

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)  
**Subject:** Sunnica Sola Farm 20030427  
**Date:** 18 May 2022 09:07:58

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write regarding the request from Sunnica to delay the preliminary meeting until 18<sup>th</sup> July.

It is my opinion that Sunnica are making such major changes to their application at such a late stage, that it will not allow other interested parties the opportunity to review or challenge the proposals. This proposal was first put forward 3 years ago and now we are expected to review and comment on this application in under 9 weeks, when we do not have a full picture of what is proposed.

Sunnica has clearly jumped the gun in putting this application before the Inspector. Without having the provision of a Substation in place for what is after all a major part of a Sola farm. Not having such a vital section of the application in place with your partner seems inconceivable to me. Clearly the National Grid have reservations with this scheme.

It feels as though Sunnica are trying to rush the amendments through.

We do not have full details of the size or where the Substation will be placed. So it is impossible to examine or challenge this application in the time proposed.

I therefore ask that the whole application be withdrawn and resubmitted only when the applicant has a fully prepared application and when all parties have had adequate time to respond.

-

Yours Sincerely,

Louise Andreasen

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows