```
WEBVTT - This file was automatically generated by VIMEO
00:00:00.700 --> 00:00:01.000
0kay.
1
00:00:01.800 --> 00:00:04.400
I think that's better right? Thank you everyone.
00:00:04.400 --> 00:00:08.400
 It's 13:47 preliminary
3
00:00:07.400 --> 00:00:09.400
 meeting is reconvened.
00:00:11.200 --> 00:00:15.700
We've given some thought to what's taking
00:00:14.700 --> 00:00:17.200
 place earlier this morning.
00:00:20.200 --> 00:00:23.200
The first point we'd like to make is that had the
7
00:00:23.200 --> 00:00:26.700
 panel been seized of the change request as
00:00:26.700 --> 00:00:29.100
 expected sometime during last week.
00:00:30.500 --> 00:00:33.500
It was possible. We could have made a procedural decision
10
00:00:33.500 --> 00:00:36.600
whether or not to accept the change request.
11
00:00:37.500 --> 00:00:40.500
And or to assess the materiality of the
12
00:00:40.500 --> 00:00:41.100
 changes.
```

```
13
00:00:42.300 --> 00:00:45.900
For example, whether the proposed changes individually or
cumulatively
14
00:00:45.900 --> 00:00:48.500
 constituted a materially different project.
15
00:00:50.100 \longrightarrow 00:00:53.900
It does not appear likely that we will be able to do that just now.
16
00:00:56.200 --> 00:00:59.000
There is then a question mark over whether there would be
17
00:00:59.400 --> 00:01:00.900
 sufficient time within the examination.
18
00:01:01.900 --> 00:01:04.600
If it is to start in advance of receipt
19
00:01:04.600 --> 00:01:07.600
 of those changes for the changes
20
00:01:07.600 --> 00:01:10.300
 and the revised application, should that be accepted
21
00:01:10.300 --> 00:01:13.500
to be properly unfairly examined?
22
00:01:16.400 --> 00:01:19.300
Given the revised timing of the formal change request which
23
00:01:19.300 --> 00:01:22.700
the applicant now indicates will not be until 30 August
24
00:01:22.700 --> 00:01:26.100
 2022. We would
25
00:01:25.100 --> 00:01:26.600
wish to give
```

```
00:01:27.800 --> 00:01:31.200
others at this meeting and indeed other
27
00:01:30.200 --> 00:01:33.800
 interested parties not present the opportunity
28
00:01:33.800 --> 00:01:36.700
to comment on the matters that the applicant has
29
00:01:36.700 --> 00:01:37.300
 raised.
30
00:01:39.400 --> 00:01:39.700
firstly however
31
00:01:41.500 --> 00:01:44.700
We should say that in view of the representations received
32
00:01:44.700 --> 00:01:46.500
today and beforehand.
33
00:01:48.100 --> 00:01:51.700
We do not consider it appropriate to start the examination over
34
00:01:51.700 --> 00:01:52.300
 the summer.
35
00:01:53.100 --> 00:01:56.800
given the problems which various people have referred to in meeting
36
00:01:56.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:00.000
 deadlines and arranging delegation authorities
37
00:01:59.800 --> 00:02:02.400
 and so forth over that
38
00:02:02.400 --> 00:02:03.200
 summer period
39
00:02:05.300 --> 00:02:06.300
so that's the first point.
```

```
40
00:02:07.600 --> 00:02:10.900
Secondly, we are clear that the examination
41
00:02:10.900 --> 00:02:14.300
 should not at least start before
42
00:02:13.300 --> 00:02:16.600
 the date on which the applicant
43
00:02:16.600 --> 00:02:19.400
has now stated. It would formally submit the
44
00:02:19.400 --> 00:02:20.400
 change request.
45
00:02:21.400 --> 00:02:22.400
however
46
00:02:23.700 --> 00:02:26.200
There is an application that must if it is
47
00:02:26.200 --> 00:02:28.200
not withdrawn be examined.
48
00:02:29.300 --> 00:02:33.100
Our aim is to get the best balance. We can between the
49
00:02:32.100 --> 00:02:35.500
need to enable Preparatory work to
50
00:02:35.500 --> 00:02:38.400
 occur as against bringing the application
51
00:02:38.400 --> 00:02:41.100
to an early examination on the
52
00:02:41.100 --> 00:02:43.100
basis of the current documentation.
```

```
00:02:45.800 --> 00:02:48.300
Therefore after consideration of the remaining
54
00:02:48.300 --> 00:02:51.500
 items on the agenda. The preliminary meeting
55
00:02:51.500 --> 00:02:52.500
will be adjourned.
56
00:02:53.300 --> 00:02:57.700
And we will issue a procedural decision inviting interested
57
00:02:57.700 --> 00:03:00.500
 parties to comment on the proposals of
58
00:03:00.500 --> 00:03:03.400
 the applicant as heard today and in particular
59
00:03:03.400 --> 00:03:06.500
 expressive view on the contents of the letters
60
00:03:06.500 --> 00:03:09.500
 of 21st, July 2022.
61
00:03:10.900 --> 00:03:13.500
That will inform the examining authorities
62
00:03:13.500 --> 00:03:16.800
 view of what the revised timetable should
63
00:03:16.800 --> 00:03:16.900
be.
64
00:03:18.100 --> 00:03:20.800
And the appropriate start date of the examination.
65
00:03:22.300 --> 00:03:25.600
We will issue that procedural decision letter this
66
00:03:25.600 --> 00:03:28.600
 week and allow submissions to
```

```
67
00:03:28.600 --> 00:03:32.900
 be made up to minute for
68
00:03:32.900 --> 00:03:36.100
 midnight 23:59 on Monday 8th of
69
00:03:36.100 --> 00:03:36.300
August.
70
00:03:39.200 --> 00:03:42.300
so that is
71
00:03:43.300 --> 00:03:45.900
Our intention to proceed the way forward
72
00:03:47.900 --> 00:03:50.500
Now before I move on to the next
73
00:03:50.500 --> 00:03:53.200
 item on the agenda, is anybody have
00:03:53.200 --> 00:03:56.300
 any comments on that or wish
75
00:03:56.300 --> 00:03:56.900
 to add anything?
76
00:03:57.900 --> 00:04:00.600
At this stage so say that letter will
77
00:04:00.600 --> 00:04:03.300
 go out later this week, Mr. Griffiths. Yes.
78
00:04:03.300 --> 00:04:05.800
Thank you, sir. I can't be clear that.
79
00:04:06.600 --> 00:04:09.100
the letter the letters that we
```

```
00:04:09.100 --> 00:04:12.200
 submitted one was summarizing the
81
00:04:13.700 --> 00:04:17.100
Change application including the additional over sailing
82
00:04:16.100 --> 00:04:19.400
 and the second was obviously revised timetable.
83
00:04:20.200 --> 00:04:24.100
Which clearly second one is no longer applicable because you're
84
00:04:23.100 --> 00:04:25.900
 the timetable we amended.
85
00:04:26.500 --> 00:04:30.700
With a timetable that started the
86
00:04:30.700 --> 00:04:33.300
 examination tomorrow. So the second letter
00:04:33.300 --> 00:04:36.100
 21st should not be does no longer need to be coming on
88
00:04:36.100 --> 00:04:39.300
 so that on the first letter which is the change application. I just
89
00:04:39.300 --> 00:04:42.200
want to make we're not on we're not being examined yet on the
90
00:04:42.200 \longrightarrow 00:04:46.100
 procedure on the change application. I assume it's purely
observations on
91
00:04:45.100 --> 00:04:48.600
 timetable from parties
92
00:04:48.600 --> 00:04:51.000
to inform your decision or when to
93
00:04:51.300 --> 00:04:55.000
```

```
issue the procedural decision with a new timetable that you're
94
00:04:54.300 --> 00:04:57.700
 asking questions on you put it with Clarity Mr.
95
00:04:57.700 --> 00:05:00.500
Griffith. So I'm grateful for that that is indeed
96
00:05:00.500 --> 00:05:04.300
what the panel intend to do. Thank you
97
00:05:04.300 --> 00:05:07.500
 referring to those lessons. I'm referring holistically to the
situation.
98
00:05:07.500 --> 00:05:10.600
We now find ourselves in as a
99
00:05:10.600 --> 00:05:16.200
 result of the description of those changes and the the proposed
00:05:14.200 --> 00:05:17.500
 submission date
101
00:05:17.500 --> 00:05:19.600
of the change request.
102
00:05:20.300 --> 00:05:23.200
If they make clear in your in the procedure decision, we'll make
103
00:05:23.200 \longrightarrow 00:05:26.600
 our procedure relating to timetabling rather than
104
00:05:26.600 --> 00:05:29.400
 anything of the content of the proceed
105
00:05:29.400 --> 00:05:32.300
 of the changes itself because that will follow and submit the
formal
106
00:05:32.300 --> 00:05:32.500
```

```
change.
107
00:05:33.500 --> 00:05:36.300
You again? You're right. We we're obviously not
00:05:36.300 --> 00:05:39.300
 into examination of the evidence search. We are
109
00:05:39.300 --> 00:05:43.300
 still the Foothills as it were so
110
00:05:42.300 --> 00:05:45.200
 that's what we will
111
00:05:45.200 --> 00:05:45.400
 do.
