

Riverside Energy Park

Riverside Energy Park Schedule of Changes made to the draft Development Consent Order

VOLUME NUMBER:

03

PLANNING INSPECTORATE REFERENCE NUMBER:

EN010093

DOCUMENT REFERENCE:

3.3

June 2019 | Revision 0 (Deadline 3) | APFP Regulation Reg 5(2)(q)

Planning Act 2008 | Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

RIVERSIDE ENERGY PARK

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES MADE TO THE DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER – REVISION 1 (DEADLINE 2)

Please note that this does not capture minor typographical corrections that have been made.

"ExA" – Examining Authority;

"FWQ" – First Written Questions from the Examining Authority, published on 17 April 2019.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
Article 2 commence	<p>Definition of commence is amended to add "<i>and vegetation</i>" before "clearance" and "<i>(including the removal of topsoil and any mowing, coppicing, felling and pruning)</i>" after "clearance".</p> <p>The definition now reads: <i>““commence” means beginning to carry out any material operation, as defined in section 155 of the 2008 Act (which explains when development begins), comprised in or carried out for the purposes of the authorised development other than operations consisting of land and vegetation clearance <u>(including the removal of topsoil and any mowing, coppicing, felling and pruning)</u>...”</i></p>	<p>In response to FWQ 7.0.1.</p> <p>The Applicant has reviewed those operations carved out of the definition of "commence" in Article 2 of the draft Development Consent Order (3.1, APP-014) and compared those operations to the "pre-commencement works" listed in Requirement 4 of Schedule 2.</p> <p>The Applicant has amended the definition of "commence" so that the operations that are captured by Requirement 4 are the same ones that are carved out of "commence".</p>
Article 2 maintain	<p>Definition of maintain amended to add "do not" after the words "<i>such activities</i>" and "<i>which are worse than those</i>" after the words "<i>any materially new or materially different environmental effects</i>"</p>	<p>In response to FWQ 7.0.2 – at the request of the ExA to replace "are unlikely to" with "do not".</p>

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
	<p>The definition now reads:</p> <p><i>“maintain” includes inspect, repair, adjust, alter, remove, refurbish, reconstruct, replace and improve any part, but not replace the whole of, the authorised development, but only insofar as such activities are unlikely to do not give rise to any materially new or materially different environmental effects from which are worse than those identified...”</i></p>	<p>The words "<i>which are worse than those</i>", are inserted because it would be perverse for the Development Consent Order, if granted, to prevent maintenance works that would give rise to an improvement in environmental effects from those identified in the Environmental Statement.</p>
Article 6(1)(a)	Deletion of Article 6(1)(a)	Following discussions with the Environment Agency.
Article 6(3)	Insertion of new Article 6(3) to state that should the Applicant be prevented from complying with any condition granted under a planning permission or consent under the Electricity Act 1989 as a result of any provision of the Development Consent Order, the Applicant will not be in breach of that condition.	This amendment is required in order to address any overlap of consents between the existing Riverside Resource Recovery Facility and REP. This is similar to the approach taken and tested in the draft Drax Power (Generating Stations) Order.
Article 6(4)	Insertion of new Article 6(4) to state that in the event that the planning permission 15/02926/OUTM is implemented and the use of the land the subject of that permission is used for temporary uses as authorised under the Development Consent Order, then following the end of the temporary uses the land's use will revert to the original use.	<p>This new Article has been inserted as a result of the Main Temporary Construction Compound being moved to the adjacent plots known in the Application material as the "Data Centre Sites". This is as a result of the change in circumstances regarding the delivery of the Data Centres.</p> <p>The Article, therefore, makes it clear that</p>

