



# Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm

## Applicant's Statement of Commonality for SoCG

### Deadline 4

**Date: 30 January 2023**

**Revision: E**

Document Reference: 4.6

Application Reference: N/A



REVISION	DATE	STATUS/ REASON FOR ISSUE	AUTHOR	CHECKED BY	APPROVED BY
<b>A</b>	October 2022	Deadline 1	GoBe Consultants	RWE	RWE
<b>B</b>	November 2022	Deadline 2	GoBe Consultants	RWE	RWE
<b>C</b>	November 2022	Deadline 3	GoBe Consultants	RWE	RWE
<b>D</b>	December 2022	Deadline 3a	GoBe Consultants	RWE	RWE
<b>E</b>	January 2023	Deadline 4	GoBe Consultants	RWE	RWE



RWE Renewables UK Swindon Limited

Windmill Hill Business Park  
Whitehill Way  
Swindon  
Wiltshire SN5 6PB  
T +44 (0)8456 720 090



Registered office:  
RWE Renewables UK Swindon Limited  
Windmill Hill Business Park  
Whitehill Way  
Swindon

# Contents

1	Introduction.....	4
1.1	Purpose of Statement of Commonality .....	4
1.2	Structure of this Statement of Commonality .....	4
2	Approach to capturing commonality.....	5
2.1	List of Commonality .....	5
2.2	Letters of Comfort and Position Statements.....	5
2.3	Statements of Common Ground.....	5
3	Status of Commonality .....	13
4	Summary of Commonality .....	18
5	Additional commentary .....	22
5.1	Deadline 1 .....	22
5.2	Deadline 2 .....	22
5.3	Deadline 3 .....	22
5.4	Deadline 3a.....	23
6	Final positions .....	24

# Tables

Table 1: List of parties and the Applicant's approach to capturing commonality. ....	7
Table 2: List of documents and their status at Deadline 3a. ....	14
Table 3: Summary of commonality key.....	18
Table 4: Summary of Commonality with each stakeholder in relation to each topic covered at Deadline 3a. ....	19

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose of Statement of Commonality

- 1 This document provides the Examining Authority (ExA) with an overview of the position of each Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) between Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm Limited (the 'Applicant') and the Interested Parties (IPs) (see Annex H of Rule 6 Letter PD-007) in relation to Awel y Môr Offshore Wind Farm (hereafter referred to as 'AyM') at Deadline 4. This document will be updated and submitted at relevant Examination deadlines outlined in the Examination timetable.

## 1.2 Structure of this Statement of Commonality

- 2 The Statement of Commonality is structured as follows:
  - ▲ Section 1: Introduction;
  - ▲ Section 2: Approach to capturing commonality – Outlines the approach to commonality with IPs;
  - ▲ Section 3: Status of commonality – Describes the status of commonality with each IP, to be updated at each deadline;
  - ▲ Section 4: Summary of Commonality – Identifies the commonality of topic areas of interest with each IP;
  - ▲ Section 5: Additional commentary – Provides additional information on the status of agreements and notable consultation activities undertaken through the Examination; and
  - ▲ Section 6: Final positions – Where final positions have been reached with IPs, this section outlines those final positions.

## 2 Approach to capturing commonality

### 2.1 List of Commonality

3 Table 1 provides the list of SoCGs that have been requested by the ExA in the Rule 6 letter issued on 23 August 2022 and the Applicant's approach to capturing commonality.

### 2.2 Letters of Comfort and Position Statements

4 In some instance, Letters of Comfort (LoC) and Position Statements (PS) are being prepared instead of a full formal SoCG, with agreement from the IPs. This is because the matters on which some IPs are interested in are limited in scope (e.g. substantive matters are either agreed or can be addressed post-consent through crossing and/or proximity agreements) and so the LoCs in these cases are considered to be more appropriate than a SoCG in order to capture status of discussions. Where a LoC or PS is being prepared instead of a SoCG, this is detailed in Table 1.

5 A PS is substantively the same as a SoCG but follows a simpler format to allow each party to identify the issues pertinent to (for example) their commercial interests and to determine the level of detail to put before the ExA pending the conclusion of commercial discussions, which are being progressed outside the DCO process and may pertain to commercially sensitive information. The PSs will be updated in the same way as a SoCG, with updates provided to the ExA at relevant deadlines.

