

WEBVTT – This file was automatically generated by event.video

00:00:01.600 --> 00:00:02.300
Okay, everyone.

1
00:00:05.100 --> 00:00:08.800
Thank you. Good morning. Everybody. Welcome to the issue

2
00:00:08.800 --> 00:00:12.000
specific hearing number four for the

3
00:00:11.600 --> 00:00:14.900
Seneca energy farm application. It's shade

4
00:00:14.900 --> 00:00:17.300
past 10:00 and this hearing is

5
00:00:17.300 --> 00:00:17.800
now open.

6
00:00:18.900 --> 00:00:21.500
Can I confirm that everybody can hear me clearly?

7
00:00:22.600 --> 00:00:26.000
Nodding at the back. Thank you very much. Can I

8
00:00:26.400 --> 00:00:29.800
check with the IT team? Yes, the live streaming has

9
00:00:29.800 --> 00:00:33.200
started and the recording. Thank you. I gathered

10
00:00:32.200 --> 00:00:35.700
there may have been one or two issues with

11
00:00:35.700 --> 00:00:39.200
connecting to the internet, which I

12
00:00:39.200 --> 00:00:42.500
can only apologize. It may be that you're able

13

00:00:42.500 --> 00:00:47.000
to connect using your own devices or

14
00:00:45.400 --> 00:00:49.200
whatever. I'm sure

15
00:00:49.200 --> 00:00:52.600
if the situation changes our it

16
00:00:52.600 --> 00:00:55.000
colleagues, we'll be able to let let us know.

17
00:00:56.700 --> 00:00:59.100
So, my name is Graeme key and I'm

18
00:00:59.100 --> 00:01:02.700
a planning inspector and solicitor employed by the planning
inspectorate and

19
00:01:02.700 --> 00:01:05.200
appointed by the Secretary of State for leveling up

20
00:01:05.200 --> 00:01:08.900
housing and communities as lead member of the panel examining

21
00:01:08.900 --> 00:01:09.600
this application.

22
00:01:10.500 --> 00:01:13.700
I'll ask the other members of the panel to introduce themselves now
starting

23
00:01:13.700 --> 00:01:15.400
on my left, okay?

24
00:01:22.600 --> 00:01:25.500
That should be better. Thank you and good morning everyone.

25
00:01:25.500 --> 00:01:28.300
I'm Karen Taylor. I'm a chartered Town

26

00:01:28.300 --> 00:01:32.200
planner and chartered member of the landscape Institute. I'm a

27

00:01:32.200 --> 00:01:35.200
member of a pool of qualified persons eligible to serve as

28

00:01:35.200 --> 00:01:38.500
an examining inspector, and I am appointed to

29

00:01:38.500 --> 00:01:41.800
this panel and employed by the planning inspectorate on

30

00:01:41.800 --> 00:01:43.100
a fixed term contract.

31

00:01:43.900 --> 00:01:45.600
Thank you, Ms. Taylor strictly.

32

00:01:46.600 --> 00:01:48.500
Good morning, everyone. Thank you.

33

00:01:49.400 --> 00:01:52.700
I'm guy Rigby. I'm a chartered civil engineer and

34

00:01:52.700 --> 00:01:55.900
a member of a pool of qualified persons eligible to

35

00:01:55.900 --> 00:01:57.900
serve as an examining inspector.

36

00:01:59.200 --> 00:02:02.600
And as Mrs. Taylor I'm appointed to

37

00:02:02.600 --> 00:02:05.900
this panel and employed by the planning inspectorate or

38

00:02:05.900 --> 00:02:06.800
a fixed term contract.

39

00:02:07.600 --> 00:02:10.600
And I'll be leading on the traffic and transport item later

40

00:02:10.600 --> 00:02:10.900
on.

41

00:02:11.600 --> 00:02:14.500
Thanks very much. I'll introduce our planning

42

00:02:14.500 --> 00:02:17.800
inspector at colleagues working with us. Today. We

43

00:02:17.800 --> 00:02:20.900
have Michelle Gregory who's in

44

00:02:20.900 --> 00:02:24.100
to my rights over there. She's one

45

00:02:23.100 --> 00:02:26.600
of the case managers leading the case team

46

00:02:26.600 --> 00:02:29.500
for these applications. The other case

47

00:02:29.500 --> 00:02:32.200
manager is Louise haraway. She's supporting us online

48

00:02:32.200 --> 00:02:35.500
and we're also assisted today by Kayla Atkins,

49

00:02:35.500 --> 00:02:37.900
who is who is also in the room.

50

00:02:39.600 --> 00:02:42.200
Now our rule six

51

00:02:42.200 --> 00:02:45.300
letter, I'll refer to which includes the web address which will
take

52

00:02:45.300 --> 00:02:48.600
you to information about the application and documents produced for

53

00:02:48.600 --> 00:02:49.300

the examination.

54

00:02:50.200 --> 00:02:53.900

Including the procedure timetable relevant representations

55

00:02:53.900 --> 00:02:56.200

and examination documents.

56

00:02:58.400 --> 00:03:01.500

Please look at the website if you haven't already

57

00:03:01.500 --> 00:03:05.100

done so because we'll be using it continuously to

58

00:03:04.100 --> 00:03:07.200

communicate with you and provide access to

59

00:03:07.200 --> 00:03:10.100

documents throughout the remainder of this application.

60

00:03:13.600 --> 00:03:16.600

So a few polymerie matters firstly to

61

00:03:16.600 --> 00:03:19.500

remind you all hearings in this examination are being

62

00:03:19.500 --> 00:03:22.500

live streamed and recorded to enable people to follow

63

00:03:22.500 --> 00:03:25.700

proceedings today and to watch or

64

00:03:25.700 --> 00:03:28.100

listen online later. If you are

65

00:03:28.100 --> 00:03:31.200

online today, please stay muted unless you are

66

00:03:31.200 --> 00:03:34.400

speaking and if you wish to speak to relevant points, please use the

67

00:03:34.400 --> 00:03:37.100

Microsoft teams hand up function and wait to

68

00:03:37.100 --> 00:03:40.400

be invited to speak or asked to speak at the relevant time

69

00:03:40.400 --> 00:03:43.300

alternatively turn on your camera so we

70

00:03:43.300 --> 00:03:44.500

can see you wish to speak.

71

00:03:45.400 --> 00:03:48.500

The recordings we make are retained and published.

72

00:03:48.500 --> 00:03:51.400

So they form a public record that can contain your

73

00:03:51.400 --> 00:03:54.600

personal information and to which the general data

74

00:03:54.600 --> 00:03:57.300

protection regulation applies. If you feel

75

00:03:57.300 --> 00:04:00.600

that personal information is necessary. We would

76

00:04:00.600 --> 00:04:03.100

ask you to provide this in a written document that can be

77

00:04:03.100 --> 00:04:05.100

redacted before publication.

78

00:04:05.900 --> 00:04:08.500

somebody have any questions on that particular matter

79

00:04:10.100 --> 00:04:10.500

Q

80

00:04:13.200 --> 00:04:14.600

so the

81

00:04:16.300 --> 00:04:19.500

hearing today is to enable us the

82

00:04:19.500 --> 00:04:22.300

examining authority to hear further on

83

00:04:22.300 --> 00:04:25.900

environmental matters and on the draft development consent

84

00:04:25.900 --> 00:04:26.200

order.

85

00:04:27.300 --> 00:04:31.100

Reminder that the deadline for post hearing

86

00:04:30.100 --> 00:04:33.100

submissions is deadline seven, which is

87

00:04:33.100 --> 00:04:36.400

three, March 20203.

88

00:04:38.200 --> 00:04:42.300

I'll just run through the the high level

89

00:04:42.300 --> 00:04:45.100

agenda. We're on item one,

90

00:04:45.100 --> 00:04:47.400

which is welcome introductions and Arrangements.

91

00:04:48.400 --> 00:04:52.400

Item two is landscape and visual impact item

92

00:04:51.400 --> 00:04:54.800

three historical environment item for

93

00:04:54.800 --> 00:04:58.100
in combination impacts. Those issues

94

00:04:57.100 --> 00:04:59.900
will be led by Mrs. Taylor.

95

00:05:00.900 --> 00:05:04.000
We're then moved to item 5 traffic and

96

00:05:03.400 --> 00:05:06.900
transport which will be led by Mr.

97

00:05:06.900 --> 00:05:09.400
Rigby and then item six

98

00:05:09.400 --> 00:05:13.200
which I don't expect we'll get to until tomorrow which

99

00:05:12.200 --> 00:05:15.400
will be the draft development and consent order

100

00:05:15.400 --> 00:05:16.800
and related matters.

101

00:05:18.900 --> 00:05:21.500
Item 7 any other matters which

102

00:05:21.500 --> 00:05:24.700
the panel may wish to consider item 8

103

00:05:24.700 --> 00:05:27.400
next steps and item 9 close of

104

00:05:27.400 --> 00:05:27.800
hearing.

105

00:05:30.100 --> 00:05:33.600
So a couple of housekeeping points fire

106

00:05:33.600 --> 00:05:36.700
alarm. I've not been notified of any scheduled

107

00:05:36.700 --> 00:05:39.400
fire alarm. So if the fire alarm

108

00:05:39.400 --> 00:05:42.800
goes straight out the door, well,

109

00:05:42.800 --> 00:05:45.500
actually you think going to be easier to

110

00:05:45.500 --> 00:05:45.800
go.

111

00:05:47.200 --> 00:05:48.000
Yes, sir.

112

00:05:50.400 --> 00:05:54.000
Exit through there. Yes. Okay. And

113

00:05:53.100 --> 00:05:54.800
where does that lead to?

114

00:05:57.300 --> 00:06:00.300
Yeah, okay, so it's going to be better to go out of there and round
into

115

00:06:00.300 --> 00:06:01.200
the playground at the back.

116

00:06:08.800 --> 00:06:11.100
Okay. Thank you. Is everybody clear about that?

117

00:06:13.300 --> 00:06:17.100
Thank you, and we're toilet South

118

00:06:16.100 --> 00:06:19.700
through that Corridor to

119

00:06:19.700 --> 00:06:20.000
my right.

120

00:06:21.200 --> 00:06:25.000

brakes will take a short break at approximately

121

00:06:24.400 --> 00:06:27.900

half past 11 for about 20 minutes

122

00:06:27.900 --> 00:06:30.700

and we'll probably lunch

123

00:06:30.700 --> 00:06:34.500

around about 1pm and then

124

00:06:37.400 --> 00:06:40.500

With probably come back at two and we're

125

00:06:40.500 --> 00:06:43.700

aim to be finished by five o'clock with

126

00:06:43.700 --> 00:06:46.200

a break mid-afternoon.

127

00:06:48.200 --> 00:06:50.300

depending on where we get to if

128

00:06:51.500 --> 00:06:53.000

I'm anticipating that.

129

00:06:54.200 --> 00:06:57.400

By this afternoon will be into traffic and transport and

130

00:06:57.400 --> 00:07:00.300

if there is a fighting chance of

131

00:07:00.300 --> 00:07:03.200

being able to finish the agenda on that item at the end of

132

00:07:03.200 --> 00:07:06.600

the day, then we'll look

133

00:07:06.600 --> 00:07:07.800

we'll look to do that.

134

00:07:10.800 --> 00:07:13.400

That then will enable us to

135

00:07:13.400 --> 00:07:16.500

focus tomorrow on the draft development consent order

136

00:07:16.500 --> 00:07:19.900

and related matters it being

137

00:07:19.900 --> 00:07:23.400

Friday. I don't suppose anybody particularly

138

00:07:22.400 --> 00:07:25.700

wishes to go on late

139

00:07:25.700 --> 00:07:28.300

into the afternoon. It is

140

00:07:28.300 --> 00:07:28.900

a possibility.

141

00:07:31.200 --> 00:07:33.500

So that's the high level agenda.

142

00:07:35.500 --> 00:07:37.700

I'll turn to introductions now.

143

00:07:38.700 --> 00:07:41.400

There are one or two people online,

144

00:07:41.400 --> 00:07:44.300

but I'll adopt my usual practice of going around the

145

00:07:44.300 --> 00:07:46.500

room first.

146

00:07:48.900 --> 00:07:52.500

So I'll start with the

147

00:07:51.500 --> 00:07:54.000
applicant. I think

148

00:07:54.400 --> 00:07:56.600
good morning again, Mr. Turney.

149

00:07:58.800 --> 00:08:01.700
Good morning. It's Richard Turney here for

150

00:08:01.700 --> 00:08:05.400
the applicant. I'm instructed by Pinson

151

00:08:04.400 --> 00:08:07.500
Mason's and assisted by Merlin

152

00:08:07.500 --> 00:08:10.200
and friend Nick Grant. He sits to my right I think

153

00:08:10.200 --> 00:08:13.500
for the first run of matters this

154

00:08:13.500 --> 00:08:17.200
morning the team that I've got at the table with me John Rooney

155

00:08:16.200 --> 00:08:19.900
on landscape matters Andy Wakefield

156

00:08:19.900 --> 00:08:23.300
on trees and Neil

157

00:08:22.300 --> 00:08:26.300
McNabb on Heritage. You just

158

00:08:26.300 --> 00:08:29.700
say those names again, please yes, of course John Rooney that's

159

00:08:29.700 --> 00:08:30.400
landscape.

160

00:08:31.500 --> 00:08:33.300

Yes, Andy, Wakefield.

161

00:08:34.100 --> 00:08:37.600

Trees. Yes and Neil McNab.

162

00:08:38.100 --> 00:08:41.400

Heritage so you haven't met Mr. McNabb before

163

00:08:41.400 --> 00:08:44.900

I think but Amy Jones is

164

00:08:44.900 --> 00:08:47.100

unavailable today to come to

165

00:08:47.100 --> 00:08:50.400

the hearing. So Mr. McNabb one of her colleagues,

166

00:08:50.400 --> 00:08:54.100

and another Heritage expert at Acom is

167

00:08:53.100 --> 00:08:56.100

in her shoes.

168

00:08:57.300 --> 00:09:00.100

Okay, thank you very much. Right, so we'll go to

169

00:09:00.100 --> 00:09:03.700

the other side of the table and work around and I

170

00:09:03.700 --> 00:09:06.800

think we've got West Suffolk council.

171

00:09:06.800 --> 00:09:09.800

Is that right? Who represents at Miss? Pereg?

172

00:09:09.800 --> 00:09:12.400

Yes. Good morning. Sir, Richie Burke for

173

00:09:12.400 --> 00:09:16.000

West offer Council. I'm instructed by Claire riches.

174

00:09:15.800 --> 00:09:18.800

And so I have with me Jackie Fisher

175

00:09:18.800 --> 00:09:21.100

who sits to my right who senior landscape and ecology

176

00:09:21.100 --> 00:09:21.600

officer.

177

00:09:22.700 --> 00:09:25.700

Yes, and I also have David Beaton who's

178

00:09:25.700 --> 00:09:27.300

our principal planning officer.

179

00:09:29.200 --> 00:09:32.700

Yes, unclear Oliver are planning officer. Sorry Claire

180

00:09:32.700 --> 00:09:34.000

Oliver planning officer.

181

00:09:39.200 --> 00:09:41.000

And so Mr. Batons first name?

182

00:09:42.700 --> 00:09:43.000

David

183

00:09:46.200 --> 00:09:46.600

thank you very much.

184

00:09:47.600 --> 00:09:50.300

Respect for good morning. Good morning, sir. My name

185

00:09:50.300 --> 00:09:53.600

is Michael Bedford Kings Council. I'm a

186

00:09:53.600 --> 00:09:56.100

barrister. I'm instructed by coren McGrath of

187

00:09:56.100 --> 00:10:01.200

Suffolk County Council. And today you

188

00:09:59.200 --> 00:10:02.600
may hear from in

189

00:10:02.600 --> 00:10:06.200
addition to me on landscape

190

00:10:05.200 --> 00:10:08.800
matters is old cutting who's

191

00:10:08.800 --> 00:10:11.600
the senior landscape officer with the County Council. She's

192

00:10:11.600 --> 00:10:14.500
actually sitting down at the corner with

193

00:10:14.500 --> 00:10:17.700
the Cambridge year team because she's also jointly assisting

194

00:10:17.700 --> 00:10:20.900
the Cambridge year team, but if

195

00:10:20.900 --> 00:10:23.800
I need to ask her to speak then that's where

196

00:10:23.800 --> 00:10:26.500
she is. Thank you and then this afternoon it may

197

00:10:26.500 --> 00:10:30.100
be that it will be Julia Cox Cox

198

00:10:29.100 --> 00:10:32.700
Senior Transport engineer with the

199

00:10:32.700 --> 00:10:35.400
County Council who is sitting at the table too down

200

00:10:35.400 --> 00:10:35.700
from me.

201

00:10:37.500 --> 00:10:39.200

Good morning. Thank you.

202

00:10:40.700 --> 00:10:45.000

So then we've got Cambridge County

203

00:10:44.600 --> 00:10:45.900

Council I believe.

204

00:10:47.800 --> 00:10:51.600

Good morning. Yeah, good morning. Semester Hashima

205

00:10:50.600 --> 00:10:53.600

Hamid Council joint the

206

00:10:53.600 --> 00:10:57.800

instructed by Mr. Andrew Philips sits

207

00:10:56.800 --> 00:10:59.900

to my left on behalf of East Cambridgeshire

208

00:10:59.900 --> 00:11:03.700

District Council and Mr. David carford behalf

209

00:11:02.700 --> 00:11:06.100

of Cambridge County Council

210

00:11:05.100 --> 00:11:07.400

you have already

211

00:11:08.500 --> 00:11:11.300

Being introduced to Mrs. Esold casting who

212

00:11:11.300 --> 00:11:14.500

sits to my right and she'll be

213

00:11:14.500 --> 00:11:17.800

speaking to a gender item two for us as

214

00:11:17.800 --> 00:11:20.400

well. And then you will

215

00:11:20.400 --> 00:11:23.600

also have Mr. Christopher Patrick on a

216

00:11:23.600 --> 00:11:26.600

gender item three on Heritage and build Heritage

217

00:11:26.600 --> 00:11:31.100

related issues. Miss Camilla

218

00:11:29.100 --> 00:11:33.300

Rhodes. Who's the asset

219

00:11:32.300 --> 00:11:35.200

information manager on behalf

220

00:11:35.200 --> 00:11:38.200

of Cambridge County Council, you'll be

221

00:11:38.200 --> 00:11:41.500

hearing from her on a gender, right and to three and

222

00:11:41.500 --> 00:11:45.600

four and online. We have Miss

223

00:11:44.600 --> 00:11:47.800

Deborah Ahmed who's title.

224

00:11:47.800 --> 00:11:50.200

I'm afraid at escapes me for a moment. I'll find that

225

00:11:50.200 --> 00:11:53.900

for you. She will be speaking to you in relation

226

00:11:53.900 --> 00:11:56.600

to item for

227

00:11:56.600 --> 00:11:59.700

Ecology and biodiversity matters.

228

00:12:00.800 --> 00:12:01.500

right we

229

00:12:03.400 --> 00:12:06.500

I don't know if it's right or not. We've got this we've got on my

230

00:12:06.500 --> 00:12:09.800

tendence list Deborah Ahmed for traffic

231

00:12:09.800 --> 00:12:12.500

and transport. Is that no, right? No.

232

00:12:12.500 --> 00:12:16.200

She's the ecology officer. Right and my

233

00:12:15.200 --> 00:12:18.300

last point about she's the

234

00:12:18.300 --> 00:12:23.300

ecology office or for us and she's on the screen the agenda

235

00:12:21.300 --> 00:12:25.100

item five for which

236

00:12:24.100 --> 00:12:27.700

we have things to

237

00:12:27.700 --> 00:12:28.600

say. I'm afraid.

238

00:12:29.400 --> 00:12:32.200

The two people who were we were going

239

00:12:32.200 --> 00:12:35.000

to call upon our unfortunately and unable to

240

00:12:35.100 --> 00:12:38.500

join us and beyond their control and not feeling very well. So

241

00:12:38.500 --> 00:12:41.300

what we are going to suggest that we do is we

242

00:12:41.300 --> 00:12:44.400

make comment on a few items when we get to that but actually

243

00:12:44.400 --> 00:12:47.300

all of our thoughts have either already been covered in

244

00:12:47.300 --> 00:12:50.400

the written materials or we will submit as

245

00:12:50.400 --> 00:12:53.600

part of deadlines 7 to just sort of help you also

246

00:12:53.600 --> 00:12:56.300

circumvent in terms of timings and ensuring that

247

00:12:56.300 --> 00:12:59.100

we we try and get through as much as possible. So we have a

248

00:12:59.100 --> 00:13:02.300

lot say on a gender item five will save it for the written materials

249

00:13:02.300 --> 00:13:05.700

towards deadline seven for your benefit. Thank you

250

00:13:05.700 --> 00:13:06.900

very much, Mr. Hashi.

251

00:13:08.900 --> 00:13:09.200

No.

252

00:13:14.200 --> 00:13:17.900

That so I think is the local authorities, isn't

253

00:13:17.900 --> 00:13:19.700

it? So

254

00:13:21.600 --> 00:13:25.000

Just going around the room. I have the Aging,

255

00:13:24.000 --> 00:13:25.100
right?

