



CLEVE HILL SOLAR PARK

COMPULSORY ACQUISITION INFORMATION

Updates to Compulsory Acquisition Schedule (Tracked)

October 2019

Revision A

Document Reference: 14.3.2

Submitted: Deadline 6

www.clevehillsolar.com



CLEVE HILL
SOLAR PARK

CLEVE HILL SOLAR PARK: LIST OF OBJECTIONS TO THE GRANT OF COMPULSORY ACQUISITION OR TEMPORARY POSSESSION POWERS

Updated for Deadline **65**

(EXQ1: QUESTION 1.2.11.)

The schedule below details that land rights of all those who submitted a relevant representation. Entries that were included in the schedule by the Examining Authority as part of EXQ1: Question 1.2.11, who have no interest in the Order land and are not considered by the Applicant to be Category 3 persons, have been removed (as detailed in Table 2).

Table 1

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref Nov	Interest vi	Permanent/ Temporary vii	Plot(s)	CA? viii	Status of Objection
4	London Array Limited on behalf of Orsted London Array II Limited, E.ON Climate & Renewables UK London Array Limited, Masdar Energy UK Limited and Boreas (Investment) Limited	CHSP-AFP032	RR-807			Part 3	Permanent	1/04	Yes	The Applicant provided the Examining Authority with an update on the status of the discussions with London Array Limited on 21 June 2019.
						Part 3	Permanent	1/05	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	2/04	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/02	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/03	Yes	An update on negotiations was agreed between the parties and provided at the Compulsory Acquisition hearing [REP3-013].
						Part 3	Permanent	3/04	Yes	
						Part 1	Permanent	3/05	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/06	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/06B	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/07	Yes	Following the agreement of principal terms, legal documents were issued by CHSPL’s solicitors on 21st July 2019. A meeting was held between CHSPL and London Array Limited and their respective advisors on 17th August 2019 to reach agreement on the legal documents. The solicitors for the parties met on
						Part 1	Rights	3/07A	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/07B	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/08	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/08A	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	3/09	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/11	Yes	
Part 3	Rights	4/02	Yes							
Part 3	Rights	4/03	Yes							
Part 3	Permanent	4/07	Yes							