### 2.3 Statements of Common Ground

6 At Deadline 4, the Applicant has submitted the following SoCGs, in addition to those already submitted at previous deadlines:

- ▲ North Wales Local Planning Authorities (Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment);
- ▲ Conwy County Borough Council;
- ▲ Isle of Man Government;
- ▲ Cadw;

- ▲ JNCC;
- ▲ Trinity House;
- ▲ Chamber of Shipping; and
- ▲ North Wales Wildlife Trust.

Table 1: List of parties and the Applicant's approach to capturing commonality.

INTERESTED PARTY	APPROACH TO COMMONALITY
SoCGs requested by the ExA in Annex H of the Rule 6 Letter	
Denbighshire County Council (DCC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▲ Joint SoCG with the North Wales Local Planning Authorities (NW LPAs) on Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (SLVIA) (SoCG 01); and</li> <li>▲ Standalone SoCG on non-SLVIA matters (SoCG 02).</li> </ul>
Conwy County Borough Council (CCBC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▲ Joint SoCG with the NW LPAs on SLVIA (SoCG 01); and</li> <li>▲ Standalone SoCG on non-SLVIA matters (SoCG 03).</li> </ul>
Gwynedd Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▲ Joint SoCG with the NW LPAs on SLVIA (SoCG 01).</li> </ul>
Isle of Anglesey County Council (IoACC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▲ Joint SoCG with the NW LPAs on SLVIA (SoCG 01); and</li> <li>▲ Standalone SoCG on non-SLVIA matters (SoCG 04).</li> </ul>
Flintshire District Council (FCC)	Following FCC's withdrawal from the examination process (REP2-051), the Applicant is no longer seeking to include FCC in the Joint SoCG with the NW LPAs on SLVIA.
Snowdonia National Park (SNP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▲ Joint SoCG with the NW LPAs on SLVIA (SoCG 01).</li> </ul>

INTERESTED PARTY	APPROACH TO COMMONALITY
Llandudno Town Council	The Applicant notes the request from the ExA for a SoCG with Llandudno Town Council. However, the responses from Llandudno Town Council have been limited and a SoCG is not considered necessary.
Betws yn Rhos and Llanelian yn Rhos Community Council	The Applicant notes the request from the ExA for a SoCG with Betws yn Rhos and Llanelian yn Rhos Community Council. However, the responses from Betws yn Rhos and Llanelian yn Rhos Community Council have been limited and a SoCG is not considered necessary.
Llanddulas and Rhyd Y Foel Community Council	The Applicant notes the request from the ExA for a SoCG with Llanddulas and Rhyd Y Foel Community Council. However, the responses from Llanddulas and Rhyd Y Foel Community Council have been limited and a SoCG is not considered necessary.
Natural Resources Wales (NRW)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▲ SoCG covering SLVIA and LVIA matters (SoCG 05);</li> <li>▲ SoCG covering offshore topics (excluding Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (SLVIA) (SoCG 06); and</li> <li>▲ SoCG covering onshore topics (excluding Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) (SoCG 07).</li> </ul>
Cadw	▲ SoCG covering onshore and offshore archaeology and cultural heritage (SoCG 08).
National Trust	▲ SoCG covering the matters raised in the Relevant Representation (RR-029)– Archaeology and cultural heritage, SLVIA, tourism and recreation (SoCG 09).
Isle of Man Government	SoCG covering the matters raised in their Relevant Representation (RR-027) – Commercial fisheries, offshore ornithology and marine mammals (SoCG 10).



INTERESTED PARTY	APPROACH TO COMMONALITY
National Air Traffic Services Ltd (NATS)	The Applicant notes the request from the ExA for a SoCG with NATS. However, discussions with NATS have focused on aviation safeguarding and progression of a commercial agreement to secure radar blanking mitigation. The Applicant understands that NATS is content to continue engaging on this matter via commercial means and does not consider a SoCG necessary.
Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA)	▲ SoCG covering matters related to shipping and navigation (SoCG 11).
Trinity House	▲ SoCG covering matters related to shipping and navigation (SoCG 12).
UK Chamber of Shipping (CoS)	▲ SoCG covering matters related to shipping and navigation (SoCG 13).
Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)	▲ SoCG covering offshore ornithology (SoCG 14).
Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)	▲ SoCG covering marine mammals (SoCG 15). The Applicant received correspondence from JNCC on 13 October 2022 indicating that JNCC was content to provide advice on marine mammals only.