112
00:05:46.400 --> 00:05:48.000
Thank you, Mr. Griffiths.
113
00:05:48.900 --> 00:05:51.400
Thank you. Everybody. We'll now move yes,
114
00:05:51.400 --> 00:05:52.200
Mr. Steele.
115
00:05:53.200 --> 00:05:56.800
Forgive me certified. I mean anyway, trespassing upon a
116
00:05:56.800 --> 00:05:59.500
 decision already made but I just been thinking and
117
00:05:59.500 --> 00:06:02.700
mulling over what you've been putting forward. Okay, don't
118
00:06:02.700 --> 00:06:05.500
 in any way disagree with may I say, but it would may I
119
00:06:05.500 --> 00:06:08.100
 just put forward this potential and it may well be
```

```
120
00:06:08.100 --> 00:06:11.400
 disagree with it and just say thank you very much, but no we won't
go on this particular
121
00:06:11.400 --> 00:06:11.700
 route.
122
00:06:12.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:15.200
Because we're all here together in this thing
123
00:06:15.200 --> 00:06:15.800
the same room.
124
00:06:16.700 --> 00:06:17.400
It may be.
125
00:06:18.300 --> 00:06:21.300
That we can get some form of
126
00:06:21.300 --> 00:06:24.400
 consensus on one side by people putting
127
00:06:24.400 --> 00:06:27.300
 forward their views if they do have
128
00:06:27.300 --> 00:06:30.300
 their views about the timetable because you made it clear not this
summer. So
129
00:06:30.300 --> 00:06:33.600
when is it because otherwise everybody would
130
00:06:33.600 --> 00:06:36.600
have to contact everybody else just to see what their views
131
00:06:36.600 --> 00:06:38.800
 are if there's going to be a consensus later on.
132
00:06:39.700 --> 00:06:42.500
And it would be helpful for you and the examining
```

```
133
00:06:42.500 --> 00:06:45.500
Authority. I would have thought that you might get just preliminary
views.
134
00:06:45.500 --> 00:06:48.200
It may be sir. Obviously, I haven't spoken to anybody else about
this
135
00:06:48.200 --> 00:06:51.600
that they can say, we just don't know and we we agree that
136
00:06:51.600 --> 00:06:54.800
we just can't say anything at the moment and we put the whole thing
off. But
137
00:06:54.800 --> 00:06:57.200
 it may be that people are saying well what we
138
00:06:57.200 --> 00:07:00.600
want to avoid and I've been looking at the position as
139
00:07:00.600 --> 00:07:03.300
well over Christmas and so on is avoiding the Christmas
140
00:07:03.300 --> 00:07:06.900
 period and then looking at the time table and just
141
00:07:06.900 --> 00:07:09.200
 getting some indication of
142
00:07:09.200 \longrightarrow 00:07:10.800
when it might be able to start.
143
00:07:11.800 --> 00:07:14.100
And if Mrs. Griffis was said it was to say
144
00:07:14.100 --> 00:07:17.400
well if it was by this particular date, which a long-stop date
145
00:07:17.400 --> 00:07:20.300
 then that is the date when we would look at a long
```

```
146
00:07:20.300 --> 00:07:24.000
stop date, which is not just with some degree of
147
00:07:23.800 --> 00:07:26.500
of hopefulness, but
148
00:07:26.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:29.300
also almost finality and I we can't hold him
00:07:29.300 --> 00:07:32.200
absolutely do everything. We know that because it's not possible.
But if
150
00:07:32.200 --> 00:07:35.300
we had that then at least we know what it might
151
00:07:35.300 --> 00:07:38.500
run into this bound to go into the new year now
152
00:07:38.500 --> 00:07:41.100
as a result it is we've been looking at
153
00:07:41.100 --> 00:07:45.000
the whole of the dates and that's including the hearings and there
154
00:07:44.300 --> 00:07:47.900
may be times when we get into difficulties then in
155
00:07:47.900 --> 00:07:50.200
the new year are we looking towards one of
156
00:07:50.200 --> 00:07:53.700
the questions is avoidance of the Christmas period between
157
00:07:53.700 --> 00:07:56.200
say I make this up I didn't
158
00:07:56.200 --> 00:08:00.300
look to the diary say about the 18th of December maybe
```

```
159
00:07:59.300 --> 00:08:02.500
the fifth of January or something. Are we
160
00:08:02.500 --> 00:08:05.500
 going to sit between Christmas and New Year now if there
161
00:08:05.500 --> 00:08:08.400
 are those sorts of points which we can clarify now
162
00:08:08.400 --> 00:08:11.500
 it may narrow the field of the
163
00:08:11.800 --> 00:08:14.500
Later on and therefore in those circumstances.
164
00:08:14.500 --> 00:08:17.400
We might be able to come forward with it. There's any of you said I
165
00:08:17.400 --> 00:08:20.400
 don't in any way insist obviously upon this as a
166
00:08:20.400 --> 00:08:23.500
matter of fairness or otherwise, but it just means that we can get
move forward
167
00:08:23.500 --> 00:08:26.500
 a little bit. Yes. Well, I I mean that's helpful
168
00:08:26.500 --> 00:08:29.300
Mr. Steel, you know to mention those
169
00:08:29.300 --> 00:08:32.800
matters. I think it would be premature at
170
00:08:32.800 --> 00:08:35.200
this stage to give a definite indication that
171
00:08:35.200 --> 00:08:38.700
we will for example, not sit between two
```

172

```
00:08:38.700 --> 00:08:42.600
 specific dates over Christmas, although obviously over
173
00:08:41.600 --> 00:08:44.300
 the Christmas period we would not
174
00:08:44.300 --> 00:08:48.100
wish to cause difficulties on
175
00:08:47.100 --> 00:08:50.900
 that score. So we will
176
00:08:50.900 --> 00:08:52.200
 take full account of that.
177
00:08:52.600 --> 00:08:55.500
What what we have been able to say quite
178
00:08:55.500 --> 00:08:58.600
 clearly is that the examination should not
00:08:58.600 --> 00:09:01.300
 start in our view until the summer period is
180
00:09:01.300 --> 00:09:04.500
 over that then leaves us with the issue of whether
181
00:09:04.500 --> 00:09:07.800
 the examination can start. Timiously
182
00:09:07.800 --> 00:09:09.200
 after.
183
00:09:11.200 --> 00:09:14.300
Well, there are two issues though. The first we must consider
whether we have
184
00:09:14.300 --> 00:09:17.300
 sufficient confidence in the change requests being submitted by
185
00:09:17.300 --> 00:09:20.600
```

```
the by the new date and whether
186
00:09:20.600 --> 00:09:25.800
an examination date can be set around
187
00:09:23.800 --> 00:09:27.200
what I
188
00:09:27.200 --> 00:09:27.800
just used the word.
189
00:09:28.600 --> 00:09:31.300
Loosely at this stage around that date
190
00:09:31.300 --> 00:09:34.900
so I mean that point doesn't
191
00:09:34.900 --> 00:09:38.400
it towards September doesn't it?
192
00:09:38.400 --> 00:09:41.300
Really I think and I think I think we adverted to
193
00:09:41.300 --> 00:09:44.200
us in in our in our letter that we published on
194
00:09:44.200 --> 00:09:47.500
the website. So that in itself is helpful. May I
195
00:09:47.500 --> 00:09:50.800
say, all right. Well starting point. Okay. Well as
196
00:09:50.800 --> 00:09:54.200
I say that we did publish that on the website it's
197
00:09:53.200 --> 00:09:56.200
not cast in tablets of stone
198
00:09:56.200 --> 00:09:59.500
because I do want to get comments from
```

```
199
00:09:59.500 --> 00:10:02.900
people not just here but who must
200
00:10:02.900 --> 00:10:07.000
have an opportunity to go through these issues. So
00:10:05.500 --> 00:10:08.400
 I think we'll stick
202
00:10:08.400 --> 00:10:11.000
 to the to the way forward in terms
203
00:10:11.200 --> 00:10:14.600
 of issuing that to that letter this week and then
204
00:10:14.600 --> 00:10:15.900
we'll go forward on that basis.
205
00:10:17.500 --> 00:10:17.900
0kay.
206
00:10:19.700 --> 00:10:22.700
Good. Well, thank you. Everyone will move
207
00:10:22.700 --> 00:10:26.400
 now to agenda item
208
00:10:25.400 --> 00:10:29.100
 for and I'll
209
00:10:28.100 --> 00:10:32.300
hand over to Mr. Rigby who will look
210
00:10:31.300 --> 00:10:34.400
 at various issues to do
211
00:10:34.400 --> 00:10:38.300
with timetabling in a more General sense. Clearly. We
212
00:10:38.300 --> 00:10:42.100
```

```
cannot go into the details of specific dates, but it
213
00:10:41.100 --> 00:10:44.100
 may be of some help and you may have views that
214
00:10:44.100 --> 00:10:47.700
you wish to express to the panel on that as well
215
00:10:47.700 --> 00:10:49.800
 as the issue site inspections.