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
		following the end of temporary uses on plots 02/44 and 02/49 as shown on the Land Plans (2.1, REP2-003), a new planning permission is not required for the resumption of the extant use as permitted under the planning permission made by the relevant planning authority under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for those plots (reference 15/02926/OUTM). The Article applies the right to revert as granted by section 57(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
Article 7 (newly added Article)	A new article has been added regarding the obligation to obtain permits or licences under the Port of London Act 1968 where works or operations are carried out within the Thames.	In response to comments from the Port of London Authority. Wording has been agreed with the PLA, as recorded in a signed Statement of Common Ground submitted to the Examination on 31 May 2019.
Article 9(4)(a) (previously Article 8(4)(a))	Adding an “or” at the end of Article 9(4)(a)	The provisions of Article 8(4)(a) and 8(4)(b) are exclusive of each other, not dependent on each other.
Article 10 (previously Article 9)	Adding in that the guarantee or alternative form of security referred to in Article 10(a) and 10(b) is subject to the approval of the Secretary of State.	In response to FWQ 7.0.6 and at the request of the ExA.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
Article 21 (previously Article 20)	To restrict the Article to land within the Order limits and land that overhangs land within the Order limits.	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley.
Article 24(2) (previously 23(2))	Revised to read "...the undertaker may acquire compulsorily the 's powers of compulsory acquisition are limited to the acquisition of existing rights and restrictions over land and create and acquire compulsorily the creation and acquisition of such new rights and impose the impositions of such new restrictions..."	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley to make it clear that the undertaker's CA powers for the land in Schedule 7 are restricted to the acquisition of rights only.
Article 27 (previously Article 26)	Amended to set out the power to override easements and other rights	This Article provides a power to override easements and other rights and reflects the terms of section 120(3) and (4) of the 2008 Act, and paragraphs 2 and 3, Part 1 of Schedule 5 of the 2008 Act. This Article has precedent in, for example, Article 32 of the Thame Water Utilities Limited (Thame Tideway Tunnel) Order 2014 and Article 24 of the Silvertown Tunnel Order 2018. This Article is supplementary to Articles 22 (compulsory acquisition of land) and 28 (compulsory acquisition of rights) and is necessary and expedient to give full effect to development consent under Article 3. The Article makes it clear that any "authorised activity", as defined in paragraph (2), is authorised notwithstanding that it may interfere with any easement, liberty, privilege, right or

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
		advantage annexed to land and affecting other land, including any natural right to support, or breach any restriction as to the user of land arising by virtue of contract. Compensation is also payable under section 7 and section 10 of the Compulsory Purchase Act 1965 for any such interference or breach.
Article 29(4) (previously Article 28(4))	Inserting "Order" after " <i>Riverside Energy Park</i> "	To insert a missing word.
Schedule 1		
Schedule 1	Including reference that the generating station has a capacity of more than 50 megawatts.	In response to FWQ 7.0.9.
Schedule 1 (Work No. 9)	Amending Work No. 9 to include overground cables and above ground cable trough structures which are either freestanding or attached to highway structures	To reflect the works required for the construction and installation of the electrical connection as assessed in the Environmental Statement.
Schedule 2		
Schedule 2, Requirement 3, Table 1	Amending the elements of the authorised development in Table 1 to include the emission stack and flare stack of the Anaerobic Digestion plant. Amending the maximum width, maximum heights and minimum	Addition of the emission stack and flare stack of the Anaerobic Digestion plant has been added following the ExA's FWQs. Reference to Above Surrounding Ground

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
	height (above surrounding ground level).	Level is explained in a technical note submitted at Deadline 3 (8.02.26).
Schedule Requirement 3(2)	2, Inserting “ <i>the above surrounding ground level in respect of Work No. 1 must comply with the following parameters: a minimum height of 1 metre AOD and maximum height of 3m AOD.</i> ”	Reference to Above Surrounding Ground Level is explained in a technical note submitted at Deadline 3 (8.02.26).
Schedule Requirement 4	2, Inserting a new sub-paragraph (2) to set out what the pre-commencement biodiversity and landscape mitigation strategy submitted must contain. Inserting a new sub-paragraph (4) stating that the pre-commencement biodiversity and landscape mitigation strategy must be implemented as approved.	Following discussions with biodiversity stakeholders.
Schedule Requirement 7	2, Express reference identifying any areas in the administrative area of Kent County Council where geo-archaeological and archaeological works are required.	Amendments made following comments from Kent County Council.
Schedule Requirement 8(3)	2, Inserting a new sub-paragraph (3) requiring a plan of the proposed layout for the termination of the highway to be submitted. This must be submitted before the Applicant can exercise the stopping up powers in A14.	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley.
Schedule Requirement 10(3)	2, Inserting a new sub-paragraph (3) requiring a remediation verification plan be produced if remedial works are found to be necessary.	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
Schedule Requirement 13(1) 2,	<p>Additional wording is inserted into Requirement 13(1)(a) to read "<i>construction vehicle routing plans <u>in respect of both workers and deliveries</u></i>".</p> <p>Additional wording is inserted in Requirement 13(1)(h) to read "<i>a construction worker travel plan, <u>including details of the temporal distribution of workers at Work Number 5(g), Work Number 8 and Work Number 9(c), the likely number of worker vehicle movements, the management of workforce parking</u></i>".</p>	In response to comments from Transport for London.
Schedule Requirement 13(2) 2,	Inserting a new-subparagraph (2) stating " <i><u>the construction traffic management plan(s) submitted pursuant to subparagraph 13(1) must be accompanied by a statement explaining how the likely construction traffic impacts identified in the environmental statement are addressed through the measures contained in the construction traffic management plan(s).</u></i> "	In response to comments from Transport for London.
Schedule Requirement 13(3) 2,	Requirement 13(3) (previously Requirement 13(2)) is amended to read " <i>the construction traffic management plan(s) must be implemented as approved <u>by the relevant planning authority (in consultation with the relevant highway authority and, for roads within the London Borough of Bexley, TfL).</u></i> "	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley.
Schedule Requirement 14 2,	A new Requirement 14 is included to restrict the number of heavy commercial vehicle movements delivering waste to Work No. 1A, subject to an event of a jetty outage.	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley and the ExA.
Schedule 2,	A new Requirement 17 is included to set out that Work No. 1	In response to comments from the

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
Requirement 17	cannot commence until a river wall condition survey is submitted and approved by the relevant planning authority.	Environment Agency.
Schedule Requirement 18	2, A new Requirement 18 is included to set out that no part of the authorised development may commence until an employment and skills plan is submitted and approved by the relevant planning authority.	In response to comments from the London Borough of Bexley.
Other Schedules		
Schedule 3	This has been updated to reflect the selected Electrical Connection route and the relocation of the Main Temporary Construction Compound.	Following selection of the Electrical Connection route and removal of plots following discussions with landowners.
Schedule 4	This has been updated to reflect the selected Electrical Connection route and the relocation of the Main Temporary Construction Compound.	Following selection of the Electrical Connection route and removal of plots following discussions with landowners.
Schedule 5	This has been updated to reflect the selected Electrical Connection route.	Following selection of the Electrical Connection route and removal of plots following discussions with landowners.
Schedule 7	This has been updated to reflect the selected Electrical Connection route.	Following selection of the Electrical Connection route and removal of plots following discussions with landowners.
Schedule 9	Description of temporary use inserted Amending the plots where temporary possession may be taken.	To correct a typographical error Following selection of the Electrical Connection route and removal of plots

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 1)	Reason
		following discussions with landowners.
Schedule 10, Part 4	Updating the protective provisions for the protection of the Environment Agency.	To reflect discussions with the Environment Agency.
Schedule 10, Part 6	Inserting protective provisions for the protection of National Grid Electricity Transmission.	To reflect discussions with National Grid Electricity Transmission.
Schedule 11	Updating the documents and plans to be certified.	To reflect the documents submitted at Deadline 2.

RIVERSIDE ENERGY PARK

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES MADE TO THE DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER – REVISION 2 (DEADLINE 3)

Please note that this does not capture minor typographical corrections that have been made.

"ExA" – Examining Authority;

"FWQ" – First Written Questions from the Examining Authority, published on 17 April 2019.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
Article 2 biodiversity units biodiversity off-setting defra biodiversity off-setting metric off-setting value	<p>The following definitions have been inserted:</p> <p><i>“biodiversity units” means the product of the size of an area, and the distinctiveness and condition of the habitat it comprises to provide a measure of ecological value (as assessed using the Defra biodiversity off-setting metric);</i></p> <p><i>“biodiversity off-setting scheme” means a scheme which will deliver biodiversity enhancements which must not be less than the off-setting value;</i></p> <p><i>“Defra biodiversity off-setting metric” means the Defra mechanism to quantify impacts on biodiversity that allows biodiversity losses and gains affecting different habitats to be compared and ensure offsets are sufficient to compensate for residual losses of biodiversity; and</i></p> <p><i>“off-setting value” means the net biodiversity impact of the development scheme, calculated using the Defra biodiversity off-setting metrics, measured in biodiversity units.</i></p>	<p>Following a request by the ExA at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019, the Applicant has reviewed requirements 4 and 5 of Schedule 2 and provided definitions of the key terms used so it is clear how the off-setting compensation is calculated.</p>

