

Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm

Annex D to Appendix 6 of Deadline 7 Submission – Offshore Project Description Assessed in the Environmental Statement

Relevant Examination Deadline: 7

Submitted by Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd

Date: June 2019

Revision D

| Drafted By: | GoBe Consultants Ltd |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Approved By: | Daniel Bates |
| Date of Approval: | June 2019 |
| Revision: | D |

| Revision A | Original Document submitted to the Examining Authority | |
|------------|--|--|
| Revision B | Revised document submitted to the Examining Authority following consultation | |
| Revision C | Revised document submitted to the Examining Authority following consultation | |
| Revision D | Revised document submitted to the Examining Authority following consultation | |
| Revision D | Unamended document submitted to the Examining Authority to Deadline 6 | |
| Revision D | Unamended document submitted to the Examining Authority to Deadline 7 | |

Copyright © 2019 Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd

All pre-existing rights retained



Contents

| 1 | Maximum Design Parameters4 |
|---|----------------------------|
|---|----------------------------|

Tables

| 1 4 5 1 5 5 | |
|--|-----|
| Table 1: Maximum design parameters assessed within the Thanet Extension Environment | al |
| Statement | 4 |
| Table 2: Maximum Disposal Volumes (sand wave and seabed preparation of suction caisso | on |
| foundations) for Thanet Extension | .24 |
| Table 3: Maximum Disposal Volumes (sand wave and drill arisings for monopile foundatio | ns) |
| for Thanet Extension | .24 |
| Table 4: Summary of the total maximum disposal volumes (sandwave and seabed | |
| preparation or drill arisings) in the proposed disposal sites (as presented in Appendix 27 o | f |
| the Applicant's Deadline 5 Submission) | .25 |
| Table 5: Maximum scour protection area for Thanet Extension | .25 |
| Table 6: Maximum scour protection volume for Thanet Extension | .26 |
| Table 7: Maximum cable protection area for Thanet Extension | .26 |
| Table 8: Maximum cable protection volume for Thanet Extension | .26 |
| Table 9: Maximum drill arising volume for Thanet Extension | .27 |
| Table 10: Maximum disturbance sediment volume for installation of cabling for Thanet | |
| Extension (excluding pre-sweeping/ sand wave clearance) | .27 |
| Table 11: Maximum disposal volumes from cable related sand wave clearance | .28 |
| Table 12: Maximum infrastructure footprint for Thanet Extension Construction activities | .28 |
| Table 13: Maximum disturbance area for Thanet Extension O&M activities | .28 |
| Table 14: Maximum disturbance volume for Thanet Extension O&M activities | .30 |
| | |



1 Maximum Design Parameters

- Volume 2, Chapter 1: Project Description (Offshore) (PINS Ref APP-042/ Application Ref 6.2.1) presented the proposed design envelope for the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm (Thanet Extension). This clarification note should read in conjunction with PINS Ref APP-042/ Application Ref 6.2.1; and seeks to provide the maximum design envelope for the proposed Thanet Extension.
- This document should be read in conjunction with the "Project Description Transcription into the Environmental Statement" clarification note (PINS Ref REP-003), in particular for the areas highlighted in the footnotes of this document.
- Table 1 presents the maximum design parameters presented within the chapter and have been assessed by the Applicant within the Environmental Statement (ES). Table 1 also provides any assumptions applied within the ES such as design parameters of met mast foundations.
- Table 1 presents design parameters from landfall Options 1 and 3 only following the removal of Option 2 from the project design envelope.
- For ease of reference the calculated maximum total values assessed within the ES of their constituent parameters are presented in Table 2 to Table 14.