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
						Part 3	Permanent	5/01	Yes	<p>21st and 22nd August 2019 to finalise the drafting of the documents.</p> <p>The Applicant refers to the agreed submission submitted by BTLAL and LAL at Deadline 4. It is expected that agreement will be reached by the end of September 2019.</p> <p>An update on negotiations was provided at the Second Compulsory Acquisition Hearing on the 12 September 2019.</p> <p><u>Further to continued negotiations between respective lawyers, legal documents are in substantially agreed form, with an expectation that the drafting will be finalised imminently.</u></p> <p>It is expected that an agreement will be entered into before the close of Examination.</p>
						Part 3	Permanent	5/02	Yes	
5	Colin Roger Mount and Robert Clifford Sharman as		RR-798			Part 1	Permanent	1/05	Yes	CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since May 2018.
						Part 1	Rights	1/07	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	1/09	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	4/02	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
	trustees of the Kent Wildfowling and Conservation Association									<p>A meeting was held in August 2018. Heads of Terms were issued on 8 November 2018.</p> <p>Following a number of meetings and correspondence between the parties' respective advisers, Heads of Terms were agreed and signed on 4 June 2019.</p> <p>Solicitors have been appointed and commenced drafting the agreement.</p> <p>Drafting of agreements is well advanced and the agreement will be entered into prior to close of the Examination.</p> <p><u>The final forms of the documents are with the landowner's solicitor for approval. The Applicant's solicitors chased the landowner's solicitor on 2 October 2019.</u></p> <p>CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement <u>by close of the Examination.</u></p>
7	Faversham Oyster	CHSP-AFP006	RR-764			Part 3	Rights	1/02	Yes	CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since December
						Part 3	Rights	2/06	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
	Fishery Company					Part 3	Rights	2/07	Yes	<p>2017.</p> <p>A meeting took place in August 2018 and Heads of Terms were issued on 8 November 2018. Following meetings and correspondence between the parties' respective advisers, Heads of Terms were agreed and signed on 4 June 2019.</p> <p>Solicitors have been appointed and commenced drafting the agreement.</p> <p>Drafting of agreements is well advanced and the agreement will be entered into prior to close of the Examination.</p> <p><u>The final forms of the documents are with the landowner's solicitor for approval. The Applicant's solicitors chased the landowner's solicitor on 2 October 2019.</u></p> <p>CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement <u>by close of the Examination.</u></p>
						Part 3	Rights	2/09	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/10	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/11	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/13	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/15	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/17	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/19	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/21	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/23	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/24	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/25	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/27	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/01	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/01A	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/01B	Yes	
10			RR-825			Part 3	Permanent	1/04	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No v	Interest vi	Permanent/ Temporary vii	Plot(s)	CA? viii	Status of Objection
	National Grid Electricity Transmission plc	CHSP-AFP022				Part 3	Rights	1/05	Yes	<p>The Applicant notes that National Grid's objection is a holding objection, seeking protective provisions to protect its apparatus.</p> <p>Discussions are ongoing with National Grid on Protective Provisions and a commercial agreement. These are likely to include provisions relating to the Applicant's exercise of its compulsory purchase powers.</p> <p>National Grid confirmed solicitors had been instructed to send over draft terms on 4th July 2019. The documents have not yet been received by the Applicant who followed up with National Grid on 10th and 12th July 2019.</p> <p>Further correspondence from National Grid on 13th July and correspondence between the parties' representatives on 15th July.</p> <p>National Grid have instructed lawyers and drafting of the legal agreement is expected to progress to ensure agreement is</p>
						Part 3	Permanent	1/07	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	1/08	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	2/04	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/03	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	3/04	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	3/05	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/07	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	3/09	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	4/02	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	4/03	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	4/07	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	5/01	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	5/02	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	5/03	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>reached prior to the close of Examination.</p> <p>National Grid's lawyers have issued drafted (13th September 2019) documents and they are being reviewed by CHSPL's lawyers, with an agreement expected to be reached prior to close of Examination.</p> <p><u>The Applicant responded to National Grid in respect of the draft land agreement documents on 3 October 2019.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant is hopeful that the necessary land rights can be secured by voluntary agreement by close of the Examination.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant has agreed Protective Provisions and a Side Agreement with National Grid, pending National Grid's approval of a plan.</u></p>
29	Karen McCallister	CHSP-AFP045	RR-055			Part 3	Rights	3/10	Yes	Objection in relation to concerns over interference with a right of way to access a beach hut.
						Part 3	Rights	3/12	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/13	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>Discussions are advanced with the freeholders of the land over which Mrs McCallister has a right of way.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mrs McCallister.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mrs McCallister.</p>
30	Keith McCallister	CHSP-AFP046	RR-056			Part 3	Rights	3/10	Yes	<p>Objection in relation to concerns over interference with a right of way to access a beach hut.</p> <p>Discussions are advanced with the freeholders of the land over which Mr McCallister has a right of way.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mr McCallister.</p>
						Part 3	Rights	3/12	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/13	Yes	
35	Kent Wildlife Trust		RR-799			Part 1	Rights	1/01	Yes	<p>Objection in relation to concerns over interference with wildlife in</p>
						Part 1	Rights	1/02	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
						Part 1	Rights	2/01	Yes	<p>the area of the proposed development.</p> <p>CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since May 2018.</p> <p>A meeting took place in September 2018 and discussions are ongoing.</p> <p>Heads of Terms were submitted to Kent Wildlife Trust (KWT) on 6 December 2018 and a meeting between both parties and their respective representatives took place on 30 January 2019.</p> <p>CHSPL is working collaboratively with KWT on wide range of issues, including a Statement of Common Ground. It is the intention of both parties to progress negotiations on the land rights sought in advance of the close of Examination.</p> <p>CHSPL received comments on the draft Heads of Terms on 17th July 2019, with CHSPL responding on 8th August 2019. CHSPL issued a follow up email 28th August 2019 requesting a</p>
						Part 1	Rights	2/05	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/06	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/07	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/08	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/09	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/10	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/11	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/12	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/13	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/14	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/15	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/16	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/17	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/18	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/19	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/20	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/21	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/22	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/23	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/24	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/25	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/26	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/27	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/28	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01A	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01B	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/02	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/02A	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
						Part 1	Rights	3/02B	Yes	<p>response to their email dated 8th August 2019.</p> <p>KWT responded with comments on the Heads of Terms on 30th August 2019, with CHSPL responding on 5th September 2019. Subsequent correspondence between the parties on 10th and 14th September 2019.</p> <p><u>KWT confirmed on 3 October 2019 that Heads of Terms were agreed in principle, pending formal authorisation by the Trustees of KWT. It is intended that the parties will work together to enter into an agreement by close of the Examination, with the expectation that substantial progress can be reported at Deadline 7.</u></p> <p><u>CHSPL-The Applicant</u> is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement.</p>
36	Seasalter Chalet Owners Association		RR-703			Part 1	Rights	3/13	Yes	The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to flooding, loss of landscape and