INTERESTED PARTY	APPROACH TO COMMONALITY
Gwynedd Archaeological Planning Services (GAPS)	The Applicant notes the request for a SoCG with GAPS. However, the Applicant has engaged via correspondence with GAPS and received confirmation on 8 September 2022 indicating GAPS is content to provide advice via the relevant LPA in their advisory capacity, and therefore does not require a separate SoCG.
North Wales Wildlife Trust (NWWT)	SoCG covering onshore and offshore ecological matters (SoCG 16).
National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (National Grid)	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with National Grid. The Applicant is continuing discussions with National Grid and will update the ExA via the Status of Landowner Negotiations Table (REP3-005) and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
SP Energy Networks (SPEN) on behalf of SP Manweb (SPM)	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with SPEN (on behalf of SPM). The Applicant is continuing discussions with SPEN and will update the ExA via the Status of Landowner Negotiations Table (REP3-005) and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
Diamond Transmission Corporation	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with Diamond Transmission Corporation. The Applicant understands that Diamond Transmission Corporation is content to continue commercial discussions and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
EirGrid	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with EirGrid. The Applicant understands that EirGrid is content to continue commercial discussions outside of the DCO process and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.

INTERESTED PARTY	APPROACH TO COMMONALITY
Rhyl Flats Wind Farm Limited (Rhyl Flats)	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with Rhyl Flats. The Applicant is continuing discussions with Rhyl Flats and updated the ExA in response to Rhyl Flats' written representations (REP2-002) and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
North Hoyle Wind Farm Limited (North Hoyle)	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with North Hoyle. The Applicant understands that North Hoyle is content to continue commercial discussions. The Applicant updated the ExA in response to North Hoyle's written representations (REP2-002) and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited (Network Rail)	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with Network Rail. The Applicant is continuing discussions with Network Rail and will update the ExA via the Status of Landowner Negotiations Table (REP3-005) and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
Dwr Cymru Cyfyngedig (DC) / Welsh Water	The Applicant notes the ExA request for a SoCG with Welsh Water. The Applicant is continuing discussions with Welsh Water and will update the ExA via the Status of Landowner Negotiations Table (REP3-005) and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.
Parties not requested by the ExA in Annex H of the Rule 6 Letter	
Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)	The Applicant notes that the ExA has not requested a SoCG with the CAA and has therefore not progressed a SoCG.
Ministry of Defence (MoD)	The Applicant notes that the ExA has not requested a SoCG with MoD. The Applicant has engaged with the MoD during the pre-application process (see the Evidence Plan Report and its supporting appendices (APP-301, APP-302 and APP-303, respectively)) and notes that the

INTERESTED PARTY	APPROACH TO COMMONALITY
	MoD does not consider the project will have significant effects on its operations and has therefore not deemed it necessary to progress a SoCG.
Natural England	The Applicant notes that Natural England has expressed its desire not to register an interest in the Application, and therefore a SoCG with Natural England has not been deemed necessary. Natural England has been involved in the Evidence Plan process in relation to marine mammals and offshore ornithology (see the Evidence Plan Report and its supporting appendices (APP-301, APP-302 and APP-303, respectively)).
Gwynt y Môr Offshore Transmission Owner (Gwynt y Môr OFTO)	The Applicant notes that the ExA has not requested a SoCG with Gwynt y Môr OFTO, with whom a cable crossing and cable proximity agreement is required. The Applicant understands that Gwynt y Môr OFTO is content to continue commercial discussions and therefore does not consider a SoCG necessary.

### 3 Status of Commonality

- 7 Table 2 lists the individual SoCGs being developed between the Applicant and IPs with a summary of the current status of the document as of Deadline 4.

Table 2: List of documents and their status at Deadline 4.

