

AUDIO_RAMPION2_CAH1_CONTIUNED_SESSION5_21052024

Tue, May 21, 2024 4:37PM • 23:35

00:06

Okay, so five plus four. So actually resume this hearing.

00:13

We were on item 10. And the final thing we need to do is review the actions. So Miss massacre you go through the actions you've recorded please.

00:25

Thank you, sir.

00:28

The first action is for the applicant to take away and look at alternative drafting regarding its article 33 temporary possession, in particular the plots which are currently I'll say carved out. It's not quite the right words, but those those parcels.

00:49

Second action is to take away

00:53

the requests from examining authority to look at wording for article 24. Regarding when relinquishing rights over cable corridor.

01:05

Next action

01:12

is to I'm struggling to read my writing. Please do that my apologies.

01:23

To

01:24

to Mr. Baird, for Mr. Rabbit to respond to Mr. Baird this week on the outstanding information which he is seeking.

01:40

Next to, for the applicant to look at whether it can provide a copy correspondence from National Grid regarding the rolling out of Ninh field as a connection option.

02:04

Next Action is for the applicant to provide the further information requested by Mr. Kittel as soon as possible. So it was information that was requested during the course of the

02:17

discussion. So I don't have the precise detail of what he was requesting, but the applicant has confirmed that it will go back and respond as soon as practicable.

02:33

And with regards to the

02:37

points that arose from the western states, the permissions

02:44

the applicant has to prepare a breakdown of the minerals calculations, which it has put in response to

02:55

one of the recent application responses the number of which I have

03:01

missed just for now, but I'll come back to that.

03:08

applicant is also to provide a note to explain

03:14

why the limits of deviation cannot currently be refined any further.

03:27

I think the point where that one was that with the limits of deviation

03:34

is there an area of our Land Rover which is seeking rights not included? Because you're I mean, is there a gap if when you project ACD and it's not doesn't need to be with related to the angle therapy with costings was a deviation yeah

04:00

that we also have a note to

04:06

provide information on the relative on and offshore costs which I understand also arose through the discussions with the western state.

04:29

Then the

04:33

national highways are to provide information and comments on

04:41

the new roads and streetworks approach and they made reference to a KC opinion

04:49

the applicant is to provide a note on its need for plot seven three

04:57

in relation to national highways land

05:08

There's also a note to the applicant to provide information on the impact on Mr. Dixon's land at college would farm including

05:22

how long it would be fenced for or expected to be sensible.

05:37

So, I believe that's the end of my list. I may have missed him on Apollo, below him or any other actions that you can have a few few clarifications on the last one about Mr. Dixon's land, I made notes to say, the length of time to clarify the length of time construction work would last on mistakes in his land, and the length of time mistakes and would have to operate the crossing point.

06:03

As well as the length of time fencing would be on mistakes and as land.

06:09

There was an action

06:11

also to the applicant to provide an explanation and justification of the cable route in regards to mineral sterilisation with evaluation of alternative routes.

06:22

And that was written relation to the representation given by the Western estate.

06:27

And then in relation to green properties, the applicant to consider updating the crossing schedule, in light of any agreement reached with green corporate properties if required.

06:37

And for Mr. Fishel, suito Farm, justify and explain the reasons for the location of the proposed route and with salt.

06:57

Yes, Miss Marshall, I can see your hand up. Have you got a comment to do with the actions? Yes, thank you, sir. Sarah, Marshall for national highways. I believe there was an action.

07:09

For National Highways, we offer to prepare response on each of the national highways plots in the pool in the form of a table for the examining authority.

07:19

Okay, that's great. Thank you. Can you please add that to the list?

07:24

Thank you. Thank you, Miss Marshall.

07:26

Okay, so we're on to item 11, site specific issues with the applicant, I understand that we need to vacate this room at half past five. So, this is going to be a bit of a quick fire section.

07:40

So if you if you can answer straight away fine, if not

07:45

taken action to provide the answer after

07:49

so basically, the summary authority like further justification for the need and or size of several plots. So on land plans? So the first one, would you please bring up sheet five of the land plans on to the screen please?

08:10

Great, thank you and this release a lot 513.

08:16

And the question is why? Why does it widen them to over 100 metres just before changing cable corridor direction?