216
00:10:53.300 --> 00:10:56.200
Thank you, Mr. Keane. Good afternoon. Everyone, Mr. Rigby here.
217
00:10:57.100 --> 00:10:59.500
As Mr. Keen said at the start of this meeting.
218
00:11:00.200 --> 00:11:03.700
The examining Authority has decided to postpone the
219
00:11:03.700 --> 00:11:05.600
hearings scheduled for later this week.
220
00:11:06.400 --> 00:11:09.300
And we've now also decided to
221
00:11:09.300 --> 00:11:11.300
 postpone the start of the examination.
222
00:11:12.300 --> 00:11:16.100
So consequently the dates given in Alex D. Don't
223
00:11:15.100 --> 00:11:16.300
 Supply.
224
00:11:17.200 --> 00:11:20.200
but the principles of
225
00:11:21.300 --> 00:11:24.300
the hearings and some questions
```

```
226
00:11:24.300 --> 00:11:27.700
 and written questions and other things do and so
227
00:11:27.700 --> 00:11:30.000
 does the logic because there is a logic behind.
228
00:11:31.100 --> 00:11:34.500
The draft timetable you've got in Annex day.
229
00:11:35.100 --> 00:11:38.700
Relating to time needed for notification of hearings
230
00:11:38.700 --> 00:11:41.000
 for submission of written responses and so on.
231
00:11:42.900 --> 00:11:45.500
So there are still rarest General matters
232
00:11:45.500 --> 00:11:48.300
 pertaining to the timetabling of these amination in general
233
00:11:48.300 --> 00:11:49.300
which are now going to cover.
234
00:11:50.600 --> 00:11:54.300
So firstly talking about site inspections
235
00:11:53.300 --> 00:11:56.800
 and accompanied inspections.
236
00:11:58.100 --> 00:12:01.500
These are so that we the examining Authority are able
237
00:12:01.500 --> 00:12:04.400
to gain a proper understanding of the proposed development
238
00:12:04.400 --> 00:12:07.800
within its site and surroundings
239
00:12:07.800 --> 00:12:09.300
```

```
as well as its effects.
240
00:12:10.600 --> 00:12:13.400
We've already undertaken on a company's site
00:12:13.400 --> 00:12:16.500
 inspections. We undertook them on 10th
242
00:12:16.500 --> 00:12:17.300
 and 11th of April.
243
00:12:18.400 --> 00:12:21.300
24th and 25th are very pro this year
244
00:12:21.300 --> 00:12:23.300
 and the 10th of 11th of May.
245
00:12:24.700 --> 00:12:27.600
And we did this from public accessible land
246
00:12:27.600 --> 00:12:28.000
 only.
247
00:12:29.700 --> 00:12:32.600
So we've had a bit of a look around already the notes are
00:12:32.600 --> 00:12:35.300
 available to view on the project page of the website.
249
00:12:36.300 --> 00:12:37.600
So you can see where we've been already.
250
00:12:38.900 --> 00:12:41.600
They explained that the inspection was undertaken
251
00:12:41.600 --> 00:12:45.000
 principally in order to view the location of the various components
252
00:12:44.900 --> 00:12:47.900
 of the overall site where
```

```
253
00:12:47.900 --> 00:12:50.600
the panels are proposed to be cited and the
254
00:12:50.600 --> 00:12:52.300
 substation area substation areas.
00:12:53.300 --> 00:12:56.900
But quite a lot of the order land is not publicly accessible.
256
00:12:56.900 --> 00:12:58.000
257
00:12:59.200 --> 00:13:02.600
An accompanied site inspection would in any case be required?
258
00:13:03.700 --> 00:13:06.300
And we already have a lot of useful suggestions.
259
00:13:08.200 --> 00:13:12.100
Bearing in mind that started examination will now
260
00:13:11.100 --> 00:13:14.300
be delayed. We seat your views
261
00:13:14.300 --> 00:13:17.900
 on when accompanied site inspection might be
262
00:13:17.900 --> 00:13:20.600
 and any further locations. We should
263
00:13:20.600 --> 00:13:23.000
 expect in the lights of
264
00:13:23.900 --> 00:13:25.100
discussions this morning
265
00:13:26.900 --> 00:13:29.200
The revised timetable will adopt the same
266
00:13:29.200 --> 00:13:32.600
```

```
logic as the draft timetable. So it'll include
267
00:13:32.600 --> 00:13:36.300
 the new date by which noticeably by parties
268
00:13:35.300 --> 00:13:38.200
to indicate in writing if they wish
269
00:13:38.200 --> 00:13:42.200
to attend a future accompanied site inspection and
270
00:13:41.200 --> 00:13:44.200
to give suggested locations for us
271
00:13:44.200 --> 00:13:44.800
to visit.
272
00:13:46.500 --> 00:13:49.400
The applicant will then be requested to prepare a draft
273
00:13:49.400 --> 00:13:52.000
 itinerary based on suggested locations.
274
00:13:53.200 --> 00:13:56.500
Comments on the applicant's draft accompanied site inspection
275
00:13:56.500 --> 00:13:59.100
 arrangements and itinerary can then be
276
00:13:59.100 --> 00:14:02.500
 made by interested parties. So this is the logic we'd
277
00:14:02.500 --> 00:14:05.900
 normally apply in any case and the final itinerary will
278
00:14:05.900 --> 00:14:09.300
be published in advance of the event taking place. So just
279
00:14:09.300 --> 00:14:12.300
 gives you an idea of the steps we go through before we actually go
```

```
280
00:14:12.300 --> 00:14:15.700
 out and do it bearing in mind proposed change
281
00:14:15.700 --> 00:14:16.400
 application.
00:14:17.200 --> 00:14:18.300
Do please nodes?
283
00:14:19.100 --> 00:14:22.800
If you haven't submitted anything yet. It doesn't preclude you
284
00:14:22.800 --> 00:14:25.900
 in any case from doing so later provided. You've
285
00:14:25.900 --> 00:14:28.500
 got good reason particularly after you've
286
00:14:28.500 --> 00:14:31.900
 had the opportunity to review what's been said hearings
287
00:14:31.900 --> 00:14:34.400
 at as any additional documentation for
288
00:14:34.400 --> 00:14:37.800
 instance has come in and in this this instance, of
289
00:14:37.800 --> 00:14:40.500
 course reference to the proposed change application
290
00:14:40.500 --> 00:14:43.700
 to be submitted or before 30th of
291
00:14:43.700 --> 00:14:44.800
 August by the applicant.
292
00:14:47.400 --> 00:14:50.800
It's likely that we as the examining Authority.
293
00:14:51.900 --> 00:14:54.300
```

```
Would carry out further on a completed site
294
00:14:54.300 --> 00:14:57.300
 inspections. What's the change request has been
295
00:14:57.300 --> 00:14:57.600
 submitted?
296
00:14:58.800 --> 00:15:01.800
And these can be at any point in the examination and
297
00:15:01.800 --> 00:15:04.900
we'll place any notes from these inspections on
298
00:15:04.900 --> 00:15:07.500
 the project page of the website in the interests of
299
00:15:07.500 --> 00:15:08.800
 fairness and transparency.
300
00:15:10.600 --> 00:15:13.500
So in terms of the general principles, does
301
00:15:13.500 --> 00:15:16.400
 anybody have any comments or questions in relation
302
00:15:16.400 --> 00:15:19.600
 to site inspections they want
303
00:15:19.600 --> 00:15:20.400
 to make
304
00:15:26.100 --> 00:15:30.500
Nope fine. So just one Mr.
305
00:15:29.500 --> 00:15:33.000
Mohammed here on behalf
306
00:15:32.200 --> 00:15:35.400
 yesterday behind also just the
```

```
307
00:15:35.400 --> 00:15:38.400
 one which may or may not be on your list. But our suggestion
308
00:15:38.400 --> 00:15:42.500
would be West site be that's West
309
00:15:41.500 --> 00:15:45.200
 Side speed for Bravo. Mm-hmm
310
00:15:44.200 --> 00:15:47.200
 for you to to inspect that
311
00:15:47.200 --> 00:15:51.500
 accompanied and for obviously access
312
00:15:50.500 --> 00:15:53.700
to private Landing due
313
00:15:53.700 --> 00:15:56.300
 course, that's my already will be
314
00:15:56.300 --> 00:15:58.900
 on your list. If it is, I apologize for repeating. That's the only
315
00:15:59.700 --> 00:16:01.300
Contribution we have on this.
316
00:16:02.800 --> 00:16:05.100
It's not thank you Mr. Mohammed. That's that's
317
00:16:05.100 --> 00:16:05.500
 very helpful.
318
00:16:06.600 --> 00:16:09.400
Anybody else have anything they want to say now, but do very
319
00:16:09.400 --> 00:16:13.500
 mind that you've got time to make further submissions.
320
00:16:14.800 --> 00:16:16.700
```

```
So I'll move on to hearings.
321
00:16:17.900 --> 00:16:20.700
And we haven't made any assumptions about how future
322
00:16:20.700 --> 00:16:24.000
hearings will be held we've sponed
323
00:16:23.100 --> 00:16:26.000
 the hearings planned for later this week.