Table 1: Maximum design parameters assessed within the Thanet Extension Environmental Statement

| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Table 1.1 | Development located in the North Sea approximately | 8km |
| Paragraph 1.3.2 | Electrical output capacity | Up to 340 MW |
| Paragraph 1.1.1 | Wind Turbine Generators (WTGs) | Up to 34 Wind Turbine Generators |
| Paragraph 1.1.1 | Meteorological mast (met mast) fixed to the seabed | up to one Meteorological Mast |
| Paragraph 1.1.1 | Floating Lidar Device (FLD) and wave buoys fixed to the seabed | Up to one LIDAR device and up to one wave buoy |
| Paragraph 1.1.1 | Offshore substation fixed to the seabed | Up to one Offshore Substation |
| Paragraph 1.1.1 | Offshore subsea export cables and fibre optic cables | Up to four offshore export cables |



| Project | | Maximum |
|---|--|---|
| Description | Parameter description | parameters |
| Chapter Ref | 7. 1. 55. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. | |
| Table 1.1 | Total offshore site area (Order Limits) (km²) | 68.8 |
| N/A | Total array area (Order Limits – Structure Exclusion Zone) | 59.5 |
| N/A | Total area of Structure Exclusion Zone (km²) | 9.3 |
| Table 1.1 | Total export cable site area (Order Limits) (km²) | 32.2 |
| N/A | Total Cable Exclusion Area (CEA) area (km²) | 1.6 |
| N/A | Total OECC area (km²) (Order Limits – CEA) | 30.6 |
| Table 1.1 | Maximum WTG Size | 12 MW+ |
| Paragraph 1.4.15 | Minimum WTG spacing | 716 m x 480 m ⁱ |
| Provided in PINS Ref REP4- 019 ⁱⁱ . | Disposal | The disposal of inert material of natural origin. |
| Maximum desi | gn envelope for WTGs | |
| Table 1.2 | Minimum height of lowest blade tip above MHWS (m) | 22 |
| Table 1.2 | Maximum blade tip height above HAT (m) | 250 |
| Table 1.2 | Maximum rotor blade diameter (m) | 220 |
| Provided in PINS Ref APP- 053/ Application Ref 6.2.12 | Maximum hub height above HAT (m) | 140 |
| Indicative maxi | mum requirements for these oils and fluids for a s | ingle WTG |
| Table 1.3 | Grease (I) | 2000 |
| Table 1.3 | Synthetic oil/ hydraulic oil (I) | 2000 |
| Table 1.3 | Nitrogen (I) | 200 |
| Table 1.3 | Transformer silicone oil (kg) | 2000 |
| Table 1.3 | Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6) (kg) | 100 |
| Table 1.3 | Water/ glycerol (I) | 2000 |
| Maximum design envelope for WTG monopile foundations | | |
| Table 1.4 | Diameter of monopile (top) (m) | 7.5 |

ⁱ See the project description transcription clarification note (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.



ⁱⁱ Which supersedes PINS Ref APP-148/ Application Ref 8.14.

| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Table 1.4 | Diameter of monopile (bottom) (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.4 | Diameter of transition piece (top diameter at TP-tower interface) (m) | 7.5 |
| Table 1.4 | Diameter of transition piece (bottom diameter at MP-TP interface) (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.4 | Embedment depth (below seabed) (m) | 75 |
| Table 1.4 | Drill diameter (m) | 7.5 |
| Table 1.4 | Volume of drill arisings per pile (m³) | 1,325 |
| Table 1.4 | Locations requiring drilling (%) | 50 |
| Table 1.4 | Locations potentially installed by driven piling (%) | 100 |
| Table 1.4 | Total drill arisings for WTG monopiles (m³) | 19,627 ⁱⁱⁱ |
| Table 1.4 | Grout volume per foundation (m³) | 120 |
| Table 1.4 | Hammer energy (kJ) | 5,000 |
| Table 1.4 | Number of blows per foundation | 8,000 |
| Table 1.4 | Piling time per foundation (assuming issues such as low blow rate, refusal etc.) (hours) | 6 |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for WTG quadropod jacket foundatio | ns |
| Table 1.5 | Number of legs per foundation | 4 |
| Table 1.5 | Separation of adjacent legs at seabed level (m) | 40 |
| Table 1.5 | Separation of adjacent legs at Mean Sea Level (MSL) (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.5 | Height of main access platform above HAT (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.5 | Leg diameter (m) | 3.5 |
| Table 1.5 | Embedment depth (below seabed) (m) | 70 |
| Table 1.5 | Volume of drill arisings per foundation (four pin-piles) (m³) | 1,400 |
| Table 1.5 | Locations requiring drilling (%) | 50 |
| Table 1.5 | Locations potentially installed by driven piling (%) | 100 |
| Table 1.5 | Total drill arisings (m³) | 17,802 |
| Table 1.5 | Grout volume per foundation (piles) (m³) | 60 |
| Table 1.5 | Grout volume per foundation (screw piles) (m ³) | 85 |

iii See the project description transcription clarification note (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.