DOCUMENT	STATUS AT DEADLINE 4
SoCG 01: NW LPAs	<p>The Applicant has submitted a draft SoCG at Document 4.31 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.</p> <p>The Applicant will continue to liaise with the NW LPAs and work towards submitting a final SoCG at a subsequent deadline.</p>
SoCG 02: Denbighshire County Council (DCC)	<p>The most recent submitted version of this SoCG is within the Examination Library at AS-047.</p> <p>The Applicant has since been in discussions with DCC and provided a revision to DCC 9 January 2023 for their review. The Applicant has not yet received comment from DCC however hopes to submit a revised SoCG to the Examination at Deadline 5.</p>
SoCG 03: Conwy County Borough Council (CCBC)	<p>The Applicant has submitted a draft SoCG at Document 4.32 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.</p> <p>The Applicant will continue to liaise with CCBC and work towards submitting a final SoCG at a subsequent deadline.</p>
SoCG 04: Isle of Anglesey County Council (IoACC)	<p>The most recent submitted version of this SoCG is within the Examination Library at REP3-018.</p>

DOCUMENT	STATUS AT DEADLINE 4
	The Applicant is in ongoing discussions with IoACC and hopes to submit a final version of the SoCG before the close of Examination.
SoCG 05: NRW - SLVIA	<p>The most recent submitted version of this SoCG is within the Examination Library at REP3-019.</p> <p>Discussions on some points are ongoing between the Applicant and NRW and the Applicant will submit an updated SoCG at Deadline 6.</p>
SoCG 06: NRW - Offshore	<p>The most recent submitted version of this SoCG is within the Examination Library at REP3-020.</p> <p>Discussions on some points are ongoing between the Applicant and NRW and the Applicant hopes to submit an updated SoCG at Deadline 6.</p>
SoCG 07: NRW - Onshore	<p>The most recent submitted version of this SoCG is within the Examination Library at REP3-021. Provided revision to NRW 19 January 2023</p> <p>Discussions on some points are ongoing between the Applicant and NRW. The Applicant provided a revised version to NRW on 19 January 2023 for their review. The Applicant has not yet received comment from NRW however hopes to submit a revised SoCG at Deadline 5.</p>
SoCG 08: Cadw	The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG to the Examination in Document 4.21 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.

DOCUMENT	STATUS AT DEADLINE 4
SoCG 09: National Trust	<p>The most recent submitted version of this SoCG is within the Examination Library at REP3-022.</p> <p>The Applicant met with National Trust on 19 January 2023 and provided a revised draft of the SoCG on 20 January 2023 for their review. National Trust confirmed on 26 January 2023 that they are still reviewing the SoCG and therefore it is hoped a revised version can be submitted to the Examination at Deadline 5.</p>
SoCG 10: Isle of Man (IoM)	<p>The Applicant has submitted a draft SoCG at Document 4.33 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.</p> <p>The Applicant will continue to liaise with IoM Government and work towards submitting a final SoCG at a subsequent deadline.</p>
SoCG 11: MCA	<p>The most recent submitted version of the SoCG is within the Examination Library at REP2-050.</p> <p>MCA have requested that the list of Marine Licence conditions they provided in their Marine Licence consultation response (REP3a-028) should be included in the Marine Licence Principles document. The Applicant has included these conditions within the latest Marine Licence Principles Document (Document 4.17 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission) and will confirm this with MCA. Once MCA confirm they are content with the conditions added, it is hoped that a final version of the SoCG can be agreed and submitted at Deadline 5.</p>



DOCUMENT	STATUS AT DEADLINE 4
SoCG 12: Trinity House	The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG to the Examination at Document 4.23 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.
SoCG 13: CoS	The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG to the Examination at Document 4.24 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.
SoCG 14: RSPB	Following issues with RSPB staff availability in late 2022, the Applicant has since liaised via email with RSPB in January 2023 and has arranged a meeting to discuss the draft SoCG scheduled for 9 February 2023. Shortly after, the Applicant hopes to submit a SoCG to the Examination at Deadline 6.
SoCG 15: JNCC	The Applicant has submitted a final SoCG to the Examination at Document 4.22 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission.
SoCG 16: NWWT	The Applicant has submitted a draft SoCG at Document 4.25 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission following a meeting with NWWT on 25 January 2023. The agreements log for onshore biodiversity remains under review by NWWT and the Applicant hopes to submit a further revision with this agreements log completed at Deadline 5.

## 4 Summary of Commonality

- 8 This section provides a summary of the topics covered in each of the SoCGs.
- 9 As the examination progresses, the Applicant will populate this table to show where there is a commonality in the topics or matters being discussed with the stakeholders.
- 10 Table 4 presents a summary of the topics covered in each of the stakeholder SoCGs, using the following colour coding in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of commonality key.