324
00:16:26.700 --> 00:16:30.100
But their postpone they're not canceled and we
325
00:16:29.100 --> 00:16:32.300
will make a decision in due course as
326
00:16:32.300 --> 00:16:33.800
to when those hearings will take place.
327
00:16:36.100 --> 00:16:39.300
You haven't made any assumptions about
328
00:16:39.300 --> 00:16:39.700
how?
329
00:16:40.700 --> 00:16:44.200
Future hearings will be held. But currently we anticipate they
330
00:16:43.200 --> 00:16:46.300
 take place as a blended event, which is
331
00:16:46.300 --> 00:16:46.900
 like today.
332
00:16:47.900 --> 00:16:50.500
or else as a fully virtual event via Microsoft
333
00:16:50.500 --> 00:16:51.000
 teams
```

```
334
00:16:52.300 --> 00:16:55.200
And as normal the agendas for those
335
00:16:55.200 --> 00:16:57.200
hearings will be published near the time.
00:16:58.600 --> 00:16:59.000
So
337
00:17:00.800 --> 00:17:03.200
I'd like to know if anyone's got any views. They
338
00:17:03.200 --> 00:17:07.200
want to express now about how future
339
00:17:06.200 --> 00:17:09.300
hearings would be held and in
340
00:17:09.300 --> 00:17:12.500
 particular on whether you have a preference for them
341
00:17:12.500 --> 00:17:15.600
to be Blended like today or fully virtual.
342
00:17:17.500 --> 00:17:20.300
Does anybody have anything they want to say this is
00:17:20.300 --> 00:17:20.800
 still?
344
00:17:22.400 --> 00:17:25.300
Blended if there's a choice, please we think
345
00:17:25.300 --> 00:17:27.300
 that the ability for
346
00:17:28.500 --> 00:17:31.400
there to be a hearing where people are
347
00:17:31.400 --> 00:17:34.200
```

```
present as a significant amount to the
348
00:17:34.200 --> 00:17:37.400
 appreciation of all the facts and matters which
349
00:17:37.400 --> 00:17:39.400
are discussed. Thank you. Thank you.
00:17:41.100 --> 00:17:41.300
Yes.
351
00:17:42.500 --> 00:17:45.300
Paula McKenzie Wellington Parish Council, I agree
352
00:17:45.300 --> 00:17:48.200
with that should be Blended. I will also stay as well
353
00:17:48.200 --> 00:17:52.600
being in the countryside in the villages. We have intermittent
internet
354
00:17:51.600 --> 00:17:54.700
 connection at times so it might be problematic
355
00:17:54.700 --> 00:17:55.300
having it.
356
00:17:56.700 --> 00:17:58.500
Thank you for that. Thank you for mentioning that.
357
00:18:03.100 --> 00:18:07.800
Thank you very much. We'll take a count obviously of any suggestions
and that'll
358
00:18:07.800 --> 00:18:10.500
be part of the procedural decision
359
00:18:10.500 --> 00:18:13.200
which Mr. Keen referred to earlier.
360
00:18:14.200 --> 00:18:17.600
```

```
So we can take them forward to when we resume the
361
00:18:17.600 --> 00:18:20.300
 position the deeper and room meeting as and
362
00:18:20.300 --> 00:18:23.300
when we be convenient, so I
363
00:18:23.300 --> 00:18:26.400
 think that's all I've got to say on those issues our hand you back
to Mr.
364
00:18:26.400 --> 00:18:26.700
 King.
365
00:18:27.800 --> 00:18:30.700
Thank you, Mr. Rigby. Hmm. I'll let you
366
00:18:30.700 --> 00:18:33.500
 so okay. Well, I'm going to hand over
367
00:18:33.500 --> 00:18:36.700
 now to Mrs. Taylor who will deal
368
00:18:36.700 --> 00:18:39.700
with the next item on the agenda, which is
369
00:18:39.700 --> 00:18:43.500
 item five the initial
370
00:18:42.500 --> 00:18:45.600
 assessment of preliminary issues.
371
00:18:45.600 --> 00:18:48.700
 Thank you very much Mr. King, I
372
00:18:48.700 --> 00:18:48.700
 think.
373
00:18:51.100 --> 00:18:55.000
NXT from our rule six letter in front of you for
```

```
374
00:18:54.300 --> 00:18:55.800
this item, please.
375
00:18:57.900 --> 00:19:00.800
So we've placed the main headings of the principal issues
376
00:19:00.800 --> 00:19:02.700
 in this list.
377
00:19:04.100 --> 00:19:04.100
um
378
00:19:05.500 --> 00:19:08.100
And it provides an initial framework of issues for
379
00:19:08.100 --> 00:19:10.100
the examination of this proposal.
380
00:19:11.100 --> 00:19:14.300
It doesn't preclude us from amending the list by removing or
381
00:19:14.300 --> 00:19:17.100
 adding to the proposed principal issues at a
382
00:19:17.100 --> 00:19:20.500
 later stage in the process and I should emphasize that
383
00:19:20.500 --> 00:19:23.300
the issues are listed in alphabetical order not in
384
00:19:23.300 --> 00:19:26.100
 order of priority. Also, this is
385
00:19:26.100 --> 00:19:29.400
not necessarily the order that we will consider issues in
386
00:19:29.400 --> 00:19:33.000
 particularly as there are very clear linkages and overlaps
```

387

```
00:19:32.200 --> 00:19:35.400
 between different issues. And so
388
00:19:35.400 --> 00:19:38.500
 it may help us at a later stage to
389
00:19:38.500 --> 00:19:41.400
 group some of them together for the purposes of
390
00:19:41.400 --> 00:19:43.200
 the actual examination hearings.
391
00:19:45.200 --> 00:19:48.200
In terms of the applicants proposed change which we
392
00:19:48.200 --> 00:19:51.800
 await formal submission of we intend
393
00:19:51.800 --> 00:19:54.800
to consider that under the relevant issue heading
00:19:54.800 --> 00:19:57.500
 so for example transport visual impact
395
00:19:57.500 --> 00:20:00.300
 rather than as a separate issue in its
396
00:20:00.300 --> 00:20:00.700
 own, right?
397
00:20:02.700 --> 00:20:05.100
A number of comments on the principal issues have already
398
00:20:05.100 --> 00:20:08.700
 been made in deadline a responses and we'll
399
00:20:08.700 --> 00:20:11.500
be taking these into account when we review the list.
400
00:20:12.700 --> 00:20:16.200
So I have nothing further to add at this stage nevertheless
```

```
participants
401
00:20:15.200 --> 00:20:18.400
 here today may wish to
402
00:20:18.400 --> 00:20:21.700
 comment on either the broad headings or the
403
00:20:21.700 --> 00:20:22.600
 individual matters.
404
00:20:23.100 --> 00:20:26.500
So I will invite you to speak but please do remember that.
405
00:20:26.500 --> 00:20:29.300
We're not looking for submissions on the merits of the
406
00:20:29.300 --> 00:20:32.800
 scheme as Mr. Keane explained earlier as that
407
00:20:32.800 --> 00:20:35.300
will form part of the detailed examination of the
408
00:20:35.300 --> 00:20:38.500
 application which commences when we start the actual.
00:20:39.500 --> 00:20:40.200
examination
410
00:20:41.300 --> 00:20:44.700
so we are only considering comments on the broad principle
411
00:20:44.700 --> 00:20:47.400
 issues as identified at this
412
00:20:47.400 --> 00:20:47.800
 stage.
413
00:20:48.900 --> 00:20:51.900
So is that in mind I will now invite submissions
```

```
414
00:20:51.900 --> 00:20:54.400
 both from people present in the
415
00:20:54.400 --> 00:20:57.500
 room. And from those who are who are joining us virtually.
416
00:21:05.100 --> 00:21:06.600
Anyone wish to make it look.
417
00:21:07.300 --> 00:21:10.300
Mister Mr. Mohammad here online and
418
00:21:10.300 --> 00:21:13.200
Mr. Married. Yes, we can hear thank you
419
00:21:13.200 --> 00:21:16.400
 to very brief comments one is obviously you have
420
00:21:16.400 --> 00:21:19.900
 the letters of the 30th of July from Cambridge
421
00:21:19.900 --> 00:21:22.800
 accounting Council and he's Cambridge account
422
00:21:22.800 --> 00:21:25.100
 District Council setting out the issues that
423
00:21:25.100 --> 00:21:28.300
 are important for us to consider. Some
424
00:21:28.300 --> 00:21:31.500
 of them you've got picked up not necessarily in very specific
425
00:21:31.500 --> 00:21:35.000
 terms, but you picked up in those lists. There
426
00:21:34.200 --> 00:21:37.200
 are just two elements of that. I
427
00:21:37.200 --> 00:21:40.100
```

```
just wanted to under score as being
428
00:21:40.100 --> 00:21:43.300
 quite important both to the local community and to
429
00:21:43.300 --> 00:21:46.300
 the council and one is in relation to the best and
430
00:21:46.300 --> 00:21:49.300
 most versatile land in other words what will
431
00:21:49.300 --> 00:21:50.000
happen to that.