| Project | | Maximum |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|
| Description | Parameter description | parameters |
| Chapter Ref | 4.0 | |
| Table 1.5 | Hammer energy (kJ) | 2,700 |
| Table 1.5 | Piling time per foundation (four pinpiles) (assuming issues such as low blow rate, refusal etc.) (hours) | 10 |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for suction caisson jacket WTG found | ations |
| Table 1.6 | Number of legs | 4 |
| Table 1.6 | Separation of adjacent legs at seabed level (m) | 40 |
| Table 1.6 | Separation of adjacent legs at Mean Sea Level (MSL) (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.6 | Height of platform above HAT (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.6 | Leg diameter (m) | 3.5 |
| Table 1.6 | Suction buckets per foundation | 4 |
| Table 1.6 | Suction bucket diameter (m) | 20 |
| N/A | Suction bucket footprint (m²) | 1,256.6 |
| Table 1.6 | Bucket penetration depth (below seabed) (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.6 | Grout volume per foundation (m³) | 105 |
| Table 1.6 | Depth of seabed preparation (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.6 | Area of seabed preparation per foundation (m ²) | 3,200 |
| Table 1.6 | Volume per foundation for seabed preparation work (m³) | 9,600 |
| Table 1.6 | Volume for seabed preparation works (for WTG foundations only) (m³) | 268,800 ^{iv} |
| _ | gn envelope for scour protection (based on suction | - |
| | nich represent the greatest scour protection requires | |
| Table 1.7 | Median rock diameter (mm) | 200 |
| Table 1.7 | Scour protection depth (rock) (m) | 5 |
| Table 1.7 | Total scour protection area (WTG foundations only) (m ²) | 219,912 |
| Table 1.7 | Scour protection diameter | 5 x pile diameter |
| Table 1.7 | Scour protection volume per foundation (m³) | 39,269.90° |
| Table 1.7 | Scour protection total volume (WTG foundations only) (m³) | 1,112,647.40 |

^{iv} See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.

 $^{^{\}rm v}$ See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Paragraphs 1.4.52 to 1.4.55 | Scour protection types | Rock placement, rock armour, frond mat systems |
| Maximum desi | gn envelope for the inter-array cables | |
| Table 1.8 | System voltage (kV) | 66 |
| Table 1.8 | External cable diameter (mm) | 300 |
| Table 1.8 | Total length of inter-array cables (km) | 64 |
| Table 1.8 | Maximum burial depth (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.8 | Minimum burial depth (m) | 0 |
| Table 1.8 | Trench width (m) | 1 |
| Paragraph 1.4.60 | Pre-lay grapnel runs | Pre-Lay Grapnel Runs (PLGR) will be conducted to remove seabed surface debris along a 1 – 2 m wide area. The grapnel typically penetrates the seabed to 0.5 m depth and is selected and configured in accordance with the seabed conditions. |
| Maximum desi | gn envelope for inter-array cable installation | |
| Table 1.9 | Burial technique | Jetting/ Ploughing/ Trenching/ Cutting/ Mass Flow Excavation/ Pre- sweeping (dredging) |
| Table 1.9 | Length of inter-array cables (km) | 64 |
| Table 1.9 | Maximum burial depth (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.9 | Minimum burial depth (m) | 0 |
| Table 1.9 | Percentage cable requiring additional protection (%) | 25 |
| Table 1.9 | Length of cable requiring additional protection (m) | 16,000 |
| Table 1.9 | Indicative trench width (m) | 1 |
| Table 1.9 | Width of disturbance from jetting (m) | 5 |
| Table 1.9 | Area of disturbance from jetting (km²) | 0.3 |



