

Sir- I have attempted to read and absorb your letter which runs to 48 pages of acronym filled paragraphs some of which seem to make little sense, (there is a great example in the descriptions of how to organise unaccompanied site visits). This determined inscrutability is much the same as the actual application documents which are similarly impossible to absorb and are loaded onto the project page in no particular order- just to make it even harder. With the normal constraints of life I have found it impossible to read all the material that will affect us, and there appears to be no concise summary of this. I am also none the wiser as to how and when to make further detailed written submissions, or how to comment further on the process followed. If I have not made further written submission by today, have I lost the right, (annex E is not clear)? I would like to speak, but will there be opportunity for residents to comment when we have heard the main submissions?- After all, we are the ones who will be affected. I cannot believe the given dates are enough for such a vast scheme. Why does Annex C not have a section for impact on residents?- it has one for the horseracing industry. Neither does there appear to be an answer about the volte-face with the grid connection, which I understood could (and probably should) alter the whole application. Why has this fundamental issue not been addressed prior to organising the representations? How can I comment when I don't know what I'm commenting on?