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>amenity, wildlife and ground conditions.</p> <p>CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since May 2018. Meetings were held in July 2018 and September 2018.</p> <p>Heads of Terms were issued on 8 November 2018.</p> <p>An undertaking has been provided for the landowner's legal fees.</p> <p>Positive discussions with the landowner are ongoing with final Heads of Terms with the landowner's solicitor for review.</p> <p>Heads of Terms were signed on 10th July 2019 and the drafting of the legal documents is well advanced.</p> <p><u>The final forms of the documents are with the landowner's solicitor for approval. The Applicant's solicitors chased the landowner's solicitors for a response on 3 October 2019.</u></p>

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement.
37	Natural England		RR-826			Part 1	Rights	3/10	Yes	<p>The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to nature conservation, landscape and impacts on statutory designations.</p> <p>CHSPL has been meeting with and engaged in discussions with Natural England for almost 2 years and CHSPL and Natural England have agreed a Statement of Common Ground regarding the Project.</p> <p>A telephone meeting was held between the parties on 13 June 2019 and Heads of Terms were issued on the same date. Follow up correspondence was sent on 20 June 2019 and CHSPL is waiting for comments from Natural England.</p> <p>A fees undertaking for advancing the production of the agreements was requested by Natural England on 21st August 2019, with CHSPL confirming</p>

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>the undertaking on the same date. Legal documents to be issued as soon as possible.</p> <p>Correspondence between parties' agents on 11th and 18th September 2019.</p> <p><u>Draft legal documents were issued by the Applicant's solicitors on 23 September 2019 and were acknowledged by the landowners' lawyers on 24 September 2019. The Applicant's solicitors requested a response on the draft documents on 3 October 2019.</u></p> <p>CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement.</p>
38	Lucy Alexandra McKelvie		RR-443			Part 3	Permanent	1/04	Yes	<p>The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to the impact on wildlife, enjoyment in the area, and the impact on the value on her property.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently</p>
						Part 3	Rights	1/05	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	1/07	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	1/08	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	2/04	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	4/02	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	4/03	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	4/07	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Ms McKelvie.
39	John Graham Cocking		RR-473			Part 3	Rights	3/10	Yes	The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to deleterious effects of the development, environment conservation and capacity of companies to deliver the project. Discussions are advanced with the freeholders of the land over which Mr Cocking has a right of way. There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mr Cocking.
						Part 3	Rights	3/12	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/13	Yes	

Entries that were included in the schedule provided by the Examining Authority as part of EXQ1: Question 1.2.11 but are not considered by the Applicant to be affected persons (as defined in the Planning Act 2008) as they have no interest in land subject to the application of compulsory acquisition powers and are not considered by the Applicant to be Category 3 persons, have been removed and are detailed below.

Table 2

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
1	Michelle Castaneda		RR-817			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant notes that the relevant representation refers to the potential reduction in value of their home. The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Ms Castaneda to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Castaneda.
2	Thomas Johnson		RR-861			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr Johnson to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										[AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Johnson.
3	Brian Jefferys		RR-735			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Mr Jefferys to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Jefferys
9	Canterbury City Council		RR-738			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Canterbury City Council to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] and the Applicant's comments on the Local Impact Report (submitted for Deadline 2) where it responds to the concerns raised by Canterbury City Council.
11	William Gabbett		RR-865			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chalet owner and member of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below
12	Marilyn Gabbett		RR-815			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chalet owner and member of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below
14	Mark Stefanicki		RR-677			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Mr Stefanicki to be an affected person (as defined in the

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Stefanicki.
15	TalkTalk		None			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	No representation found. In any event, the Applicant does not consider Talk Talk to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008).
19	Sarah Myland		RR-604			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Ms Myland to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Myland.
20	Dynamic Production Solutions		RR-555			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby commercial premises are Category 3 persons. The Applicant does not consider Dynamic Production Solutions to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Dynamic Production Solutions.
21	Vicky Ellis		RR-543			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Ms Ellis to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Ellis.
22	The Ely Family		RR-485			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider the Ely Family to be affected persons (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by the Ely Family
23	Paul Lloyd		RR-396			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chalet owner and member of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below
24	Mark Montague		RR-364			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons.

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr Montague to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Montague.
25	Ann-Maria Montague		RR-339			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mrs Montague to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mrs Montague.
26	Suzi Walker		RR-331			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant does not therefore

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										consider Ms Walker to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Walker.
27	David Thomas		RR-259			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr Thomas to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Thomas.
28	Mark Holmes		RR-182			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Mr Holmes to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Holmes.

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
31	Marie King		RR-064			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant does not therefore consider Ms King to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms King.
32	Thomas King		RR-066			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr King to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr King.
33	Margaret Reynolds		RR-013			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Ms Reynolds to be an affected person (as defined in the

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Reynolds.
34	Frances Prescott		RR-824			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Owner of Chalet and Trustee of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below

Note 1:

Some members of the Seasalters Chalet Owners Association (SCOA) have made relevant representations which the Examining Authority included in the Compulsory Acquisition tracker. These parties have been removed from the tracker by the Applicant, but the SCOA itself has been retained in the tracker. Each of the chalets are held freehold by the individual owners and are not subject to compulsory acquisition. Land adjacent to the chalets, which is owned by the SCOA, is subject to the powers of compulsory acquisition (of rights only). The SCOA have been consulted, through its Trustees (the owners of the land in the Book of Reference, (plots 3/10, 3/12 and 3/13) by Title. Each chalet owner has rights to use the land owned by SCOA, but this is by virtue of their membership of the SCOA, rather than as an individual land right. Diligent inquiry has confirmed the individual chalet owners have no individual legal interest in the land within the DCO Order limits – their interest is by virtue of their membership of SCOA. SCOA are engaging positively with CHSPL ongoing with Heads of Terms with the landowner’s solicitor for final review. CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement by close of the Examination.

CLEVE HILL SOLAR PARK: LIST OF OBJECTIONS TO THE GRANT OF COMPULSORY ACQUISITION OR TEMPORARY POSSESSION POWERS

Updated for Deadline **65**

(EXQ1: QUESTION 1.2.11.)

The schedule below details that land rights of all those who submitted a relevant representation. Entries that were included in the schedule by the Examining Authority as part of EXQ1: Question 1.2.11, who have no interest in the Order land and are not considered by the Applicant to be Category 3 persons, have been removed (as detailed in Table 2).

Table 1

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref Nov	Interest vi	Permanent/ Temporary vii	Plot(s)	CA? viii	Status of Objection
4	London Array Limited on behalf of Orsted London Array II Limited, E.ON Climate & Renewables UK London Array Limited, Masdar Energy UK Limited and Boreas (Investment) Limited	CHSP-AFP032	RR-807			Part 3	Permanent	1/04	Yes	The Applicant provided the Examining Authority with an update on the status of the discussions with London Array Limited on 21 June 2019.
						Part 3	Permanent	1/05	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	2/04	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/02	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/03	Yes	An update on negotiations was agreed between the parties and provided at the Compulsory Acquisition hearing [REP3-013].
						Part 3	Permanent	3/04	Yes	
						Part 1	Permanent	3/05	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/06	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/06B	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/07	Yes	Following the agreement of principal terms, legal documents were issued by CHSPL’s solicitors on 21st July 2019. A meeting was held between CHSPL and London Array Limited and their respective advisors on 17th August 2019 to reach agreement on the legal documents. The solicitors for the parties met on
						Part 1	Rights	3/07A	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/07B	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/08	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/08A	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	3/09	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/11	Yes	
Part 3	Rights	4/02	Yes							
Part 3	Rights	4/03	Yes							
Part 3	Permanent	4/07	Yes							

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
						Part 3	Permanent	5/01	Yes	<p>21st and 22nd August 2019 to finalise the drafting of the documents.</p> <p>The Applicant refers to the agreed submission submitted by BTLAL and LAL at Deadline 4. It is expected that agreement will be reached by the end of September 2019.</p> <p>An update on negotiations was provided at the Second Compulsory Acquisition Hearing on the 12 September 2019.</p> <p><u>Further to continued negotiations between respective lawyers, legal documents are in substantially agreed form, with an expectation that the drafting will be finalised imminently.</u></p> <p>It is expected that an agreement will be entered into before the close of Examination.</p>
						Part 3	Permanent	5/02	Yes	
5	Colin Roger Mount and Robert Clifford Sharman as		RR-798			Part 1	Permanent	1/05	Yes	CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since May 2018.
						Part 1	Rights	1/07	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	1/09	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	4/02	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
	trustees of the Kent Wildfowling and Conservation Association									<p>A meeting was held in August 2018. Heads of Terms were issued on 8 November 2018.</p> <p>Following a number of meetings and correspondence between the parties' respective advisers, Heads of Terms were agreed and signed on 4 June 2019.</p> <p>Solicitors have been appointed and commenced drafting the agreement.</p> <p>Drafting of agreements is well advanced and the agreement will be entered into prior to close of the Examination.</p> <p><u>The final forms of the documents are with the landowner's solicitor for approval. The Applicant's solicitors chased the landowner's solicitor on 2 October 2019.</u></p> <p>CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement <u>by close of the Examination.</u></p>
7	Faversham Oyster	CHSP-AFP006	RR-764			Part 3	Rights	1/02	Yes	CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since December
						Part 3	Rights	2/06	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
	Fishery Company					Part 3	Rights	2/07	Yes	<p>2017.</p> <p>A meeting took place in August 2018 and Heads of Terms were issued on 8 November 2018. Following meetings and correspondence between the parties' respective advisers, Heads of Terms were agreed and signed on 4 June 2019.</p> <p>Solicitors have been appointed and commenced drafting the agreement.</p> <p>Drafting of agreements is well advanced and the agreement will be entered into prior to close of the Examination.</p> <p><u>The final forms of the documents are with the landowner's solicitor for approval. The Applicant's solicitors chased the landowner's solicitor on 2 October 2019.</u></p> <p>CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement <u>by close of the Examination.</u></p>
						Part 3	Rights	2/09	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/10	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/11	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/13	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/15	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/17	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/19	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/21	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/23	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/24	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/25	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	2/27	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/01	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/01A	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/01B	Yes	
10			RR-825			Part 3	Permanent	1/04	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No v	Interest vi	Permanent/ Temporary vii	Plot(s)	CA? viii	Status of Objection
	National Grid Electricity Transmission plc	CHSP-AFP022				Part 3	Rights	1/05	Yes	<p>The Applicant notes that National Grid's objection is a holding objection, seeking protective provisions to protect its apparatus.</p> <p>Discussions are ongoing with National Grid on Protective Provisions and a commercial agreement. These are likely to include provisions relating to the Applicant's exercise of its compulsory purchase powers.</p> <p>National Grid confirmed solicitors had been instructed to send over draft terms on 4th July 2019. The documents have not yet been received by the Applicant who followed up with National Grid on 10th and 12th July 2019.</p> <p>Further correspondence from National Grid on 13th July and correspondence between the parties' representatives on 15th July.</p> <p>National Grid have instructed lawyers and drafting of the legal agreement is expected to progress to ensure agreement is</p>
Part 3						Permanent	1/07	Yes		
Part 3						Rights	1/08	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	2/04	Yes		
Part 3						Rights	3/03	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	3/04	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	3/05	Yes		
Part 1						Rights	3/07	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	3/09	Yes		
Part 3						Rights	4/02	Yes		
Part 3						Rights	4/03	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	4/07	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	5/01	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	5/02	Yes		
Part 3						Permanent	5/03	Yes		

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>reached prior to the close of Examination.</p> <p>National Grid's lawyers have issued drafted (13th September 2019) documents and they are being reviewed by CHSPL's lawyers, with an agreement expected to be reached prior to close of Examination.</p> <p><u>The Applicant responded to National Grid in respect of the draft land agreement documents on 3 October 2019.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant is hopeful that the necessary land rights can be secured by voluntary agreement by close of the Examination.</u></p> <p><u>The Applicant has agreed Protective Provisions and a Side Agreement with National Grid, pending National Grid's approval of a plan.</u></p>
29	Karen McCallister	CHSP-AFP045	RR-055			Part 3	Rights	3/10	Yes	Objection in relation to concerns over interference with a right of way to access a beach hut.
						Part 3	Rights	3/12	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/13	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>Discussions are advanced with the freeholders of the land over which Mrs McCallister has a right of way.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mrs McCallister.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mrs McCallister.</p>
30	Keith McCallister	CHSP-AFP046	RR-056			Part 3	Rights	3/10	Yes	<p>Objection in relation to concerns over interference with a right of way to access a beach hut.</p> <p>Discussions are advanced with the freeholders of the land over which Mr McCallister has a right of way.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mr McCallister.</p>
						Part 3	Rights	3/12	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/13	Yes	
35	Kent Wildlife Trust		RR-799			Part 1	Rights	1/01	Yes	<p>Objection in relation to concerns over interference with wildlife in</p>
						Part 1	Rights	1/02	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
						Part 1	Rights	2/01	Yes	<p>the area of the proposed development.</p> <p>CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since May 2018.</p> <p>A meeting took place in September 2018 and discussions are ongoing.</p> <p>Heads of Terms were submitted to Kent Wildlife Trust (KWT) on 6 December 2018 and a meeting between both parties and their respective representatives took place on 30 January 2019.</p> <p>CHSPL is working collaboratively with KWT on wide range of issues, including a Statement of Common Ground. It is the intention of both parties to progress negotiations on the land rights sought in advance of the close of Examination.</p> <p>CHSPL received comments on the draft Heads of Terms on 17th July 2019, with CHSPL responding on 8th August 2019. CHSPL issued a follow up email 28th August 2019 requesting a</p>
						Part 1	Rights	2/05	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/06	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/07	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/08	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/09	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/10	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/11	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/12	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/13	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/14	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/15	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/16	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/17	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/18	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/19	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/20	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/21	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/22	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/23	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/24	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/25	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/26	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/27	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	2/28	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01A	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/01B	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/02	Yes	
						Part 1	Rights	3/02A	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
						Part 1	Rights	3/02B	Yes	<p>response to their email dated 8th August 2019.</p> <p>KWT responded with comments on the Heads of Terms on 30th August 2019, with CHSPL responding on 5th September 2019. Subsequent correspondence between the parties on 10th and 14th September 2019.</p> <p><u>KWT confirmed on 3 October 2019 that Heads of Terms were agreed in principle, pending formal authorisation by the Trustees of KWT. It is intended that the parties will work together to enter into an agreement by close of the Examination, with the expectation that substantial progress can be reported at Deadline 7.</u></p> <p><u>CHSPL-The Applicant</u> is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement.</p>
36	Seasalter Chalet Owners Association		RR-703			Part 1	Rights	3/13	Yes	The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to flooding, loss of landscape and

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No v	Interest vi	Permanent/ Temporary vii	Plot(s)	CA? viii	Status of Objection
										<p>amenity, wildlife and ground conditions.</p> <p>CHSPL has been in contact with the landowner since May 2018. Meetings were held in July 2018 and September 2018.</p> <p>Heads of Terms were issued on 8 November 2018.</p> <p>An undertaking has been provided for the landowner's legal fees.</p> <p>Positive discussions with the landowner are ongoing with final Heads of Terms with the landowner's solicitor for review.</p> <p>Heads of Terms were signed on 10th July 2019 and the drafting of the legal documents is well advanced.</p> <p><u>The final forms of the documents are with the landowner's solicitor for approval. The Applicant's solicitors chased the landowner's solicitors for a response on 3 October 2019.</u></p>

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement.
37	Natural England		RR-826			Part 1	Rights	3/10	Yes	<p>The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to nature conservation, landscape and impacts on statutory designations.</p> <p>CHSPL has been meeting with and engaged in discussions with Natural England for almost 2 years and CHSPL and Natural England have agreed a Statement of Common Ground regarding the Project.</p> <p>A telephone meeting was held between the parties on 13 June 2019 and Heads of Terms were issued on the same date. Follow up correspondence was sent on 20 June 2019 and CHSPL is waiting for comments from Natural England.</p> <p>A fees undertaking for advancing the production of the agreements was requested by Natural England on 21st August 2019, with CHSPL confirming</p>

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										<p>the undertaking on the same date. Legal documents to be issued as soon as possible.</p> <p>Correspondence between parties' agents on 11th and 18th September 2019.</p> <p><u>Draft legal documents were issued by the Applicant's solicitors on 23 September 2019 and were acknowledged by the landowners' lawyers on 24 September 2019. The Applicant's solicitors requested a response on the draft documents on 3 October 2019.</u></p> <p>CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement.</p>
38	Lucy Alexandra McKelvie		RR-443			Part 3	Permanent	1/04	Yes	<p>The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to the impact on wildlife, enjoyment in the area, and the impact on the value on her property.</p> <p>There is no intention to extinguish or permanently</p>
						Part 3	Rights	1/05	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	1/07	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	1/08	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	2/04	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	4/02	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	4/03	Yes	
						Part 3	Permanent	4/07	Yes	

Obj No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Ms McKelvie.
39	John Graham Cocking		RR-473			Part 3	Rights	3/10	Yes	The Applicant notes that the landowner's relevant representation relates to deleterious effects of the development, environment conservation and capacity of companies to deliver the project. Discussions are advanced with the freeholders of the land over which Mr Cocking has a right of way. There is no intention to extinguish or permanently interfere with the right of way enjoyed by Mr Cocking.
						Part 3	Rights	3/12	Yes	
						Part 3	Rights	3/13	Yes	

Entries that were included in the schedule provided by the Examining Authority as part of EXQ1: Question 1.2.11 but are not considered by the Applicant to be affected persons (as defined in the Planning Act 2008) as they have no interest in land subject to the application of compulsory acquisition powers and are not considered by the Applicant to be Category 3 persons, have been removed and are detailed below.