08:46

You'll see just before it goes to a 90 degree turn.

08:50

There's quite an additional whip.

08:54

Richard and Richard tolerate on behalf of the applicant.

08:59

Yes, so the 90 degree turn will factor into the requirement for a wider limit there. I know that we also have some pre existing services crossing east west at the base of that 90 degree turn. I can't at this point. Give more explanation in terms of what those services are and what provisions might be required for that but we'll take that away. Yeah, if you could take an action then perhaps you can sweep all these economists and now into an act a single action.

09:31

Okay, moving on to sheet six.

09:39

Impact on the screen. So I know the presence of a trenchless crossing point in the polling Street.

09:50

Plot six three

09:52

continues at an increase grids

09:56

to the east. So the question is

10:00

Why is that?

10:03

So you gotta increase with for the HDD pit

10:09

an alternative location, the East for the road, Reza tanowitz. On behalf of the applicant, we'll take that away and look at it and provide once thank you

10:18

if you could bring out

10:22
sheet 11

10:34
on this, this is

10:38
Mitchell grove.

10:41
So issues here are similar very similar to Skellington.

10:47
So, so basically is the same question as on Skellington. But I think if you take an action, write the information for this location as you did it stillington

10:57
Thank you, you know, with regard to the

11:01
degree of deviation of the ACD pits,

11:05
and whether all that land is really necessary.

11:09
We'll take that away. Thank you. Okay.

11:13
You're bringing up sheet 14 now.

11:24
So, if you look at plot 14, one is quite a strange bulge on the eastern side of the plot.

11:34
And the question is why why is that?

11:47
Please bear with me one moment the internet is just catching.

12:06
Let's hear his impulse the east of the plot

12:13

Yeah, we'd have to take that one away as well. I'm on the same page. And there's a relatively straight

12:22

cable alignment is relatively straight, but he goes from 80 to 120 metres.

12:28

It doesn't seem lobbyists reason for that.

12:32

You have to know that in this area, there's actually a not insignificant bit of typography that needs to be

12:40

dealt with in the construction. So there may be additional space to facilitate access in the right sort of

12:49

angles. But we'll we'll look at this in detail if you can give a fuller explanation. And then if you move to sheet 28.

13:16

The question here is you got plot 28 Two, which straddles the disused railway line?

13:26

Why? Why does it why note

13:29

250 metres

13:32

as he crosses the railway line,

13:34

but Richard Tanner is on behalf of the applicant. So there is a SGN high pressure gas pipeline that straddles the Southdowns link road in that area. And we also have some existing overhead line and underground power services that need to be considered in that area. And we'd seek to

13:55

put progress that detailed design which may be subject to requirements such as crossing it exactly 90 degree angles.

14:04

Further with with a statutory undertakers off those assets. Okay, so for completeness, if you could put that explanation into your action as well.

14:16

Then we're going to sheet 31.

14:27

And on this one, can you explain I think we actually walked through this. I think you may have given an explanation when we're on the ground. But what is the reason and requirements and plots 31? Two, and 31 three

14:49

Richard Tanner is on behalf of the applicant. And so this relates to the environmental mitigation and the approach to the application and the assessment that we have done. So

15:00

There's two factors here.

15:03

And maybe I hand over to my colleague from the environmental to site to clarify this aspect.

15:13

And he slowed them off the applicant, I think there's, there's two things in this location, there's a trenchless crossing

15:21

in that location.

15:26

And following a line, sorry, going under a line of trees, also a flood zone and

15:33

also related to California stream records of protected species.

15:40

I'll confirm in writing in terms of the western side, but I believe that's related to seeking to keep the whole road access to the to the west, rather than taking it through the

15:53

taking it through those features just mentioned.

15:57

saris Allen Kirby on behalf of the applicant, if I can just come in and a little bit of a movie. So in terms of the HDD, it goes through the flood zone.

16:10

So it goes underneath the flood zone, there's also

16:14

records, we've got survey data of water roll on that ditch. So to cross that ditch will require a water roll licence. And, and the reason it kinks out to the West is because there is an existing

16:30

makeshift crossing of the ditch there and there was a gap in the vegetation. The vegetation has been cleared since the first time we surveyed but it was to reduce further losses on that ditch in order to minimise the impact on on water bowl for for licencing purposes.