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
<p>Article 2 commence pre-commencement</p>	<p>A separate definition of 'pre-commencement works' has been inserted, which means that the list of "pre-commencement works" in the original definition of "commence" can be replaced with "pre-commencement works".</p> <p>The definition of "commence" has been revised so as to:</p> <p><i>“commence” means beginning to carry out any material operation, as defined in section 155 of the 2008 Act (which explains when development begins), comprised in or carried out for the purposes of the authorised development other than <u>pre-commencement works</u>...”</i></p> <p><i>““pre-commencement works” means operations consisting of land and vegetation clearance (including the removal of topsoil and any mowing, coppicing, felling and pruning), environmental surveys and monitoring, investigations for the purpose of assessing ground conditions (including the making of trial boreholes), receipt and erection of construction plant and equipment, erection of construction welfare facilities, erection of any temporary means of enclosure, the temporary display of site notices or advertisements and any other works that do not give rise to any likely significant adverse environmental effects as assessed in the environmental statement”</i></p>	<p>This amendment follows amendments to the requirements in Schedule 2, whereby requirements 11 (code of construction practice) and 13 (construction traffic management plan) now apply to the "pre-commencement works."</p> <p>For this reason, it was considered clearer to have a stand alone definition of "pre-commencement works."</p>
<p>Article 2 FRAPA drawing</p>	<p>A definition for the "FRAPA drawing" is inserted in Article 2.</p>	<p>A new requirement has been inserted into Schedule 2 (requirement 23), which refers to the "FRAPA drawing". Given the description of the "FRAPA drawing", it is</p>

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
		considered clearer to have a stand alone definition.
Article 2 operational period	A definition for "operational period" is inserted in Article 2.	The term "operational period" is used in requirement 14, being the restriction on heavy commercial vehicles delivering waste to the ERF and the Anaerobic Digestion plant at REP. Requirement 14 applies to "commissioning" and the "operational period", which are now both defined terms.
Article 2 Transport for London	A definition for Transport for London is inserted in Article 2.	For clarity.
Article 6(3)	Article 6(3) has been amended to narrow down the breadth of the provision, to specifically identify conditions in the extant planning permission for the Riverside Energy from Waste Facility (known as the Riverside Resource Recovery Facility) where an inconsistency is likely to occur.	This amendment has been made following a concern expressed by the London Borough of Bexley at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019. The Applicant will discuss the conditions set out in Article 6(3) with the London Borough of Bexley.
Article 32(1)(c)	Amending " <i>reasonable</i> " to " <i>reasonably</i> "	To amend a typographical error.
Article 44	Deletion of Article 44 (special category land)	Following the selection of a single Electrical Connection route, there is no

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
		special category land within the Order limits.
Schedule 1		
Schedule 1	Inserting the words " <i>below 300 megawatts</i> "	As requested by the ExA at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019, these words have been inserted to make it clear that the generating station does not require Carbon Capture and Storage technology, which only applies to plants that are at or above 300 megawatts (see paragraph 4.7.10 of NPS EN-1).
Schedule 2		
Schedule Requirement 4(1) 2,	Amendments made to utilise the term "pre-commencement works" and to delete reference to what the pre-commencement biodiversity and landscape mitigation strategy is to contain.	As Revision 2 of the Development Consent Order has defined "pre-commencement works", the necessary amendments have been made to this requirement. There is no need for 4(1) to describe what the strategy is to contain as the detail is covered in 4(2).
Schedule Requirement 4(2) 2,	Requirement 4(2) has been amended following the insertion of additional definitions.	Following a request by the ExA at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019, the Applicant has reviewed

