

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: EN010106 – Sunnica Energy Farm  
**Date:** 11 May 2022 13:33:07  
**Attachments:** [~WRD0002.jpg](#)

---

Dear Sir / Madam,

**In response to your request for feedback on the appropriateness of the latest request for further delay from Sunnica scheme, I provide the following:**

a) Whether the Preliminary Meeting should be delayed until mid-July 2022

**No**

**My immediate concern with this request to delay is the request itself, how it has been managed by Sunnica themselves, including timescales for it – and the underlying reasons for making the request for yet further delays on what are essentially, the early stages of this development proposal.**

**Sunnica have had sufficient time to do what has been required of them for this proposal – their apparent failure to do that is a reflection of their lack of competence. The fact they blame a third party for this – and I would be looking for documented evidence to support their claims - is another reflection of their lack of honesty, openness and professionalism.**

**Why should Sunnica be treated differently to other companies when it comes to timescales for a proposal. Doesn't that constitute favouritism and or discrimination?**

**Todate this proposal and its management by Sunnica, has been a catalogue of issues and covert activities that have had little regard for local concerns raised and an emphasis on pointing the finger of blame on third parties and things that have been 'out of their control' or they haven't been told. So this latest turn of events is at least consistent in their approach todate.**

**If Sunnica had 'done their homework' on this proposal as you would expect of such a massive and disruptive scale of work by 'professionals in their field' – then I find it incredulous that this latest issue should be an issue at all at this stage– and quite a significant one at that. This provides further evidence that Sunnica are not a professional organisation who should be trusted to undertake this work, even if the proposal was demonstrated to be overall beneficial to anyone other than Sunnica Directors (which is doubtful).**

**If this is the beginning of how this development would be managed if they were successful in their application – then we should take appropriate action and refuse it now.**

b) The Applicant's proposed timetable (see 1-4 below) to consult further on amendments intended to form the formal Change Request to be made to the ExA.

1 By end of May 2022 – explore the feasibility of the new Option 3, confirm all amendments, carry out impact assessments, technical assessments etc and prepare documentation (we don't yet know the impact these changes will have on landscape, wildlife, traffic, noise, etc)

2. End of May to end of June 2022 – full consultation on amendments (we don't yet know how this will be done or with who)

3. First two weeks of July 2022– period to have regard to consultation responses
4. No earlier than 18th July 2022 – preliminary meeting and start of Examination on updated application and revised order limits (we don't yet know if further delays would be required if 1-3 can't be achieved by the above dates)

The scale of the proposed options has significant potential impact and on this basis, must be pushed back through to initial application stage – not waved through as a covert adjustment. Further significant consultation would be necessary and the timescales indicated above do not allow for this – they are outlined on the basis of minimal consultation, no opposition, no further adjustments and ploughing ahead regardless. However and again – this is consistent with the incompetent and arrogant approach taken by Sunnica to date and should not be trusted

This proposal needs to be killed off and action take instead to mandate solar panels on existing commercially developed areas – and introduction to all new builds.

Jan Stewart

**From:** NI Mail Distribution <ni.mail.distribution@notifications.service.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 4 May 2022 at 15:41:09 BST  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** EN010106 – Sunnica Energy Farm  
**Reply-To:** nienquiries@planninginspectorate.gov.uk



## **Application by Sunnica Ltd for an Order Granting Development Consent for the Sunnica Energy Farm**

### **Planning Act 2008 (as amended)**

### **Request by the Applicant in Respect of the Timing of the Preliminary Meeting / Examination**

Dear Sir/Madam

Unique Reference: 20030032

Please find below a website link (URL) to a letter from the Examining Authority requesting comments on the specific matters that has been published on the National Infrastructure Planning website.

<http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN010106-002191>

You can also view the letter under the Documents tab on the project webpage of the National Infrastructure Planning website:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/sunnica-energy-farm/?ipcsection=overview>

Yours faithfully

Sunnica Energy Farm Case Team  
National Infrastructure Planning  
Helpline: 0303 444 5000  
Email: [sunnica@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:sunnica@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

Web: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> (National Infrastructure Planning)

Web: [www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate](http://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/planning-inspectorate) (The Planning Inspectorate)

Twitter: @PINSgov

This communication does not constitute legal advice.

Please view our Privacy Notice before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate.