Planning Act 2008 – section 91
Application by Cleve Hill Solar Park Ltd for an Order granting Development Consent for the Cleve Hill Solar Park

Agenda for Issue Specific Hearing 5 dealing with matters relating to the draft Development Consent Order (DCO)

In its letter dated 9 August 2019, the Examining Authority notified Interested Parties of its decision to hold an Issue Specific Hearing into the above matter on the following date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hearing</th>
<th>Date and time</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issue Specific Hearing 5</td>
<td>Tuesday 10 September 2019</td>
<td>Hempstead House Hotel, London Road, Bapchild, Sittingbourne, ME9 9PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The draft DCO</td>
<td>2.00pm (Seating available from 1.30pm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

About the Draft DCO Hearing

This is the fifth Issue Specific Hearing in this Examination. It is being held because the Examining Authority wishes to question the Applicant about the draft DCO submitted with the application documents and its updates.

The Hearing will concentrate on the specific issue of the draft DCO and any agreements needed to secure mitigation. It is likely to be of a technical nature and will be based on the specific wording of the draft Order.

The Hearing will principally be informed by the draft DCO submitted by the Applicant for Deadline 3 [REP3-003] and subsequent updates, including any submitted for Deadline 4. A DCO Changes Tracker, which provides a summary of the changes made from the previous draft document and the reasons for these changes, was also submitted for Deadline 3 and is relevant [REP3-048] unless subsequently updated and submitted prior to this Hearing.

Discussion at this Hearing is 'without prejudice'; this means that parties may make contributions to improve the quality of the draft DCO without invalidating their own positions of support or opposition to the Proposed Development as a whole.

Irrespective of its recommendation, the Examining Authority is required to present a draft DCO to the Secretary of State. The Examining Authority’s participation in a discussion about the specifics of the draft DCO does not indicate that it has made up its mind about the application.
Participation, conduct and management of Hearing

The Agenda has a very specific focus that is likely to be of interest mainly to those organisations or bodies that have a direct involvement in drafting the Order and in its subsequent implementation should it be granted. Oral submissions on other subject matters or from persons who are not Interested Parties may only be heard at the discretion of the Examining Authority. Interested Parties who wish to make representations on other issues are encouraged to bring these to an Open Floor Hearing where there is no subject-specific agenda and any important and relevant matters may be raised.

The Examining Authority invites and would particularly like to hear from the following Interested Parties during this Hearing:

- The Applicant;
- Swale Borough Council;
- Canterbury City Council;
- Kent County Council;
- Natural England;
- Environment Agency;
- NGET plc;
- Blue Transmission/London Array Limited.

The named parties have been invited because they are:

- public bodies or other parties that are named in the draft provisions in the draft DCO;
- public bodies with policy and regulatory responsibilities associated with the subject matter;
- national and local authorities for the affected area; or
- persons or organisations with another related and relevant special interest.

Participation is subject to the Examining Authority’s power to control the Hearing. Interested Parties may be invited to make oral representations at the Hearing\(^1\) (subject to the Examining Authority’s power to control the Hearing). Oral representations should be based on the Relevant Representations or Written Representations made by the person by whom (or on whose behalf) the oral representations are made\(^2\).

However, representations made at the Hearing should not simply repeat matters previously covered in a written submission. Rather, they should draw attention to those submissions in summary form and provide further detail, explanation and evidential corroboration to help inform the Examining Authority.

The Examining Authority may ask questions about representations or ask the Applicant or other party to comment or respond. The Examining Authority will probe, test and assess the evidence through direct questioning of persons making oral\(^3\).

---

\(^1\) s91 Planning Act 2008

\(^2\) s91 Planning Act 2008
representations. Questioning at the Hearing will therefore be led by a member of the Panel, supported by other Panel members.