16:48

Okay, thank you. I think the completeness if you include it in the combined response will be grade sheet 33.

17:07

This is watts 31 and plot 3216. And the question is, why are they

17:17

Andrew metre wide on again, the straight section of cable corridor.

17:24

LED service plot was that again.

17:30

Sorry, it's plot 33. One.

17:35

I think on the other sorry. 30 3216, which is obviously on the other page page before

17:42

FSU 16 There's a section of it on the other page, but it's 100 metres wide.

17:52

Again, a straight section or cable corridor. Question is why is it that wide? Why do you need that flexibility

18:00

on a straight section of cable corridor

18:04

with the tunnel router that on behalf of the applicant?

18:08

We are this is the plot 33 one is approaching from the South to the substation, one of the key concerns there is that we have the national grid 400 kilovolts overhead line running as well. And we'd need to fully design in an appropriate to construction underneath that. One loan please.

18:34

Yeah, so that's the principal driving factor to retain flexibility there to facilitate a safe construction in that area, as you understand 400 kilovolts overhead lines have certain exclusion requirements around them specifically for construction equipment that needs to be observed. Thank you. Okay.

19:01

And then plots 3324 and 3326.

19:09

I think we discussed this earlier, actually. So it's the end. It's the design of the substation, isn't it? Which is why you need I think he's 80 metre 180 metres wide. With the tunnel. It's on behalf of the applicants. Yes, it's driven by the substation design ultimately, and where we construct the trenchless crossing.

19:28

Also, with regards to the overhead services that we have on the eastern side of that plot, and the underground power lines buried there, as well as the environmental features that are present in that area. Okay, thank you. And the last queries I had were on chi 34. We discussed those as part of earlier discussions.

19:50

Okay, so the only last thing on that item actions, there's one action and that's a consolidated response on those those issues.

20:00

Okay, so we're actually on to any other business.

20:05

So has anyone got any, any other business? stuff written? Thank you our time spent on behalf of mystics. And I've just got a general question in respect to timetable. Following conversation today, I understand that for a deal to be agreed with the applicant, it needs to go to board approval. And anyone in this room at the moment, can only get an agreement in principle. And I understand that board approval is only every month, which means there's two opportunities between now and the end of the examination to get a deal agreed with the applicant. Could that just be clarified, please? Yes. Could you please clarify that

20:46

Vicki portrayed on behalf Thank you portraying on behalf of the applicant and

20:53

the applicant, and does not require every deal to be agreed by the Board approval in exceptional circumstances where there might be specific requirements as a result of the commercial agreements, something that is considered to be exceptional or not typical as part of the expected commercial agreement, we may need to refer and get approval from the board in those circumstances. So it's very much dependent on the nature of the the agreement involved as to whether that needs to be reported to the Board or not. But if agreements are standard, in accordance with typical typical land agreements of this nature, then key terms would be agreed or be at they would need still to be a sort of final sign off by the appropriate directors within the company.

21:45

Hey, thank you. Does that answer your your query?

21:48

Okay, any other business?

21:52

Looking around the room and looking online? No, hands up. Okay, there's, for closing, the USA has a comment to make, really. And that's that. In the preliminary meeting, we made it very clear our concerns about the progress with land negotiations. And we deliberately defer this hearing to this point, and examination to allow you to make more significant progress.

22:18

Unfortunately, during the course of this hearing, it's become clear to us there's still a serious lack of engagement with landowners.

22:25

And this must change very quickly in a short time remaining in this examination. If you were to convince us the case for compulsory acquisition has been made. It's now highly likely that we will require further compulsory acquisition gearing in July. And you've got a lot of work to do between now and then.

22:46

So in closing,

22:49

if there's no other relevant business, Where may I remind you that the timetable for this examination requires the parties provide any post hearing documents on or before deadline for which is the third of June 2024 Where also remind you that the recording of this hearing will take place on inspections website as soon as practicable after this meeting? On behalf of the ESA I want to thank the applicant, specially bodies and affected interested parties for their participation. Time is now 1628 and this compulsory acquisition hearing is now closed.