

From: [NectonSubstationAction Messenger](#)
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Subject: Deadline 7
Date: 07 March 2020 18:21:36

In answer to Colin King's questions regarding the accuracy of the photomontages and other simulations provided by the applicant, they answer

Any inaccuracies that do occur in Terrain 5 DTM will not affect the height of the substation as shown in the models or the photomontages. This is because the existing landform is not used as the base for the substation, but instead a new flat floor plain for construction is applied, which replaces the Terrain 5 DTM over that area.

1. This is exactly what worries us. If the terrain is not flat but undulating (which it is), will the applicant level downwards or upwards? If part of the site were for instance to have an existing ground level of 72m and another part lower, which height will they level to? If they bring in soil and level to the higher point, then even more mitigation (and we seem to be getting less instead) will be needed. The common sense approach would be to level down and use the soil to create the bunds we keep asking for?
2. The applicant seems quite happy to admit that they have committed inaccuracies, and it is surely not too much to ask that with the completely monstrous and ghastly structures they wish to dump upon us, we see exactly what the results will be.
3. If the applicant cannot afford accurate simulations then surely they cannot afford to complete this project in a reasonable and acceptable manner? By the time this is discovered (halfway through the development, it will be too late for Necton.)

Thank you NSAG