

EN010117: Application by Rampion Extension Development Limited for the Rampion 2 Offshore Wind Farm

Action points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 2

FOR THE APPLICANT:

DAY 1 - Wednesday 15 May 2024

AP	Description	By When
Agen	da Item 1 – Introduction	
1	Applicant to provide an updated DCO at D4 as per the Examination Timetable so that the order is restored. IPs to withhold commentary on the draft DCO until after D4 as per the Examination Timetable.	D4
Agen	da Item 2 – Onshore Ecology	
2	Applicant to update Vol 2 Chapter 22 of the ES, Terrestrial Ecology and Nature Conservation [APP-063] at D4.	D4
3	Applicant to consider providing an Outline Biodiversity Management Plan/ Strategy and respond at D4.	D4
4	Applicant to consider updating Commitment C-5 of the Commitments Register (CR) [REP3-049] and respond at D4.	D4
5	Applicant to consider updating CR Commitment C-112 and C- 217 in response to Natural England's written representations and ongoing discussions and respond at D4.	D4
6	Applicant to consider issues raised by West Sussex County Council (supported by Horsham District Council) regarding monitoring arrangements for reinstatement, timely remedial actions and handover procedures to an Offshore Transmission Owner and issues raised by South Downs National Park Authority (SDNPA) regarding the lack of detail in the Outline Landscape Ecology Management Plan and respond by D4.	D4
7	Applicant to submit a document specifically addressing SDNPA ecological concerns explaining the likely effects of the Proposed Development on the ecological features of South Downs National Park (SDNP) in the context of its elevated status and how the purposes of the SDNP, particularly in	D4

	relation to its ecological function, could be furthered by the Proposed Development by D4.	
	The Applicant may wish to provide an update to REP1-024 to provide a comprehensive, cross-cutting document addressing all relevant matters affecting the SDNP.	
8	Applicant to revise maximum parameters and assessment assumptions in Table 11-13 in Chapter 11: Marine Mammals, Volume 2 resubmitted at D1 [REP1-004], to be clearer regarding number of monopile and pin pile installations at different locations at any one time.	D4
Agen	da Item 3 – Offshore Ecology	
9	Applicant to clarify the reasons for noise modelling locations particularly in relation to proximity to Marine Conservation Zones (MCZ).	D4
10	Applicant to consider how the maximum design scenario for piling is secured as a Requirement.	D4
11	Applicant to submit proposals for monitoring and adapted management of underwater noise.	D4
12	Applicant to submit a document on site specific information on different noise abatement systems at different depths and effectiveness for the Proposed Development.	D4
13	Applicant to provide evidence and figures showing the behavioural noise threshold (mitigated and unmitigated) with the MCZs at Beachy Head and any others where seahorses are a feature.	D4
14	Applicant to consider Article 5 in comparison to the Sheringham and Dungeon Development Consent Order and address the Natural England and Marine Management Organisation (MMO) wording changes to Deemed Marine License including Schedule 11 and 12 and Condition 10.	D4
15	MMO to respond to Appendix H of the Applicant's Responses to Examining Authority's First Written Questions (ExQ1) - Appendix H - FS: Noise Thresholds for Black Seabream [REP3-051] for the black seabream spawning ground exclusion using the 135db contour with the 20db noise mitigation.	D4
16	Applicant to consider and submit the without prejudice proposed Measure of Equivalent Environmental Measures (MEEB) for Kingmere MCZ.	D4
17	Applicant to include minimum depth of HDD drilling of 5 metres within and secured by the DCO.	D4
18	The Applicant states that gravel bags would be laid using a micro-siting approach where practicable to avoid sensitive offshore features, as set out in C-283 in the Commitments Register. Applicant to re-consider the words 'where practicable' in C-283 relation to impacts upon the chalk features to provide more certainty.	D4

