

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Cleve Hill Solar Park](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 7 - Cleve Hill - Representation  
**Date:** 11 November 2019 21:10:32  
**Attachments:** [REDACTED]

---

Dear Paige

Attached is my representation for deadline 7. My reference number 20019178, from an e-mail 18/4/2019.

If you require any further information, or feel I need to adjust the representation for it to be accepted, please do let me know.

Thank you for the information within your previous e-mail.

Kind regards

**David Burbridge**

---

**From:** Cleve Hill Solar Park <CleveHillSolarPark@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 08 November 2019 09:00  
**To:** David Burbridge [REDACTED]; Cleve Hill Solar Park <CleveHillSolarPark@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** RE: Deadline 7 - Cleve Hill

Good morning David,

Thank you for your email. I can confirm that the correct way to submit any representation would be via project's email [CleveHillSolarPark@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:CleveHillSolarPark@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

There is no structured way to submit a representation, therefore a letter will suffice. Please see our [Advice Note 8.4](#) that may be some guidance on how to submit comments into the Examination.

Please can I also make you aware that the deadline for comments is Deadline 7 – 13 November 2019 at 23:59. Anything submitted after this time will be down to the discretion of the Examining Authority if it is accepted into the Examination.

If you have anymore queries, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Kind regards,  
Paige Hall  
Swyddog Achos / Case Officer  
Cynllunio Seilwaith Cenedlaethol / National Infrastructure Planning  
Yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio/The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, Temple Quay,

Bristol, BS1 6PN

Llinell Uniongyrchol/Direct Line: 0303 444 5200

Llinell Gymorth/Helpline: 0303 444 5000

E-Bost/Email: [REDACTED]

Wê/Web: [www.planningportal.gov.uk/planninginspectorate](http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planninginspectorate) (Gwaith achos ac apeliadau/Casework and appeals)

Wê/Web: [www.infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk](http://www.infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk) (Cynllunio Seilwaith Cenedlaethol/National Infrastructure Planning)

Twitter: [@PINSgov](https://twitter.com/PINSgov)



Nid yw'r cyfartherbiad hwn yn gyfystyr â chyngor cyfreithiol/This communication does not constitute legal advice

Edrychwch ar ein [Siarter Wybodaeth](#) cyn anfon gwybodaeth at yr Arolygiaeth Gynllunio/Please view our [Information Charter](#) before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate

---

**From:** David Burbridge [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 07 November 2019 19:45

**To:** Cleve Hill Solar Park <CleveHillSolarPark@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Deadline 7 - Cleve Hill

Dear Sir / Madam

Please could you confirm this e-mail is the proper communication method if wishing to make a representation for;

### **Comments on responses submitted for Deadline 6**

I thought there might be an website method, unless I have missed it, it seems not.

Are comments on responses to be made in a structured way, or is a normal letter sufficient if it points to the relevant Deadline 6 document?

Kind regards

David Burbridge

11<sup>th</sup> November 2019

National Infrastructure Planning – EN10085 Cleve Hill Solar Park

BY EMAIL / My Ref 20019178

**Deadline 7 – In response to deadline 6 – Outline design principles /  
Outline landscape and biodiversity management plan**

Dear sirs,

A concern not well flagged elsewhere is regarding Works No.9 area within the **Outline design principles** – The beach, saltmarsh, sea wall and reed beds, surrounding the site from Faversham creek to the Sportsman pub area.

In terms of habitat, I would be very surprised if this were not among the most important areas on the entire site. Yet it does not appear to be included within the **Outline landscape and biodiversity management plan** as a habitat management area.

My understanding is that the developer are agreeing works (on Works No.9 area) with Natural England for a 5 year period, and every subsequent 5 year period further works would be agreed.

I would argue that those works should be known in detail, to enable the various wildlife organisation and people who use the area, to challenge plans at the Examination stage. At this point we only have a list of types of work that could be carried out.

Yours faithfully

David Burbridge