432
00:21:51.200 --> 00:21:55.100
And the second is a relation to the issue around safety
433
00:21:54.100 --> 00:21:57.600
of the batteries again, I would
434
00:21:57.600 --> 00:22:00.300
have never imagined the situation where the
435
00:22:00.300 --> 00:22:03.200
 examination would have taken place without you examining those two
436
00:22:03.200 --> 00:22:06.500
 issues. But I only mentioned them to underscore the strength
437
00:22:06.500 --> 00:22:08.500
 of feeling on the ground on those two issues.
438
00:22:14.500 --> 00:22:15.000
the duration
439
00:22:16.700 --> 00:22:19.300
Further comments from yes Mr.
440
00:22:19.300 --> 00:22:19.800
 Steele
```

```
441
00:22:20.900 --> 00:22:23.500
I'm sorry, it's over. It was Mr. Mohammed and myself who seemed
442
00:22:23.500 --> 00:22:26.600
to be addressing this and certainly myself. I didn't speak from
smack it
443
00:22:26.600 \longrightarrow 00:22:29.600
 obviously the letter which
444
00:22:29.600 --> 00:22:33.200
we have actually said which date which
445
00:22:32.200 --> 00:22:35.500
was sent on the
446
00:22:35.500 --> 00:22:36.300
 13th of July.
447
00:22:37.600 --> 00:22:40.500
Does have a number of points made of
448
00:22:40.500 --> 00:22:44.400
 paragraph 17 onwards Madam and therefore
449
00:22:43.400 --> 00:22:46.300
 I just stick to those if I may
450
00:22:46.300 --> 00:22:49.300
draw those two attention anything is anything further. I need to
add to this stage.
451
00:22:49.300 --> 00:22:52.400
 Is there unless you wish me to add to those? Yes, Mr.
452
00:22:52.400 --> 00:22:55.000
 Stew. Yes, we're aware of thank you very letters that have come in
S0
453
00:22:55.300 --> 00:22:58.400
 far and they've we've already made note of those points. I most
```

```
454
00:22:58.400 --> 00:22:58.900
 grateful. Thank you very much.
455
00:23:01.400 --> 00:23:04.400
Madam could I interject for his Michael Bedford
456
00:23:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:23:07.200
 Suffolk County Council. I'm on your
457
00:23:07.200 --> 00:23:10.900
 screen or should be on your screen. So I mister Bedford.
458
00:23:10.900 --> 00:23:13.200
Yes it is. Could you possibly
459
00:23:13.200 --> 00:23:16.900
 speak up a little bit, please? Yeah. Oh, sorry.
460
00:23:16.900 --> 00:23:17.500
 I apologize.
461
00:23:20.100 --> 00:23:23.700
It's Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council. For some
462
00:23:23.700 --> 00:23:26.700
 reason the yellow raised hand function doesn't seem
463
00:23:26.700 --> 00:23:30.100
 to be operating at least on my machine. So
464
00:23:29.100 --> 00:23:33.400
 I had been trying to indicate a
465
00:23:35.400 --> 00:23:38.800
I wish to speak but only briefly we raised
466
00:23:38.800 --> 00:23:41.900
 in our representation to points for
467
```

```
00:23:41.900 --> 00:23:46.200
 your consideration about your list
468
00:23:44.200 --> 00:23:47.900
 of issues. The first
469
00:23:47.900 --> 00:23:50.100
of those is already been overtaken by
470
00:23:50.100 --> 00:23:53.200
 then that was the issue about statements of
471
00:23:53.200 --> 00:23:56.700
 Common Ground including landscape matters. And I
472
00:23:56.700 --> 00:23:59.300
 say that's been picked up by the applicant the other
473
00:23:59.300 --> 00:24:03.000
 issue which remains is
474
00:24:02.300 --> 00:24:05.700
 that we have identified that we considered that
475
00:24:05.700 --> 00:24:06.600
 desire in
476
00:24:07.400 --> 00:24:10.300
And obviously good design as a
477
00:24:10.300 --> 00:24:14.200
 statutory requirement should
478
00:24:13.200 --> 00:24:16.900
 itself be included as
479
00:24:16.900 --> 00:24:19.300
 a discrete part of
480
00:24:19.300 --> 00:24:22.800
 the issues to ensure that
```

```
481
00:24:22.800 --> 00:24:26.000
 element of both the legislation.
482
00:24:25.800 --> 00:24:29.500
 Obviously, you're aware of section 10 subsection
483
00:24:28.500 --> 00:24:31.900
 3 and section 5 of
484
00:24:31.900 --> 00:24:33.400
the 2008 Act
485
00:24:33.900 --> 00:24:36.600
Are addressed and also?
486
00:24:37.800 --> 00:24:40.500
The policy implications of that as
487
00:24:40.500 --> 00:24:43.300
 set out in en1 particularly at section
488
00:24:43.300 --> 00:24:46.700
4.5. So that's why
489
00:24:46.700 --> 00:24:49.400
we think it's an important issue in its
490
00:24:49.400 --> 00:24:53.200
own right how you choose to bring that
00:24:52.200 --> 00:24:56.300
 into the examination and as
492
00:24:55.300 --> 00:24:58.700
to how you wish to examine that is entirely
493
00:24:58.700 --> 00:25:01.100
 a massive really for your discretion, but we just
494
```

```
00:25:01.100 --> 00:25:05.000
wanted to make sure that good design as a topic is really
495
00:25:04.500 --> 00:25:07.500
 identified as a discrete topic.
496
00:25:08.100 --> 00:25:12.300
So those were the issues we wanted to raise on this agenda
497
00:25:11.300 --> 00:25:13.100
 item. Thank you.
498
00:25:13.900 --> 00:25:14.400
Thank you very much.
499
00:25:19.900 --> 00:25:22.800
I I note Mr. Bedford uses words discrete.
500
00:25:22.800 --> 00:25:27.000
 I would just make a point that design does and
501
00:25:26.300 --> 00:25:31.200
 design particularly this type of project does fall
502
00:25:30.200 --> 00:25:34.100
with a number of the topics you've already identified. So
503
00:25:33.100 --> 00:25:36.700
 for example, the Landscaping is design.
504
00:25:37.500 --> 00:25:40.600
Biodiversity net gave is desire is design how
505
00:25:40.600 --> 00:25:43.800
we've had regard to cultural heritage is design. So
506
00:25:43.800 --> 00:25:46.300
 I think we've had to be bit careful of we have no problem with
design
507
00:25:46.300 --> 00:25:49.700
```

```
that design does
508
00:25:49.700 --> 00:25:53.100
 of course fall within various subtopics that you
509
00:25:52.100 --> 00:25:55.200
have already identified. So we took the view that you don't
510
00:25:55.200 --> 00:25:58.300
 you no doubt ask is questions under those
511
00:25:58.300 --> 00:26:01.100
topics about design because we can't some talk about
512
00:26:01.100 --> 00:26:04.200
 design without talking about how the Landscaping it for example
513
00:26:04.200 --> 00:26:05.200
has been developed.
514
00:26:07.700 --> 00:26:08.400
Thank you very much.
515
00:26:09.700 --> 00:26:11.000
Are there any more comments?
516
00:26:12.400 --> 00:26:12.900
from the room
517
00:26:15.200 \longrightarrow 00:26:18.400
Any more comments from people listening virtually?
518
00:26:19.200 --> 00:26:22.600
I can I just mention one point that's
519
00:26:22.600 --> 00:26:26.400
been in my mind. I don't
520
00:26:26.400 --> 00:26:29.600
 know if parties have a view on it. I think
```

being covered in the examination, but I just want to make a point

```
521
00:26:29.600 --> 00:26:33.100
 reference has been made previously in
522
00:26:32.100 --> 00:26:35.300
 some of the relevant representations.
523
00:26:37.300 --> 00:26:39.700
to the issue of what's called food security
524
00:26:42.300 --> 00:26:45.200
I've equally seen and I think
525
00:26:45.200 --> 00:26:48.500
 maybe wrong I think it's Mr. Griffiths. It's
526
00:26:48.500 --> 00:26:51.700
 in the applicants planning statement.
527
00:26:51.700 --> 00:26:54.400
 If not, it could be
528
00:26:54.400 --> 00:26:58.800
 somewhere I think in in your representations to
529
00:26:58.800 --> 00:26:59.000
 date.
530
00:27:01.300 --> 00:27:04.900
That deals with the applicant's view as to
531
00:27:04.900 --> 00:27:07.700
 this issue of food security
532
00:27:07.700 --> 00:27:11.000
being a
533
00:27:10.300 --> 00:27:12.800
 relevant topic.
```

```
00:27:13.700 --> 00:27:17.400
That can or should be examined as
535
00:27:16.400 --> 00:27:18.700
part of the application.
536
00:27:20.500 --> 00:27:23.500
And I'm equally aware
537
00:27:23.500 --> 00:27:26.300
 that there have been views expressed using that
538
00:27:26.300 --> 00:27:26.900
phrase.
539
00:27:27.700 --> 00:27:31.300
From from other parties that
540
00:27:30.300 --> 00:27:33.700
 that is a a discreet
00:27:33.700 --> 00:27:35.600
 issue that ought to be looked at.