19 Applicant to consider the submission of outline Cab Specification and Installation Plan document and an Cable Burial Risk Assessment.	ble D4	
Cable Burial Risk Assessment		
20 Applicant to consider the submission of a documen	t to compare D4	
the equipment and methodology for cable burial, in	cluding any	
lessons learnt from Rampion 1.		
21 Applicant to confirm the worst-case scenario of 4 m	nonopile and D4	
8 pin piles is what has been assessed in the Enviro		
Statement on the effects upon mammals and in Ap		
the Marine mammal quantitative underwater noise		
assessment [APP-148] and Appendix 11.3 the Und	•	
noise assessment technical report [APP-149].		
22 Applicant to submit the population modelling that N	atural D5	
England suggest for the bottlenose dolphin.		
23 Applicant to present plan at D4 to Natural England	and update D5	
on progress on discussions with Natural England for		
principle monitoring plan.		
	response to D4	
all elements in the transboundary letter [REP3-104]	I from the	
French authorities.		
25 Applicant to consider updated Schedule 17 - Kittiwa	ake D4	
Compensation Plan.		
Agenda Item 4 – Day 1 Other Matters		
26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation		
26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II		
26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety.	FP) changes	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A 	FP) changes	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 	FP) changes Assessment D4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through example. 	FP) changes Assessment D4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through extine treeline at Bolney. 	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through extine treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the horizontal and the significance given the significance given the significance given to the horizontal and the significance given to the horizontal and the significance given the signifi	FP) changes Assessment D4 kisting gap in D4 nedgerow / D4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through extine treeline at Bolney. 	FP) changes Assessment D4 kisting gap in D4 nedgerow / D4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through extine treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the horizontal and the significance given the significance given the significance given to the horizontal and the significance given to the horizontal and the significance given the signifi	FP) changes Assessment D4 kisting gap in D4 nedgerow / D4 s (W110) in	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through ex the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a 	FP) changes Assessment D4 kisting gap in D4 nedgerow / D4 s (W110) in dix B	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through extine treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen 	FP) changes Assessment D4 kisting gap in D4 nedgerow / D4 s (W110) in dix B ans Figure	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through extine treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans 	FP) changes Assessment D4 kisting gap in D4 nedgerow / D4 s (W110) in dix B ans Figure	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Plans Pla	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4Assessment <td></td>	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in retention plans and materials management plan for mitigation in retention plans and pond Retention plans and materials management plan for mitigation in retention plans and pond plans and pond materials management plan for mitigation in retention plans and pond plans and plans plans plans plans for mitigation in retention plans plans	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4Assessment <td></td>	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in reminerals safeguarding. 	FP) changesAssessmentD4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in reminerals safeguarding. 31 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO 	FP) changesAssessmentD4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in reminerals safeguarding. 31 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4AssessmentD4	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in reminerals safeguarding. 31 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 32 Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the safe submitted	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD6	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (If in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in reminerals safeguarding. 31 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 32 Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been materials and point where amendments have been materials and point where amendments have been materials and point where amendments have been materials management plans and point point and the provide and point plans and point plans plans	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD6AssessmentD6	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans 7.2.6m [REP3-025] and justification for its removal. 30 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 32 Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been maintain and here an	FP) changesAssessmentD4	
 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. Applicant to provide a response to route through exist the treeline at Bolney. Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans and materials management plan for mitigation in reminerals safeguarding. Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been materials and plan consolidating all tree and head information (retention and loss) from: 	FP) changesAssessmentD4AssessmentD6AssessmentD6	
 26 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. 27 Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. 28 Applicant to provide a response to route through exithe treeline at Bolney. 29 Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans 7.2.6m [REP3-025] and justification for its removal. 30 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 31 Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 32 Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been materials information (retention and loss) from: TPO and Hedgerow Plan [PEPD-007] 	FP) changesAssessmentD4Assessment </td <td></td>	
 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans 7.2.6m [REP3-025] and justification for its removal. Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been mating and loss) from: TPO and Hedgerow Plan [PEPD-007] Appendix B of the Code of Construction Practice not practice in the provide and plan for mitigation in respection for the provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. 	FP) changesAssessmentD4Assessment </td <td></td>	
 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. Applicant to provide a response to route through exit the treeline at Bolney. Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Pla 7.2.6m [REP3-025] and justification for its removal. Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been mains and Pond Retention Plans. ExA requested a plan consolidating all tree and head information (retention and loss) from: TPO and Hedgerow Plan [PEPD-007] Appendix B of the Code of Construction Practice Plans 2025] 	FP) changesAssessmentD4Assessment </td <td></td>	
 Applicant to consider a new Requirements in relation radar mitigation and Instrument Flight Procedure (II in relation to aviation safety. Applicant to submit updated Arboricultural Impact A taking account of D3 submission REP3-054. Applicant to provide a response to route through ex the treeline at Bolney. Applicant to consider the significance given to the h treeline known locally as the 'green lane' labelled a the Outline Code of Construction Practice in Appen Vegetation Retention Plans and Pond Retention Plans 7.2.6m [REP3-025] and justification for its removal. Applicant to provide a response in relation to MMO respect of compliance with Marine Plan Policies. Clean versions of ES Chapters to be submitted at the Examination where amendments have been mating and not provide a plan consolidating all tree and hed information (retention and loss) from: TPO and Hedgerow Plan [PEPD-007] Appendix B of the Code of Construction Practice 	FP) changesAssessmentD4Assessment </td <td></td>	