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
		requirements 4 and 5 of Schedule 2 and updated the wording so as to ensure consistency between the two requirements and to utilise the definitions that are now provided in Article 2.
Schedule Requirement 5(1) 2,	Requirement 5(1) has been amended following the insertion of additional definitions.	Following a request by the ExA at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019, the Applicant has reviewed requirements 4 and 5 of Schedule 2 and updated the wording so as to ensure consistency between the two requirements and to utilise the definitions that are now provided in Article 2.
Schedule Requirement 8(3) 2,	<p>This has been amended to include reference to a replacement turning head.</p> <p>The requirement now reads: <i>“The undertaker must not exercise the power in Article 14(1) unless and until a plan showing the layout for the termination of the street (as specified in columns (1) and (2) of Schedule 6) has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, such plan to show the replacement turning head to facilitate a forward side-turn manoeuvre in forward and reverse gears by vehicles.”</i></p>	Amended following concerns raised by the London Borough of Bexley in relation to the design of the turning head.
Schedule 2,	The requirement has been extended so that the Code of Construction Practice applies to the pre-commencement works	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
Requirement 11(1)	<p>as well as commencement of the authorised development.</p> <p>The requirement now reads: <i>“No part of <u>the pre-commencement works may be carried out and no part of</u> the authorised development may commence until a code of construction practice for that part has been submitted to and approved...”</i></p>	the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule Requirement 11(1)(o) 2,	The Code of Construction Practice must include appropriate procedures to address any unexploded ordnance that may be encountered.	Amended at the request of the London Borough of Bexley.
Schedule Requirement 13(1) 2,	<p>This requirement has been extended so that the Construction Traffic Management Plan applies to the pre-commencement works as well as commencement of the authorised development.</p> <p>The requirement now reads: <i>“No part of <u>the pre-commencement works may be carried out and no part of</u> the authorised development may commence until a construction traffic management plan for that part has been submitted to and approved...”</i></p>	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule Requirement 13(1) 2,	Insertion of words making it clear that the Construction Traffic Management Plan is to be approved by the relevant planning authority in consultation with TfL for streets within the London Borough of Bexley.	These words have been moved from 13(3) to 13(1) for clarity.
Schedule Requirement 13(1)(h) 2,	Amended to state Work No. 9(d) instead of Work No. 9(c)	To amend a typographical error.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
Schedule 2, Requirement 14 Anaerobic Digestion plant	Amended to include waste being delivered to Work No. 1B (the Anaerobic Digestion plant) as well as Work No. 1A (the ERF). This requirement now reads: <i>“work number 1A and work number 1B...”</i>	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule 2, Requirement 14(1) commissioning	Amended to ensure that the restriction on two-way vehicle movements applies during commissioning as well as the operational period. This requirement now reads: <i>“during commissioning and the operational period must not exceed a maximum...”</i>	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule 2, previous Requirement 14(2), and 14(4)	Reference to the undertaker's ability to use any surplus and/or jetty surplus not utilised by the existing RRRF has been deleted.	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL and the London Borough of Bexley at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule 2, Requirement 14(4) (previously 14(6))	Amended to include that transport movement records should be made available on reasonable request by the relevant planning authority. This requirement now reads: <i>“the first anniversary of the date of final commissioning and annually thereafter, and following any reasonable request by the relevant planning authority (up to a maximum of four requests per year), the undertaker must provide the relevant planning authority with a record of...”</i>	Amended following a request by the London Borough of Bexley at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
Schedule 2, Requirement 14(5)(b) (previously 14(7)(b))	<p>The definition of jetty outage has been amended to include a period.</p> <p>The definition now reads: <i>““jetty outage” means circumstances caused by factors beyond the undertaker’s control in which waste has not or could not be received at the jetty or ash containers have not been or could not be despatched from the jetty <u>for a period in excess of 48 hours</u>”</i></p>	<p>Following a concern raised by the London Borough of Bexley and GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019, the Applicant has reviewed the storage capacities at the REP site and implications for RRRF and REP potentially affecting the road network simultaneously for waste deliveries and export of ash. A period of 48 hours has therefore been inserted.</p>
Schedule 2, Requirement 15	<p>Amended to include that TfL must be consulted for roads within the London Borough of Bexley.</p> <p>This requirement now reads: <i>“must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority <u>(in consultation with the relevant highway authority and, for streets within the London Borough of Bexley, Transport for London)</u>...”</i></p>	<p>Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.</p>
Schedule 2, Requirement 17	<p>Amended to include the latest position following discussions with the Environment Agency.</p> <p>This requirement now reads: <i>“(1) No part of Work Number 1 may commence until a river wall condition survey on those parts of the river wall within the order limits has been submitted to and approved by the Environment Agency.</i></p>	<p>Following discussions with the Environment Agency. The wording is agreed save for the time period referred to in 17(3), which remains under discussion.</p>

