

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)
Subject: Proposed amended timetable from Sunnica
Date: 17 May 2022 15:14:51

Dear Sirs

I am writing to object to the new proposed timescale for the Sunnica scheme for the following reasons:-

Issue 1 - Why should the preliminary meeting be delayed?

We were led to believe that Sunnica is a competent company with experience of dealing with such large scale National Infrastructure projects. I think they are just protracting the process for their own gain and not out of consideration for the local community. If they are not in a position to go ahead with the preliminary meeting then they should submit what they have and the inspectorate should decide. If allowed to extend the timescale I would also be concerned that Sunnica would be rushing their feasibility studies & assessments in order to meet a new deadline which may produce inaccurate data and conclusions.

Issue 2 - The Applicants Proposed Timetable

Sunnica are asking to consult further on its proposed amendments and therefore amend the previously agreed timetable. Their first consultation carried out before their planning application was submitted was woefully inadequate and they had many years to conduct that. I therefore believe that an extra 2 months will not produce any accurate or reliable information. I simply do not see how Sunnica are going to be able to get genuine and reliable information on their option 3 proposal in such a short time and so it is a waste of everyone's time to let them try to extend the timetable.

Sunnica should have expected problems with the Burwell connection due to the complex nature of the scheme. Sunnica are now exploring options which they should have explored earlier in the process. Sunnica should be held to the initial time frame that the planning application required. If not, they should withdraw their planning submission.

Yours faithfully

Mrs Joanna Reeks