256

00:13:26.900 --> 00:13:27.100
No.

257

00:13:28.400 --> 00:13:29.400
Just because I'll go.

258

00:13:30.800 --> 00:13:32.000
Mr. Right

259

00:13:34.800 --> 00:13:35.100
No.

260

00:13:38.300 --> 00:13:41.800
So next then you're good self Mr. Kazalco.

261

00:13:41.800 --> 00:13:42.300
Good morning.

262

00:13:43.500 --> 00:13:46.300
Sorry, oh, I

263

00:13:46.300 --> 00:13:47.400
do apologize.

264

00:13:56.300 --> 00:14:00.000
That I speak on behalf of the same

265

00:13:59.600 --> 00:14:03.000
Seneca group. Yes, and I

266

00:14:02.300 --> 00:14:05.900
am leading Mr. Gazelko who you know better

267

00:14:05.900 --> 00:14:06.300
than me.

268

00:14:07.700 --> 00:14:09.600
Because he has been here a number of occasions.

269
00:14:10.700 --> 00:14:11.500
So we will be.

270
00:14:12.300 --> 00:14:15.200
Calling Mr. John Jeff cock who's on my

271
00:14:15.200 --> 00:14:15.500
left.

272
00:14:16.500 --> 00:14:20.700
Who is landscape architect with Michelle bulger's landscape

273
00:14:19.700 --> 00:14:23.400
architect consultancy? Yes,

274
00:14:22.400 --> 00:14:25.600
and Mr. Richard hogget who

275
00:14:25.600 --> 00:14:28.900
is to his left? Who is speaking

276
00:14:28.900 --> 00:14:31.400
on Heritage the Heritage

277
00:14:31.400 --> 00:14:31.900
consultant?

278
00:14:32.900 --> 00:14:35.900
So Mr. Dominic Woodfield the same

279
00:14:35.900 --> 00:14:38.600
position as one of the officers of East Cambria

280
00:14:38.600 --> 00:14:41.300
and Cambridge County Council, he is

281
00:14:41.300 --> 00:14:45.000
an ecologist but he is this week has

282

00:14:44.200 --> 00:14:47.500

been notified some time ago on leave.

283

00:14:48.400 --> 00:14:51.500

And therefore will not be able to give evidence

284

00:14:51.500 --> 00:14:54.400

directly. But in respect of

285

00:14:54.400 --> 00:14:57.600

those particular matters comments will be able to be made on

286

00:14:57.600 --> 00:14:59.000

his behalf. So bias.

287

00:14:59.600 --> 00:15:00.200

Thank you very much.

288

00:15:01.800 --> 00:15:05.700

So then Robin

289

00:15:04.700 --> 00:15:06.200

Upton.

290

00:15:09.600 --> 00:15:12.500

John Murray Murray

291

00:15:13.900 --> 00:15:16.100

now say no

292

00:15:16.100 --> 00:15:16.900

to Sonica.

293

00:15:18.100 --> 00:15:21.500

Let me just clarify the

294

00:15:21.500 --> 00:15:24.600

position Visa V YouTube mystical

295

00:15:24.600 --> 00:15:27.400
Mr. Steele. Just who exactly are

296
00:15:27.400 --> 00:15:28.400
you representing this morning?

297
00:15:30.900 --> 00:15:33.200
Yes, we're representing say no Sonica, sir.

298
00:15:33.900 --> 00:15:37.400
And have been throughout you're not

299
00:15:37.400 --> 00:15:40.100
representing the Horseman's the Horseman's groups. We do

300
00:15:40.100 --> 00:15:43.300
also represent separately. Yes, but they are

301
00:15:43.300 --> 00:15:46.700
not making representations directly. It's through say no

302
00:15:46.700 --> 00:15:49.200
to Sonica with whom they agree, right? Okay, that's clear.

303
00:15:49.200 --> 00:15:49.600
Thank you.

304
00:15:50.600 --> 00:15:53.600
So next I have iselham Parish Council.

305
00:15:53.600 --> 00:15:55.600
Are they here? Good morning, sir.

306
00:15:57.300 --> 00:16:00.300
I'm Richard Ludington on the parish Clark. I'm speaking

307
00:16:00.300 --> 00:16:03.500
on behalf of Richard Radcliffe. Who's the chair of the parish
council

308
00:16:03.500 --> 00:16:05.900

unfortunate has a Hospital appointment today? Yes.

309

00:16:06.700 --> 00:16:10.900

Sorry, Richard Lyddington Liam ing

310

00:16:10.900 --> 00:16:11.800

ton.

311

00:16:15.600 --> 00:16:19.100

Thank you and Willington Parish Council.

312

00:16:18.100 --> 00:16:21.700

Yes, I'm Leslie Osborne, Wellington

313

00:16:21.700 --> 00:16:22.400

Parish Council.

314

00:16:28.700 --> 00:16:31.600

Thank you, Chippenham Parish Council.

315

00:16:38.100 --> 00:16:38.900

Very good. Thank you.

316

00:16:46.500 --> 00:16:49.100

Right. I think that covers everybody on

317

00:16:49.100 --> 00:16:50.800

my list who's supposed to be here.

318

00:16:51.900 --> 00:16:55.200

today, but just

319

00:16:54.200 --> 00:16:55.500

check

320

00:16:56.700 --> 00:17:00.600

online if there is anybody who I

321

00:16:59.600 --> 00:17:02.700

have missed out who is down

322

00:17:02.700 --> 00:17:03.000
to speak.

323

00:17:04.900 --> 00:17:05.200
today

324

00:17:07.200 --> 00:17:07.900
can't see any.

325

00:17:09.300 --> 00:17:09.600
and

326

00:17:11.800 --> 00:17:14.200
No, okay, good. Well, that's the introductions.

327

00:17:15.900 --> 00:17:19.100
We're now shortly hand

328

00:17:18.100 --> 00:17:21.200
over to Mrs. Taylor

329

00:17:21.200 --> 00:17:25.900
to take up item two before

330

00:17:24.900 --> 00:17:27.100
I do I'll just

331

00:17:29.400 --> 00:17:32.600
Draw the hearings attention to the

332

00:17:32.600 --> 00:17:36.500
documents that have been submitted laterally at

333

00:17:36.500 --> 00:17:38.900
deadlines five and six.

334

00:17:39.900 --> 00:17:43.800
And which include the change request

335

00:17:43.800 --> 00:17:46.000

which has now been?

336

00:17:47.600 --> 00:17:50.700

the subject of a procedural decision

337

00:17:50.700 --> 00:17:53.600

to accept into the examination

338

00:17:54.800 --> 00:17:54.800

um

339

00:17:56.500 --> 00:18:00.100

In preparing for the earrings this week. We've given due

340

00:17:59.100 --> 00:18:02.200

regard and consideration to all this material.

341

00:18:03.300 --> 00:18:07.200

And all the materials submitted up to and including deadline six.

342

00:18:08.200 --> 00:18:12.700

Which was 13th of January this year the draw

343

00:18:12.700 --> 00:18:15.200

your attention also to additional material that has been

344

00:18:15.200 --> 00:18:18.200

submitted by the applicant since deadline six.

345

00:18:19.200 --> 00:18:22.100

And there's an exchange of Correspondence which is

346

00:18:22.100 --> 00:18:25.700

published on the infrastructure website, which you

347

00:18:25.700 --> 00:18:28.300

can get to by looking at the

348

00:18:28.300 --> 00:18:32.000

relevant sections the PD section of the examination Library.

349

00:18:32.900 --> 00:18:36.100

Has the examining authorities

350

00:18:35.100 --> 00:18:39.400

correspondence and the as

351

00:18:38.400 --> 00:18:41.600

section the additional submission

352

00:18:41.600 --> 00:18:44.600

section of the library contains the

353

00:18:44.600 --> 00:18:48.100

letters from the applicant.

354

00:18:47.100 --> 00:18:50.300

So the update up to date position as far

355

00:18:50.300 --> 00:18:55.600

as the outstanding documents that we requested remains as

356

00:18:55.600 --> 00:18:59.600

I understand it with that

357

00:18:58.600 --> 00:19:01.300

letter of the seventh of

358

00:19:01.300 --> 00:19:05.000

February which was sent to us by

359

00:19:04.300 --> 00:19:06.300

the applicant.

360

00:19:07.500 --> 00:19:10.600

I don't expect much to change the last 24 hours,

361

00:19:10.600 --> 00:19:13.200

Mr. Attorney said that remains the position.

362

00:19:16.900 --> 00:19:19.400

So as I

363

00:19:19.400 --> 00:19:21.200

say we will now.

364

00:19:21.900 --> 00:19:24.800

Continuing to examine the revised application including

365

00:19:24.800 --> 00:19:26.000

those further changes.

366

00:19:29.200 --> 00:19:32.600

Are there any questions of a procedural nature before

367

00:19:32.600 --> 00:19:35.000

I hand over to Mrs. Taylor?

368

00:19:37.400 --> 00:19:39.500

Okay. Thank you very much and over to you.

369

00:19:42.200 --> 00:19:45.800

Thank you, Mr. Keane. Well, the way I would like to run the

370

00:19:45.800 --> 00:19:48.100

first sessions are that we will start

371

00:19:48.100 --> 00:19:51.300

with two items relating to landscape and visual

372

00:19:51.300 --> 00:19:54.800

impact that we're either not covered or not concluded

373

00:19:54.800 --> 00:19:57.900

towards the end of issue specific

374

00:19:57.900 --> 00:20:00.500

hearing too. So that's namely items

375

00:20:00.500 --> 00:20:03.000

for DNE from that agenda.

376

00:20:03.700 --> 00:20:07.000

Then we will move on with item a

377

00:20:06.100 --> 00:20:10.000

gender item three historic environment

378

00:20:09.300 --> 00:20:13.700

to revisit a couple of issues from

379

00:20:12.700 --> 00:20:16.000

historic environment, and then

380

00:20:15.300 --> 00:20:18.400

we'll move on to a gender item for

381

00:20:18.400 --> 00:20:22.000

which is the in combination impacts on specific

382

00:20:21.400 --> 00:20:24.300

land Parcels identified by the local

383

00:20:24.300 --> 00:20:25.100

authorities.

384

00:20:26.300 --> 00:20:28.800

So starting with the landscape and visual impact.

385

00:20:29.800 --> 00:20:31.100

and the first item here

386

00:20:33.600 --> 00:20:36.400

I included these Parcels of land on

387

00:20:36.400 --> 00:20:41.200

the agenda namely land Parcels, e19

388

00:20:39.200 --> 00:20:43.300

E20 E21 and

389

00:20:43.300 --> 00:20:46.800

e22 south of Elms Road part of

390

00:20:46.800 --> 00:20:49.900

Seneca East BM on

391

00:20:49.900 --> 00:20:52.500

the agenda last

392

00:20:52.500 --> 00:20:55.700

time and there were landscape related issues

393

00:20:55.700 --> 00:20:57.000

that were apparent on our

394

00:20:57.700 --> 00:21:01.000

ASI on the 3rd of November. So towards

395

00:21:00.100 --> 00:21:04.500

the end of the last hearing Mr. Turney

396

00:21:03.500 --> 00:21:07.600

for the applicant mentioned the

397

00:21:07.600 --> 00:21:10.600

applicant was giving further consideration to the to

398

00:21:10.600 --> 00:21:13.300

the land parcel south of Elms

399

00:21:13.300 --> 00:21:16.100

Road in relation to mitigation. I think

400

00:21:16.100 --> 00:21:19.200

mainly I know that recent submissions have

401

00:21:19.200 --> 00:21:23.000

indicated proposed temporary screening fencing and

402

00:21:22.900 --> 00:21:25.200

enhanced Hedgerow planting on

403

00:21:25.200 --> 00:21:28.900

the Southeast boundary facing the permanent Caravan

404

00:21:28.900 --> 00:21:31.500

site could the African please

405

00:21:31.500 --> 00:21:32.600

clarify this

406

00:21:34.700 --> 00:21:38.000

It rich attorney for the applicant. Thank you.

407

00:21:37.800 --> 00:21:40.800

Yes, that's right. The updated

408

00:21:40.800 --> 00:21:43.600

environmental master plan,

409

00:21:43.600 --> 00:21:46.600

which was submitted a

410

00:21:46.600 --> 00:21:48.700

deadline seven. Sorry a deadline.

411

00:21:50.100 --> 00:21:53.800

Sit five, sorry to line five. Sorry inability to

412

00:21:53.800 --> 00:21:57.900

count deadline five shows additional

413

00:21:56.900 --> 00:21:59.500

changes in those areas. It

414

00:21:59.500 --> 00:22:03.100

might be best. If Mr. Rooney just briefly talks

415

00:22:02.100 --> 00:22:05.200

through what those changes are and the

416

00:22:05.200 --> 00:22:07.200

reason for them. So I'll hand over to him if I may.

417

00:22:10.400 --> 00:22:13.700

Good morning, John ruining for the applicants your subsequent

418

00:22:13.700 --> 00:22:17.000

to the company site inspection. We've

419

00:22:16.300 --> 00:22:20.800

held two meetings with the local authorities and looked

420

00:22:19.800 --> 00:22:22.800

at the mitigation across the the whole

421

00:22:22.800 --> 00:22:25.400

scheme, but a particular Focus

422

00:22:25.400 --> 00:22:26.600

around Elms Road.

423

00:22:27.300 --> 00:22:30.400

If I can just walk you through the mitigation, we're

424

00:22:30.400 --> 00:22:36.000

proposing around these panels. So this is a group of four Parcels approximately

425

00:22:34.200 --> 00:22:37.500

40 hectares in size

426

00:22:37.500 --> 00:22:40.500

with a regular pattern. They're divided

427

00:22:40.500 --> 00:22:44.100

by belts of trees and shrubs including quite

428

00:22:43.100 --> 00:22:45.300

distinctive Pine lines.

429

00:22:46.300 --> 00:22:49.900

And their boarded by existing hedgerows except

430

00:22:49.900 --> 00:22:52.400

for where you've just mentioned on the

431

00:22:52.400 --> 00:22:56.200

Eastern side of E20 where there's a small

432

00:22:55.200 --> 00:22:56.800

traveler site.

433

00:22:58.200 --> 00:23:01.400

We've reviewed the mitigation that

434

00:23:01.400 --> 00:23:04.600

we're proposing to strengthen those vegetation belts.

435

00:23:05.400 --> 00:23:08.400

And looked at where we can increase the width

436

00:23:08.400 --> 00:23:11.200

of that planting. So along the Eastern edge of

437

00:23:11.200 --> 00:23:14.400

E20. We're committing to extending the wit

438

00:23:14.400 --> 00:23:15.700

for the planting there 15 meters.

439

00:23:16.500 --> 00:23:18.000

And along the northern Edge.

440

00:23:20.200 --> 00:23:23.500

Just slow down a little bit, please sure so along

441

00:23:23.500 --> 00:23:27.000

the Eastern edge of e-20. Yes

442

00:23:26.400 --> 00:23:29.200

the width of planting

443

00:23:29.200 --> 00:23:30.000
we 15 meters.

444
00:23:32.100 --> 00:23:33.800
That's a belt of trees and shrubs.

445
00:23:34.700 --> 00:23:36.600
and the density of planting

446
00:23:37.300 --> 00:23:40.600
in that location would be between 1.2 and 1.8

447
00:23:40.600 --> 00:23:43.400
meter centers. So dense planting

448
00:23:43.400 --> 00:23:46.300
predominantly shrubs to enhance visual screening.

449
00:23:47.500 --> 00:23:51.100
Along with offense which would be installed prior

450
00:23:50.100 --> 00:23:51.500
to Construction.

451
00:23:54.900 --> 00:23:57.400
Thank you very much for that and whilst we're

452
00:23:57.400 --> 00:24:00.500
sort of in this area. So to speak what about the other side

453
00:24:00.500 --> 00:24:03.800
of those land Parcels so facing Brookside Farm,

454
00:24:03.800 --> 00:24:06.400
are there any further mitigation proposals?

455
00:24:07.400 --> 00:24:08.900
for that side of the

456
00:24:09.800 --> 00:24:12.400
Land Parcels? Yes. So the the

457

00:24:12.400 --> 00:24:13.800
western side of e19.

458

00:24:14.700 --> 00:24:18.300
And there's there's already a hydro separating

459

00:24:17.300 --> 00:24:20.400
the Brookside stud from

460

00:24:20.400 --> 00:24:22.600
The Parsley 19.

461

00:24:23.400 --> 00:24:26.600
And we're proposing to reinforce that with additional.

462

00:24:28.200 --> 00:24:31.000
About trees and shrubs up to 25 meters.

463

00:24:32.200 --> 00:24:34.800
And that would accommodate the proposed permissive path.

464

00:24:36.500 --> 00:24:40.300
Which would connect on the southern side? Sorry 25

465

00:24:39.300 --> 00:24:43.100
meters in width width.

466

00:24:42.100 --> 00:24:43.300
Yeah.

467

00:24:45.400 --> 00:24:48.900
That would connect with the path which run

468

00:24:48.900 --> 00:24:50.600
runs along the southern boundary.

469

00:24:51.500 --> 00:24:55.100
Which is footpath 257/003.

470

00:24:57.800 --> 00:25:00.500
Thank you. Now, I believe we're we're in

471
00:25:00.500 --> 00:25:05.000
Suffolk at this point. So local authorities.

472
00:25:04.100 --> 00:25:07.200
Would you like to comment on?

473
00:25:08.400 --> 00:25:10.500
on these additional proposals

474
00:25:11.700 --> 00:25:15.000
Madam yes, please. So Michael

475
00:25:14.400 --> 00:25:18.300
Bedford Suffolk County Council, if I

476
00:25:18.300 --> 00:25:21.700
start obviously if you want to hear

477
00:25:21.700 --> 00:25:25.000
specifically from Mrs. Cutting

478
00:25:24.700 --> 00:25:27.500
as the landscape architect, please say

479
00:25:27.500 --> 00:25:30.800
so because obviously she is a landscape architect.

480
00:25:30.800 --> 00:25:33.100
I'm a lawyer. So therefore if you want more

481
00:25:33.100 --> 00:25:36.700
detail or more understanding then please say so but

482
00:25:36.700 --> 00:25:38.000
Madam the position.

483
00:25:39.600 --> 00:25:43.100
That has obviously been some useful

484

00:25:42.100 --> 00:25:46.100

dialogue between the applicant and the

485

00:25:45.100 --> 00:25:48.500

various local authorities on landscape matters.

486

00:25:48.500 --> 00:25:53.200

There has been some positive movements

487

00:25:51.200 --> 00:25:54.800

in terms of suggestions of

488

00:25:54.800 --> 00:25:58.100

things that will be further improved. Obviously.

489

00:25:57.100 --> 00:26:00.300

We are somewhat hampered at

490

00:26:00.300 --> 00:26:05.000

the moment in that the updates to

491

00:26:04.100 --> 00:26:08.300

the environmental master plan and

492

00:26:07.300 --> 00:26:11.300

to the outline Landscaping Environmental.

493

00:26:12.500 --> 00:26:15.600

Management plan. We're not expecting now

494

00:26:15.600 --> 00:26:18.200

to see another iteration of those until deadline seven.

495

00:26:18.200 --> 00:26:21.200

So obviously that's after today as opposed to

496

00:26:21.200 --> 00:26:24.900

being available to inform today. So that

497

00:26:24.900 --> 00:26:27.600

does mean that there are things which have been as

498

00:26:27.600 --> 00:26:31.300

it were discussed in dialogue outside of

499

00:26:31.300 --> 00:26:35.700

the formal process of the examination sessions, but

500

00:26:35.700 --> 00:26:38.800

which aren't yet translated into documentation that

501

00:26:38.800 --> 00:26:41.700

you're available to see so I'll

502

00:26:41.700 --> 00:26:44.500

make the points but acknowledging that

503

00:26:44.500 --> 00:26:47.400

it's a slightly moving picture in terms of

504

00:26:47.400 --> 00:26:50.400

where we're at. But in overall term,

505

00:26:50.400 --> 00:26:53.400

first of all, the mitigation that's being proposed in what

506

00:26:53.400 --> 00:26:56.500

we have actually seen in documents. So

507

00:26:56.500 --> 00:27:00.700

that includes the version submitted at deadline five.

508

00:27:02.500 --> 00:27:06.200

But it also takes into account the

509

00:27:05.200 --> 00:27:09.000

additional submissions material and

510

00:27:08.300 --> 00:27:12.000

you'll be aware that the hedge row plans that

511

00:27:11.100 --> 00:27:14.000

I think that's as326 that was

512

00:27:14.400 --> 00:27:16.400

submitted about a week ago.

513

00:27:17.400 --> 00:27:21.300

So we've taken into account the material that we've seen and

514

00:27:20.300 --> 00:27:23.200

on the basis

515

00:27:23.200 --> 00:27:27.400

of that material. We don't think it's yet robust enough to adequately

516

00:27:26.400 --> 00:27:29.400

mitigate the visual effects for

517

00:27:29.400 --> 00:27:32.000

the neighboring properties within the short medium term.