	Topic not relevant to the stakeholder or deferred.
	Awaiting inputs from the IP.
	Topic agreed.
	Ongoing point of discussion.
	Topic not agreed – no material impact.
	Topic not agreed – material impact.

Table 4: Summary of Commonality with each stakeholder in relation to each topic covered at Deadline 4.

SO CG	MARINE GEOLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY AND PHYSICAL PROCESSES	MARINE WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY	OFFSHORE ORNITHOLOGY	BENTHIC SUBTIDAL AND INTERTIDAL ECOLOGY	FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY	MARINE MAMMALS	COMMERCIAL FISHERIES	SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION	SEASCAPE, LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	OFFSHORE ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	OTHER MARINE USERS AND ACTIVITIES	MILITARY AND CIVIL AVIATION	ONSHORE LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	SOCIOECONOMICS	TOURISM AND RECREATION	ONSHORE BIODIVERSITY AND NATURE CONSERVATION	GROUND CONDITIONS AND LAND USE	HYDROLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY AND FLOOD RISK	ONSHORE ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT	AIRBORNE NOISE AND VIBRATION	AIR QUALITY	PUBLIC HEALTH
SoCG 01: NW LPAs									Green Yellow Yellow Red														
SoCG 02: DCC													Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green
SoCG 03: CCBC															Green Red Yellow				Green Red		Green Red Yellow		
SoCG 04: IoACC														Yellow									
SoCG 05: NRW - SLVIA/LVIA									Green Yellow				Green										
SoCG 06: NRW - Offshore	Green Yellow	Green Yellow	Green Yellow	Green Yellow	Green Yellow	Green Yellow																	

SO CG	MARINE GEOLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY AND PHYSICAL PROCESSES	MARINE WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY	OFFSHORE ORNITHOLOGY	BENTHIC SUBTIDAL AND INTERTIDAL ECOLOGY	FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY	MARINE MAMMALS	COMMERCIAL FISHERIES	SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION	SEASCAPE, LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	OFFSHORE ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	OTHER MARINE USERS AND ACTIVITIES	MILITARY AND CIVIL AVIATION	ONSHORE LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	SOCIOECONOMICS	TOURISM AND RECREATION	ONSHORE BIODIVERSITY AND NATURE CONSERVATION	GROUND CONDITIONS AND LAND USE	HYDROLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY AND FLOOD RISK	ONSHORE ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT	AIRBORNE NOISE AND VIBRATION	AIR QUALITY	PUBLIC HEALTH	
SoCG 07: NRW - Onshore																								
SoCG 08: Cadw																								
SoCG 09: National Trust																								
SoCG 10: Isle of Man																								
SoCG 11: MCA																								
SoCG 12: Trinity House																								
SoCG 13: CoS																								
SoCG 14: RSPB																								

SoCG	MARINE GEOLOGY, OCEANOGRAPHY AND PHYSICAL PROCESSES	MARINE WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY	OFFSHORE ORNITHOLOGY	BENTHIC SUBTIDAL AND INTERTIDAL ECOLOGY	FISH AND SHELLFISH ECOLOGY	MARINE MAMMALS	COMMERCIAL FISHERIES	SHIPPING AND NAVIGATION	SEASCAPE, LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	OFFSHORE ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	OTHER MARINE USERS AND ACTIVITIES	MILITARY AND CIVIL AVIATION	ONSHORE LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	SOCIOECONOMICS	TOURISM AND RECREATION	ONSHORE BIODIVERSITY AND NATURE CONSERVATION	GROUND CONDITIONS AND LAND USE	HYDROLOGY, HYDROGEOLOGY AND FLOOD RISK	ONSHORE ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT	AIRBORNE NOISE AND VIBRATION	AIR QUALITY	PUBLIC HEALTH
SoCG 15: JNCC																							
SoCG 16: NWWT																							

# 5 Additional commentary

## 5.1 Deadline 1

11 The Applicant has not been in a position to provide initial SoCGs to the ExA at Deadline 1. However, initial SoCGs have been issued to all parties (except where noted above in Table 2 and are actively engaging positively with all IPs identified). The ExA should be confident that, whilst the Applicant has not provided initial SoCGs at Deadline 1, that outstanding matters are being discussed with the relevant IPs, and an update will be provided at subsequent deadlines. As noted in Section 8 of the Preliminary Meeting Note (EV-008), the Applicant advised that submissions of SoCGs at Deadline 1 are not generally considered to be helpful unless it has been agreed with the IP. It is also noted that the LPAs present at the Preliminary Meeting were in agreement with this position.