be incorporated into a singular document entitled "Vegetation Retention and Removal Plan" which contains all information on tree and hedgerow locations, with a clear table of losses and mitigation/replacement figures for each vegetation type. The ExA requested a new Requirement for the submission of vegetation removal plan which accords with its outline version.

DAY 2 – Thursday 16 May 2024

AP	Description	By When
Agen	ida Item 6 – Seascape, Landscape and Visual	
34	Applicant to reconsider Commitment C-66 of the CR, relevant Requirements 12, 16 and 22, and documents (such as the LEMP), including how the special qualities of the SDNP are clearly addressed.	D4
35	Applicant to supply document regarding design evolution and how the SDNP special qualities have been incorporated, including compensation, in relation to Levelling Up and Regeneration Act.	D4
36	As requested in AP7, Applicant to submit a document specifically addressing SDNPA seascape, landscape and visual concerns explaining the likely effects of the Proposed Development on those features of SDNP in the context of its elevated status and how the purposes of the SDNP, particularly in relation to its function, could be furthered by the Proposed Development by D4. The Applicant may wish to provide an update to REP1-024 to	D4
	provide a comprehensive, cross-cutting document addressing all relevant matters affecting the SDNP.	
37	SDNPA to consider if seascape is reason to refuse the proposals.	D4
Agen	da Item 7 – Traffic and Access	
38	Applicant to clarify whether the proposed widening of the western kerb line of Kent Street at its junction with the A272 and 4 passing places are on highways land or private land and within the order limits.	D4
39	Applicant to provide a proposed contingency plan for the repair of the carriageway of Kent Street during construction activities in the case of a carriageway failure.	D4
40	Applicant to confirm the construction details of the proposed passing places on Kent Street, whether they would be removed at the end of construction activities and if so, how the lane would be returned to its former nature and character.	D4
41	Explain how vehicles not related to the Proposed Development turning into Kent Street would be managed in combination with	D4