This agenda is for guidance only. It is not designed to be exclusive or exhaustive. The Examining Authority may add other issues for consideration, may alter the order in which issues are considered and will seek to allocate sufficient time to each issue to allow proper consideration. In particular, it is noted that this agenda has been compiled in advance of Deadline 4. As such, the responses to the Examining Authority’s second written questions and any other information or updates provided at that Deadline have not been taken into account. The detail of the agenda is likely to be changed once these documents have been received.

Should the consideration of the issues take less time than anticipated, the Examining Authority may conclude the Hearing as soon as all relevant contributions have been made and all questions asked and responded to. Some of the issues identified in the agenda are by their nature overlapping. It may be the case therefore that certain questions later on in the agenda are answered by earlier questions. If this is the case the Examining Authority will acknowledge this at the time.

If there are additional matters to be dealt with or there are submissions that take a considerable amount of time, there may be a need to continue the session for longer on the day or at a subsequent sitting.

Breaks will be taken during the Hearing as directed by the Examining Authority. All parties should note that the agenda given below is to provide a framework for this Hearing and offer discussion points; it does not constrain the Examining Authority to specific topics. The Examining Authority may wish to raise other matters arising from submissions and pursue lines of inquiry in the course of the discussions which are not included in this agenda.

References in square brackets [] are to the unique document identification number in the Examination Library. This document is found on the National Infrastructure Planning website at:


The Hearing will have regard to submissions at the previous draft DCO Issue Specific Hearing and those already set out in the following documents and any subsequent revisions or updates (amongst others):

- Relevant plans [APP-006 to APP-015];
- Draft DCO [APP-016] and [REP3-003];
- Explanatory Memorandum [APP-018];
- Outline CEMP [REP3-006];
- Outline Decommissioning and Restoration Plan [APP-206];
- SPA Construction Noise Management Plan [REP3-008];
- Construction Traffic Management Plan [REP3-009];
- Outline Design Principles [REP3-010];
- Mitigation Schedule [REP3-011];
- Draft DCO with tracked changes [REP3-004].
Agenda

Title of meeting  | Cleve Hill Solar Park Hearing on the draft DCO  
Date             | Tuesday 10 September 2019  
Time             | 1.30pm (doors open) for 2.00pm  
Venue            | Hempstead House Hotel, London Road, Bapchild, Sittingbourne, ME9 9PP  
Attendees        | Invitees

1. Examining Authority’s opening remarks

2. Purpose of the Hearing and speakers’ introductions

3. Draft DCO Articles
   3.1. Updates to proposed Articles since previous draft DCO Hearing.

4. Schedule 1, the Authorised Development
   4.1. Works No. 8 and further associated development (g) relating to habitat area creation and management.  
   4.2. Powers sought for maintenance of the existing sea defences.  
   4.3. Powers sought for the dedication of a permissive path.  
   4.5. The identification and definition of ‘significant effects’ and the adequacy of the Mitigation Schedule for ensuring that all necessary measures will be readily auditable at the discharge of Requirements.  
   4.6. Sufficiency of the Outline Design Principles and Mitigation Schedule documents for certification to ensure that the Works authorised through any DCO do not exceed the worst-case scenario assessed in the Environmental Statement.  
   4.7. Are all of the necessary parameters of the Proposed Development that require a ‘Rochdale envelope’ for the purposes of the EIA included as such in the Outline Design Principles and thus assured in the draft DCO?

5. Schedule 2, Requirements
   5.1. Applicant to briefly introduce each of the updates to the draft Requirements since the previous draft DCO Hearing and their purpose.
6. **Schedule 7, Protective provisions**

   6.1. NGET plc.
   6.2. Blue Transmission / London Array Limited.

7. **Schedule 9, Appeal and Arbitration rules**

8. **Any other issues relating to the draft DCO**

   8.1. Outstanding issues relating to flexibility between battery energy storage and extended solar array, and the approach taken to the EIA.
   8.2. MMO request about contact details.

9. **Close of Hearing**