542
00:27:36.400 --> 00:27:40.300
and the panel hasn't
543
00:27:41.300 --> 00:27:45.500
Come to a definitive view on that yet and would welcome any
544
00:27:44.500 --> 00:27:47.200
 comments that parties may
545
00:27:47.200 --> 00:27:47.400
have.
546
00:27:48.200 --> 00:27:52.600
on the relevance of that issue as opposed
547
00:27:52.600 --> 00:27:56.000
 to for example more
```

```
548
00:27:56.900 --> 00:27:59.600
generalized references to
549
00:27:59.600 --> 00:28:03.000
 land use and the land use implications of
550
00:28:02.700 \longrightarrow 00:28:05.800
 of the proposed development.
551
00:28:07.100 --> 00:28:11.700
So I'll just leave that there in case anybody wants to add anything
552
00:28:11.700 --> 00:28:12.500
 at this stage.
553
00:28:13.400 --> 00:28:17.200
That equally there will be an opportunity to to
554
00:28:16.200 --> 00:28:19.600
 follow up in the in the
555
00:28:19.600 --> 00:28:22.500
 time that we're allowing for submissions following on
556
00:28:22.500 --> 00:28:23.200
 this meeting.
557
00:28:25.900 --> 00:28:26.300
0kay.
558
00:28:28.100 --> 00:28:32.600
Well, if there are no further comments at this point, I'll hand
559
00:28:32.600 --> 00:28:35.600
back to Mr. King and just remind people please
560
00:28:35.600 --> 00:28:38.700
 that if you do have any comments in due
```

```
00:28:38.700 --> 00:28:42.100
 course, please submit them in writing To Us by procedural deadline
562
00:28:41.100 --> 00:28:42.400
be
563
00:28:43.200 --> 00:28:44.600
thank you back to you Mr. King.
564
00:28:45.500 --> 00:28:46.300
Thank you very much.
565
00:28:47.300 --> 00:28:50.600
And so I haven't had any matters notified
566
00:28:50.600 --> 00:28:53.200
to me for the last agenda, right
567
00:28:53.200 --> 00:28:56.600
 and any of the matters, are there any other items
00:28:56.600 --> 00:28:56.800
 that?
569
00:28:57.800 --> 00:29:00.500
People would wish to raise procedural or other
570
00:29:00.500 --> 00:29:03.600
 relevant matters that anyone wishes to raise after what
571
00:29:03.600 --> 00:29:04.800
 they've heard today?
572
00:29:07.700 --> 00:29:10.300
If not then so again, I'm
573
00:29:10.300 --> 00:29:13.500
 afraid I say I don't seemed sorry, Michael Bedford
574
00:29:13.500 --> 00:29:16.700
 Suffolk County other guys. You're not visible on
```

```
575
00:29:16.700 --> 00:29:18.900
 the screen there. Yeah, it's bad.
576
00:29:19.400 --> 00:29:22.500
I'm not even visible. Let alone no yellow hand. I'm
577
00:29:22.500 \longrightarrow 00:29:25.200
 now not not able to be seen either. Well, I can't
578
00:29:25.200 --> 00:29:28.500
your cameras not on so I can't wait to
579
00:29:28.500 --> 00:29:32.100
 I think it is on my laptop. It's known
580
00:29:31.100 --> 00:29:34.500
to be on but obviously something's happening
581
00:29:34.500 --> 00:29:37.800
with the technology. That means I'm not physically appearing
582
00:29:37.800 --> 00:29:38.400
 in front of you.
583
00:29:39.200 --> 00:29:42.600
So I apologize that but no never mind if you
584
00:29:42.600 --> 00:29:46.500
can hear me that I think very well. Yes. Yes. Thank
585
00:29:45.500 --> 00:29:48.500
 you, sir. Sorry Michael Bedford Suffolk
586
00:29:48.500 --> 00:29:51.400
County Council, and it was simply to
587
00:29:51.400 --> 00:29:53.600
 seek a point of clarification.
```

```
00:29:54.800 --> 00:29:57.800
Please in relation to
589
00:29:57.800 --> 00:30:00.200
obviously the circumstance that
590
00:30:00.200 --> 00:30:04.100
we're now in with tomorrow's hearing
591
00:30:03.100 --> 00:30:06.300
having been postponed and the
592
00:30:06.300 --> 00:30:09.700
Open Floor hearings being postpaid.
593
00:30:10.900 --> 00:30:13.400
And I this isn't
594
00:30:13.400 --> 00:30:17.400
the place to deal with questions of costs and matters
00:30:16.400 --> 00:30:20.000
of that nature. But there is a point on the
596
00:30:19.800 --> 00:30:22.200
procedural guidance in relation to
597
00:30:22.200 --> 00:30:25.300
costs. That's the cost guidance of
598
00:30:25.300 --> 00:30:29.100
July 2013 and a paragraphs
599
00:30:28.100 --> 00:30:31.800
31 and 32. It gives
600
00:30:31.800 --> 00:30:34.900
some reference to when any applications or
601
00:30:34.900 --> 00:30:37.300
to be made in the context of
```

```
602
00:30:37.300 --> 00:30:38.100
 an examination.
603
00:30:39.700 --> 00:30:42.300
And I was reading it on the
604
00:30:42.300 --> 00:30:45.700
 basis that you were not expecting any
605
00:30:45.700 --> 00:30:48.900
 applications that might be made for costs
606
00:30:48.900 --> 00:30:51.400
 relating to postponement of
607
00:30:51.400 --> 00:30:51.800
hearings.
608
00:30:52.600 --> 00:30:55.500
until 28 days after the close of
609
00:30:55.500 --> 00:30:56.100
 the examination
610
00:30:57.300 --> 00:31:00.400
as opposed to some earlier date eg28 days
611
00:31:00.400 --> 00:31:02.000
of the postponement themselves.
612
00:31:02.800 --> 00:31:05.700
That was the only point I was just seeking clarification
613
00:31:05.700 --> 00:31:09.100
 on so that if any applications
614
00:31:08.100 --> 00:31:11.400
 cost worse be made they would follow
```

```
00:31:11.400 --> 00:31:14.900
 the guidance at paragraph 31, which
616
00:31:14.900 --> 00:31:17.300
 is that they would not be expected until the
617
00:31:17.300 --> 00:31:21.200
 end of the examine. Yeah, you're
618
00:31:20.200 --> 00:31:23.200
 quite correct Mr. Bedford and that is my
619
00:31:23.200 --> 00:31:26.500
 understanding of the guidance. It says
620
00:31:26.500 --> 00:31:29.100
 precious little other than that in terms
621
00:31:29.100 --> 00:31:33.200
 of timing of the applications, so
622
00:31:35.400 --> 00:31:38.500
He it's up to each individual
623
00:31:38.500 --> 00:31:41.600
 party what to do in that regard, but the
624
00:31:41.600 --> 00:31:44.200
 the time limit is set out
625
00:31:44.200 --> 00:31:47.300
 there and it is 28 days from the end of the examination.
626
00:31:48.400 --> 00:31:51.600
Thank you. So I'm grateful and then just a final point and
627
00:31:51.600 --> 00:31:54.300
 it's really an observation if I can make it
628
00:31:54.300 --> 00:31:57.900
 more for the ears of the applicant as
```

```
629
00:31:57.900 --> 00:32:01.400
 much as anything else. It was substantial
630
00:32:00.400 --> 00:32:02.700
 surprise to us.
631
00:32:03.400 --> 00:32:06.300
To see the events that unfolded on the
632
00:32:06.300 --> 00:32:09.900
 22nd of July. I last
633
00:32:09.900 --> 00:32:12.900
 Friday in terms of the postpayment
634
00:32:12.900 --> 00:32:15.800
 that's in no way criticism of the examining Authority
635
00:32:15.800 --> 00:32:18.600
 because clearly you reacted to matters
636
00:32:18.600 --> 00:32:22.200
which were raised with you very much as soon
637
00:32:22.200 --> 00:32:25.700
 as you could but it was a surprise to us
638
00:32:25.700 --> 00:32:28.300
 that we had no forewarning we
639
00:32:28.300 --> 00:32:31.800
 had no indication that for
640
00:32:31.800 --> 00:32:34.900
 example a basic procedural matter such as
641
00:32:34.900 --> 00:32:37.100
 the serving of notices and placing of
642
```

```
00:32:37.100 --> 00:32:40.400
 adverts had not happened as it
643
00:32:40.400 --> 00:32:44.700
 should have happened and the applicants awareness
644
00:32:43.700 --> 00:32:49.500
 of not being able to complete consultation
645
00:32:48.500 --> 00:32:51.300
 on the changes because of
646
00:32:51.300 --> 00:32:54.300
 new information available to them about the over
647
00:32:54.300 --> 00:32:58.100
 sailing again, that was all news to us. And
648
00:32:57.100 --> 00:33:01.000
 and it's really just as we're urging
00:33:00.500 --> 00:33:03.100
 the examination from our point.
650
00:33:03.300 --> 00:33:06.800
We feel and we feel you would be more assisted by
651
00:33:06.800 --> 00:33:09.400
 a greater degree of transparency and openness.