	HGVs already dispatched from the Oakendene West	
	Compound to accesses A64 and A61 in the Lane. In addition,	
	confirm the size of vehicles that could pass each other using	
	the proposed passing places.	
42	Applicant to confirm how the safe passage of pedestrians,	D4
	cyclists and horse riders along Kent Street would be safely	
	managed.	
43	The Applicant to complete and submit a design for access A63	D5
10	(the proposed substation site).	20
44	The Applicant to confirm that once hedging and trees have	D4
	been removed for the widening of Kent Street at its junction	04
	with the A272 and construction of the access A63 (the	
	proposed substation site) there would be adequate screening in	
45	the short to medium term of the proposed substation.	D (
45	The Applicant to provide a statement comparing the potential	D4
	impacts of using Kent Street to access A64 and A61 with using	
	haul roads (using temporary bridging where necessary) from	
	access A63 to access the sections of the proposed cable	
	corridor accessed from A64 and A61.	
46	The Applicant to provide a note on the impact of the proposed	D4
	Kent Street traffic management strategy on the overall traffic	
	modelling for the Proposed Development.	
47	The Applicant to provide a note on how the proposed works at	D4
	accesses A64 and A61 would impact the landscape setting.	
48	The Applicant to demonstrate using swept path analysis that	D4
	HGVs would be able to enter and exit accesses A64 and A61	
	within the proposed Order Limits.	
49	The Applicant to confirm whether the 8 proposed passing	D4
10	places located on Michelgrove Lane can be constructed within	5.
	the proposed Order Limits and are on private or highway land.	
50	The Applicant to outline the proposed strategy for maintaining	D4
50		04
	the safe passage of pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders along Michelgrove Lane during construction activities.	
E1		D4
51	The Applicant to consider the potential impact of vibration and	D4
	other construction and use effects, on the ancient monument	
	located in close proximity to the proposed haul road from	
F 0	access A28 to the proposed cable corridor.	
52	The Applicant to consult National Highways on the use of traffic	D4
	signals at proposed access A28, sharing the assessments	
	undertaken at this location to date.	
53	The Applicant to update the Outline Construction Traffic	D4
	Management Plan to reflect the proposed change of use of	
	access A24 to operational only.	
54	The Applicant to consider use of access A01 for all operational	D4
	access requirements in the place of access A04.	
55	The Applicant to provide an update on water neutrality and the	D4
	implications for traffic movements after the meeting with	
	Horsham District Council and Natural England.	
L		1

	-	
56	The Applicant to update all traffic and access related	D5
	documents in the Environmental Statement using the latest	
	traffic data and modelling assumptions.	
57	The Applicant to submit into the Examination and to provide	D4
	Cowfold Parish Council with details of turning movements at all	
	junctions and proposed accesses along the A272.	
58	The Applicant to provide a response on the traffic movement	D4
	discrepancies discussed during ISH2 for accesses A62 and	
	A63.	
Ager	ida Item 8 – Onshore Archaeology	
59	The Applicant to submit the Low Carbon Solar Park 6	D4
	judgement into the Examination – Also to explain the	
	consequence of the Planning Judgement, with commentary.	
60	West Sussex County Council / the Applicant to consider and	D4
00	respond on possible alterations to Requirement 19 and related	01
	Commitments, C-79, C-225 with the scope of removing	
	ambiguity in respect to trail trenching.	
	West Sussex to respond to the submitted Written Scheme of	
	Investigation.	
61	As requested in AP7 and AP36, Applicant to submit a	D4
01	document specifically addressing SDNPA archaeology	54
	concerns explaining the likely effects of the Proposed	
	Development on those features of SDNP in the context of its	
	elevated status and how the purposes of the SDNP, particularly	
	in relation to its ecological function, would be furthered by the	
	Proposed Development by D4.	
	The Applicant may wish provide an update to REP1-024 to	
	provide a comprehensive, cross-cutting document addressing	
	all relevant matters affecting the SDNP.	
Ager	ida Item 9 – Draft DCO	
62	SDNPA to review its comments on the adequacy of Articles 5,	D4
	33, 34, 44 and 55 in light of more recent documents submitted	
	into Examination and whether these are best sought in the	
	Requirements.	
63	Applicant to consider Commitment in the CR to monitor	D4
	operation noise of the extension to the National Grid (Work	
	No.20) Bolney substation.	
64	Applicant to consider amendments to Requirement 33, as	D4
	requested by Horsham District Council, relating to Skills and	
	Employment Strategy.	
65	Applicant to consider committing to a liaison officer and to	D4
05	provide an Outline Construction Communication Plan into	04
	•	
	Examination (Requirement 34)	