518

00:27:32.900 --> 00:27:36.000

We do however consider that adequate mitigation should

519

00:27:35.200 --> 00:27:38.300

be achievable within the landscape. So

520

00:27:38.300 --> 00:27:41.200

it's possible to do it. We just don't think that what we've

521

00:27:41.200 --> 00:27:42.900

seen so far does it?

522

00:27:43.900 --> 00:27:46.500

So far as the solar panels in

523

00:27:46.500 --> 00:27:49.800

parcels, e2021 and 22.

524

00:27:51.700 --> 00:27:55.900

To do apologize interrupting Mr. Bedford just in

525

00:27:54.900 --> 00:27:58.100

relation to your last statement. You

526

00:27:57.100 --> 00:28:00.700

said it should be possible and

527

00:28:00.700 --> 00:28:03.300

to achieve mystication. It's just that

528

00:28:03.300 --> 00:28:03.700

you don't.

529

00:28:05.300 --> 00:28:08.700

Is not there yet as far as yes. Yes and that

530

00:28:08.700 --> 00:28:11.400

simulation to which Parcels this is e 19

531

00:28:11.400 --> 00:28:13.800

20 21 22 the ones that we are

532

00:28:15.100 --> 00:28:18.400

discussing in this agenda item. Thank you.

533

00:28:26.100 --> 00:28:29.300

And so in terms of 2021 and

534

00:28:29.300 --> 00:28:32.100

22 what we're suggesting is that they should

535

00:28:32.100 --> 00:28:35.900

be set back no less than 30 meters from the Southeastern boundary

536

00:28:35.900 --> 00:28:38.100

and a tree belt should be

537

00:28:38.100 --> 00:28:40.800

provided with a headline on either side.

538

00:28:41.700 --> 00:28:43.200

including Pines

539

00:28:45.200 --> 00:28:49.100

So far as the Hedgerow plans that's as326 which were

540

00:28:48.100 --> 00:28:52.000

provided. They don't show any proposed Hedgerow

541

00:28:51.600 --> 00:28:54.500

along the Southeastern boundaries of

542

00:28:54.500 --> 00:28:56.300

e2021 and 22.

543

00:28:57.100 --> 00:29:00.200

And no secondary hedge Rose or tree belts, which

544

00:29:00.200 --> 00:29:04.200

have been requested by the council in dialogue along

545

00:29:03.200 --> 00:29:06.900

the southwestern boundaries of e19

546

00:29:06.900 --> 00:29:09.400

to 22 to reinforce the

547

00:29:09.400 --> 00:29:11.700

gaps in the hedgerows that exists there.

548

00:29:12.700 --> 00:29:16.000

There isn't that present any return planting proposed

549

00:29:15.100 --> 00:29:18.800

to improve screening of the access points and around

550

00:29:18.800 --> 00:29:21.700

the water reservoir into the parcels again,

551

00:29:21.700 --> 00:29:24.500

which has been requested. Although we understand that is something

552

00:29:24.500 --> 00:29:26.000

the applicant is reviewing.

553

00:29:27.200 --> 00:29:30.700

As a matter of detail, we think that the existing hedge on

554

00:29:30.700 --> 00:29:33.500

the southwestern boundary of e 19 is

555

00:29:33.500 --> 00:29:36.200

not shown at present on the hetero plan.

556

00:29:37.700 --> 00:29:40.200

We think that the permissive route along the

557

00:29:40.200 --> 00:29:43.500

Northwestern edge of e19 should be considered in Greater detail

558

00:29:43.500 --> 00:29:46.700

that may be a matter that can be left detailed design,

559

00:29:46.700 --> 00:29:49.500

but we're concerned that the proposed

560

00:29:49.500 --> 00:29:52.600

footpath is located to the west of the proposed Woodline

561

00:29:52.600 --> 00:29:55.900

Woodland and it's essential for every

562

00:29:55.900 --> 00:29:58.800

privacy of the adjacent property will also

563

00:29:58.800 --> 00:30:01.800

as well as immunity of the footpath users that

564

00:30:01.800 --> 00:30:05.100

those two as it were potentially competing

565

00:30:04.100 --> 00:30:07.600

interests are adequately addressed

566

00:30:07.600 --> 00:30:10.300

and safeguarded and we think that a

567

00:30:10.300 --> 00:30:13.500

woodland footpath with pines Could Be

568

00:30:13.500 --> 00:30:14.500

A Way Forward there.

569

00:30:15.600 --> 00:30:18.400

So far as Elms Road itself is concerned.

570

00:30:19.200 --> 00:30:22.900

We consider there should be more done to retain and strengthen existing

571

00:30:22.900 --> 00:30:25.700

heteros and tree lines. The roadside

572

00:30:25.700 --> 00:30:28.500

Hedges are Slimmer and less solid than have

573

00:30:28.500 --> 00:30:31.600

been depicted in the sections that have

574

00:30:31.600 --> 00:30:35.100

been provided by the applicant. They require strengthening.

575

00:30:36.200 --> 00:30:39.200

The proposed Woodland planting north of Elms Road should be wider.

576

00:30:40.900 --> 00:30:43.300

The proposed access from Elms Road would

577

00:30:43.300 --> 00:30:47.000

appear to result in 215 meters

578

00:30:46.100 --> 00:30:49.600
of hetero loss on either side for

579

00:30:49.600 --> 00:30:53.600
the visibility displays, but we don't think that's correctly

580

00:30:52.600 --> 00:30:54.900
shown on the hetero plan.

581

00:30:56.300 --> 00:30:59.600
And the Southeastern stretch is important for the screening of E9

582

00:30:59.600 --> 00:31:03.100
team. And obviously the the bes that

583

00:31:02.100 --> 00:31:06.000
sits on that Northern side of the the road

584

00:31:05.200 --> 00:31:08.500
a replacement Hedgerow or

585

00:31:08.500 --> 00:31:11.700
Woodland belt should be provided outside of the visibility display

586

00:31:11.700 --> 00:31:14.900
to provide screening for E18 and

587

00:31:14.900 --> 00:31:16.000
the befs.

588

00:31:16.600 --> 00:31:19.900
And this has not been provided and then

589

00:31:19.900 --> 00:31:23.000
we think it's important to retain the existing Southwest

590

00:31:22.400 --> 00:31:25.500
Edge along the boundaries v19 the 22

591

00:31:25.500 --> 00:31:28.300
and plant a tree belt behind within the

592
00:31:28.300 --> 00:31:32.300
parcelain including Pines and obviously the characteristic species

593
00:31:31.300 --> 00:31:33.700
of this part of the landscape.

594
00:31:34.500 --> 00:31:37.200
I hope that's adequately clear

595
00:31:37.200 --> 00:31:40.800
but I say if you do have any questions or points of

596
00:31:40.800 --> 00:31:43.400
clarification Mrs. Cutting is probably best place to

597
00:31:43.400 --> 00:31:43.700
assist.

598
00:31:44.400 --> 00:31:47.800
Thank you, Mr. Bevin. I think it would actually be useful. If Mrs.

599
00:31:47.800 --> 00:31:51.100
Cutting could you know fairly sort of concisely explain

600
00:31:50.100 --> 00:31:53.600
from a Landscape Architect's point

601
00:31:53.600 --> 00:31:56.600
of view what she thinks could be

602
00:31:56.600 --> 00:31:59.500
achieved could be possible in particular in

603
00:31:59.500 --> 00:32:02.200
relation to the boundaries facing the

604
00:32:02.200 --> 00:32:04.300
permanent Caravan site.

605

00:32:05.700 --> 00:32:08.400

On the to the southeast and the

606

00:32:08.400 --> 00:32:11.300

brookside's stud boundary to the northwest.

607

00:32:12.600 --> 00:32:15.200

Thank you madam for that and obviously Mrs. Cutting

608

00:32:15.200 --> 00:32:17.600

heard that so hopefully she could address those two points.

609

00:32:18.300 --> 00:32:21.100

Would you like me to repeat that Mrs. Cutting? No, thank you.

610

00:32:21.100 --> 00:32:24.300

That's okay was this cutting for Suffolk County

611

00:32:24.300 --> 00:32:24.600

Council?

612

00:32:25.300 --> 00:32:29.200

Yeah, I think what we've

613

00:32:28.200 --> 00:32:31.900

just heard from the applicant with regards to the south eastern

614

00:32:31.900 --> 00:32:34.900

boundary for those free Parcels. It's only

615

00:32:34.900 --> 00:32:37.400

goes in the right direction, but I just think

616

00:32:37.400 --> 00:32:40.500

simply 15 meter wide

617

00:32:40.500 --> 00:32:41.000

belt.

618

00:32:41.600 --> 00:32:45.300
At 1.2 to 1.5 meters centers

619
00:32:45.300 --> 00:32:48.400
is not quite sufficient to achieve mitigation quickly.

620
00:32:48.400 --> 00:32:49.400
I would like to see.

621
00:32:50.400 --> 00:32:53.200
You know a Hedgerow possibly on either

622
00:32:53.200 --> 00:32:56.400
side of of a tree belt like that. And you know,

623
00:32:56.400 --> 00:32:59.600
it's a sort of five plants per linear meter so

624
00:32:59.600 --> 00:33:02.500
that a screen can be achieved more

625
00:33:02.500 --> 00:33:06.100
quickly the double hedger approach with tree

626
00:33:05.100 --> 00:33:09.100
planting in the middle is also to create an additional

627
00:33:08.100 --> 00:33:11.700
layer of Defense if you like so because

628
00:33:11.700 --> 00:33:14.400
you know, you can see through one, but then you get another layer

629
00:33:14.400 --> 00:33:18.200
of screening which then will increase the effectiveness

630
00:33:17.200 --> 00:33:20.400
of the screening and we also

631
00:33:20.400 --> 00:33:23.100
think the councils that

632

00:33:23.100 --> 00:33:26.500

the panels should be set back further than they're

633

00:33:26.500 --> 00:33:29.500

currently proposed to reduce the

634

00:33:29.500 --> 00:33:31.000

visual impacts along those

635

00:33:32.000 --> 00:33:32.600

lines

636

00:33:36.400 --> 00:33:39.700

Could I just ask it by web clarification Mrs. Cutting do

637

00:33:39.700 --> 00:33:42.800

those comments apply both as it were Westside Brookside

638

00:33:42.800 --> 00:33:46.200

and Eastside The Traveler

639

00:33:45.200 --> 00:33:48.500

site all that is a difference between them. That

640

00:33:48.500 --> 00:33:49.400

is that that was

641

00:33:50.100 --> 00:33:53.900

Just inside just for the Southeastern side of the 20

642

00:33:53.900 --> 00:33:56.700

to e22 on the western side Northwestern

643

00:33:56.700 --> 00:33:59.500

side of e19 the the cases

644

00:33:59.500 --> 00:34:02.900

a little bit different. So we haven't existing hetero and we have a
25 meter

645

00:34:02.900 --> 00:34:05.700

Corridor apparently, so

646

00:34:07.300 --> 00:34:11.100

So, you know the concern there is mainly the

647

00:34:10.100 --> 00:34:14.300

privacy of you know,

648

00:34:14.300 --> 00:34:15.200

the neighboring property.

649

00:34:16.200 --> 00:34:19.200

So from the from the detailed environmental plan, it looks at the moment

650

00:34:19.200 --> 00:34:22.200

as if the footpath runs to the west of the

651

00:34:22.200 --> 00:34:25.900

existing heterozo closer to the private

652

00:34:25.900 --> 00:34:26.400

property.

653

00:34:27.200 --> 00:34:30.700

So we think that would need to be looked at at in

654

00:34:30.700 --> 00:34:33.300

further detail as to what would be

655

00:34:33.300 --> 00:34:35.200

the most sensible approach here. So

656

00:34:37.500 --> 00:34:39.000

there's a sort of balance to be struck between

657

00:34:40.500 --> 00:34:44.900

protecting the privacy of the neighboring property without creating

658

00:34:43.900 --> 00:34:46.600
a sort of unpleasant Corridor

659
00:34:46.600 --> 00:34:49.400
for for Walkers so that the immunity

660
00:34:49.400 --> 00:34:52.200
has to be balanced against that as well. So I think that is

661
00:34:52.200 --> 00:34:53.700
something that needs further exploration.

662
00:34:56.500 --> 00:34:56.900
Thank you very much.

663
00:34:58.400 --> 00:35:01.100
Good just before you go back to take over

664
00:35:01.100 --> 00:35:01.700
to me some.

665
00:35:07.200 --> 00:35:10.300
Women yeah, like just before

666
00:35:10.300 --> 00:35:13.100
my colleague goes back to Mr. Rooney. If I

667
00:35:13.100 --> 00:35:16.700
may I'd like to ask a question of Mr. Rooney and you

668
00:35:16.700 --> 00:35:19.000
were I think

669
00:35:19.200 --> 00:35:22.500
you are asked to go through to go through the changes in

670
00:35:22.500 --> 00:35:25.100
the updated master plan. It submitted at

671
00:35:25.100 --> 00:35:28.300
D5 again. It may just be me about you

672

00:35:28.300 --> 00:35:30.600
the two changes that you

673

00:35:31.600 --> 00:35:34.900
highlighted that you were

674

00:35:34.900 --> 00:35:36.900
proposing now to do on review.

675

00:35:37.800 --> 00:35:38.100
Yes.

676

00:35:39.100 --> 00:35:42.200
Are they are they those that are contained in

677

00:35:42.200 --> 00:35:45.500
in the D5 submission or are they those that

678

00:35:45.500 --> 00:35:48.400
you have now decided you you

679

00:35:48.400 --> 00:35:49.200
would like to look at?

680

00:35:50.400 --> 00:35:52.000
as further mitigation

681

00:35:52.900 --> 00:35:55.600
So what I describe previously is what's

682

00:35:55.600 --> 00:35:58.400
in the D5 submission that's just rc5 submissions

683

00:35:58.400 --> 00:36:01.400
and additional details that we will provide at

684

00:36:01.400 --> 00:36:02.100
deadlines 7.

685

00:36:03.400 --> 00:36:07.400

And I hope they will resolve miscuting's concerns

686

00:36:06.400 --> 00:36:07.700

here.

687

00:36:08.300 --> 00:36:11.400

So if I might also refer you to the outline landscape

688

00:36:11.400 --> 00:36:14.200

and ecology management plan that we submitted at deadline 5.

689

00:36:15.200 --> 00:36:20.100

We've set out in that document further distinction

690

00:36:19.100 --> 00:36:22.100

between the different types of Woodland.

691

00:36:23.400 --> 00:36:23.900

That we're proposing.

692

00:36:25.200 --> 00:36:30.600

So Woodland with a primary function is habitat linear belts

693

00:36:30.600 --> 00:36:33.200

of trees and shrubs where the primary function is for

694

00:36:33.200 --> 00:36:34.100

visual screening.

695

00:36:34.800 --> 00:36:37.500

And pine lines which would be

696

00:36:37.500 --> 00:36:40.200

particularly characteristic of

697

00:36:40.200 --> 00:36:43.800

this area and deadline seven we intend

698

00:36:43.800 --> 00:36:47.700

to include further detail on interplanning and

699

00:36:47.700 --> 00:36:48.600
natural regeneration.

700

00:36:49.500 --> 00:36:52.400
And we'll provide that detail in the management plan

701

00:36:52.400 --> 00:36:55.400
and on the master plan in these areas, and I think the

702

00:36:55.400 --> 00:36:58.300
the issues that have been raised are a matters

703

00:36:58.300 --> 00:37:01.000
of detail the width of

704

00:37:01.200 --> 00:37:05.300
the planting that we've proposed can certainly accommodate the

705

00:37:04.300 --> 00:37:07.400
dense lines of shrubs that

706

00:37:07.400 --> 00:37:11.000
are being proposed with those characteristic timelines.

707

00:37:10.300 --> 00:37:13.100
Yes. You've answered my question. Thank you.

708

00:37:17.100 --> 00:37:20.600
so could I just add in respect

709

00:37:20.600 --> 00:37:21.000
of

710

00:37:22.300 --> 00:37:26.200
The footpath I just wanted to understand it properly the permissive
footpath

711

00:37:25.200 --> 00:37:29.800
that runs along the Brookside stud

712

00:37:28.800 --> 00:37:31.900

boundary. I

713

00:37:31.900 --> 00:37:34.300

think this gesture from the County Council was

714

00:37:34.300 --> 00:37:34.900

that that lies

715

00:37:36.800 --> 00:37:39.900

closer to the farm than

716

00:37:39.900 --> 00:37:42.700

the existing hetero line and that

717

00:37:42.700 --> 00:37:43.700

I think is incorrect.

718

00:37:45.600 --> 00:37:48.500

If I'd understood there if I'd understood what Mr.

719

00:37:48.500 --> 00:37:51.600

Bedford and Mrs. Cutting said they seem

720

00:37:51.600 --> 00:37:52.600

to assume that the

721

00:37:53.500 --> 00:37:56.400

footpath lies between the

722

00:37:56.400 --> 00:37:59.500

existing Hedgerow and the farm

723

00:38:00.900 --> 00:38:03.300

and it doesn't it lies between the existing

724

00:38:03.300 --> 00:38:06.400

Hedgerow and the site in

725

00:38:06.400 --> 00:38:08.500

the sense of our own planting area.

726

00:38:15.300 --> 00:38:18.300

Thank you very much, Mr. Steele would

727

00:38:18.300 --> 00:38:21.300

say no to Sonica like to make any

728

00:38:21.300 --> 00:38:21.600

comments.

729

00:38:26.900 --> 00:38:27.200

Thank you.

730

00:38:37.100 --> 00:38:40.300

Good morning, John. Jeffcocon, behalf of say no

731

00:38:40.300 --> 00:38:40.700

to Seneca.

732

00:38:41.500 --> 00:38:44.500

Just picking up on a couple of points that's

733

00:38:44.500 --> 00:38:47.800

weren't discussed by either the

734

00:38:47.800 --> 00:38:50.300

previous parties in relation to

735

00:38:50.300 --> 00:38:53.400

E20 in particular and the impact on the

736

00:38:53.400 --> 00:38:56.300

Caravan site to the east of E20.

737

00:38:58.400 --> 00:39:00.400

on the latest

738

00:39:01.600 --> 00:39:04.600

master plan that was submitted. So that's the the deadline five

739

00:39:04.600 --> 00:39:07.300

plan that we've that we've seen and there

740

00:39:07.300 --> 00:39:10.100

is along that boundary a I believe

741

00:39:10.100 --> 00:39:14.100

it's an environmental fence. It's not exactly clear. So

742

00:39:13.100 --> 00:39:16.400

that's what the name is of the fencing on the legend

743

00:39:16.400 --> 00:39:19.500

of that plan. It's not exactly clear what an environmental fence

744

00:39:19.500 --> 00:39:23.600

is but cross-referencing back to the the oemp the

745

00:39:23.600 --> 00:39:25.600

outline landscape an ecological management plan.

746

00:39:26.500 --> 00:39:30.600

That suggests it would be solid boarding or

747

00:39:29.600 --> 00:39:31.100

hoarding.

748

00:39:32.700 --> 00:39:35.400

To prevent views into

749

00:39:35.400 --> 00:39:39.700

the site and obviously to prevent access as well my understanding

750

00:39:39.700 --> 00:39:42.700

and it may be that the applicant can

751

00:39:42.700 --> 00:39:46.400

shed some light on. This is that that would be 2.5 meters

752

00:39:45.400 --> 00:39:49.600

in height and would be in situ

753

00:39:48.600 --> 00:39:51.700
for the duration of The Works,

754

00:39:51.700 --> 00:39:55.000
which of course could be 24 months.

755

00:39:56.400 --> 00:39:58.700
um after that time

756

00:39:59.700 --> 00:40:02.100
My understanding is the hoarding would come down and there would

757

00:40:02.100 --> 00:40:06.100
also be security fencing that would that would be sort of?

758

00:40:07.100 --> 00:40:10.400
Inside the planting. So on the on the on

759

00:40:10.400 --> 00:40:13.600
the far side away from the traveler site. And again, my

760

00:40:13.600 --> 00:40:17.100
understanding is that that would be something to the effect of 2.5
meter

761

00:40:16.100 --> 00:40:17.400
high.

762

00:40:18.200 --> 00:40:19.400
deer fence

763

00:40:20.200 --> 00:40:24.600
with the possibility of having these five meter high CCTV

764

00:40:23.600 --> 00:40:27.200
poles along. Its course

765

00:40:26.200 --> 00:40:29.700
again, that's perhaps something that could be could be

766

00:40:29.700 --> 00:40:31.000
confirmed. So it's

767

00:40:32.400 --> 00:40:35.100
my point is it's my point is it's not

768

00:40:36.900 --> 00:40:40.300
So very key point and my

769

00:40:39.300 --> 00:40:42.200
point is it's not just the vegetation obviously the

770

00:40:42.200 --> 00:40:45.500
modules but it's also the fencing which has which has an impact
certainly

771

00:40:45.500 --> 00:40:48.500
that hoarding for the first potentially a

772

00:40:48.500 --> 00:40:48.800
couple of years.

773

00:40:49.900 --> 00:40:52.000
The next point I just wanted to

774

00:40:52.300 --> 00:40:55.400
pick up on was one again of clarification really.

775

00:40:55.400 --> 00:40:55.800
It's

776

00:40:57.200 --> 00:41:00.000
we've got the D5 Master deadline five master plan that's come in

777

00:41:00.200 --> 00:41:04.600
and measuring off that you've got a width of planting alongside

778

00:41:03.600 --> 00:41:07.400
that edge of around 10

779

00:41:06.400 --> 00:41:09.800
to 12 meters by my

780

00:41:09.800 --> 00:41:11.000
measurements off that plan.

781

00:41:11.900 --> 00:41:14.900
And I understand from the latest additional

782

00:41:14.900 --> 00:41:17.200
submissions that the intention and this is from

783

00:41:17.200 --> 00:41:20.300
the landscape from the landscape note that went in I

784

00:41:20.300 --> 00:41:23.100
believe with the 7th of Feb letter.

785

00:41:25.200 --> 00:41:28.900
Saying that's intended to be increased to 15 meters. So

786

00:41:28.900 --> 00:41:31.300
that increase hasn't and that's the

787

00:41:31.300 --> 00:41:34.600
my understanding hasn't been shown on the deadline five master

788

00:41:34.600 --> 00:41:35.000
plan.

789

00:41:35.600 --> 00:41:38.400
And just the point that clearly if you are

790

00:41:38.400 --> 00:41:41.400
increasing that width you are then eating into

791

00:41:41.400 --> 00:41:44.200
your site and just what is the implication then of

792

00:41:44.200 --> 00:41:47.200

the actual location of the modules the location of the panels
because of course,

793

00:41:47.200 --> 00:41:50.100

they're facing Southeast in this

794

00:41:50.100 --> 00:41:53.400

instance. Obviously, they need the sun. There's implications if
you're

795

00:41:53.400 --> 00:41:56.100

growing vegetation close by to them. So whether or

796

00:41:56.100 --> 00:41:59.600

not that is actually been taking into account whether or not those
panels are where

797

00:41:59.600 --> 00:42:02.500

they would be once that once that

798

00:42:02.500 --> 00:42:04.300

buffer has been increased.

799

00:42:07.200 --> 00:42:10.800

I suppose ultimately it is possible to create a

800

00:42:10.800 --> 00:42:14.500

you know, a screening that

801

00:42:13.500 --> 00:42:16.500

Delta trees have functions as a screen

802

00:42:16.500 --> 00:42:20.100

along there 15 meters is quite narrow. I

803

00:42:19.100 --> 00:42:22.400

really depends on the composition of

804

00:42:22.400 --> 00:42:25.200

the species that you're including within that buffer.

805

00:42:27.200 --> 00:42:30.700
But I think the key point also the

806
00:42:30.700 --> 00:42:32.900
key point also is to recognize that.

807
00:42:34.600 --> 00:42:37.700
You know for the local residents for the residence of that Caravan site,

808
00:42:37.700 --> 00:42:40.600
you know, even if you achieved your full

809
00:42:40.600 --> 00:42:43.700
screening of the the modules, you still got an impact through

810
00:42:43.700 --> 00:42:46.300
the loss of that Outlook over the countryside which

811
00:42:46.300 --> 00:42:49.300
is you know, which is clearly valued at the moment. So that really needs to

812
00:42:49.300 --> 00:42:52.400
be taken into account. It's not just the case of while

813
00:42:52.400 --> 00:42:55.200
this trees, you know, they block the site of the modules and

814
00:42:55.200 --> 00:42:58.300
and everything's fine. There is still there is still an impact on there

815
00:42:58.300 --> 00:42:59.800
on their immunity.

816
00:43:01.100 --> 00:43:01.500
Thank you.

817
00:43:04.700 --> 00:43:07.100
Thank you, Mr. Jeffco before I invite the

818

00:43:07.100 --> 00:43:10.600

applicant to respond. I just like to ask whether any other interest

819

00:43:10.600 --> 00:43:13.700

is parties have any comments. Yes. Thank.

820

00:43:14.600 --> 00:43:15.300

Miss correct

821

00:43:16.100 --> 00:43:19.600

Thank you. Madam, Ritchie, Barrick for West suffer Council and I

822

00:43:19.600 --> 00:43:22.400

do want to repeat any of the points but that Mr. Bedford's already

823

00:43:22.400 --> 00:43:25.400

meet, but just to see that we endorse everything that's offer
County

824

00:43:25.400 --> 00:43:28.300

Council has said and that's exactly we're all

825

00:43:28.300 --> 00:43:31.700

on the same page and germs of what extra mitigation we

826

00:43:31.700 --> 00:43:34.400

think is required and is achievable

827

00:43:40.300 --> 00:43:40.900

Thank you.

828

00:43:43.800 --> 00:43:46.200

Mr. Turney would you

829

00:43:46.200 --> 00:43:49.200

like to respond please? Thank you. I might

830

00:43:49.200 --> 00:43:52.500

ask Mr. Rooney to pick up a couple of the points. I just wanted to
confirm and response

831

00:43:52.500 --> 00:43:55.700
to Mr. Jeffcock that we have indicated

832

00:43:55.700 --> 00:43:58.800
already. We are submitting a further version of

833

00:43:58.800 --> 00:44:01.400
the olamp and the the master plan

834

00:44:01.400 --> 00:44:04.500
documents a deadline seven. So he's right

835

00:44:04.500 --> 00:44:08.300
that some of the detail that that's been

836

00:44:08.300 --> 00:44:11.300
discussed between the councils and the applicant is is still

837

00:44:11.300 --> 00:44:14.300
to be updated on those plans because those

838

00:44:14.300 --> 00:44:17.000
discussions have been taking place relatively recently.

839

00:44:19.100 --> 00:44:22.400
Just just briefly so that I can address

840

00:44:22.400 --> 00:44:26.400
point in terms of the role of the environmental fence

841

00:44:25.400 --> 00:44:28.100
that is to provide a screen

842

00:44:28.100 --> 00:44:31.100
for construction purposes. So that's the role of

843

00:44:31.100 --> 00:44:35.900
of that fence the the permanent fencing

844

00:44:34.900 --> 00:44:37.200

we've already looked at.

845

00:44:37.200 --> 00:44:40.300

I think in the previous ish and we've described

846

00:44:40.300 --> 00:44:43.500

to tell us where it's diffencing so you can see through it

847

00:44:43.500 --> 00:44:44.600

and

848

00:44:46.900 --> 00:44:49.300

the the width of plant as

849

00:44:49.300 --> 00:44:53.700

I say is a matter which reflects the updated position

850

00:44:52.700 --> 00:44:55.900

as agreed between the or is

851

00:44:55.900 --> 00:44:58.400

proposed by the applicant to the local authorities.

852

00:44:59.400 --> 00:45:03.700

And that's going to be reflect on updated plans it I

853

00:45:03.700 --> 00:45:06.700

don't know if Mr. Rooney you want to add anything on in response

854

00:45:06.700 --> 00:45:10.500

to Mr. Jeffco particularly on the question of the the

855

00:45:09.500 --> 00:45:12.300

suitability of a 15 meter width,

856

00:45:12.300 --> 00:45:16.400

but just one more point before I bring you in the 50

857

00:45:15.400 --> 00:45:18.500

meter width obviously does when we

858

00:45:18.500 --> 00:45:22.000

make these changes cut into the area that

859

00:45:21.100 --> 00:45:23.900

the panels can sit in I don't

860

00:45:24.700 --> 00:45:27.800

quite understand what Mr. Jeffco says the consequences from

861

00:45:27.800 --> 00:45:30.500

that as far as his discipline is concerned but necessarily

862

00:45:30.500 --> 00:45:33.000

we're replacing we're increasing the

863

00:45:33.400 --> 00:45:36.500

width of the mitigation area and that

864

00:45:36.500 --> 00:45:40.600

moves back the line of the development, but

865

00:45:39.600 --> 00:45:42.100

I don't know if there's

866

00:45:42.100 --> 00:45:45.400

any particular landscape point that arises from that is is of course a

867

00:45:45.400 --> 00:45:48.300

consequence that if you lose another five meters another three

868

00:45:48.300 --> 00:45:51.300

meters of panels, you

869

00:45:51.300 --> 00:45:55.600

lose them to give way to the improved mitigation.

870

00:45:54.600 --> 00:45:57.300

Do you

871

00:45:57.300 --> 00:45:59.200

want to pick up the adequacy of the 15 meter point?

872

00:46:00.400 --> 00:46:03.200

Sure, John Rooney for the applicant. Yes, 15 meters is

873

00:46:03.200 --> 00:46:06.300

a would equate to approximately 10

874

00:46:06.300 --> 00:46:08.100

rows of plants in this location.

875

00:46:09.100 --> 00:46:13.000

And as I mentioned before that would be predominantly shrubs

876

00:46:12.300 --> 00:46:15.100

with with trees in this

877

00:46:15.100 --> 00:46:18.800

case Pine would be very appropriate so that that density

878

00:46:18.800 --> 00:46:21.400

of planting would in in good time

879

00:46:21.400 --> 00:46:23.900

provide a good dense screen.

880

00:46:24.700 --> 00:46:27.300

the in with regards

881

00:46:27.300 --> 00:46:27.700

to the fence

882

00:46:28.500 --> 00:46:31.200

the outline landscape and ecology management

883

00:46:31.200 --> 00:46:34.500

plan defines the temporary

884

00:46:34.500 --> 00:46:37.900

fencing of as being up to 2.5 meters. So

885

00:46:37.900 --> 00:46:40.700

that's the design principle and the

886

00:46:40.700 --> 00:46:43.200

exact height of that fencing will be looked at at the

887

00:46:43.200 --> 00:46:44.100

detailed design stage.

888

00:46:44.800 --> 00:46:47.800

The the security fencing as

889

00:46:47.800 --> 00:46:50.000

Mr. Jeff cock outline would be

890

00:46:50.300 --> 00:46:53.600

within inside the parcel. So

891

00:46:53.600 --> 00:46:56.300

the other side of the planting from from where

892

00:46:56.300 --> 00:46:59.500

those residents are now and then there's

893

00:46:59.500 --> 00:47:02.200

already an existing chain link fence there

894

00:47:02.200 --> 00:47:05.000

which which forms the boundary which would be retained.

895

00:47:10.500 --> 00:47:13.600

They're just as quickly. I think it was also raised whether that

896

00:47:13.600 --> 00:47:15.400

would affect the orientation of the modules.

897

00:47:18.600 --> 00:47:19.800

the answer that is it doesn't

898

00:47:21.200 --> 00:47:23.200
the orientation of the modules Remains the Same

899
00:47:28.800 --> 00:47:31.600
Thank you. So just to finish on

900
00:47:31.600 --> 00:47:34.600
this particular point will the applicant

901
00:47:34.600 --> 00:47:37.700
be continuing with further discussions with the local authorities?

902
00:47:40.500 --> 00:47:43.700
So the last meeting Mom was on the 31st of

903
00:47:43.700 --> 00:47:46.300
January. We've taken away a long list of

904
00:47:46.300 --> 00:47:49.200
actions that we're reviewing and they will be

905
00:47:49.200 --> 00:47:52.100
considered an accommodated at deadline seven

906
00:47:52.100 --> 00:47:53.300
right? Thank you.

907
00:47:55.300 --> 00:47:59.200
So I'd like to move on to the next point now which relates to

908
00:47:59.200 --> 00:48:02.700
General impacts on the landscape of the area thinking

909
00:48:02.700 --> 00:48:06.000
across the whole of the Seneca site and

910
00:48:05.400 --> 00:48:08.700
the potential for mitigation in general

911
00:48:08.700 --> 00:48:12.100
and the impact of mitigation proposals

912

00:48:11.100 --> 00:48:13.400
on the landscape.

913

00:48:14.600 --> 00:48:17.200
So I'd like to start with the

914

00:48:17.200 --> 00:48:20.500
local authorities, please and I'm not sure

915

00:48:20.500 --> 00:48:23.900
who would like to lead on this but the

916

00:48:23.900 --> 00:48:26.400
local impact report, which is rep 1

917

00:48:26.400 --> 00:48:29.800
0 2 3 takes issue on page

918

00:48:29.800 --> 00:48:32.500
117 with the applicants overall

919

00:48:32.500 --> 00:48:35.500
assessment that by year 15 the visual

920

00:48:35.500 --> 00:48:38.800
effect of the proposals would have reduced to the

921

00:48:38.800 --> 00:48:40.200
extent that they are not significant.

922

00:48:41.400 --> 00:48:44.600
The council's considered at the accumulation of residual effects

923

00:48:44.600 --> 00:48:46.700
in combination would be significant.

924

00:48:47.900 --> 00:48:50.100
We're aware through further submissions at the

925

00:48:50.100 --> 00:48:52.300
applicant has proposed additional mitigation.

926
00:48:53.400 --> 00:48:56.600
In the council's views could more mitigation

927
00:48:56.600 --> 00:48:59.500
measures being included in order to reduce further

928
00:48:59.500 --> 00:49:01.200
the impacts of the development.

929
00:49:02.400 --> 00:49:05.400
What would be appropriate given the

930
00:49:05.400 --> 00:49:08.300
landscape characteristics of the area? And it's that final

931
00:49:08.300 --> 00:49:11.200
point? I'm particularly interested in here which is

932
00:49:11.200 --> 00:49:14.800
and if at the balance between providing mitigation

933
00:49:14.800 --> 00:49:17.300
on one hand by head rose tree

934
00:49:17.300 --> 00:49:19.100
screens.

935
00:49:19.900 --> 00:49:22.500
But on the other hand the openness of

936
00:49:22.500 --> 00:49:24.300
the landscape as it is.

937
00:49:30.600 --> 00:49:33.900
Thank you. Madam, Michael Bedford Suffolk

938
00:49:33.900 --> 00:49:35.300
County Council.

939

00:49:37.900 --> 00:49:39.000
essentially

940

00:49:39.800 --> 00:49:43.300
yes, we do disagree

941

00:49:42.300 --> 00:49:47.000
with the applicants

942

00:49:45.900 --> 00:49:49.900
conclusions on the

943

00:49:51.900 --> 00:49:56.600
scale of the residual impacts in landscape

944

00:49:54.600 --> 00:49:58.300
and visual terms

945

00:49:59.900 --> 00:50:02.300
We've already rehearsed in a series of

946

00:50:02.300 --> 00:50:05.400
earlier representations the reasons for

947

00:50:05.400 --> 00:50:08.500
that and in a sense, we are

948

00:50:08.500 --> 00:50:11.200
taking the view that we are where we

949

00:50:11.200 --> 00:50:14.400
are on methodological differences and that you're probably

950

00:50:14.400 --> 00:50:18.600
not overly interested in those

951

00:50:17.600 --> 00:50:20.900
but you're interested in the Practical outcomes.

952

00:50:20.900 --> 00:50:23.700
And therefore what the councils would

953
00:50:23.700 --> 00:50:24.800
like to see.

954
00:50:27.400 --> 00:50:30.600
So far as in summary the points being

955
00:50:30.600 --> 00:50:35.100
there are clearly changes to the local landscape character.

956
00:50:36.500 --> 00:50:39.700
As a result of the proposals, which

957
00:50:39.700 --> 00:50:42.700
we have rehearsed already and

958
00:50:42.700 --> 00:50:46.000
just in in summary terms permanent change

959
00:50:45.400 --> 00:50:48.600
of large tracts of

960
00:50:48.600 --> 00:50:52.100
rural landscape around the settlements

961
00:50:51.100 --> 00:50:55.100
of worlington and West Road Chippenham,

962
00:50:54.100 --> 00:50:57.100
Iselin and snail well to a new

963
00:50:57.100 --> 00:50:59.100
renewable energy landscape.

964
00:51:00.700 --> 00:51:03.400
There's therefore impact in terms of the loss of

965
00:51:03.400 --> 00:51:06.800
the largely open character as well as truncation of

966

00:51:06.800 --> 00:51:09.700
views this changes

967

00:51:09.700 --> 00:51:13.000
to the character of the secondary and unclassified roads.

968

00:51:12.400 --> 00:51:15.400
We refer particularly to Elms Road,

969

00:51:15.400 --> 00:51:19.100
as you know, the u6006 resulting both

970

00:51:18.100 --> 00:51:20.500
from the

971

00:51:22.500 --> 00:51:26.700
Scheme itself, but also the associated changes to

972

00:51:26.700 --> 00:51:29.600
Highway in terms of any creation of

973

00:51:29.600 --> 00:51:32.700
access is widening and related works.

974

00:51:33.900 --> 00:51:36.400
There are also the permanent loss of

975

00:51:36.400 --> 00:51:39.700
landscape features including trees

976

00:51:39.700 --> 00:51:42.000
and you'll know that

977

00:51:42.400 --> 00:51:45.000
it's acknowledged that there is some loss of TPO trees.

978

00:51:45.700 --> 00:51:48.100
And we say that it's not

979

00:51:48.100 --> 00:51:51.400

yet possible to be certain that there would be no loss of

980

00:51:51.400 --> 00:51:55.600

Veteran trees because you'll appreciated from the earliest

981

00:51:54.600 --> 00:51:56.100

submissions.

982

00:51:56.900 --> 00:51:59.400

There are elements of the survey were

983

00:51:59.400 --> 00:52:02.300

which are very high level as opposed

984

00:52:02.300 --> 00:52:05.200

to detailed survey. And so we say that it's not yet

985

00:52:05.200 --> 00:52:08.300

clear that there would be no loss of

986

00:52:08.300 --> 00:52:11.500

Veteran trees. Then there are losses of

987

00:52:11.500 --> 00:52:13.700

parts of Woodland Hedgerow and

988

00:52:15.400 --> 00:52:18.900

other features including legibility of

989

00:52:18.900 --> 00:52:21.000

the pine lines.

990

00:52:23.600 --> 00:52:26.900

To do that all the impacts in terms

991

00:52:26.900 --> 00:52:29.100

of the perceived qualities of the

992

00:52:29.100 --> 00:52:32.400

landscape particularly during construction the effects

993

00:52:32.400 --> 00:52:35.900

on Tranquility impacts on the recreation.

994

00:52:37.500 --> 00:52:40.300

and public use of the area again the effect

995

00:52:40.300 --> 00:52:43.100

on the public rights of way during the

996

00:52:43.100 --> 00:52:47.600

construction period and then the utility

997

00:52:46.600 --> 00:52:49.200

or enjoyment of the rights of

998

00:52:49.200 --> 00:52:51.500

way during the operational period

999

00:52:52.900 --> 00:52:57.200

we do see that there is potential for mitigation

1000

00:52:55.200 --> 00:52:58.900

a further

1001

00:52:58.900 --> 00:53:01.200

mitigation. I make it quite

1002

00:53:01.200 --> 00:53:04.200

clear in these comments. I'm not dealing with

1003

00:53:04.200 --> 00:53:07.300

the separate issues that we have raised and obviously

1004

00:53:07.300 --> 00:53:10.300

the County Council in particular Suffolk County council's raised

1005

00:53:10.300 --> 00:53:13.500

about particular parcels and 12 and 13

1006

00:53:13.500 --> 00:53:16.300
e 12 and 13. Whereas, you know, our position

1007
00:53:16.300 --> 00:53:20.400
is that the parcel should be have the

1008
00:53:21.400 --> 00:53:24.300
above ground infrastructure removed from those Parcels. So

1009
00:53:24.300 --> 00:53:27.100
I'm not dealing with that issue because I know that comes up as a

1010
00:53:27.100 --> 00:53:30.700
slightly later point, but in terms of Elsewhere where

1011
00:53:30.700 --> 00:53:33.600
there may be scope for additional mitigation,

1012
00:53:33.600 --> 00:53:34.400
we do think that

1013
00:53:36.900 --> 00:53:39.000
further planting needs to be provided to

1014
00:53:39.300 --> 00:53:40.500
make it more robust.

1015
00:53:41.300 --> 00:53:41.500
and

1016
00:53:43.900 --> 00:53:47.900
we consider that whilst there

1017
00:53:47.900 --> 00:53:51.000
is a benefit in the increase in

1018
00:53:50.800 --> 00:53:53.400
Woodland. It doesn't appear in

1019
00:53:53.400 --> 00:53:56.200
our view to be tailored sufficiently to the character of

1020

00:53:56.200 --> 00:53:59.900

the landscape and some of the proposed Woodland planting would truncate views.

1021

00:54:00.900 --> 00:54:03.500

And mitigation is required for all aspects of

1022

00:54:03.500 --> 00:54:06.100

the environment. And we see that there is

1023

00:54:06.100 --> 00:54:10.000

a effectively currently there is a fragile equilibrium.

1024

00:54:11.200 --> 00:54:14.500

between the effects on archeology landscape

1025

00:54:15.300 --> 00:54:18.800

ecology and Recreation and and

1026

00:54:18.800 --> 00:54:21.300

if you disrupt that which we

1027

00:54:21.300 --> 00:54:24.100

do think that the proposal does then the

1028

00:54:24.100 --> 00:54:27.800

mitigation needs to be very carefully considered to

1029

00:54:27.800 --> 00:54:30.500

address that I know we come on to that slightly in

1030

00:54:30.500 --> 00:54:33.200

gender item four under cumulative impact. So

1031

00:54:33.200 --> 00:54:35.500

I'll leave that point there.