652
00:33:10.300 \longrightarrow 00:33:13.200
On the part of the applicant in a sense a heads up
653
00:33:13.200 --> 00:33:16.700
 when things are likely to happen would have allowed
654
00:33:16.700 --> 00:33:20.300
 us. I think a little bit more time to absorb changes
655
00:33:19.300 --> 00:33:23.300
 and to deal with evolving circumstances.
```

```
656
00:33:22.300 --> 00:33:25.600
 So that's I say it's more an observation
657
00:33:25.600 --> 00:33:28.100
 rather than asking you to do anything but I
658
00:33:28.100 --> 00:33:31.900
 just felt that I wanted to say that because we did feel somewhat
disappointed
659
00:33:31.900 --> 00:33:34.300
by the way that events unfolded last week.
660
00:33:35.400 --> 00:33:38.500
Okay. Thank you for those submissions Mr.
661
00:33:38.500 --> 00:33:41.600
Bedford. I think it probably right that I give
662
00:33:41.600 --> 00:33:44.200
Mr. Griffith. It's an opportunity to respond to that. Thank you.
663
00:33:44.200 --> 00:33:47.700
So before Heritage interrupt
00:33:47.700 --> 00:33:50.000
Mr. Griffiths, I just before he responds to
665
00:33:50.200 --> 00:33:53.300
 that. I just want to add Mr. Mohammed here on behind us
666
00:33:53.300 --> 00:33:56.500
 to authorities that I associate myself with
667
00:33:56.500 --> 00:33:59.400
 everything that Mr. Bedford has just said in all the
668
00:33:59.400 --> 00:34:02.600
 pointy is made both in terms of clarifying the costs questioning
```

```
669
00:34:02.600 --> 00:34:05.100
but also about the surprise in relation to
670
00:34:05.100 --> 00:34:08.400
what has transpired in the past week. So I just went before
671
00:34:08.400 --> 00:34:11.500
Mr. Crickets replied associate myself at
672
00:34:11.500 --> 00:34:14.400
 this stage. Thank you. Yes. Thank you Mr. Mohammad.
673
00:34:14.400 --> 00:34:17.400
Yes. Thank you. We receive
674
00:34:17.400 --> 00:34:20.200
 notice the postponement to this actually same time as everyone
675
00:34:20.200 --> 00:34:23.300
 else. So we didn't have advanced warning
676
00:34:23.300 --> 00:34:25.200
that they were to be postponed.
677
00:34:25.800 --> 00:34:28.100
So we're in the same boat. That's no
678
00:34:28.100 --> 00:34:31.300
 good quiz an examine authority. Of course, it's just I'd made that
clear. We were not given
679
00:34:31.300 --> 00:34:32.200
 any advanced warning.
680
00:34:33.100 --> 00:34:36.200
The regarding the reason for the postponement is very clear and
681
00:34:36.200 --> 00:34:39.200
 examining thought is letter which is the delay in
682
```

```
00:34:39.200 --> 00:34:42.200
 the changes application. Our position has been very clear. We have
not
683
00:34:42.200 --> 00:34:45.200
 asked for the postponement of the issue specific hearings. We
684
00:34:45.200 --> 00:34:48.800
were fully prepared for the examination to commence after the
685
00:34:48.800 --> 00:34:51.400
 closure of this polymer meeting prepared to be
686
00:34:51.400 --> 00:34:54.800
 a part in issue specific hearing tomorrow and the
687
00:34:54.800 --> 00:34:57.300
April hearings the following day. I note they
688
00:34:57.300 --> 00:35:00.800
 are only postponed. So of course any preparation for those or
simply
689
00:35:00.800 --> 00:35:04.400
be ready for when the examination can beans
690
00:35:03.400 --> 00:35:06.200
 and regarding the
691
00:35:06.200 --> 00:35:09.500
the reason for the postponement was therefore just
692
00:35:09.500 --> 00:35:12.400
 to do the change application as I say, we we were
693
00:35:12.400 --> 00:35:15.800
paired to we've even published a revised timetable
694
00:35:15.800 --> 00:35:18.500
 that showed how the examination could
695
```

```
00:35:18.500 --> 00:35:21.100
 have taken place tomorrow. I'm not
696
00:35:21.100 --> 00:35:24.500
 going to comment on any Merit of costs. We haven't
697
00:35:24.500 --> 00:35:27.200
 seen them yet. But I want to make that point that it was only
698
00:35:27.200 --> 00:35:30.300
 due to post changes and we submitted that
699
00:35:30.300 --> 00:35:30.800
the application.
700
00:35:32.200 --> 00:35:35.200
These permanent meeting could be closed today and with the
701
00:35:35.200 --> 00:35:36.900
 issue specific hearing on the dco tomorrow.
00:35:37.700 --> 00:35:40.400
Yes, thank you, Mr. Griffith, and I think
703
00:35:40.400 --> 00:35:41.300
 it fair to say that.
704
00:35:42.900 --> 00:35:45.700
Given the change in circumstances that obtained last
705
00:35:45.700 --> 00:35:48.300
week. There was a
706
00:35:48.300 --> 00:35:51.900
 degree of likelihood that the examination might not
707
00:35:51.900 --> 00:35:55.600
 and could not start tomorrow, which we
708
00:35:54.600 --> 00:35:57.100
 have. In fact found to be the case
```

```
709
00:35:57.100 --> 00:36:00.300
 and given the interconnectedness in
710
00:36:00.300 --> 00:36:00.300
711
00:36:01.400 --> 00:36:05.400
rules as I'm sure you'll appreciate whereby the examination
712
00:36:04.400 --> 00:36:07.900
 starts after the
713
00:36:07.900 --> 00:36:11.400
 preliminary meeting finishes. Then one
714
00:36:10.400 --> 00:36:13.600
 finds on self in a procedural difficulty.
715
00:36:13.600 --> 00:36:16.600
 If one's trying to go through
716
00:36:16.600 --> 00:36:19.700
the processes that end up
717
00:36:19.700 --> 00:36:22.600
with a fair and effective examination
718
00:36:22.600 --> 00:36:25.900
and so from that point of view and we've
719
00:36:25.900 --> 00:36:28.800
 set out our position in in the letter
720
00:36:28.800 --> 00:36:29.900
that we published on the website.
721
00:36:31.700 --> 00:36:35.100
Um, so that said we are
```

```
00:36:35.100 --> 00:36:38.100
where we are unless there are
723
00:36:38.100 --> 00:36:41.600
 any other issues that people want to race and I noticed
724
00:36:41.600 --> 00:36:44.800
Mr. Steele's hands up. So yes, I I only
725
00:36:44.800 --> 00:36:47.100
 raise this because I assume it's taken into
726
00:36:47.100 --> 00:36:50.700
 account by you in all the other message you have dealt with
727
00:36:50.700 --> 00:36:52.800
 and that's the issue specific hearings.
728
00:36:54.200 --> 00:36:57.800
And I assume that that is connected with the
729
00:36:57.800 --> 00:37:00.500
 key subject matters, which we dealt with
730
00:37:00.500 --> 00:37:03.100
 earlier on that. We have made
731
00:37:03.100 --> 00:37:07.100
 our position clear on our letter the 13th of of July
732
00:37:06.100 --> 00:37:09.400
 paragraphs 22 onwards. We
733
00:37:09.400 --> 00:37:12.200
 don't need to say anymore about that but they are in
734
00:37:12.200 --> 00:37:15.200
 the timetable they're built in and it's just question
735
00:37:15.200 --> 00:37:18.700
whether you wished Us in the timetabling to
```

```
736
00:37:18.700 --> 00:37:21.200
 make representations about that in certain days
737
00:37:21.200 --> 00:37:24.200
 or whether that's a matter which has taken as red and
738
00:37:24.200 \longrightarrow 00:37:27.500
 leave it to you to deal with that particular Point are you talking
739
00:37:27.500 --> 00:37:30.100
 on are you are you speaking is that we're on behalf of
740
00:37:30.100 --> 00:37:33.300
 the Horseman's group or both? Yeah both
741
00:37:33.300 --> 00:37:37.100
 there are matters which for example issue
742
00:37:36.100 --> 00:37:39.800
 specific hearings concerning agriculture ecology and
743
00:37:39.800 --> 00:37:42.100
 so on so forth the nails see which is taken out,
744
00:37:42.100 --> 00:37:44.000
which is an issue specifically Hearing in itself.