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Table 1.9 | Width of disturbance from ploughing (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.9 | Area of disturbance from ploughing (km²)vi | 0.064 |
| Table 1.9 | Width of rock berm protection (m) | 5 |
| Table 1.9 | Area of cable protection excluding crossings (m ²) | 80,000 |
| Table 1.9 | Height of rock berm protection (m) | 0.5 |
| Table 1.9 | Volume of surface protection per km (based on a 0.5 x 5, trapezoid) (m³ km-1) | 1,250 |
| Table 1.9 | Length of exposed cable approaching WTG foundation requiring rock dumping/remedial protection (m) | 50 |
| Table 1.9 | Total area of WTG foundations requiring rock dumping/remedial protection (m ²) (34 WTG and one OSS foundation) | 17,500 ^{vii} |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for inter-array cable crossing protecti | on |
| Table 1.10 | Crossing technique | Rock dumping/ concrete mattresses/ steel bridging/ concrete bridging |
| Table 1.10 | Number of cable crossings | 12 |
| Table 1.10 | Length of crossings (m) | 100 |
| Table 1.10 | Width of crossings (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.10 | Volume of post-lay rock berm protection per cable crossing (m ³) | 500 |
| Table 1.10 | Number of concrete mattresses (6 x 3 x 0.3 m) per crossing | 24 |
| Table 1.10 | Area of post-lay rock berm protection per cable crossing (m ²) | 1,000 |
| Table 1.10 | Total area of rock berm protection for crossings (m ²) | 12,000 |
| Table 1.10 | Total volume of rock berm protection for crossings (m³) | 6,000 |
| Maximum design envelope for the OSS | | |
| Table 1.11 | Topside weight (tonnes) | 2,500 |
| Table 1.11 | Topside length (m) | 70 |

 $^{^{}vi}$ This was presented in the project description chapter as 0.06 km² as a rounding error. Please see Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) .

 $^{^{}vii}$ See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Table 1.11 | Topside width (m) | 50 |
| Table 1.11 | Topside height (excluding crane and helideck) (m) | 30 |
| Table 1.11 | Topside height above HAT (excluding crane and helideck) (m) | 55 |
| Table 1.11 | Topside height above HAT (including crane) (m) | 80 |
| Table 1.11 | Annual O&M time (weeks) | 2 |
| Table 1.11 | Diesel fuel (I) | 200,000 |
| Table 1.11 | Gray water (m³) | 1,000 |
| Table 1.11 | Black water (m³) | 1,000 |
| Table 1.11 | Transformer coolant oil (kg) | 600,000 |
| Table 1.11 | UPS Batteries (I) | 10 |
| Table 1.11 | Fire suppression systems (I) | 20,000 |
| Table 1.11 | Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6) (kg) | 1,500 |
| Table 1.11 | Engine oil (m³) | 5 |
| Table 1.11 | HVAC coolant (glycol) (m³) | 5 |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for the installation of the OSS using d | riven monopiles |
| Table 1.12 | Pile diameter (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.12 | Pile penetration depth (m) | 50 |
| Table 1.12 | Hammer energy (kJ) | 5,000 |
| Table 1.12 | Piling time per foundation (hr) | 6 |
| Table 1.12 | Foundations by driven piling (%) | 100 |
| Table 1.12 | Foundations installed by drilling (%) | 50 |
| Table 1.12 | Drill diameter (m) | 6 |
| Table 1.12 | Volume of risings per pile (m³) | 1,000 ^{viii} |
| Table 1.12 | Grout volume per foundation (m³) | 160 |
| Table 1.12 | Scour protection options ix | Rock placement, rock armour, frond mat systems |
| Table 1.12 | Scour protection depth (m) | 5 |

viii See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.

