

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)
Subject: Response to your request for views, my unique reference 20030392
Date: 18 May 2022 14:16:49

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am responding as an interested person in the application by Sunnica for extended time.

- The changes now requested show that Sunnica had not adequately prepared and assessed before submitting the application to the planning process and this apparent incompetence needs to be taken into account when assessing all their proposals.
- It is important that no further problems needing more changes are found at a later date.
- It is necessary to give adequate time for a full consultation on the proposals to allow for full participation. It should be taken into account that many people holiday during this time and the initial consultation was very poorly conducted.
- There should be adequate time for assessment of consultation responses before moving to the preliminary meeting.

I have doubts that even this proposed extended timetable is adequate as it appears very rushed. I think it needs to be longer period of time to do the job properly or ideally withdrawn and resubmitted when Sunnica have proved they are fully prepared and have agreed a fair timetable.

Yours sincerely,
Mrs Delyse Ray

[REDACTED]