## 5.2 Deadline 2

12 The Applicant has been progressing SoCGs with parties since Deadline 1. The Applicant has re-contacted all parties to progress SoCGs and hopes to be able to submit progressed SoCGs at Deadline 3.

13 The ExA should be confident that, whilst the Applicant has not provided a complete set of initial SoCGs at Deadline 2, outstanding matters are being discussed with the relevant IPs, and an update will be provided at subsequent deadlines.

## 5.3 Deadline 3

14 The Applicant is pleased to be progressing with the SoCGs and has submitted seven SoCGs into the Examination at this deadline, in addition to the SoCG with MCA submitted at Deadline 2. The Applicant is making good progress with other SoCGs yet to be submitted and is engaging with IPs to ensure these can be submitted as soon as practical for both parties. The Applicant is also continuing discussion in regard to topics under ongoing discussions and hopes to be able to submit updated SoCGs at subsequent deadlines once positions have been reached.

## 5.4 Deadline 3a

- 15 The Applicant has submitted two additional SoCGs into examination between Deadline 3 and Deadline 3a with DCC and Cadw (AS-046 and AS-047, accepted at the discretion of the Examining Authority).
- 16 The Applicant took actions from ISH2 to progress SoCGs and provide updates at Deadline 4. Since ISH2, the Applicant confirms that all parties where SoCGs are outstanding have been re-contacted with the aim of progressing discussions such that a meaningful update can be given to the ExA at Deadline 4. It is noted that it was stated by the Applicant in ISH2 that the SoCG with CoS had been provisionally agreed for submission at Deadline 3a, however further clarification is required and an update will be provided at Deadline 4.
- 17 The Applicant has issued its signed final versions of SoCGs to Cadw and JNCC and is awaiting their returned counter-signed versions. The Applicant hopes to be able to issue these two SoCGs as final signed versions at Deadline 4.

## 5.5 Deadline 4

- 18 In line with the discussions at ISH2 (Section 5 of REP3a-004) the Applicant has made progress on all SoCGs and submitted progressed SoCGs with the NW LPAs, CCBC, IoM Government and NWWT.
- 19 The Applicant has included final signed versions in its Deadline 4 submission for Cadw, JNCC, Trinity House and CoS.
- 20 The only outstanding SoCG yet to be submitted to the Examination is that with RSPB. The Applicant confirms that a meeting has been arranged with RSPB and it is hoped that a draft SoCG will be submitted at Deadline 6.
- 21 On all SoCGs not yet agreed as final, the Applicant will continue to liaise with the interested parties to progress discussions and will provide updates at the earliest opportunity.

## 6 Final positions

### 6.1 Cadw

23 A final SoCG has been submitted to the Examination (Document 4.21 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission). All matters covered by the SoCG in relation to both onshore and offshore archaeology are agreed. There are no outstanding areas of disagreement.

### 6.2 JNCC

24 A final SoCG has been submitted to the Examination (Document 4.22 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission). All matters covered by the SoCG in relation to marine mammals are agreed. There are no outstanding areas of disagreement.

### 6.3 Trinity House

25 A final SoCG has been submitted to the Examination (Document 4.23 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission). All matters covered by the SoCG in relation to shipping and navigation are agreed. There are no outstanding areas of disagreement.

### 6.4 Chamber of Shipping

26 A final SoCG has been submitted to the Examination (Document 4.24 of the Applicant's Deadline 4 submission). The majority of matters covered by the SoCG in relation to shipping and navigation are agreed.

27 Whilst there are outstanding areas of disagreement relating to consultation and the risks of allision, it is agreed between the parties that these disagreements have no material bearing on the outcomes of the shipping and navigation assessments, which are otherwise agreed.





RWE Renewables UK Swindon Limited

Windmill Hill Business Park  
Whitehill Way  
Swindon  
Wiltshire SN5 6PB  
T +44 (0)8456 720 090

Registered office:  
RWE Renewables UK Swindon Limited  
Windmill Hill Business Park  
Whitehill Way  
Swindon