

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Sunnica Energy Farm](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Objection to Sunnica's latest application to delay
Date: 09 May 2022 09:52:28

Dear Sirs,

I understand that Sunnica have now asked for a 2.5 month delay, and you are interested in:

- a) Whether the Preliminary Meeting should be delayed until mid-July 2022
- b) The Applicant's proposed timetable to consult further on amendments intended to form the formal Change Request to be made to the ExA.

I am strongly AGAINST any further delay in assessing this enormous and unwelcome scheme, for several reasons:

No one yet knows:

- Whether the revised Option 3 is technically feasible
- If there are any further options if this new Option 3 is not considered feasible
- What impacts this new Option 3 could have on local communities
- What impacts this new Option 3 could have on landscape, wildlife, noise, traffic, archaeology, heritage, etc.
- How and who will be consulted on these changes. Would it be a full public consultation?

This change would likely require further assessments to be carried out to evaluate the impact on all of these points, and possibly more. The results of these additional assessments may also require further changes to be made.

We don't yet know what would happen if these assessments could not be completed by end of May, in order to allow a consultation in June

There will be further delays if this could not be completed before Sunnica's preferred start date of 18th July.

Sunnica have said they will need to issue new versions of many of their DCO application documents, which will take time.

In summary, Sunnica seem to be floundering around and going from one design to another.

The fact is that NO ONE locally wants this massive scheme to go ahead, including Councils up to MP level.

80% of the profit comes from Energy Arbitrage in the massive dangerous batteries, so its not really a solar farm project but an energy price arbitrage scheme.

I move that this potential delay gets rejected and Sunnica can be examined on what they have today.

Kind regards,

John

John Bridges

[REDACTED]