745
00:37:44.800 --> 00:37:47.200
And we're interested in that and on behalf
746
00:37:47.200 --> 00:37:50.600
 of the Horseman's group. Yes, that was under the economic
considerations in
747
00:37:50.600 --> 00:37:53.200
your list, but actually taken out in relation to
748
00:37:53.200 --> 00:37:57.200
 that particular one for a hearing and and
```

```
749
00:37:56.200 --> 00:37:59.800
 so on if I make good it that way. Yes, but
750
00:37:59.800 --> 00:38:02.300
we would certainly wish to examine those
751
00:38:02.300 --> 00:38:05.200
 matters. But particularly we wish to
752
00:38:05.200 --> 00:38:08.300
 examine matters that are
753
00:38:09.300 --> 00:38:12.400
Clearly matters of dispute and that are outstanding
754
00:38:12.400 --> 00:38:15.700
 and that is why I make
755
00:38:15.700 --> 00:38:18.800
 no apology for reemphasizing the need
756
00:38:18.800 --> 00:38:21.500
 during the examination to try and hone in
757
00:38:21.500 --> 00:38:25.700
 on where the real disputes lie, and
758
00:38:24.700 --> 00:38:27.600
 to that end documents like
759
00:38:27.600 --> 00:38:31.600
 the statements of Common Ground are in
760
00:38:30.600 --> 00:38:33.500
 an valuable asset to the
761
00:38:33.500 --> 00:38:37.000
panel in deciding on
762
00:38:36.400 --> 00:38:40.600
```

```
a continuous review basis of what
763
00:38:39.600 --> 00:38:43.600
 particular issues we set
764
00:38:43.600 --> 00:38:47.100
out on a detailed gender for forthcoming
765
00:38:46.100 --> 00:38:48.600
 issues specific hearings.
766
00:38:49.300 --> 00:38:52.500
So that's generally how we would we would proceed
767
00:38:52.500 --> 00:38:55.500
 that is helpful. So thank you very much and Mr.
768
00:38:55.500 --> 00:38:58.100
Mohammed. I think you you wish to add something.
769
00:38:59.000 --> 00:38:59.700
Yeah.
770
00:39:00.700 --> 00:39:03.500
Just a point of clarification. If I if
771
00:39:03.500 --> 00:39:06.700
 I may could you help me understand Mr. Griffiths just
772
00:39:06.700 --> 00:39:09.200
 made the point that that matters could
773
00:39:09.200 --> 00:39:11.300
have proceeded this week say for
774
00:39:12.100 --> 00:39:15.300
The Amendments that he has mentioned and we've
775
00:39:15.300 --> 00:39:18.400
 been discussing. But if I may just take a moment
```

```
776
00:39:18.400 --> 00:39:21.700
to look at the left so that the examining
777
00:39:21.700 --> 00:39:24.900
Authority sent out last week.
778
00:39:24.900 --> 00:39:27.500
 I'm afraid it's not paginated and
779
00:39:27.500 --> 00:39:31.300
 there are no paragraph numbers but on the third page.
780
00:39:32.300 --> 00:39:34.100
the second part from this fall
781
00:39:34.900 --> 00:39:37.700
you specifically highlight the second paragraph
782
00:39:37.700 --> 00:39:40.600
where halfway you say
783
00:39:40.600 --> 00:39:43.400
 specifically a failure to post site notices
784
00:39:43.400 --> 00:39:44.000
 of the hearing.
785
00:39:44.800 --> 00:39:47.500
And to place advertisements in the local press
786
00:39:47.500 --> 00:39:51.900
 as required by the particular rules. Can
787
00:39:50.900 --> 00:39:53.600
 I just be clear about what
788
00:39:53.600 --> 00:39:56.200
Mr. Griffiths are saying is he suggesting that
789
00:39:56.200 --> 00:39:59.300
```

```
that is something that could not
790
00:39:59.300 --> 00:40:02.600
have stopped these hearings from taking place because when
791
00:40:02.600 --> 00:40:05.500
you get around to explaining what happens next and
792
00:40:05.500 --> 00:40:08.700
why the hearings and I've been postponed it's my
793
00:40:08.700 --> 00:40:11.500
understanding that that's a material consideration that
794
00:40:11.500 --> 00:40:14.900
had a significant part to play. Yes, why hearings have
795
00:40:14.900 --> 00:40:15.500
been exposed?
796
00:40:19.100 --> 00:40:21.200
So Mr. Griffith, thank you. So my
797
00:40:22.700 --> 00:40:25.800
so the second paragraph the letter summarizes the
00:40:28.400 --> 00:40:31.900
consequences of the change application being delayed
799
00:40:31.900 --> 00:40:34.500
to the end of August it is it is
800
00:40:34.500 --> 00:40:38.100
within the and I think my recollection my memories
801
00:40:37.100 --> 00:40:40.200
good enough and go back
802
00:40:40.200 --> 00:40:43.800
to the calling. I think you mentioned in your procedural decision
```

```
803
00:40:43.800 --> 00:40:46.700
 earlier that if we hadn't have issued the
804
00:40:46.700 --> 00:40:49.200
 if we haven't delayed the chains application you would have
00:40:49.200 --> 00:40:50.100
 found examination.
806
00:40:52.700 --> 00:40:55.200
Could have started coach of this I have
807
00:40:55.200 --> 00:40:58.400
 that's the record if that's I think that was a cut the conclusion
the
808
00:40:58.400 --> 00:41:01.100
 examining Authority that if we if we'd submitted the app to change
the application
809
00:41:01.100 --> 00:41:04.500
before the preliminary meeting. This would have examination was
started and
810
00:41:04.500 --> 00:41:08.300
 it is within the power of these owning Authority and it's quite
frequent in
811
00:41:07.300 --> 00:41:10.200
 the case where it's unusual for
812
00:41:10.200 --> 00:41:13.700
 issue specific hearings to start straight off the preliminary
meeting, but when they
813
00:41:13.700 --> 00:41:16.500
have done sometimes the notices have been missed and of
814
00:41:16.500 --> 00:41:20.400
 course it's in the examining Authority gift to agree a
815
```

```
00:41:20.400 --> 00:41:23.400
 shorter time frame for notices to
816
00:41:23.400 --> 00:41:26.500
 publish and that is exactly what we requested. So my point
817
00:41:26.500 --> 00:41:29.800
was that should the examination examining
818
00:41:29.800 --> 00:41:32.300
Authority had agreed the examination could have
819
00:41:32.300 --> 00:41:35.100
 commenced after close this preliminary meeting today. Then I would
820
00:41:35.100 --> 00:41:38.600
have thought at the same time. The summing Authority would have
issued a procedure decision
821
00:41:38.600 --> 00:41:41.300
to allow the seven days
822
00:41:41.300 --> 00:41:44.100
whatever it was notice for a shorter time period
823
00:41:44.100 --> 00:41:47.300
 for the notices to make everything in order. Yeah. So that
824
00:41:47.300 --> 00:41:50.400
was the that was my reasoning. Yes. I understand
825
00:41:50.400 --> 00:41:52.300
why you're both coming from it's
826
00:41:52.700 --> 00:41:56.300
A sense of hypothetical point and I think
827
00:41:56.300 --> 00:41:59.200
 I would be better advised to leave
828
00:41:59.200 --> 00:42:02.400
```

```
it there time for a bridgement is
829
00:42:02.400 --> 00:42:05.600
 allowed under the rules whether that would happen or
830
00:42:05.600 --> 00:42:08.800
not has been overtaken by the events. Yeah. Does
831
00:42:08.800 --> 00:42:10.300
that answer your question Mr. Mohammad?
832
00:42:11.500 --> 00:42:14.800
Albeit not in a perhaps in a as concrete.
833
00:42:14.800 --> 00:42:15.000
Yes.
834
00:42:18.500 --> 00:42:18.700
Yes.
835
00:42:19.700 --> 00:42:21.000
Thank you. Okay.
836
00:42:23.100 --> 00:42:24.400
I understand it. Thank you.
837
00:42:26.100 --> 00:42:29.100
Okay. Thank you very much, right.
838
00:42:31.900 --> 00:42:35.300
Any more for any more if there are no more
839
00:42:34.300 --> 00:42:37.900
 comments, then I will move to a journey
840
00:42:37.900 --> 00:42:40.700
 meeting and just thank you very much for attending both in
841
00:42:40.700 --> 00:42:43.200
 person and virtually today and for your
```

```
842
00:42:43.200 --> 00:42:44.100
 contributions.
843
00:42:44.800 --> 00:42:47.500
And we look forward in due course to commencing
844
00:42:47.500 --> 00:42:49.300
 the examination of this application.
845
00:42:50.400 --> 00:42:53.400
Digital recording of the proceedings will be made available
846
00:42:53.400 --> 00:42:56.300
 as soon as practical on the project page of
847
00:42:56.300 --> 00:42:58.500
 the national infrastructure website.
848
00:42:59.400 --> 00:43:02.600
So to reiterate the next stage of the process, we
849
00:43:02.600 --> 00:43:05.000
will issue a procedural decision.
850
00:43:06.600 --> 00:43:10.200
This week inviting interested parties
00:43:09.200 --> 00:43:12.300
and parties to
852
00:43:12.300 --> 00:43:17.400
 comment on the revised what
853
00:43:16.400 --> 00:43:19.300
 the revised timetable should be and
854
00:43:19.300 --> 00:43:21.700
the appropriate start date of the examination.
855
00:43:22.900 --> 00:43:25.500
```

```
Will issue that letter this week and allow submissions
856
00:43:25.500 --> 00:43:29.200
 to be made up to 2359 on
00:43:28.200 --> 00:43:30.400
Monday the 8th of August.
858
00:43:33.500 --> 00:43:37.700
Um, so the time is now 1431 and
859
00:43:36.700 --> 00:43:39.600
this preliminary meeting on Seneca
860
00:43:39.600 --> 00:43:42.300
 solar farm project is now adjourned. Thank
861
00:43:42.300 --> 00:43:42.600
you very much.
```