^{ix} In project description chapter states to be the same as WTG foundations. It has been repeated in this table for clarity.



| Project | | |
|--|--|--|
| Description | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
| Chapter Ref | | parameters |
| Table 1.12 | Scour protection area (excluding structure footprint (m²) | 1,964 ^x |
| Table 1.12 | Topside indicative installation time excluding cable installation (from arrival on site) (weeks) | 1 |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for the installation of the OSS using d | lriven tripod jacket |
| Table 1.12 | Pile diameter (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.12 | Pile penetration depth (m) | 70 |
| Table 1.12 | Width of jacket at seabed (m) | 36 |
| Table 1.12 | Width of jacket at MSL (m) | 28 |
| Table 1.12 | Jacket leg spacing (m) | 34 |
| Table 1.12 | Hammer energy (kJ) | 2,700 |
| Table 1.12 | Piling time per foundation (hr) | 6 |
| Table 1.12 | Foundations by driven piling (%) | 100 |
| Table 1.12 | Foundations installed by drilling (%) | 100 |
| Table 1.12 | Drill diameter (m) | 4 |
| Table 1.12 | Volume of risings per pile (m³) | 200 |
| Table 1.12 | Volume of risings per OSS foundation (m³) | 450 |
| Table 1.12 | Grout volume per foundation (m³) | 100 |
| Table 1.12 | Scour protection options xi | Rock placement, rock armour, frond mat systems |
| Table 1.12 | Scour protection depth (m) | 5 |
| Table 1.12 | Scour protection area (excluding structure footprint (m²) | 2,025 |
| Table 1.12 | Topside indicative installation time excluding cable installation (from arrival on site) (weeks) | 1 |
| Maximum design envelope for the installation of the OSS using a suction caisson jacket | | |
| Table 1.13 | Suction bucket foundation leg diameter above sea surface (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.13 | Suction bucket diameter (m) (Note: for tripod foundation) | 20 |
| N/A | Suction bucket footprint (m²) | 942.5 |

^x See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.

 $^{^{}xi}$ In project description chapter states to be the same as WTG foundations. It has been repeated in this table for clarity.



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|---|--|---|
| Table 1.13 | Bucket penetration depth (m) | 15 |
| Table 1.13 | Grout volume per foundation (m³) | 200 |
| Table 1.13 | Total grout volume for OSS (m³) | 800 |
| Table 1.13 | Scour protection options xi | Rock placement, rock armour, frond mat systems |
| Table 1.13 | Scour protection depth (rock) (m) | 5 |
| Table 1.13 | Scour protection area (including structure footprint (m²) | 7,854 |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for the offshore Meteorological Mas | t (Met Mast) |
| Table 1.14 | Maximum elevation (mHAT) | 140 (Maximum hub height of WTGs) |
| Table 1.14 | Met Mast spacing | The Met Mast follows the minimum spacing of the 716 m x 480 m. |
| Table 1.14 | Hazardous materials (litres) | 0 |
| Table 1.14 | Indicative number of yearly O&M visits | 15 |
| Table 1.14 | Indicative instruments | Anemometers and wind vanes at a minimum of three measurement heights. |
| Additional assu | mptions applied within the ES for the Met Mast - | - Monopile foundation |
| | Diameter of monopile (bottom) (m) | Max 10 |
| | Volume of drill arisings per pile (m³) | 1,325 ^{xii} |
| Assumptions taken from | Locations requiring drilling (%) | 100 |
| monopile WTG | Locations potentially installed by driven piling (%) | 100 |
| foundations – | Hammer energy (kJ) | 5,000 |
| Table 1.6 | Number of blows per foundation | 8,000 |
| | Piling time per foundation (assuming issues such as low blow rate, refusal etc.) (hours) | 6 |
| Additional assumptions applied within the ES for the Met Mast –Suction Caisson foundation | | |
| Assumptions | Number of legs | 4 |
| taken from | Suction bucket diameter (m) | 20 |

 $^{^{\}text{xii}}$ See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.



| Project | | Maximum |
|--|--|--|
| Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | parameters |
| suction | Depth of seabed preparation (m) | 3 |
| caisson WTG | Area of seabed preparation per foundation (m ²) | 3,200 |
| foundations – Table 1.6 | Volume per foundation for seabed preparation work (m ³) | 9,600 |
| | Scour protection depth (rock) (m) | 5 |
| Assumptions | Scour protection diameter | 5 x pile diameter |
| taken from suction | Scour protection area ^{xiii} | 7,854 |
| caisson WTG | Scour protection volume per foundation (m³) | 39,269.90 |
| foundations – Table 1.7 | Scour protection types | Rock placement, rock armour, frond mat systems |
| Additional assu | mptions applied within the ES for the Met Mast – | Jacket foundation |
| | Number of legs per foundation | 4 |
| | Separation of adjacent legs at seabed level (m) | 40 |
| | Leg diameter (m) | 3.5 |
| | Embedment depth (below seabed) (m) | 70 |
| Assumptions | Volume of drill arisings per foundation (four pin-piles) (m³) | 1,400 |
| taken from | Locations requiring drilling (%) | 100 |
| jacket WTG foundations – | Locations potentially installed by driven piling (%) | 100 |
| Table 1.5 | Total drill arisings (m³) | 1,400 |
| | Grout volume per foundation (piles) (m³) | 60 |
| | Grout volume per foundation (screw piles) (m ³) | 85 |
| | Hammer energy (kJ) | 2,700 |
| | Piling time per foundation (four pinpiles) (assuming issues such as low blow rate, refusal etc.) (hours) | 10 |
| Maximum design envelope for offshore export cables | | |
| Table 1.15 | Cable specification | 3-core XLPE (Cross- linked Polyethylene) or similar. |
| Table 1.15 | Cable voltage (kV) | 220 kV |
| Table 1.15 | Indicative external cable diameter (mm) | 300 |

xiii Not presented within the project description but used within assessments. See Table 3 for further clarification.



| Project | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Description | Parameter description | Maximum |
| Chapter Ref | Turumeter description | parameters |
| Table 1.15 | Length of cables (km) | 30 per cable |
| Table 1.15 | Total length of cables (km) | 120 |
| Table 1.15 | Indicative expected duration of installation activities (days) | 30 days per cable |
| Table 1.15 | Indicative spacing between cables if unbundled (m) | 50 m within pair; 120 m between pairs |
| Table 1.15 | Spacing between adjacent cables if multiple cables (m) | 250 |
| Table 1.15 | Trench width per cable (jetting) (m) | 10 |
| Maximum desi | gn envelope for offshore export cable installation | |
| Table 1.16 | Maximum Burial depth (m) | 3 below mean seabed depth |
| Table 1.16 | Minimum Burial depth (m) | 0 |
| Table 1.16 | Indicative trench width from jetting (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.16 | Width of disturbance from jetting (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.16 | Total area of disturbance from jetting (km²) | 1.2 (0.3 km ² per cable) |
| Table 1.16 | Width of disturbance from ploughing (m) | 12 |
| Table 1.16 | Area of disturbance from ploughing (km²) | 1.4 |
| Table 1.16 | Pre-sweeping (sand wave clearance) length (dredging) (km) ^{xiv} | 24 (6 km per cable) |
| Table 1.16 | Pre-sweeping (sand wave clearance) width of dredging corridor (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.16 | Pre-sweeping (sand wave clearance) area of dredging corridor (km²) | 0.48 (24 km x 20 m) |
| Table 1.16 | Pre-sweeping (sand wave clearance) volume of dredging corridor (m³) | 1,440,000 |
| Table 1.16 | Pre-lay grapnel run width (m) | 20 |
| Table 1.16 | Pre-lay grapnel run area (km²) | 2.4 |
| Table 1.16 | Width of cable protection per cable (m) | 7 |
| Table 1.16 | Percentage of each cable requiring protection (%) | 25 |
| Table 1.16 | Length of cable protection (m) | 7,500 |
| Table 1.16 | Area of cable protection per export cable (m²) | 52,500 |
| Table 1.16 | Total area of cable protection (excluding cable crossings) (m ²) | 210,000 |

xiv See Table 3 for further details of the proposed disposal locations of the material generated from sand wave clearance.



| Project | | |
|--|---|-------------|
| Description | Parameter description | Maximum |
| