

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)  
**Subject:** EN010106 Sunnica energy farm  
**Date:** 17 May 2022 13:54:01

---

> Dear Planning inspectorate,

>

> I object to the revised timetable suggested by Sunnica Ltd for the assessment, evaluation, consultation, etc, of the new 'Option 3', the proposed delay is not sufficient. The changes to the scheme are significant, involve changes to core application documents and it seems that is not yet known if the new Grid location is technically feasible. This needs to be established first, and then Sunnica will need to carry out impact assessments (many of these could be significant) on landscape, traffic, construction, wildlife, noise, etc. After this, a full and thorough consultation (30 days) with local residents and other stakeholders is needed, and there should be adequate time for the results of the consultation to be considered, and time to make additional changes etc. It seems that Sunnica are hoping to achieve this by mid-July, which is unrealistic, and if granted will potentially push through ill thought through adjustments. A significant infrastructure project that affects over 40,000 people in over eight villages should be granted adequate time for Interested/Affected parties to review and assess the implications of the changes and time allowed for effective consultation, engagement and response.

>

> There needs to be a proposed timetable that is fair, and appropriate to allow for fair participation. If this cannot be agreed, the application should be withdrawn and resubmitted once they have their plans in order.

>

> Thank you.

> Yours sincerely,

> Fiona McIntyre

>