

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)
Subject: Extension of time to consider option 3
Date: 09 May 2022 08:15:08

Dear Sir

I have previously submitted my views on the proposed Sunnica development as an interested party and have now been notified that Sunnica have requested an extension in the planning process in order to develop option 3. You have asked that I submit my views on the request for an extension.

I am opposed to extending the timelines for a number of reasons:

1. The proposed Option 3 is in a different location to the other 2 options and will have an additional set of impacts to the local area. There will not be enough time for concerned local residents to fully understand the full impact of option 3.
2. The proposed Sunnica development has blighted the local area for several years and it is important for local residents to have certainty about what exactly is and is not going to be built - considering option 3 will prolong the uncertainty, especially as it proposes a completely new scenario.
3. Local villages do not have the strength and depth of funding of a large corporate like Sunnica and the longer it drags on, the harder it is for local residents to sustain their campaign opposing the development
4. I am concerned how well thought out the Sunnica proposal is, if something as fundamental as connections to the National Grid needs to be amended. How many other planning problems are going to emerge during the course of the planning inspection and will there be more requests for time extension ?

Regards

Jill Worth

[REDACTED]