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
	<p>(2) <i>The river wall condition survey submitted pursuant to sub-paragraph (2) must where appropriate identify any remedial works required to bring the tidal flood defence up to a good standard considering a design life of 100-years.</i></p> <p>(3) <i>The remedial works required to bring the defence up to a good standard identified pursuant to sub-paragraph (1) must be carried out within 3 years of the date that the condition survey is approved under sub-paragraph (1)."</i></p>	
Schedule Requirement 20(2) 2,	<p>Various amendments to the requirements for the CHP review, where it must assess potential commercial opportunities and state if sufficient details are known about the likely district heat network to enable installation of the necessary CHP pipework to the REP site boundary. Once those details are known, the undertaker must install the pipework (which is included in Work No. 6) to the REP site boundary.</p> <p>This requirement now reads: “(a) <u>assess potential commercial opportunities that reasonably exist for the export of heat from Work No. 1 at the time of submission of the CHP review as part of a Good Quality CHP scheme (as defined in CHPQA Standard Issue 3);</u></p> <p><u>(b) state whether or not sufficient details are known about the likely district heat network to enable the undertaker to install the necessary combined heat and power pipework (Work Number 6(a)) to the boundary of Work Number 6 as shown on the works plans and, if so, the undertaker must install such pipework to the boundary of Work Number 6 in</u></p>	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
	<p><u>accordance with those details and in the timeframe agreed in the CHP review or any revised CHP review; and</u></p> <p><i>(c) include a list of actions (if any <u>and in addition to (2)(b)</u>) that the undertaker is reasonably required to take (without material cost to the undertaker)...."</i></p>	
Schedule Requirement 20(4)	2, Inserted a requirement for the establishment of a working group to progress the actions in the approved/revised CHP review and monitor and report on progress to the relevant planning authority.	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule Requirement 20(5)	2, Amended the CHP review to be every 4 years after the date on which it last submitted the CHP review or revised CHP review, rather than 5 years.	Amended following a request by the GLA/TfL at the Issue Specific Hearing of the draft Development Consent Order held on 6 June 2019.
Schedule Requirement 21	2, Amending the decommissioning requirement to apply to Work No. 1, rather than the authorised development.	The necessary decommissioning applies REP.
Schedule Requirement 23	2, Insertion of a requirement in respect of the Flood Risk Activity Permit Area, where no part of Work No. 1 E and Work No. 5 can be constructed and no hazardous material can be stored within that area during construction and operation.	Following a request by the Environment Agency.
Schedule Requirement 24	2, Insertion of a new requirement to specify the finished floor levels for the Main REP Building.	Following a request by the Environment Agency.
Schedules 3, 4 and 5		

Article / Requirement number	Explanation of Change in the Development Consent Order (Revision 2)	Reason
Schedules 3, 4 and 5	Insertion of footpath 4 in relation to Work No. 7 and Work No. 9 between the eastern edge of the order limits and Norman Road on sheet 3 of the access and public rights of way plan.	To reflect the access and public rights of way plan (2.3, REP2-005) submitted at Deadline 2.
Schedule 10		
Schedule 10, Part 4	The Protective Provisions (“PPs”) for the protection of the Environment Agency have been updated to reflect a distance of 8m of a drainage work, rather than a 9m distance, in the definition of specified work.	This reflects the Applicant’s latest position.
Schedule 10, Part 5	The PPs for the protection of Network Rail have not been amended at Deadline 3.	The Applicant is currently considering the comments raised by Network Rail.
Schedule 10, Part 6	The PPs for the protection of National Grid have not been amended at Deadline 3.	The Applicant is currently considering the comments raised by National Grid.
Schedule 10, new part	Thames Water is drafting bespoke PPs to be inserted into the dDCO as a new Part in Schedule 10	The Applicant is currently awaiting the bespoke PPs from Thames Water.
Schedule 11		
Schedule 11	Updated to reflect the latest versions of the certified documents.	Updated to reflect the documents and plans to be certified.