Table 2

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
1	Michelle Castaneda		RR-817			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant notes that the relevant representation refers to the potential reduction in value of their home. The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Ms Castaneda to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Castaneda.
2	Thomas Johnson		RR-861			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr Johnson to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										[AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Johnson.
3	Brian Jefferys		RR-735			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Mr Jefferys to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Jefferys
9	Canterbury City Council		RR-738			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Canterbury City Council to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] and the Applicant's comments on the Local Impact Report (submitted for Deadline 2) where it responds to the concerns raised by Canterbury City Council.
11	William Gabbett		RR-865			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chalet owner and member of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below
12	Marilyn Gabbett		RR-815			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chalet owner and member of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below
14	Mark Stefanicki		RR-677			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Mr Stefanicki to be an affected person (as defined in the

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Stefanicki.
15	TalkTalk		None			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	No representation found. In any event, the Applicant does not consider Talk Talk to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008).
19	Sarah Myland		RR-604			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Ms Myland to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Myland.
20	Dynamic Production Solutions		RR-555			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby commercial premises are Category 3 persons. The Applicant does not consider Dynamic Production Solutions to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Dynamic Production Solutions.
21	Vicky Ellis		RR-543			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Ms Ellis to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Ellis.
22	The Ely Family		RR-485			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider the Ely Family to be affected persons (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by the Ely Family
23	Paul Lloyd		RR-396			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Chalet owner and member of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below
24	Mark Montague		RR-364			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons.

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
										The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr Montague to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Montague.
25	Ann-Maria Montague		RR-339			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mrs Montague to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mrs Montague.
26	Suzi Walker		RR-331			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant does not therefore

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										consider Ms Walker to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Walker.
27	David Thomas		RR-259			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr Thomas to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Thomas.
28	Mark Holmes		RR-182			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Mr Holmes to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr Holmes.

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref No ⁱⁱ	RR Ref No ⁱⁱⁱ	WR Ref No ^{iv}	Other Doc Ref No ^v	Interest ^{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary ^{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? ^{viii}	Status of Objection
31	Marie King		RR-064			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant does not therefore consider Ms King to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms King.
32	Thomas King		RR-066			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant refers to its response to written question 1.2.4 (submitted for Deadline 2) which explains why the Applicant does not consider that the owners of nearby residential homes are Category 3 persons. The Applicant therefore does not consider Mr King to be an affected person (as defined in the Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Mr King.
33	Margaret Reynolds		RR-013			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Applicant does not consider Ms Reynolds to be an affected person (as defined in the

No.i	Name/ Organisation	IP/AP Ref Noii	RR Ref Noiii	WR Ref Noiv	Other Doc Ref No _v	Interest _{vi}	Permanent/ Temporary _{vii}	Plot(s)	CA? _{viii}	Status of Objection
										Planning Act 2008). The Applicant refers to its Response to Relevant Representations [AS-009] where it responds to the concerns raised by Ms Reynolds.
34	Frances Prescott		RR-824			None	N/A	N/A	N/A	Owner of Chalet and Trustee of Seasalter Chalet Owners Association – see note 1 below

Note 1:

Some members of the Seasalters Chalet Owners Association (SCOA) have made relevant representations which the Examining Authority included in the Compulsory Acquisition tracker. These parties have been removed from the tracker by the Applicant, but the SCOA itself has been retained in the tracker. Each of the chalets are held freehold by the individual owners and are not subject to compulsory acquisition. Land adjacent to the chalets, which is owned by the SCOA, is subject to the powers of compulsory acquisition (of rights only). The SCOA have been consulted, through its Trustees (the owners of the land in the Book of Reference, (plots 3/10, 3/12 and 3/13) by Title. Each chalet owner has rights to use the land owned by SCOA, but this is by virtue of their membership of the SCOA, rather than as an individual land right. Diligent inquiry has confirmed the individual chalet owners have no individual legal interest in the land within the DCO Order limits – their interest is by virtue of their membership of SCOA. SCOA are engaging positively with CHSPL ongoing with Heads of Terms with the landowner’s solicitor for final review. CHSPL is hopeful that the necessary rights can be acquired by voluntary agreement by close of the Examination.