentioned in respect of the removal of British Gas, the inclusion of the new identify tenant, and the slight tweak to plot number 0101 to link it to the Thames Water acid. So we'll submit the updated BL book of reference and shedule change that deadline for

36:07

you, does anyone else have any comments or queries?

36:12

Now?

36:14

I'll move on to Agenda Item nine. Any other matters? I have had no other matters notified to me on to this agenda item that people wish to raise at this meeting. Are there any items that anyone wishes to raise after what they have heard today?

36:29

Yes, Mr. GRIFFIS? Thank you doesn't actually relate to the compulsory acquisition hearing, obviously may want to speak to Mr. Soto as from Yorkshire water mine relates to yesterday's hearing. So if you want to pass over to Yorkshire water first and our if I can be

36:45

if I can mention my points later, but

36:50

Okay, thank you. Yes, Mr. Surtees?

36:53

Thank you for that. All it is related to is appendix 0101, where we have the critical trunk main that I have outlined on one of the plans I can actually send, if you wanted to amend it exactly, I can send the exact sort of grid reference and our

37:12

geographical information systems outline and exactly where the main is, because what I've drawn on the plan is just it was just a IGB on freehand. So if you actually wanted to put it into any documentation, it'd be something that I could actually send on that will give you the precise location of it, or as precise as it can be.

37:33

But would that be helpful to the applicant? Yeah, though, if we can ask you again, just to take that up outside of this this hearing? Yeah. Thank you, Mr. 30s. Thanks.

37:46

Mr. GRIFFIS? Thank you very much. Yes, this relates to the issue specific hearing yesterday. I mentioned yesterday that given metric four of the bng, it was coming out tomorrow, that it would make sense for us to update that bng assessment in light of metric for however, Natural England have come back to us and said, they don't wish us to do that. In cases where you're already going through the metric. So not just on this application, but other applications, they advise applicants to remain with the current metric. So I just want to correct what I said yesterday, and that we won't be updating a metric for that is on the advice of Natural England. And so we will be we calculating the bng? Based on the

38:36

3.1.

38:38

That's absolutely fine. I would just suggest putting that in writing with the updated assessment when you put that in. Yes, we'll do that. Thank you.

38:49

Does anyone else have any other items they wish to raise?

38:54

Nope. In that case, I'll move to close the meeting. Thank you all for contributing so fully and usefully to this hearing, both in the room and online. May we remind you that a digital recording of the proceedings today will be made available as soon as practicable on the project page of the national infrastructure planning website.

39:15

May I also remind you that we have a issue specific hearing for tomorrow in this room starting at 10am and that is on the drug development consent order. The time is now 1235. And this compulsory acquisition hearing for the drugs development project is now closed.