

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Medworth](#)  
**Subject:** Wisbech incinerator  
**Date:** 03 July 2023 22:36:25

---

## Dear sir or Madam,

I'm writing to you regarding the proposed incinerator for our local area. I would like to bring to your attention the following points:

### Transport links:

The area where the proposed incinerator is meant to go is said to have good transport links according to the developer MCV. This is inaccurate. There is currently no bus that serves the proposed site. The transport links that are referenced in the documents reporting that services operate over the A47 they do not stop to collect passengers therefore would not be a viable option people trying to access your site.

### Traffic management:

The traffic survey has been based during the COVID-19 pandemic or on historic data. Once again this is not realistic, as studies show on average a person spends an extra 3 minutes a day stuck in traffic compared to 2018 (pre-pandemic). To give you an example in rush hour it takes me around 10 minutes in the car to move about 0.9 miles to get onto the A47 at the Elm Hall roundabout. Another point I would like to bring to your attention is that local rush hour traffic starts around 5.30 am due to local industry start times. Another example, I used to be able to travel to Kings Lynn in less than 30 minutes at 8 am in 2015 now takes me at least 44 minutes, another reason for historic data not be relied on.

The suggested routes for the lorries coming to site are subject to regular closures, to which drivers who do not know the area will go through town. You will not be able to stop this happening. An example is the Sutton St James bridge. Your solution would be they would follow the diversion which means that most lorries have to do an extra 40 mile round-trip in order to get to the desired location. The developer shows no consideration for the impact this will have on climate change?

Another point I would like to point out is that, we do not have service station that could accommodate the amount of lorries the developer is proposing to bring to the site. What happens when lorry drivers have to rest before their onward journey. They have no where to rest.

### People running for site:

The developer shows poor knowledge about our local area. they propose that they will employ local people, however not being close to any similar infrastructure, how do we have local people that could work there. The colleges or 6th forms possibly don't even offer courses for this sort of engineering course.

### Air quality:

Your studies again were done in the year 2020, 2021 where there was abnormally less amount of traffic. Therefore this will not give you an accurate picture of the current air

quality. What will you do if the air quality reaches unsafe levels? It is known that poverty areas, are at greater risk of developing chronic conditions such as asthma, which can cause an increase in hospital admission and death.

Local people:

The developer has purposely chosen this area due to its poverty, they report that they have consulted with a local people however, I haven't seen any translated documents into the most spoken languages around Wisbech, such as Lithuanian, Romanian, Portuguese, Latvian etc. Over 30% of the population in Wisbech, English is their second language. Therefore have difficulties understanding English or local systems. Therefore I don't believe, the implications this development will bring to local people, in terms that everyone can understand. As most wouldn't even know what an 'incinerator' means. Another point I would like to make, the reading age around this area is 9years old. Reading all the reports provided, I am not sure a 9 year old would understand them.

I'm very concerned that this project has not been given thoughtful consideration in thinking about how local people can have their say. They chose this location because most people would not retaliate because of their language skills, knowledge and life conditions.

You have also not made it available for people who do not have access to the internet to feedback to you about your project.

Please could I also bring to your attention the fact that, the incinerator will be very close to schools, and colleges. Also that the developer hasn't probably disclosed that's there is also a huge new housing estate due to open shortly at elm high road.

Please consider all of the above when considering the application.

Andreia Ferreira  
Sent from my iPhone

From: Andreia Ferreira <a[REDACTED]@icloud.com>  
Sent: 10 July 2023 16:03  
To: Medworth <Medworth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
Subject: Wisbech incinerator

Dear Sir or Madam,

I'm writing to you regarding the proposed incinerator for the area. I would like to bring to your attention the following points, that affect currently and will affect me in the long term.

The proposed incinerator is said to be positioned with good transport links. This shows that they have not done adequate research. There is currently no bus that serves the proposed site. The transport links referenced in their documents report public transport services operate over the A47 however 1. I'm almost sure the bus does not cover this stretch of the A47, and 2. It certainly doesn't stop to collect passengers as I have been it myself. Therefore this would not be a viable option people trying to access the site.

#### Traffic

Again, consideration has not been given for the amount of traffic specially during rush-hour or in the summer months. The data was based during the COVID-19 pandemic which does not show a true picture. For example it takes me around 10 minutes in the car to move about 0.9 miles just before 8.00 in order to get onto the A47 at the Elm Hall roundabout.

Suggested routes for the lorries coming to site are subject to regular closures, to which drivers who do not know the area will go through town. How will they stop this happening. An example is the Sutton St James bridge. The solution would be they would follow the diversion which means that most lorries have to do an extra 40 mile round-trip in order to get to the desired location. Have you considered the impact this will have on climate change? MVV have used historic data to evaluate the traffic impact. However, this will not be relevant as studies show that we are increasingly spending more time in traffic compared to 10 years ago. For example, I used to be able to travel to Kings Lynn in less than 30 minutes at 8 am in 2015 now takes me at least 44 minutes. Therefore historic data and data from 2020 shouldn't be used.

Another point I would like to point out is that, we do not have service station that could accommodate the amount of lorries being proposed for the site. What happens when lorry drivers has to rest before their onward journey.

This will also impact my health as I suffer with Asthma, and the increased traffic will certainly impact on my breathing due to the carbon monoxide.

#### People running the site

No consideration has been given for local people and whether they'll be any qualified people in the area that can be employed. Have you considered whether local colleges or A-levels or schools even offer the type of qualifications needed to be able to work at the site once built. Another consideration would be how deprive this area is and how MVV may struggle to find local people that can work at your site. Therefore a suggestion that they will employ local people may not be a realistic expectation.

#### Air quality

Your studies again were done in the year 2020, 2021 where that was abnormally less amount of traffic. Therefore this will not give you an accurate picture of the current air quality. What will MVV do if the air quality reaches unsafe levels.

MVV report having consulted with a local people however, I haven't seen any translated documents into the most spoken languages around Wisbech, such as Lithuanian, Romanian, Portuguese, Latvian etc. Over 30% of the population in Wisbech, English is their second language. Therefore have difficulties understanding English or local systems. Therefore I don't believe they have really explained well what the implications will be to local people in terms that everyone can understand. As most wouldn't even know what an incinerator means. Another point I would like to make, the reading age around this area is 9years old. Reading all the reports I fail to understand how a 9year old would understand them.

I'm very concerned that this project has not been given thoughtful consideration in thinking about how local people can have their say. This location has been chosen because most people would not retaliate because of their language or understanding what this project means to them.

The project has also not been made available for people who do not have access to the internet to feedback to you about the project.

Also most recently the Environmental report suggest that new infrastructures like this should think of the impact on the environment. have also learn recently that another incinerator has been approved for Boston, which is not very far but they have chosen a transport method that will be less detrimental to the environment. It's also suppose to be bringing more waste than the current project is proposing.

Please consider these points when considering the review of this application.

Regards  
Andreia Ferreira  
Sent from my iPhone