1032

00:54:36.400 --> 00:54:36.600

then

1033

00:54:37.500 --> 00:54:38.200

so far as

1034

00:54:40.600 --> 00:54:43.100

Specifics I don't know whether you want us to go through at this stage

1035

00:54:43.100 --> 00:54:46.700

particular Parcels. I see your suggesting not

1036

00:54:46.700 --> 00:54:49.400

know that you want to get the overall impression.

1037

00:54:50.700 --> 00:54:53.600

I think what I'm after Mr. Bedford is obviously that

1038

00:54:53.600 --> 00:54:53.800

there's a

1039

00:54:54.600 --> 00:54:57.400

balance here and that balance can be

1040

00:54:57.400 --> 00:55:00.200

various places along the line in between.

1041

00:55:01.200 --> 00:55:04.800

really strong mitigation in terms of strong wide

1042

00:55:04.800 --> 00:55:06.800

hedgerows Woodland planting

1043

00:55:07.700 --> 00:55:10.500

On the other hand, it could be the other way and

1044

00:55:10.500 --> 00:55:13.500

and placing more emphasis on maintaining

1045

00:55:13.500 --> 00:55:16.000

more open character. I think what I

1046

00:55:16.900 --> 00:55:19.200
would be interested to hear is from the

1047

00:55:19.200 --> 00:55:22.500
local authorities point of view. Where would where is

1048

00:55:22.500 --> 00:55:25.400
the where is the the pivot? Where is the where is

1049

00:55:25.400 --> 00:55:28.600
the preferred point is there even one is what is the

1050

00:55:28.600 --> 00:55:31.700
preference for retaining more openness or for

1051

00:55:31.700 --> 00:55:34.900
creating more enclosure to provide more screening

1052

00:55:34.900 --> 00:55:38.600
in I think

1053

00:55:38.600 --> 00:55:42.400
you know our assessment. It's it's

1054

00:55:42.400 --> 00:55:46.300
not a one-size-fits all Lance because it

1055

00:55:45.300 --> 00:55:49.500
does come down to individual Parcels

1056

00:55:48.500 --> 00:55:51.700
where on that as it

1057

00:55:51.700 --> 00:55:53.100
were Spectrum.

1058

00:55:54.200 --> 00:55:57.400
One or more of those Solutions may be preferable and you

1059

00:55:57.400 --> 00:56:00.400
would you you have to look at it parcel by

1060

00:56:00.400 --> 00:56:01.100
parcel.

1061

00:56:01.900 --> 00:56:04.400
We're very happy to set that out. We will set that out in

1062

00:56:04.400 --> 00:56:07.100
our post hearing submission so that

1063

00:56:07.100 --> 00:56:11.100
we've we think we've already indicated in earlier

1064

00:56:10.100 --> 00:56:13.700
submissions and we certainly discussed with the applicant where

1065

00:56:13.700 --> 00:56:16.300
we think additional mitigation should be

1066

00:56:16.300 --> 00:56:19.800
considered and we've also given to the applicant our

1067

00:56:19.800 --> 00:56:22.600
views as to what the nature of that additional mitigational

1068

00:56:22.100 --> 00:56:25.200
ought to be. We hope that the applicant is

1069

00:56:25.200 --> 00:56:28.400
in listening mode on that so far as what they

1070

00:56:28.400 --> 00:56:31.500
come forward in the deadline seven submissions

1071

00:56:33.200 --> 00:56:36.400
But I say we think we've set out to the applicant

1072

00:56:36.400 --> 00:56:39.200
parcel by parcel where we think we are on that.

1073

00:56:39.900 --> 00:56:42.400

We'll encapsulate that in our post hearing submission,

1074

00:56:42.400 --> 00:56:45.100

but I say we very much make the point. It's not a

1075

00:56:45.800 --> 00:56:46.700

an either or

1076

00:56:47.900 --> 00:56:50.100

for everywhere. It's it's a case of looking at it

1077

00:56:50.100 --> 00:56:52.100

specifically location by location.

1078

00:56:53.300 --> 00:56:55.700

And just interject briefly.

1079

00:57:00.400 --> 00:57:03.500

The applicants going to produce an updated Planet deadline

1080

00:57:03.500 --> 00:57:06.600

seven and you're going to set out parcel by parcel

1081

00:57:06.600 --> 00:57:09.300

what you're appreciation is of where the balance lies and

1082

00:57:09.300 --> 00:57:12.700

what further mitigation is required at deadline seven.

1083

00:57:12.700 --> 00:57:15.500

It seems to me therefore

1084

00:57:15.500 --> 00:57:18.800

that they may still be a mismatch

1085

00:57:18.800 --> 00:57:22.100

that we will have to walk ourselves

1086

00:57:21.100 --> 00:57:25.500

through rather intricately

1087

00:57:24.500 --> 00:57:28.700
to find out where the

1088

00:57:28.700 --> 00:57:33.200
real difference is lie. Yeah in terms

1089

00:57:32.200 --> 00:57:33.300
of

1090

00:57:35.700 --> 00:57:38.500
For example, and I

1091

00:57:38.500 --> 00:57:39.200
don't know when the next.

1092

00:57:40.500 --> 00:57:42.000
statement of common ground

1093

00:57:43.700 --> 00:57:46.200
iteration is due. It may

1094

00:57:46.200 --> 00:57:47.800
be a deadline seven. It may not be.

1095

00:57:48.700 --> 00:57:49.400
but I'm just

1096

00:57:50.500 --> 00:57:53.200
thinking out loud. I know I do

1097

00:57:53.200 --> 00:57:53.900
appreciate parties.

1098

00:57:54.900 --> 00:57:57.300
Wish to set out their own

1099

00:57:57.300 --> 00:57:59.300
stall and set out their own position.

1100

00:58:00.300 --> 00:58:03.200
And I do also appreciate that the parties do engage

1101
00:58:03.200 --> 00:58:06.800
in offline discussions. What?

1102
00:58:07.500 --> 00:58:08.200
What would be?

1103
00:58:09.800 --> 00:58:13.600
Desirable or preferable would be to avoid

1104
00:58:13.600 --> 00:58:15.400
having yet another.

1105
00:58:16.300 --> 00:58:19.400
Staggered approach whereby we have

1106
00:58:19.400 --> 00:58:22.900
another deadline at which the parties

1107
00:58:22.900 --> 00:58:24.900
are still at variants, Ms. Perk.

1108
00:58:25.600 --> 00:58:28.400
Was I just have all mystery. Yes.

1109
00:58:28.400 --> 00:58:32.000
So you're absolutely right and we

1110
00:58:31.100 --> 00:58:34.300
are in danger of in a sense running out of time in

1111
00:58:34.300 --> 00:58:37.600
terms of the way the deadline were indeed. And and

1112
00:58:37.600 --> 00:58:40.200
this isn't well this isn't

1113
00:58:40.200 --> 00:58:43.300
the place or indeed particularly helpful to you to get into a blame

game of

1114

00:58:43.300 --> 00:58:46.600

as it were why we are where we are, but the problem

1115

00:58:46.600 --> 00:58:51.200

clearly is that the well the council's consider

1116

00:58:49.200 --> 00:58:52.800

that they've been from

1117

00:58:52.800 --> 00:58:55.500

the liar onwards identifying areas

1118

00:58:55.500 --> 00:58:59.200

of concern and it is unfortunate that

1119

00:58:58.200 --> 00:59:01.500

we are at a relatively late

1120

00:59:01.500 --> 00:59:05.000

State age and we don't have documents that

1121

00:59:04.100 --> 00:59:08.500

we can see that have reached effectively a

1122

00:59:07.500 --> 00:59:11.200

stage of evolution. We are

1123

00:59:11.200 --> 00:59:14.400

therefore unfortunately going to have to see what

1124

00:59:14.400 --> 00:59:16.200

is put forward at deadline seven.

1125

00:59:16.800 --> 00:59:19.800

And we will respond in our post hearing submissions

1126

00:59:19.800 --> 00:59:22.900

today also at deadline 7 without position

1127

00:59:22.900 --> 00:59:25.500

and if there is a mismatch, we would just

1128

00:59:25.500 --> 00:59:29.300

hope that the applicant is then receptive

1129

00:59:28.300 --> 00:59:31.300

to respond to

1130

00:59:31.300 --> 00:59:34.800

that mismatch to assist you. But otherwise

1131

00:59:34.800 --> 00:59:36.900

you are going to have make a decision on as it were.

1132

00:59:37.500 --> 00:59:39.700

Those departures or differences between the parties.

1133

00:59:40.500 --> 00:59:43.800

Appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Bedford miss pereg the

1134

00:59:43.800 --> 00:59:46.400

last attorney. Yes. Thank you, sir, Richie Barrick

1135

00:59:46.400 --> 00:59:48.800

for West Africa, so just to pick up on.

1136

00:59:49.300 --> 00:59:52.000

Those last few points and we'll do the same

1137

00:59:52.300 --> 00:59:55.400

as Mr. Bedford has said for so for County Council, but just to reiterate that

1138

00:59:55.400 --> 00:59:58.200

yes, many of these points have been made from the outset but

1139

00:59:58.200 --> 01:00:01.100

the most recent place where we've set out what we would like

1140

01:00:01.100 --> 01:00:04.600

to see in terms of mitigation for each Basel well at

1141

01:00:04.600 --> 01:00:07.300

our deadline for submission, so that should hopefully assist

1142

01:00:07.300 --> 01:00:10.300

in not not in sort of having a

1143

01:00:10.300 --> 01:00:13.300

mismatched time table. So this was set out for example

1144

01:00:13.300 --> 01:00:16.100

in our deadline for submissions where

1145

01:00:16.100 --> 01:00:19.200

on our comments on the review of the

1146

01:00:19.200 --> 01:00:22.300

outline landscape and ecology management plan. So that's

1147

01:00:22.300 --> 01:00:26.000

the document references are rep for 149.

1148

01:00:27.600 --> 01:00:30.900

and then we had some stuff also in rep for 124,

1149

01:00:30.900 --> 01:00:33.800

which is our post hearing submissions from

1150

01:00:35.200 --> 01:00:38.400

Sorry, that was suffer County councils both hearing submissions.

1151

01:00:38.400 --> 01:00:41.400

And so we consider that all of these issues have already

1152

01:00:41.400 --> 01:00:42.600

been set out in writing.

1153

01:00:45.800 --> 01:00:46.200

Thank you.

1154

01:00:50.800 --> 01:00:53.600

Rich attorney for the applicant just just a

1155

01:00:53.600 --> 01:00:54.300

couple of points I think.

1156

01:00:57.900 --> 01:01:00.300

in terms of approach and your questions

1157

01:01:00.300 --> 01:01:01.500

the

1158

01:01:02.600 --> 01:01:05.400

The position is that the deadline five master

1159

01:01:05.400 --> 01:01:08.500

plan proposals of themselves been discussed with the

1160

01:01:08.500 --> 01:01:11.400

authorities and they are aware of

1161

01:01:11.400 --> 01:01:14.900

the areas where we have said, we will look further and

1162

01:01:14.900 --> 01:01:16.400

we will revise them. So

1163

01:01:17.600 --> 01:01:19.000

the process

1164

01:01:20.200 --> 01:01:24.100

is going on in terms of offline discussions.

1165

01:01:23.100 --> 01:01:26.600

So I think just looking at the deadlines is

1166

01:01:26.600 --> 01:01:29.800

only giving part of the picture because for example

1167

01:01:29.800 --> 01:01:32.100
in their deadline seven submissions, no doubt,

1168
01:01:32.100 --> 01:01:33.800
Mr. Bedford's clients will

1169
01:01:34.800 --> 01:01:37.900
set out what they have since agreed in

1170
01:01:37.900 --> 01:01:40.500
terms of changes with the applicant. So

1171
01:01:40.500 --> 01:01:43.300
they'll say these points. We understand are agreed or words to

1172
01:01:43.300 --> 01:01:44.900
those facts and that will be reflected in.

1173
01:01:45.700 --> 01:01:48.500
The updated material and as

1174
01:01:48.500 --> 01:01:51.500
Mr. Rooney said the conversation I think will last on the 31st of

1175
01:01:51.500 --> 01:01:54.800
January a couple of weeks ago. So that is after the

1176
01:01:54.800 --> 01:01:58.000
submission of the latest master plan

1177
01:01:57.100 --> 01:02:00.300
that you have but we necessarily have

1178
01:02:00.300 --> 01:02:04.100
to discuss the documents that we submit with the authorities and

1179
01:02:03.100 --> 01:02:06.200
then produce further drafts to

1180
01:02:06.200 --> 01:02:09.800
reflect those discussions. And that's that's

1181

01:02:09.800 --> 01:02:12.200

the nature of the process. I think

1182

01:02:12.200 --> 01:02:15.600

just on a very high level point in answer

1183

01:02:15.600 --> 01:02:18.300

to Mrs. Taylor's

1184

01:02:18.300 --> 01:02:18.500

question.

1185

01:02:19.900 --> 01:02:24.300

We understand and

1186

01:02:23.300 --> 01:02:26.300

perhaps Mr. Bedford can confirm but

1187

01:02:26.300 --> 01:02:29.400

we understand that the council's position is in terms of that balance

1188

01:02:29.400 --> 01:02:32.300

that there are no areas where they say.

1189

01:02:32.300 --> 01:02:34.400

We have gone too far in terms of

1190

01:02:36.200 --> 01:02:39.100

The mitigation proposals that there are no

1191

01:02:39.100 --> 01:02:41.900

areas where they say we've gone too far towards screening.

1192

01:02:42.700 --> 01:02:45.100

In that sort of screen or keep open.

1193

01:02:46.100 --> 01:02:49.300

Equation, that's our understanding but if there

1194

01:02:49.300 --> 01:02:53.300

are areas where they say our screening should be reduced or

1195

01:02:52.300 --> 01:02:56.200

the planting should be reduced then we'll

1196

01:02:55.200 --> 01:02:58.900

need that clearly explained but I think that's how

1197

01:02:58.900 --> 01:03:01.100

we understand the balance. I think all of the

1198

01:03:01.100 --> 01:03:04.100

discussions obviously there's issues about the nature of

1199

01:03:04.100 --> 01:03:07.400

planting and so on but all of the discussions about increasing the

1200

01:03:07.400 --> 01:03:10.000

amount of landscape mitigation increasing the

1201

01:03:10.200 --> 01:03:12.500

amount of planting to move away from

1202

01:03:14.100 --> 01:03:17.500

What is currently proposed and we accept that

1203

01:03:17.500 --> 01:03:20.900

there is a balance to be struck here. But as

1204

01:03:20.900 --> 01:03:23.300

we understand it the authorities position is that

1205

01:03:23.300 --> 01:03:26.500

it's going the other way and I think that if there are instances where

1206

01:03:26.500 --> 01:03:29.700

they say no, it's gone too far. Then it might be helpful to

1207

01:03:29.700 --> 01:03:32.700
at least briefly identify where they are and we could we could

1208
01:03:32.700 --> 01:03:33.400
perhaps test that point.

1209
01:03:34.500 --> 01:03:35.400
Thank you.

1210
01:03:36.500 --> 01:03:39.400
Can I just pick up a couple of more points from what Mr.

1211
01:03:39.400 --> 01:03:42.400
Bedford said at this stage or is that okay to be well, I'd

1212
01:03:42.400 --> 01:03:45.300
like to hand over so I'm very conscious of

1213
01:03:45.300 --> 01:03:49.900
the fact that heating into Mrs. Taylor's time. But

1214
01:03:48.900 --> 01:03:51.100
I did want to make the

1215
01:03:51.100 --> 01:03:55.300
point about the need to not produce

1216
01:03:54.300 --> 01:03:58.000
this iterative staggered

1217
01:03:57.100 --> 01:04:00.600
effect. Whereby you're both arriving

1218
01:04:00.600 --> 01:04:03.600
at different points at the same time and you're

1219
01:04:03.600 --> 01:04:06.200
doing it over and over again. Now, I know there are

1220
01:04:06.200 --> 01:04:09.400
practical issues with that. Oh just it's just a plea to

1221

01:04:09.400 --> 01:04:12.300

try and avoid that as much as possible and to use

1222

01:04:12.300 --> 01:04:15.800

the the documentation templates that

1223

01:04:15.800 --> 01:04:18.400

are available in order to set up for

1224

01:04:18.400 --> 01:04:21.500

us because it assists us as the examining

1225

01:04:21.500 --> 01:04:24.700

panel to see clearly where those differences

1226

01:04:24.700 --> 01:04:27.500

are in in one document rather

1227

01:04:27.500 --> 01:04:30.500

than having to go to several to appreciate the

1228

01:04:30.500 --> 01:04:34.000

nuances. Can I

1229

01:04:33.100 --> 01:04:35.400

suggest this and

1230

01:04:36.400 --> 01:04:39.100

asking for an instant answer, but for when we come on to look

1231

01:04:39.100 --> 01:04:42.400

at next steps after this hearing it might be prudent to

1232

01:04:42.400 --> 01:04:46.000

request between deadline

1233

01:04:45.200 --> 01:04:48.600

seven and deadline eight a central on

1234

01:04:48.600 --> 01:04:51.400
these issues which just identifies between the

1235
01:04:51.400 --> 01:04:55.000
local authorities and the applicant those

1236
01:04:54.400 --> 01:04:57.900
areas of landscape mitigation visual

1237
01:04:57.900 --> 01:05:00.900
mitigation, which are agreed or

1238
01:05:00.900 --> 01:05:03.700
perhaps more usefully those areas which still

1239
01:05:03.700 --> 01:05:06.700
remain the subject of disagreement and

1240
01:05:06.700 --> 01:05:09.100
provide that in summary form so that you have a list

1241
01:05:09.100 --> 01:05:09.400
of

1242
01:05:10.800 --> 01:05:13.400
parcel e 20

1243
01:05:15.100 --> 01:05:15.500
boundary

1244
01:05:16.900 --> 01:05:20.900
applicant says 15 meters the

1245
01:05:19.900 --> 01:05:22.600
local authorities say

1246
01:05:22.600 --> 01:05:26.900
20 meters and then you have that schedule provided between

1247
01:05:26.900 --> 01:05:29.100
those deadlines. I think I think

1248

01:05:29.100 --> 01:05:32.500

in it makes good sense to do it between the deadlines because

1249

01:05:32.500 --> 01:05:35.300

that will mean that it can take account of

1250

01:05:35.300 --> 01:05:39.800

both any post hearing submissions, but also the updated lamp

1251

01:05:38.800 --> 01:05:40.500

and master plan.

1252

01:05:51.300 --> 01:05:53.700

This DirecTV. Did you want to ask something?

1253

01:05:56.300 --> 01:05:59.300

Only briefly just to try and damp down

1254

01:05:59.300 --> 01:06:02.900

the yoyo effect. Is there any mechanism whereby you

1255

01:06:02.900 --> 01:06:05.200

guys can sort of do a show and tell before

1256

01:06:05.200 --> 01:06:08.100

deadline sudden so that in your

1257

01:06:08.100 --> 01:06:11.200

deadline seven submissions, you're actually on the same hymn sheet as

1258

01:06:11.200 --> 01:06:14.600

it were and we don't have to whoops. So that

1259

01:06:14.600 --> 01:06:17.400

was related to a point with respect that I was

1260

01:06:17.400 --> 01:06:18.800

wanting to make.

1261

01:06:20.600 --> 01:06:24.200

And again, this isn't put in any critical sense,

1262

01:06:23.200 --> 01:06:26.300

but it is simply a matter of fact.

1263

01:06:27.100 --> 01:06:30.100

That a deadline seven on the 3rd of

1264

01:06:30.100 --> 01:06:30.700

March.

1265

01:06:31.400 --> 01:06:32.300

doesn't mean

1266

01:06:33.600 --> 01:06:33.800

that

1267

01:06:35.400 --> 01:06:38.800

the County Council in this case, but the other parties actually

1268

01:06:38.800 --> 01:06:41.800

see a deadline seven the

1269

01:06:41.800 --> 01:06:44.400

applicants material because what happens

1270

01:06:44.400 --> 01:06:47.300

is that at 11:59 on the third

1271

01:06:47.300 --> 01:06:50.100

of March? Yeah, we can deal with that theory can we can

1272

01:06:50.100 --> 01:06:53.600

walk that's that's the simplest thing to do with what it is

1273

01:06:53.600 --> 01:06:56.100

a simple thing to deal with but it's a very important thing to

1274

01:06:56.100 --> 01:06:58.000

deal with because as we are getting to these

1275

01:06:58.800 --> 01:07:02.000

Closing stages. We absolutely

1276

01:07:01.500 --> 01:07:04.300

need to have the documentation from the

1277

01:07:04.300 --> 01:07:07.500

applicant before the deadlines and that

1278

01:07:07.500 --> 01:07:10.800

will be an outside of examination process in

1279

01:07:10.800 --> 01:07:13.600

order that we can consider them. And

1280

01:07:13.600 --> 01:07:17.000

certainly there is no Prospect we

1281

01:07:16.200 --> 01:07:17.400

would think.

1282

01:07:18.300 --> 01:07:21.800

That if we see the documents for the first time when

1283

01:07:21.800 --> 01:07:24.900

they're posted on the pins website some

1284

01:07:24.900 --> 01:07:27.300

days after the third of March there would

1285

01:07:27.300 --> 01:07:30.300

be no Prospect of us adequately responding to those by deadline

1286

01:07:30.300 --> 01:07:32.200

8, which is the 13th of March.

1287

01:07:33.300 --> 01:07:36.900

So we absolutely will need these documents particularly the

1288

01:07:36.900 --> 01:07:38.900

olm and the EMP.

1289

01:07:40.100 --> 01:07:44.500

A head of third of March if we're to give them any consideration Mr.

1290

01:07:43.500 --> 01:07:47.300

Tony suggestion of a schedule at

1291

01:07:46.300 --> 01:07:49.500

an interim date between deadline seven

1292

01:07:49.500 --> 01:07:52.600

and eight is something that we can certainly explore but I

1293

01:07:52.600 --> 01:07:56.000

say, it does very much turn on having early

1294

01:07:55.500 --> 01:07:59.200

sight of material so that we know what the applicants

1295

01:07:58.200 --> 01:08:01.400

proposals are in response to matters that

1296

01:08:01.400 --> 01:08:04.700

we've raised and I say I'm not being critical but

1297

01:08:04.700 --> 01:08:08.200

we think we have rehearsed these matters in

1298

01:08:07.200 --> 01:08:10.200

some detail both in

1299

01:08:10.200 --> 01:08:13.400

the early representations that we've already made to the examination

1300

01:08:13.400 --> 01:08:16.900

and in the workshop meetings that have gone forward

1301

01:08:16.900 --> 01:08:19.400
and so we are really expecting to

1302
01:08:19.400 --> 01:08:23.000
see some positive movement from the applicant rather than

1303
01:08:22.900 --> 01:08:25.900
simply a repetition of their

1304
01:08:25.900 --> 01:08:28.500
previous stance this Bedford. Thank you for that.

1305
01:08:32.200 --> 01:08:32.400
just

1306
01:08:33.400 --> 01:08:36.400
thinking about the schedule of issues Mr. Turney. It

1307
01:08:36.400 --> 01:08:39.200
seems a helpful suggestion. I want to discuss it with my

1308
01:08:39.200 --> 01:08:40.600
colleagues and

1309
01:08:42.600 --> 01:08:46.000
We'll discuss it amongst ourselves and come

1310
01:08:45.200 --> 01:08:48.700
back to you on that. But it

1311
01:08:48.700 --> 01:08:51.300
sounds as if that may very

1312
01:08:51.300 --> 01:08:54.100
well help. I am particularly concerned about the

1313
01:08:54.100 --> 01:08:54.400
fact that

1314
01:08:57.200 --> 01:09:00.700
The issues about getting the documents out our compounded

1315

01:09:00.700 --> 01:09:06.200

by the fact that there are logistical mechanisms

1316

01:09:04.200 --> 01:09:07.800

within the the

1317

01:09:07.800 --> 01:09:10.500

inspectorate that involve, you know

1318

01:09:10.500 --> 01:09:13.400

with the best will in the world. We can't

1319

01:09:13.400 --> 01:09:17.300

put the documents up on the website until they've

1320

01:09:16.300 --> 01:09:19.400

probably properly been logged in and that

1321

01:09:19.400 --> 01:09:22.100

takes a day or so and it is fair to

1322

01:09:22.100 --> 01:09:25.100

say that if all the documents come up, you know

1323

01:09:25.100 --> 01:09:28.400

one minute to midnight on a Friday and people have

1324

01:09:28.400 --> 01:09:31.400

not done naturally decided to go home for the weekend. Then it

1325

01:09:31.400 --> 01:09:35.800

is going to be you know, somewhat well

1326

01:09:35.800 --> 01:09:38.300

into the next week before they they do

1327

01:09:38.300 --> 01:09:41.200

appear on the website. So I just urge the party. I do appreciate
the

1328

01:09:41.200 --> 01:09:46.100
tensions here. I just urge the

1329

01:09:45.100 --> 01:09:48.300
parties to do all they can

1330

01:09:48.300 --> 01:09:52.400
to engage offline to to make

1331

01:09:51.400 --> 01:09:54.500
that sort of information mutually available

1332

01:09:54.500 --> 01:09:56.900
that thanks very much. Just leave it.

1333

01:09:56.900 --> 01:09:59.600
and redwoods might just say on behalf

1334

01:09:59.600 --> 01:10:00.300
of the applicant that

1335

01:10:02.200 --> 01:10:06.100
If it's about sending documents directly to Suffolk County

1336

01:10:06.100 --> 01:10:09.100
Council, when we send them to planning inspector that really is

1337

01:10:09.100 --> 01:10:12.700
the simplest thing. It requests can just simply be made to the

1338

01:10:12.700 --> 01:10:15.800
team. Could it be made at any point? So that's really

1339

01:10:15.800 --> 01:10:16.700
not going to be an issue?

1340

01:10:18.800 --> 01:10:19.000
it

1341

01:10:20.500 --> 01:10:23.600

I think there might just be a little disparity in expectation

1342

01:10:23.600 --> 01:10:26.800

here the the local impact

1343

01:10:26.800 --> 01:10:28.600

report we have responded to

1344

01:10:29.700 --> 01:10:32.400

and we've identified why we disagree

1345

01:10:32.400 --> 01:10:34.300

with some of the assessments that are made in that.

1346

01:10:35.800 --> 01:10:36.000

so

1347

01:10:37.300 --> 01:10:40.200

if the thought is that somehow a deadline

1348

01:10:40.200 --> 01:10:43.500

seven we're going to completely accept every point of the local impact

1349

01:10:43.500 --> 01:10:46.300

report and adopt an approach that's

1350

01:10:46.300 --> 01:10:49.300

been advocated by the local authorities. That's that's just obviously wrong. That's

1351

01:10:49.300 --> 01:10:52.200

we're having exchanges on these points for many months.

1352

01:10:53.000 --> 01:10:53.100

So

1353

01:10:54.300 --> 01:10:57.700

what we are doing we've had the the discussion in January

1354

01:10:57.700 --> 01:11:00.500

end of January with the authorities with

1355

01:11:00.500 --> 01:11:03.700

indicated where we will make further changes. We have summarized

1356

01:11:03.700 --> 01:11:06.400

those for the authorities those will be reflected on

1357

01:11:06.400 --> 01:11:08.000

our documents at deadline seven.

1358

01:11:08.900 --> 01:11:11.200

We can provide those documents as soon

1359

01:11:11.200 --> 01:11:14.500

as they're ready. I'm not suggesting that it will be before
deadline 7,

1360

01:11:14.500 --> 01:11:18.300

but we can provide them directly to the authorities.

1361

01:11:19.200 --> 01:11:21.000

on that day third March

1362

01:11:21.700 --> 01:11:24.500

And then we can identify those

1363

01:11:24.500 --> 01:11:25.200

areas where?

1364

01:11:26.300 --> 01:11:29.200

We think we have fully exceeded to

1365

01:11:29.200 --> 01:11:32.300

the requests of the authorities and they can identify those areas

1366

01:11:32.300 --> 01:11:35.100

where they say. Well actually yes, we're contempt with this.
Although it might not go as

1367

01:11:35.100 --> 01:11:38.400

far as we'd hoped in the local impact report. We are now content and I

1368

01:11:38.400 --> 01:11:41.400

think that's going to be the most useful thing so that Friday falls on

1369

01:11:41.400 --> 01:11:44.600

sort of March falls on Friday. So it does

1370

01:11:44.600 --> 01:11:47.200

are we going to be in a position where the documents are being

1371

01:11:47.200 --> 01:11:48.900

provided at 23:59?

1372

01:11:50.100 --> 01:11:54.500

It well, I don't think we've ever filed up 23:59 in

1373

01:11:53.500 --> 01:11:56.400

this project, but I know it's been happening

1374

01:11:56.400 --> 01:12:02.100

in other projects and it may be other parties have but we I

1375

01:12:00.100 --> 01:12:03.300

I can't say

1376

01:12:03.300 --> 01:12:07.100

today what time on the Friday we can

1377

01:12:06.100 --> 01:12:10.300

send it to Mr. Bedford, but but we

1378

01:12:10.300 --> 01:12:13.400

can take that way a very happy to talk to Mr. Bedford outside this

1379

01:12:13.400 --> 01:12:16.500

room and say we could mutually

1380

01:12:16.500 --> 01:12:19.100

agreed at perhaps his client would send their comments and we

1381

01:12:19.100 --> 01:12:22.500

send our comments at five o'clock on that day. Okay. Well,

1382

01:12:22.500 --> 01:12:25.300

let's talk that particular issue for the time being. Thank

1383

01:12:25.300 --> 01:12:26.100

you very much everybody.

1384

01:12:29.400 --> 01:12:30.200

Thank you. Thank you.

1385

01:12:33.800 --> 01:12:37.100

Sorry, Miss Taylor. If you're just

1386

01:12:36.100 --> 01:12:39.300

going to say Mr. Turney, I

1387

01:12:39.300 --> 01:12:40.600

think you you very eloquently.

1388

01:12:41.700 --> 01:12:45.500

Summed up. I think the point I was trying to get to in your

1389

01:12:45.500 --> 01:12:48.300

remark that there are no areas where

1390

01:12:48.300 --> 01:12:51.300

the local planning authorities have said the Landscaping has

1391

01:12:51.300 --> 01:12:54.600

gone too far. I think that is really the Crux of the well the very

1392

01:12:54.600 --> 01:12:58.600

high level issue. I was trying to get to and

1393

01:12:57.600 --> 01:13:00.400

I think perhaps I'd like to hear

1394

01:13:00.400 --> 01:13:03.600
from say no to Sonica Mr. Steel you

1395

01:13:03.600 --> 01:13:05.100
have Mr. Jeffcoat with you.

1396

01:13:06.300 --> 01:13:09.300
Of Canada. Thank you. I have been trying to get your

1397

01:13:09.300 --> 01:13:12.400
attention for just a moment Mrs. Taylor.

1398

01:13:12.400 --> 01:13:13.000
Just wanted to just

1399

01:13:14.100 --> 01:13:17.100
Share four thoughts on that because I think the principle that
you've just

1400

01:13:17.100 --> 01:13:20.900
identified is not something that we share the four

1401

01:13:20.900 --> 01:13:23.300
thoughts very briefly. Are are these

1402

01:13:24.100 --> 01:13:27.000
we agree too that there is a balance to be

1403

01:13:27.400 --> 01:13:27.700
struck around.

1404

01:13:29.300 --> 01:13:33.100
What is appropriate what is sufficient mitigation and

1405

01:13:32.100 --> 01:13:36.100
frankly? What is ultimately ultimately possible

1406

01:13:35.100 --> 01:13:36.800
on the ground?

1407

01:13:37.700 --> 01:13:40.300

And we're not blind to that notwithstanding our

1408

01:13:40.300 --> 01:13:43.200

position in terms of the parcels that we wish to remove.

1409

01:13:43.200 --> 01:13:46.400

So in that sense. I think we agree with

1410

01:13:46.400 --> 01:13:47.700

that balancing exercise.

1411

01:13:48.800 --> 01:13:51.500

But secondly whilst we

1412

01:13:51.500 --> 01:13:54.700

are having the conversations. I want to associate myself

1413

01:13:54.700 --> 01:13:57.400

with what Mr. Bedford was saying in relation

1414

01:13:57.400 --> 01:13:59.900

to the fact that our positions.

1415

01:14:01.300 --> 01:14:04.600

Are not that opaque or unclear as far as we're concerned,

1416

01:14:04.600 --> 01:14:06.900

we know where we stand you can see it in the joint.

1417

01:14:07.600 --> 01:14:10.300

A reports. We've been having these

1418

01:14:10.300 --> 01:14:13.900

conversations and I think the schedule is a good idea but in

1419

01:14:13.900 --> 01:14:16.200

so far as we're concerned our side of the table of

1420

01:14:16.200 --> 01:14:17.800

that schedule won't be very long.

1421

01:14:18.700 --> 01:14:21.400

To complete because it's pretty straightforward.

1422

01:14:24.000 --> 01:14:24.600

thirdly

1423

01:14:26.200 --> 01:14:30.200

one example, I want to give of the fact that the councils

1424

01:14:29.200 --> 01:14:32.800

are not for example suggesting that mitigation has

1425

01:14:32.800 --> 01:14:33.600

gone too far.

1426

01:14:34.300 --> 01:14:37.300

And this is why the exercises important to be done parcel by

1427

01:14:37.300 --> 01:14:41.100

parcel is eo5. For example, E5 is

1428

01:14:40.100 --> 01:14:43.700

an example where if you

1429

01:14:43.700 --> 01:14:46.800

remove a great deal of vegetation

1430

01:14:46.800 --> 01:14:49.400

trees and materials and the

1431

01:14:49.400 --> 01:14:51.900

solar panels are much more visible.

1432

01:14:52.900 --> 01:14:53.700

That's a problem.

1433

01:14:55.300 --> 01:14:58.900

If you try and screen too much

1434

01:14:58.900 --> 01:15:01.600
and too strongly then you're

1435

01:15:01.600 --> 01:15:04.500
also impacting the landscape character so you can already

1436

01:15:04.500 --> 01:15:08.200
see that but there's the there's a clear example of the balancing

1437

01:15:07.200 --> 01:15:10.300
exercise that's required. But crucially there's an

1438

01:15:10.300 --> 01:15:13.200
example where it's not as straightforward. It's just

1439

01:15:13.200 --> 01:15:16.300
simply asserting that no Authority is saying we're

1440

01:15:16.300 --> 01:15:20.000
going to far in our mitigation because I don't think that's a
useful

1441

01:15:19.200 --> 01:15:22.300
way of characterizing it there are absolutely

1442

01:15:22.300 --> 01:15:25.300
ways of saying here's an example where you've done what you could

1443

01:15:25.300 --> 01:15:28.500
have done and here's example where doing much more

1444

01:15:28.500 --> 01:15:31.300
might not be appropriate. So I think that's just what

1445

01:15:31.300 --> 01:15:34.800
I wanted to say on that and then finally on the

1446

01:15:34.800 --> 01:15:37.400
schedule of when materials are

1447

01:15:37.400 --> 01:15:40.400

going to be shared or what time and so on. I know that Mr. Bedford and

1448

01:15:40.400 --> 01:15:43.100

Mr. Tony I wanting to have that party of sharing that material we don't

1449

01:15:43.100 --> 01:15:46.300

want to be left out of that party. So we'd like to also

1450

01:15:46.300 --> 01:15:48.500

see that I'm sure we'll get some of that material but

1451

01:15:49.200 --> 01:15:53.000

What I'm thinking is if we think about the deadline seven

1452

01:15:52.500 --> 01:15:54.600

and deadline eight.

1453

01:15:55.300 --> 01:15:58.900

Being so close to one another. May I

1454

01:15:58.900 --> 01:16:01.600

suggest something? Whereby of course

1455

01:16:01.600 --> 01:16:05.200

we won't hold it against them. They they

1456

01:16:04.200 --> 01:16:07.100

should be afforded the opportunity

1457

01:16:07.100 --> 01:16:10.300

to submit what they need to submit on Deadline seven, but it's part

1458

01:16:10.300 --> 01:16:13.400

of the conversations that we're having the more of an indication

1459

01:16:13.400 --> 01:16:16.900

we get earlier than the deadline seven the better

1460

01:16:16.900 --> 01:16:19.800

and more informed our contributions will

1461

01:16:19.800 --> 01:16:22.400

be both at deadline 7 and deadline eight.

1462

01:16:22.400 --> 01:16:25.200

So as part of the conversations, it might be useful for us

1463

01:16:25.200 --> 01:16:28.600

to even get an email saying this is where we're headed.

1464

01:16:30.100 --> 01:16:33.100

You know, this is what we're broadly in bullet points

1465

01:16:33.100 --> 01:16:36.500

thinking and that will come out in the wash at deadline

1466

01:16:36.500 --> 01:16:39.200

seven. So they're then we can start thinking about what we want to say

1467

01:16:39.200 --> 01:16:42.700

in deadline seven and then think about what we want say in deadline eight. So that's just

1468

01:16:42.700 --> 01:16:45.400

my thought and addition to to what we could

1469

01:16:45.400 --> 01:16:47.500

suggest in terms of of contributions.

1470

01:16:48.300 --> 01:16:49.900

Thank you, Mr. Hamid Mr. Right.

1471

01:16:51.200 --> 01:16:54.800

Thank you Ritchie Barrack for West suffer Council and we

1472

01:16:54.800 --> 01:16:57.400

just heard Mr. Mohamed give the example of eo5 in

1473

01:16:57.400 --> 01:17:00.500

terms of the balance not being there yet. And if I

1474

01:17:00.500 --> 01:17:03.900

could just bring in Miss Fisher for we're suffer

1475

01:17:03.900 --> 01:17:06.400

Council because there's a couple of areas again where

1476

01:17:06.400 --> 01:17:09.300

we think that we've not had enough of a discussion yet or

1477

01:17:09.300 --> 01:17:10.400

enough movement rather.

1478

01:17:11.500 --> 01:17:14.500

So Mom understand completely what

1479

01:17:14.500 --> 01:17:17.500

you're saying about the balance and this is something that we picked

1480

01:17:17.500 --> 01:17:20.100

out in the lir and have been sort of trying to.

1481

01:17:21.400 --> 01:17:24.500

resolve and struggle with throughout the whole process and I

1482

01:17:24.500 --> 01:17:27.900

think there's two areas in particular where we

1483

01:17:27.900 --> 01:17:30.100

feel or one particular area where we feel

1484

01:17:30.100 --> 01:17:33.500

the balance can't be met but

1485

01:17:34.400 --> 01:17:37.200

that more mitigation is required and that's

1486

01:17:37.200 --> 01:17:40.500

in relation to e33. So that's a very open part

1487

01:17:40.500 --> 01:17:42.200
of the landscape and

1488

01:17:43.500 --> 01:17:46.600
and the chalklandscape going into the fence and

1489

01:17:47.900 --> 01:17:53.400
but e33 is going to be a significant industrialized

1490

01:17:50.400 --> 01:17:54.500
substation

1491

01:17:53.500 --> 01:17:54.800
and

1492

01:17:56.300 --> 01:17:59.500
and the best and really with the

1493

01:17:59.500 --> 01:18:02.300
best well in the world, nobody wants to see that if they

1494

01:18:02.300 --> 01:18:05.000
possibly can help it and that's one of the areas where

1495

01:18:06.200 --> 01:18:09.000
Ideally, you would want the landscape to stay

1496

01:18:09.300 --> 01:18:12.900
open. But if the project is going to go ahead then you

1497

01:18:12.900 --> 01:18:15.300
really don't want to see the the best

1498

01:18:15.300 --> 01:18:18.300
and I think that's an area where we haven't made any progress in

1499

01:18:18.300 --> 01:18:20.400
terms of further mitigation.

1500

01:18:21.300 --> 01:18:23.900

And despite what the local authorities have asked for.

1501

01:18:25.100 --> 01:18:27.400

and I think the other area where

1502

01:18:28.600 --> 01:18:29.500

more could be done.

1503

01:18:30.300 --> 01:18:33.700

In particular is around E18 which is the other best and

1504

01:18:33.700 --> 01:18:36.100

substation. And I think there's quite a few

1505

01:18:36.100 --> 01:18:39.100

Parcels just looking at it.

1506

01:18:41.400 --> 01:18:45.000

So e14 to 17 where there

1507

01:18:44.200 --> 01:18:47.500

are pine lines in those locations, which will

1508

01:18:47.500 --> 01:18:50.900

become eligible in the landscape if the project goes ahead and

1509

01:18:50.900 --> 01:18:53.800

again the local authorities

1510

01:18:53.800 --> 01:18:56.500

would request that those

1511

01:18:56.500 --> 01:18:57.900

pine lines are strengthened.

1512

01:18:58.800 --> 01:19:01.200

Because if you strengthen all those pine lines at the back

1513

01:19:01.200 --> 01:19:05.400

of the best particularly you give more screening to e13

1514

01:19:04.400 --> 01:19:07.000
on a sort of layered basis.

1515

01:19:09.900 --> 01:19:13.000
And then, you know in the fullness of time repairing Pine

1516

01:19:12.100 --> 01:19:15.300
lines is going to be you know

1517

01:19:15.300 --> 01:19:16.000
beneficial.

1518

01:19:17.200 --> 01:19:20.000
If after 40 years the scheme is

1519

01:19:20.000 --> 01:19:20.300
removed.

1520

01:19:21.200 --> 01:19:24.300
So those are the two but those are the those are the things that
we've been

1521

01:19:24.300 --> 01:19:27.300
dealing with and we've been trying to put over and you know,

1522

01:19:27.300 --> 01:19:30.000
it's not a very easy project to solve in terms

1523

01:19:30.100 --> 01:19:32.200
of landscape as you've obviously pointed out. Thank you.

1524

01:19:34.700 --> 01:19:35.500
Thank you for that.

1525

01:19:37.200 --> 01:19:40.600
Mr. Bedford, yes. Sorry, Michael Bedford County councilman.

1526

01:19:41.800 --> 01:19:42.200
I think the

1527

01:19:43.600 --> 01:19:46.400

it will lead into a gender item for when

1528

01:19:46.400 --> 01:19:50.200

we get to it. But there is an issue going back

1529

01:19:50.200 --> 01:19:53.500

to Mr. Turney's point about is there anywhere where in a sense we've got too

1530

01:19:53.500 --> 01:19:56.300

much mitigation the the problem we see

1531

01:19:56.300 --> 01:20:00.300

it is as we're a misapplication of the mitigation hierarchy.

1532

01:20:01.500 --> 01:20:05.000

In the sense that there are locations where we

1533

01:20:04.300 --> 01:20:06.500

say the tensions.

1534

01:20:08.200 --> 01:20:11.500

Between what might be an appropriate form of landscape treatment.

1535

01:20:12.600 --> 01:20:14.500

and other environmental disciplines

1536

01:20:16.700 --> 01:20:19.600

means that actually you should

1537

01:20:19.600 --> 01:20:23.300

be the avoidance stage of the mitigation hierarchy

1538

01:20:22.300 --> 01:20:26.100

for that parcel. I remove all

1539

01:20:26.700 --> 01:20:29.700

not more mitigation through more

1540

01:20:29.700 --> 01:20:32.800
planting and so on because of the consequences

1541
01:20:32.800 --> 01:20:34.600
when you're then if

1542
01:20:35.800 --> 01:20:39.100
You as a panel have concluded that for

1543
01:20:38.100 --> 01:20:41.700
particular Parcels avoidance is not

1544
01:20:41.700 --> 01:20:44.300
where you're at because you've accepted that

1545
01:20:44.300 --> 01:20:47.500
there is a sufficient case for development in

1546
01:20:47.500 --> 01:20:50.100
that parcel. And then we're on the subject matter of

1547
01:20:50.100 --> 01:20:53.200
mitigation. Then we're in the subject

1548
01:20:53.200 --> 01:20:56.600
of the further mitigation that we've outlined

1549
01:20:56.600 --> 01:20:59.800
where we said that they need to be better and different treatment
to

1550
01:20:59.800 --> 01:21:02.300
what is currently proposed. But it is quite important

1551
01:21:02.300 --> 01:21:06.500
that in such your decision making you follow

1552
01:21:06.500 --> 01:21:10.100
what we would suggest is a proper mitigation hierarchical approach.

1553
01:21:09.100 --> 01:21:12.200

And therefore there are

1554

01:21:12.200 --> 01:21:15.200

clearly areas where we think avoidance is

1555

01:21:15.200 --> 01:21:18.600

actually the right answer and effectively trying to

1556

01:21:18.600 --> 01:21:21.500

channel more mitigation into those locations is not

1557

01:21:21.500 --> 01:21:24.400

the right answer. It's to remove the panels from those

1558

01:21:24.400 --> 01:21:24.900

locations.

1559

01:21:30.500 --> 01:21:33.400

I will come back to you Mr. Tony. But before I do

1560

01:21:33.400 --> 01:21:35.800

that, I'd just like to go to Mr. Steele.

1561

01:21:37.100 --> 01:21:40.200

Thank you, ma'am. The position has just been made which we

1562

01:21:40.200 --> 01:21:43.000

allowed ourself with completely by Mr. Bedford.

1563

01:21:44.500 --> 01:21:47.500

We see and I'm going to hand over to Mr. Jeff cock

1564

01:21:47.500 --> 01:21:50.100

in literally seconds. We see that

1565

01:21:50.100 --> 01:21:53.700

you've got to go back one stage with this this idea

1566

01:21:53.700 --> 01:21:57.300

that nobody's complaining about too much Landscaping absolutely

1567

01:21:56.300 --> 01:21:59.300
hits the nail on

1568

01:21:59.300 --> 01:22:00.500
the head. Why?

1569

01:22:01.600 --> 01:22:04.600
Because we say that is inappropriate. Anyway,

1570

01:22:04.600 --> 01:22:07.800
in terms of the location, you've got

1571

01:22:07.800 --> 01:22:10.500
to go back one stage in terms of site selection.

1572

01:22:10.500 --> 01:22:14.300
This is dealt with in our representations

1573

01:22:13.300 --> 01:22:16.000
rep -

1574

01:22:16.200 --> 01:22:19.700
240b Madam. I'm not going to repeat those they're there.

1575

01:22:20.300 --> 01:22:24.000
And why that site selection process is flawed. It's

1576

01:22:23.500 --> 01:22:25.800
set it all out, and I don't want to repeat that.

1577

01:22:26.700 --> 01:22:29.500
So going back one stage Mr. Bedford is

1578

01:22:29.500 --> 01:22:33.900
absolutely right one's got to look at avoidance as

1579

01:22:33.900 --> 01:22:36.700
being part of the mitigation process

1580

01:22:36.700 --> 01:22:39.900

as it were because if you get to a point where mitigation

1581

01:22:40.900 --> 01:22:44.000

causes a change in landscape you go back one stage.

1582

01:22:43.200 --> 01:22:46.600

It's got to be an iterative process, but it's

1583

01:22:46.600 --> 01:22:49.300

got to go iterative backwards as well

1584

01:22:49.300 --> 01:22:52.600

as forwards. It isn't just you end up with mitigation. Then

1585

01:22:52.600 --> 01:22:55.100

you mitigate as best you can you've got

1586

01:22:55.100 --> 01:22:58.400

to look at appropriateness of it having made that

1587

01:22:58.400 --> 01:23:01.400

point Madam. I hope that seconds and rather minutes. May I hand over

1588

01:23:01.400 --> 01:23:02.600

to Mr. Jeffco? Yes.

1589

01:23:04.700 --> 01:23:07.100

John Jeff Omaha of say no

1590

01:23:07.100 --> 01:23:07.600

to Sonica

1591

01:23:08.300 --> 01:23:10.300

Yes, it's a following on for those.

1592

01:23:11.400 --> 01:23:15.300

Around around the around the balancing and the

1593

01:23:14.300 --> 01:23:17.200

point Mr. Mohammed made. I think it was his first

1594

01:23:17.200 --> 01:23:18.100
one being

1595

01:23:19.300 --> 01:23:22.000
Apologies. My microphone definitely has a

1596

01:23:22.900 --> 01:23:24.100
bit of a dodgy connection.

1597

01:23:30.400 --> 01:23:33.900
Let's see if this is but that's better than that. So the

1598

01:23:33.900 --> 01:23:38.200
first point Mr. Mohamed made there around the ability

1599

01:23:36.200 --> 01:23:40.100
for certain for

1600

01:23:39.100 --> 01:23:40.300
that to be

1601

01:23:41.300 --> 01:23:46.100
there to be agreements that agreement

1602

01:23:45.100 --> 01:23:48.900
really was an impossibility and

1603

01:23:48.900 --> 01:23:51.600
I think it's helpful just to go.

1604

01:23:56.300 --> 01:24:01.000
I think it's helpful to just go back a stage to address really

1605

01:24:00.400 --> 01:24:03.300
four key points that I feel go to

1606

01:24:03.300 --> 01:24:07.400
the heart of the mattress to why certain within

1607

01:24:06.400 --> 01:24:09.700
the scheme are unacceptable in

1608
01:24:09.700 --> 01:24:11.600
landscape and visual term.

1609
01:24:12.100 --> 01:24:14.300
S and where that agreement or that balancing?

1610
01:24:15.100 --> 01:24:18.900
Will be impossible the will be a residual

1611
01:24:18.900 --> 01:24:20.400
mismatch between the parties.

1612
01:24:22.100 --> 01:24:25.500
The first one really is is location,

1613
01:24:25.500 --> 01:24:29.000
which is what Mr. Steele was referring to and the

1614
01:24:28.000 --> 01:24:29.300
point.

1615
01:24:30.100 --> 01:24:34.400
Really in the context of the overarching national policy

1616
01:24:33.400 --> 01:24:36.800
statement for energy so en1.

1617
01:24:37.700 --> 01:24:40.100
And the fact that this really stresses the

1618
01:24:40.100 --> 01:24:40.500
importance.

1619
01:24:41.100 --> 01:24:44.800
minimizing landscape harm through careful sighting

1620
01:24:44.800 --> 01:24:45.000
decisions

1621

01:24:46.200 --> 01:24:48.100

And that's because in many cases it is.

1622

01:24:49.400 --> 01:24:52.600

to minimize landscape of visual harm of both

1623

01:24:53.700 --> 01:24:56.200

and best development when that development is

1624

01:24:56.200 --> 01:25:01.800

located carefully taking into account the various sensitivities

1625

01:24:59.800 --> 01:25:03.100

of different landscape

1626

01:25:02.100 --> 01:25:04.200

and visual receptors.

1627

01:25:05.500 --> 01:25:08.800

However, the point I would make is that in the case of the Sonica energy

1628

01:25:08.800 --> 01:25:09.200

farm.

1629

01:25:09.800 --> 01:25:12.400

That landscape of visual harm in certain areas is

1630

01:25:12.400 --> 01:25:15.600

actually being exacerbated by the applicants decisions on

1631

01:25:15.600 --> 01:25:15.900

location.

1632

01:25:17.200 --> 01:25:20.400

And that's because in particular they've made decisions which have ignored

1633

01:25:20.400 --> 01:25:23.800

particularly sensitive landscape and visual receptors the

1634

01:25:23.800 --> 01:25:26.900

most obvious ones being the lime kills and the landscape

1635

01:25:26.900 --> 01:25:28.200

around Islam.

1636

01:25:28.900 --> 01:25:31.600

And I know in various submissions. The applicants

1637

01:25:31.600 --> 01:25:34.200

are stated that the lvia has informed their

1638

01:25:34.200 --> 01:25:37.200

site selection process, but crucially that

1639

01:25:37.200 --> 01:25:40.700

lvia did not inform the site selection process.

1640

01:25:40.700 --> 01:25:43.400

So the design process set from the

1641

01:25:43.400 --> 01:25:44.200

site selection process.

1642

01:25:45.600 --> 01:25:48.800

And clearly good design fundamentally

1643

01:25:48.800 --> 01:25:52.200

relies on making those sound judgments initially

1644

01:25:51.200 --> 01:25:53.000

on location.

1645

01:25:54.100 --> 01:25:57.300

And the whole reason for this is because it's very difficult and in some

1646

01:25:57.300 --> 01:26:00.900

cases impossible to adequately mitigate landscape

1647

01:26:00.900 --> 01:26:03.300

harm that arises out of location.

1648

01:26:04.900 --> 01:26:07.500

So because of that location and because of the location of certain

1649

01:26:07.500 --> 01:26:10.500

parts of this development, there will be that landscape

1650

01:26:10.500 --> 01:26:12.900

and visual harm that cannot be minimized no matter

1651

01:26:14.100 --> 01:26:17.300

whether you increase a planting buffer from 10 to 15 meters

1652

01:26:17.300 --> 01:26:19.000

or you do away with a buffer altogether.

1653

01:26:20.100 --> 01:26:23.400

So in particular, I know we will go on to onto this later. It's

1654

01:26:24.400 --> 01:26:27.800

it's not possible in my view to to adequately minimize

1655

01:26:27.800 --> 01:26:30.000

or mitigate depending on the language you're using.

1656

01:26:31.100 --> 01:26:33.900

Those parts of the order limits that are inherently open.

1657

01:26:35.000 --> 01:26:35.300

That's

1658

01:26:35.700 --> 01:26:39.300

Sonic East B and the landscape Iceland

1659

01:26:38.300 --> 01:26:39.800

in particular

1660

01:26:41.300 --> 01:26:41.900

and other

1661

01:26:43.200 --> 01:26:47.000

of landscape that are overlooked because of localized changes

1662

01:26:46.100 --> 01:26:49.700

in landform and where there are also historically important

1663

01:26:49.700 --> 01:26:52.300

views and the obvious one there is Sonic or

1664

01:26:52.300 --> 01:26:54.400

west a and the lime Kilns.

1665

01:26:55.600 --> 01:26:58.200

and attempting to mitigate those

1666

01:26:58.200 --> 01:27:01.300

impacts in in areas, for example as we've alluded to

1667

01:27:01.300 --> 01:27:01.800

the the

1668

01:27:03.900 --> 01:27:06.500

Islam with woodland or other types

1669

01:27:06.500 --> 01:27:09.500

of screen panting that in itself will harm

1670

01:27:09.500 --> 01:27:10.700

the openness of that landscape.

1671

01:27:12.000 --> 01:27:12.100

and

1672

01:27:13.200 --> 01:27:17.000

as I'm sure Iceland Parish Council will will attest

1673

01:27:16.300 --> 01:27:19.900

to that is, you know, an inherent characteristic of

1674

01:27:19.900 --> 01:27:22.200

that area and it's fundamental to their perception of

1675

01:27:22.200 --> 01:27:23.200

their local identity.

1676

01:27:33.800 --> 01:27:36.600

I may

1677

01:27:36.600 --> 01:27:40.100

have alluded the wrong. So Sonic at East it just

1678

01:27:39.100 --> 01:27:42.700

double check this. Yes Sonic at East Side a

1679

01:27:42.700 --> 01:27:45.200

I said Sonica site be I was referring

1680

01:27:45.200 --> 01:27:47.900

to when I talked about Island. That's that's East.

1681

01:27:49.200 --> 01:27:50.700

either that's East a

1682

01:27:55.200 --> 01:27:58.200

the other General points again this this

1683

01:28:01.400 --> 01:28:04.400

Correlates to some of the discussion around mitigation and the

1684

01:28:04.400 --> 01:28:07.500

ability to to reach agreement or you know

1685

01:28:07.500 --> 01:28:08.900

where that agreement will be.

1686

01:28:12.300 --> 01:28:15.300

Is really around the scale and the speed of the transformation that's proposed.

1687

01:28:16.300 --> 01:28:19.300
So clearly it's

1688
01:28:19.300 --> 01:28:22.300
it's yeah goes without saying stating the

1689
01:28:22.300 --> 01:28:26.600
obvious. It's a large scheme of it's 630 hectares.

1690
01:28:25.600 --> 01:28:28.600
And that's with the removal

1691
01:28:28.600 --> 01:28:32.100
of West a

1692
01:28:31.100 --> 01:28:33.300
Westby. Sorry.

1693
01:28:35.800 --> 01:28:38.300
and the fact that that size of

1694
01:28:38.300 --> 01:28:41.600
will clearly dwarf I mean

1695
01:28:41.600 --> 01:28:43.500
referring to the figures in my in my

1696
01:28:44.700 --> 01:28:45.300
tomorrow

1697
01:28:46.300 --> 01:28:50.600
for the surrounding rural Villages Island being

1698
01:28:50.600 --> 01:28:53.700
one of them where those identities of the of

1699
01:28:53.700 --> 01:28:56.300
the local residents a fundamentally or intrinsically linked

1700
01:28:56.300 --> 01:28:57.900
to that to that sense of

1701

01:28:59.700 --> 01:29:02.000

Countryside and that change is going to be

1702

01:29:02.700 --> 01:29:05.400

That sense of change in terms of the the impact on

1703

01:29:05.400 --> 01:29:08.100

identity and sense of place is going to become.

1704

01:29:08.600 --> 01:29:11.900

The speed by the speed at which it happens. So

1705

01:29:11.900 --> 01:29:14.900

it's not going to be a gradual or incremental change,

1706

01:29:14.900 --> 01:29:17.500

but it's going to be perceived to happen all at

1707

01:29:17.500 --> 01:29:20.500

once as construction is being assessed as

1708

01:29:20.500 --> 01:29:23.500

taking place over a single 24 months.

1709

01:29:24.000 --> 01:29:24.300

That's

1710

01:29:26.400 --> 01:29:30.200

630 hectares of change all at

1711

01:29:29.200 --> 01:29:32.100

once and and I say that the impacts

1712

01:29:32.300 --> 01:29:32.600

of

1713

01:29:33.600 --> 01:29:36.900

of those proposals on the sense of place a

1714

01:29:36.900 --> 01:29:37.100
really

1715

01:29:39.200 --> 01:29:42.400
well, they're related to the scale the location and the speed

1716

01:29:42.400 --> 01:29:42.900
of that change.

1717

01:29:43.800 --> 01:29:46.000
And therefore those impacts are not going to

1718

01:29:46.100 --> 01:29:50.300
be mitigated by, you know, detailed design or or planting.

1719

01:29:58.500 --> 01:30:01.200
The third point I would

1720

01:30:01.200 --> 01:30:04.600
like to make is just concerns and quickly just concerns the

1721

01:30:04.600 --> 01:30:07.600
the dispersed of the development sites

1722

01:30:07.600 --> 01:30:10.600
and the fragmented nature of of the

1723

01:30:10.600 --> 01:30:11.700
of the site itself.

1724

01:30:12.600 --> 01:30:15.600
And I would just point out that you know, unusually, this scheme

1725

01:30:15.600 --> 01:30:18.800
will actually generate cumulative impacts with itself.

1726

01:30:18.800 --> 01:30:21.400
That is that is pretty unique for

1727

01:30:21.400 --> 01:30:21.900
scheme to do that.

1728

01:30:23.100 --> 01:30:26.400

And clearly that's because scheme is not a single

1729

01:30:26.400 --> 01:30:29.200

size. But in fact, it's actually a proposal for

1730

01:30:29.200 --> 01:30:29.800

six.

1731

01:30:30.700 --> 01:30:33.700

separate geographically discrete developments or

1732

01:30:33.700 --> 01:30:34.400

sides

1733

01:30:35.300 --> 01:30:37.100

and as a consequence of that.

1734

01:30:38.800 --> 01:30:41.000

Dispersal or that fragmentation that's going to

1735

01:30:41.100 --> 01:30:44.500

be this repeated awareness of electrical development for

1736

01:30:44.500 --> 01:30:44.700

people.

1737

01:30:45.300 --> 01:30:46.100

traveling through

1738

01:30:47.300 --> 01:30:50.400

the landscape and that's traveling through between different

1739

01:30:50.400 --> 01:30:53.500

settlements or visiting different locations in

1740

01:30:53.500 --> 01:30:55.400

the landscape the different points of interest.

1741

01:30:57.500 --> 01:31:00.100

And that really builds up. So there's this impression that

1742

01:31:00.100 --> 01:31:03.800

builds up of a landscape that's transformed by electrical

1743

01:31:03.800 --> 01:31:06.600

development from this predominantly or prevailing rural

1744

01:31:06.600 --> 01:31:09.600

character to one that is a prevailing electrical

1745

01:31:09.600 --> 01:31:09.900

development.

1746

01:31:10.900 --> 01:31:12.400

or electrical landscape

1747

01:31:13.400 --> 01:31:16.800

that's not only experienced on a single Journey or single visit

1748

01:31:16.800 --> 01:31:19.500

within the landscape, but it would also build up over

1749

01:31:19.500 --> 01:31:19.800

time.

1750

01:31:21.100 --> 01:31:26.000

So for example visiting different locations throughout

1751

01:31:25.100 --> 01:31:28.500

the week, perhaps the real, you know, walking alongside

1752

01:31:28.500 --> 01:31:31.400

the river one day and then the next day going to the lime Kilns.
It's

1753

01:31:31.400 --> 01:31:34.500

that it's that building up of that impression and that erosion or

1754

01:31:34.500 --> 01:31:34.600

that

1755

01:31:35.400 --> 01:31:37.800

well that impression, you know changing over time.

1756

01:31:38.900 --> 01:31:41.600

And again this repeated awareness

1757

01:31:41.600 --> 01:31:45.100

in terms of the the geographical the fact

1758

01:31:44.100 --> 01:31:47.500

that they're so geographically discreet these different size

1759

01:31:47.500 --> 01:31:50.500

the six sites again. It's

1760

01:31:50.500 --> 01:31:53.500

not going to be mitigated that particular impact is not going to be mitigated

1761

01:31:53.500 --> 01:31:55.000

by detailed design or

1762

01:31:55.900 --> 01:31:56.800

or planting

1763

01:31:59.700 --> 01:32:04.400

the final fourth and final General and

1764

01:32:04.400 --> 01:32:08.100

fundamental point I would make is just touching on the the best

1765

01:32:07.100 --> 01:32:12.200

development and the substations and

1766

01:32:13.200 --> 01:32:17.300

clearly substantial development in their own right between 6.6 is

1767

01:32:17.300 --> 01:32:20.400

the smallest hectares to 16.2 hectares.

1768

01:32:21.100 --> 01:32:23.300

and crucial crucially in terms of

1769

01:32:24.700 --> 01:32:27.500

The point we're making our mitigation is the

1770

01:32:27.500 --> 01:32:30.400

fact that unlike the solar PV modules which are high

1771

01:32:30.400 --> 01:32:34.200

limited to 2.5 meters that

1772

01:32:33.200 --> 01:32:36.900

infrastructure within the best compounds and

1773

01:32:36.900 --> 01:32:39.700

the substations will be up to 10 meters

1774

01:32:39.700 --> 01:32:43.200

in height with the best containers themselves being six

1775

01:32:42.200 --> 01:32:43.600

meters tall.

1776

01:32:44.600 --> 01:32:46.800

and clearly at that height are going to be

1777

01:32:47.700 --> 01:32:49.000

considerably more visually

1778

01:32:50.200 --> 01:32:53.900

I find the solar arrays at 2.5 meters and obviously

1779

01:32:53.900 --> 01:32:56.400

the mitigation planting intended to

1780

01:32:56.400 --> 01:32:59.300

screen PV development will be less effective in screening that

1781

01:32:59.300 --> 01:33:02.400
best best development certainly within that first,

1782
01:33:02.400 --> 01:33:05.300
you know within that first 15 years of operation.

1783
01:33:06.400 --> 01:33:07.000
and

1784
01:33:09.200 --> 01:33:12.100
the other aspect that's been raised around Mitigation Of

1785
01:33:12.100 --> 01:33:13.400
best is this is this

1786
01:33:15.800 --> 01:33:16.100
the

1787
01:33:18.200 --> 01:33:21.900
you co-locate it with existing existing infrastructure

1788
01:33:21.900 --> 01:33:24.700
and Sonic East.

1789
01:33:24.700 --> 01:33:28.700
I will double check Sonic East. Ah,

1790
01:33:27.700 --> 01:33:30.600
it's been put by the applicants in

1791
01:33:30.600 --> 01:33:34.100
there. I believe rep3a 035 that citing

1792
01:33:33.100 --> 01:33:35.900
that best development next to Lee Farm.

1793
01:33:36.700 --> 01:33:39.400
Will mean that it's massing and land use as a perceived in

1794
01:33:39.400 --> 01:33:42.600
the context of existing infrastructure, but clearly states in

1795

01:33:42.600 --> 01:33:44.800

the obvious Leaf Farm is not infrastructure.

1796

01:33:45.700 --> 01:33:48.100

It's an isolated Farm in the countryside and it's

1797

01:33:48.100 --> 01:33:51.100

buildings are typical of a rural Farm both in

1798

01:33:51.100 --> 01:33:54.500

scale and number and the presence of those

1799

01:33:54.500 --> 01:33:57.400

buildings. The presence of that farm does not justify or

1800

01:33:57.400 --> 01:33:58.200

misgate the sense.

1801

01:33:59.800 --> 01:34:02.300

Or the impression of that change in use or

1802

01:34:02.300 --> 01:34:05.000

that change in landscape that's going to result from not only

1803

01:34:05.200 --> 01:34:08.200

the best of element but of course the the arrays that

1804

01:34:08.200 --> 01:34:10.300

go the solar arrays that go with us.

1805

01:34:11.200 --> 01:34:14.300

And I say that that best development would not be seen as

1806

01:34:14.300 --> 01:34:17.300

a logical extension of the farm, but really this incongruous.

1807

01:34:18.300 --> 01:34:20.100

development in the countryside

1808

01:34:21.300 --> 01:34:22.400
so just in summary of that.

1809

01:34:25.400 --> 01:34:28.600
You know when you're looking at these General these General impacts
in

1810

01:34:28.600 --> 01:34:31.500
the ability to reach agreements in terms of what mitigation is

1811

01:34:31.500 --> 01:34:32.100
acceptable.

1812

01:34:33.500 --> 01:34:35.400
I think there are these.

1813

01:34:36.100 --> 01:34:38.200
key, these fundamental points that need to be

1814

01:34:39.100 --> 01:34:42.200
the need to be understood before you sort of

1815

01:34:42.200 --> 01:34:45.600
move on to details around planting and detailed design

1816

01:34:45.600 --> 01:34:47.000
and the widths of buffers.

1817

01:34:47.800 --> 01:34:50.200
And in summary, they are that essentially the

1818

01:34:50.200 --> 01:34:53.200
site selection that we in that we in the councils both

1819

01:34:53.200 --> 01:34:56.200
say were flawed and that this is this is

1820

01:34:56.200 --> 01:34:59.400
led to the fact that you've got substantial parts of the
development that cannot be

1821

01:34:59.400 --> 01:35:02.200
successfully integrated and in certain areas

1822
01:35:02.200 --> 01:35:05.400
mitigation planting itself would would exacerbate the

1823
01:35:05.400 --> 01:35:05.800
impacts.

1824
01:35:07.200 --> 01:35:11.400
You've got the size and the speed of the transformation which would
fundamentally alter

1825
01:35:10.400 --> 01:35:13.300
the local sense of

1826
01:35:13.300 --> 01:35:13.500
place.

1827
01:35:14.800 --> 01:35:17.300
Thirdly, you've got the disperse on the fragmented nature

1828
01:35:17.300 --> 01:35:20.400
of the proposals over six separate sites generating

1829
01:35:20.400 --> 01:35:21.800
cumulative impacts with itself.

1830
01:35:23.300 --> 01:35:26.100
And you have the additional impacts due to that

1831
01:35:26.100 --> 01:35:29.600
due to those distinctly different or you know,

1832
01:35:29.600 --> 01:35:32.600
I suppose unique impacts of the best development and

1833
01:35:32.600 --> 01:35:35.300
the substation development which are going to be more visually
prominent.

1834

01:35:36.600 --> 01:35:37.500
within the landscape

1835
01:35:39.200 --> 01:35:42.600
and and just to round out. Yeah that the detailed design

1836
01:35:42.600 --> 01:35:45.300
and mitigation planting will not address those

1837
01:35:45.300 --> 01:35:49.000
issues arising out of floor site selection scale and

1838
01:35:48.300 --> 01:35:53.100
speed of transformation or the fragmented nature IE

1839
01:35:52.100 --> 01:35:54.500
location again of the proposals.

1840
01:35:57.400 --> 01:36:00.100
Thank you, Mr. Jeffco. Just so just before

1841
01:36:00.100 --> 01:36:03.500
I asked Mr. Turney to respond and and

1842
01:36:03.500 --> 01:36:06.100
other IPS comment. I'm conscious that

1843
01:36:06.100 --> 01:36:10.500
we've got a really full agenda for today. And we

1844
01:36:09.500 --> 01:36:13.900
do need to break soon. Before

1845
01:36:12.900 --> 01:36:15.100
we do that. I'd like to

1846
01:36:15.100 --> 01:36:18.300
cover the two historic environment points very quickly. So

1847
01:36:18.300 --> 01:36:22.800
I'll just ask please for very concise final

1848

01:36:21.800 --> 01:36:24.600
remarks Mr. Steele

1849

01:36:24.600 --> 01:36:27.100
before I turn a very nice when Madam it's

1850

01:36:27.100 --> 01:36:27.700
already be made.

1851

01:36:28.500 --> 01:36:32.200
We are not within say no to Sonica making

1852

01:36:31.200 --> 01:36:35.000
points which are against solar we point

1853

01:36:34.200 --> 01:36:37.800
to three other schemes which we've already done which

1854

01:36:37.800 --> 01:36:40.900
do show the degree to which you can integrate. You

1855

01:36:40.900 --> 01:36:43.700
can get a 50 megawatt scheme on the right site. So

1856

01:36:43.700 --> 01:36:46.200
the Secretary of State can say it can be delivered in

1857

01:36:46.200 --> 01:36:49.200
the right place little crow cleave Hill and

1858

01:36:49.200 --> 01:36:49.800
Longfield.

1859

01:36:50.500 --> 01:36:53.300
You are aware of those madams Madam. And so

1860

01:36:53.300 --> 01:36:56.800
those sites are ones which are able to

1861

01:36:56.800 --> 01:36:59.300
be seen to be able to

1862
01:36:59.300 --> 01:37:00.800
go forward with the government's policy.

1863
01:37:02.800 --> 01:37:04.000
Thank you, Mr. Steele.

1864
01:37:05.100 --> 01:37:06.100
Mr. Turney

1865
01:37:07.800 --> 01:37:08.300
Thank you.

1866
01:37:10.500 --> 01:37:13.700
I'm not I'm not going to pick up the last reference. I think
Longfield is

1867
01:37:13.700 --> 01:37:16.100
still in it just finished examination. So I'm not sure there's been

1868
01:37:16.100 --> 01:37:17.900
a decision on that and obviously this schemes.

1869
01:37:18.700 --> 01:37:21.700
That are referred to a much a much smaller schemes where

1870
01:37:21.700 --> 01:37:24.700
I was nonetheless. The secretary of state is found the impacts

1871
01:37:24.700 --> 01:37:25.600
to be acceptable, but

1872
01:37:26.500 --> 01:37:29.300
just very briefly in response to the various points to be

1873
01:37:29.300 --> 01:37:29.400
made.

1874
01:37:30.800 --> 01:37:33.300

I think I'm still right in answer to

1875

01:37:33.300 --> 01:37:36.400

your actual question, which is no one is saying that we've gone too far

1876

01:37:36.400 --> 01:37:39.400

the caveat that seems to be made is well, there

1877

01:37:39.400 --> 01:37:42.300

are some areas where we say. They're just the impacts run acceptable any

1878

01:37:42.300 --> 01:37:46.000

way and even if you went further with mitigation, it

1879

01:37:45.400 --> 01:37:48.200

would remain unacceptable and that may be because

1880

01:37:48.200 --> 01:37:51.000

the mitigation itself would become unacceptable but

1881

01:37:51.900 --> 01:37:54.500

there are no areas where the authorities are saying

1882

01:37:54.500 --> 01:37:55.600

impacts.

1883

01:37:56.500 --> 01:38:00.600

Could be made acceptable by reducing the

1884

01:37:59.600 --> 01:38:02.400

amount of mitigation that's being

1885

01:38:02.400 --> 01:38:05.200

proposed. There are no such areas. I think that's that's right.

1886

01:38:06.100 --> 01:38:09.300

So that position is either we haven't gone far enough on mitigation.

1887

01:38:10.400 --> 01:38:13.600

Or they say the particular parcel shouldn't

1888

01:38:13.600 --> 01:38:16.300

be developed at all as Mr. Bedford but said

1889

01:38:16.300 --> 01:38:17.900

the impact should be avoided.

1890

01:38:19.300 --> 01:38:21.400

Make absolutely clear.

1891

01:38:22.200 --> 01:38:25.300

So that the discussion that we've talked about and the schedules

1892

01:38:25.300 --> 01:38:28.500

and so on we are not in those discussions going to be proposing the

1893

01:38:28.500 --> 01:38:29.500

removal of any parcels.

1894

01:38:30.300 --> 01:38:33.300

The purpose that I was identifying was to

1895

01:38:33.300 --> 01:38:37.000

record those areas where the local authorities agree that

1896

01:38:36.100 --> 01:38:39.200

the mitigation has gone as far as it

1897

01:38:39.200 --> 01:38:41.300

sensibly can and reasonably can.

1898

01:38:42.600 --> 01:38:45.500

And to identify those areas where they say further mitigation would

1899

01:38:45.500 --> 01:38:48.400

be required if development consent was granted and

1900

01:38:48.400 --> 01:38:49.700

they can agree that point.

1901

01:38:51.100 --> 01:38:54.500

Regardless of whether they say development consension nonetheless be refused.

1902

01:38:55.300 --> 01:38:58.200

So that's the process that I think was going to be most useful with

1903

01:38:58.200 --> 01:38:58.700

the examination.

1904

01:38:59.800 --> 01:39:03.000

Which hones in on mitigation rather than reversal

1905

01:39:02.200 --> 01:39:05.400

of the decision to

1906

01:39:05.400 --> 01:39:06.700

proceed with the scheme at all.

1907

01:39:07.500 --> 01:39:10.700

Just briefly on the avoidance arguments

1908

01:39:10.700 --> 01:39:13.600

that Mr. Jeffco has developed on path

1909

01:39:13.600 --> 01:39:14.500

of say no to Sonica.

1910

01:39:16.100 --> 01:39:19.200

I've touched on this before so I will be very briefly put

1911

01:39:19.200 --> 01:39:22.200

some written submissions as well. But this this approach that you hear

1912

01:39:22.200 --> 01:39:26.100

from hinted out by Mr. Bedford and explained at

1913

01:39:25.100 --> 01:39:29.200

Lens by Mr. Jeffcock is completely contrary

1914

01:39:28.200 --> 01:39:30.700

to the National policy statement.

1915

01:39:32.800 --> 01:39:36.200

The national policy statement is Crystal Clear landscape impacts

1916

01:39:35.200 --> 01:39:36.800

will occur.

1917

01:39:37.500 --> 01:39:40.900

From renewable energy projects visual impacts

1918

01:39:40.900 --> 01:39:43.300

will occur from renewable energy

1919

01:39:43.300 --> 01:39:43.700

projects.

1920

01:39:44.500 --> 01:39:48.400

It's Putters virtually all nzips will

1921

01:39:48.400 --> 01:39:51.200

have effects on the landscape and you design

1922

01:39:51.200 --> 01:39:54.200

them carefully to take account of those

1923

01:39:54.200 --> 01:39:54.700

impacts.

1924

01:39:55.700 --> 01:39:58.500

You give substantial weight to National designations and

1925

01:39:58.500 --> 01:39:59.300

to their setting.

1926

01:40:00.600 --> 01:40:02.800

but local landscape designations

1927

01:40:03.600 --> 01:40:06.900

local landscape value is not a

1928

01:40:06.900 --> 01:40:10.700
reason to refuse consent local

1929

01:40:09.700 --> 01:40:12.200
landscape designation should not

1930

01:40:12.200 --> 01:40:14.300
be used in themselves to refuse consent.

1931

01:40:15.800 --> 01:40:18.300
And there's a recognition in

1932

01:40:18.300 --> 01:40:21.000
The NPS that the scale of these projects means that they will be

1933

01:40:21.300 --> 01:40:24.800
visible. They will be visible as it's put in the MPS within many

1934

01:40:24.800 --> 01:40:27.000
miles of the site of the proposed infrastructure.

1935

01:40:28.200 --> 01:40:31.700
And the question that your posed by en1 is

1936

01:40:31.700 --> 01:40:33.300
is it so damaging?

1937

01:40:34.700 --> 01:40:37.700
That that's outweighs the benefits. Is it so

1938

01:40:37.700 --> 01:40:40.200
damaging that that's outweighs the benefits that's the test.

1939

01:40:40.200 --> 01:40:43.600
That's the policy test and it's obvious why then

1940

01:40:43.600 --> 01:40:46.900
as I've referred to when we last discuss this why the

1941

01:40:46.900 --> 01:40:49.200
question of reducing the scale of

1942

01:40:49.200 --> 01:40:53.600
the project is only arises where exceptional circumstances

1943

01:40:52.600 --> 01:40:55.600
apply where you can say this

1944

01:40:55.600 --> 01:40:58.600
harm is so great that only by deleting this

1945

01:40:58.600 --> 01:41:02.600
parcel of development only by deleting this these megawatts

1946

01:41:01.600 --> 01:41:04.400
of renewable energy production only by

1947

01:41:04.400 --> 01:41:07.500
putting this development into

1948

01:41:07.500 --> 01:41:11.500
a lower category of in terms of its ability to address the

1949

01:41:11.500 --> 01:41:12.200
climate emergency.

1950

01:41:13.400 --> 01:41:16.500
Only by doing that. Can you address these impacts that

1951

01:41:16.500 --> 01:41:18.900
are so great and that's what the policy says.

1952

01:41:19.700 --> 01:41:22.300
And the submissions you've heard from Mr. Jeff

1953

01:41:22.300 --> 01:41:25.400
Cocker. Just don't bear any resemblance to the

1954

01:41:25.400 --> 01:41:28.600

policy as framed. So what we have to do is is give

1955

01:41:28.600 --> 01:41:32.300

great weight to the National designations local landscape designations

1956

01:41:31.300 --> 01:41:35.000

should not be a reason to refuse development

1957

01:41:34.600 --> 01:41:37.300

consent and it's as simple as that and

1958

01:41:37.300 --> 01:41:40.800

that's why others need

1959

01:41:40.800 --> 01:41:43.500

to and have done and we're grateful for it focused

1960

01:41:43.500 --> 01:41:46.500

and sharpen their Tools in respect to mitigation. And

1961

01:41:46.500 --> 01:41:47.200

that's the real question.

1962

01:41:48.400 --> 01:41:51.400

The final point in response to the say now to Sonica submissions is

1963

01:41:51.400 --> 01:41:55.900

is the the speed of the change point. We are

1964

01:41:55.900 --> 01:41:59.000

not going to shy away from the proposition that the development

1965

01:41:58.300 --> 01:42:00.200

will come forward quickly.

1966

01:42:01.400 --> 01:42:05.000

But you don't deal with an emergency by moving

1967

01:42:04.800 --> 01:42:07.400

incrementally you deal with the climate emergency

1968

01:42:07.400 --> 01:42:11.200

by bringing on stream large-scale Renewables.

1969

01:42:10.200 --> 01:42:13.200

There will be

1970

01:42:13.200 --> 01:42:17.300

many many other schemes for groundmounted solar

1971

01:42:16.300 --> 01:42:19.300

at this all greater scale

1972

01:42:19.300 --> 01:42:22.700

that will need to come forward in rural areas

1973

01:42:22.700 --> 01:42:25.600

across England in the next five

1974

01:42:25.600 --> 01:42:26.800

to ten years. There will be

1975

01:42:27.500 --> 01:42:30.200

And it's going to result in change to how we see our

1976

01:42:30.200 --> 01:42:33.300

Landscapes it's going to and the reason is that

1977

01:42:33.300 --> 01:42:34.400

if we don't do that.

1978

01:42:35.600 --> 01:42:38.100

The alternative is one that doesn't even

1979

01:42:38.100 --> 01:42:41.700

bear thinking about so that's why we're doing it the government assumes that

1980

01:42:41.700 --> 01:42:42.000

it needs.

1981

01:42:43.800 --> 01:42:46.200

10 times this amount of ground mounted solar

1982

01:42:46.200 --> 01:42:49.400

in the next 20 to 30 years 10 times this

1983

01:42:49.400 --> 01:42:52.000

amount across the country. There's going to be a

1984

01:42:52.500 --> 01:42:53.000

large number of other.

1985

01:42:54.100 --> 01:42:57.300

Big scheme, sorry not 10 times a hundred times this amount a hundred

1986

01:42:57.300 --> 01:43:00.500

times this amount of ground mounted solar across the country.
There's gonna

1987

01:43:00.500 --> 01:43:03.000

be a lot of schemes. There'll be others doubtles in

1988

01:43:03.200 --> 01:43:05.500

these areas as well that come forward at this sort of scale.

1989

01:43:06.300 --> 01:43:10.700

There will be rapid change but there has to be because otherwise we

1990

01:43:09.700 --> 01:43:13.200

Face disaster. So yes

1991

01:43:12.200 --> 01:43:15.400

and the points about for example the

1992

01:43:15.400 --> 01:43:18.400

location of substations and best in

1993

01:43:18.400 --> 01:43:21.800

rural areas again, yes, they will be substations and

1994

01:43:21.800 --> 01:43:24.100
best to accommodate this in rural areas.

1995

01:43:26.200 --> 01:43:29.700
The question we think is more useful one is to

1996

01:43:29.700 --> 01:43:32.300
what extent and this what I think we should agree with the
authorities to

1997

01:43:32.300 --> 01:43:35.200
what extent do they say, we need to go further with mitigation or
to

1998

01:43:35.200 --> 01:43:38.100
what to what extent do they say you've gone as far as you can but
we think

1999

01:43:38.100 --> 01:43:42.000
that parcel should be delivered because exceptional circumstances
apply.

2000

01:43:46.900 --> 01:43:49.700
Thank you. I was going to go on to Historic environment.

2001

01:43:49.700 --> 01:43:53.500
But I think we had better break now and then

2002

01:43:53.500 --> 01:43:56.500
we'll come back up Matt Madam. I'm

2003

01:43:56.500 --> 01:44:00.400
sorry appreciate that learn friend would

2004

01:43:59.400 --> 01:44:02.200
normally be summing up and I don't

2005

01:44:02.200 --> 01:44:05.700
want to rehearse or regurgitate matters already

2006

01:44:05.700 --> 01:44:08.300
said but Madam on that policy point

2007

01:44:08.300 --> 01:44:09.800
about the MPS.

2008

01:44:10.800 --> 01:44:13.800
We have already set out in rep 6

2009

01:44:13.800 --> 01:44:16.300
0 7 6 which was

2010

01:44:16.300 --> 01:44:19.600
our comments on Sonic is response to

2011

01:44:19.600 --> 01:44:21.500
your exq2s.

2012

01:44:22.400 --> 01:44:23.800
Why we take a different View?

2013

01:44:25.200 --> 01:44:29.600
To the applicant on how they're seeing the starkness of

2014

01:44:28.600 --> 01:44:31.900
the policy test and I

2015

01:44:31.900 --> 01:44:34.200
just repeat and draw your

2016

01:44:34.200 --> 01:44:36.600
attention to that when you're considering that that's the only
point.

2017

01:44:39.900 --> 01:44:43.800
Okay. Thank you, Mr. Thanks everyone. It's nearly

2018

01:44:42.800 --> 01:44:45.300
quarter to 12. So

2019

01:44:45.300 --> 01:44:48.200
we'll break for 20 minutes, and we'll resume at five past 12.

2020

01:44:48.200 --> 01:44:48.700

Thank you.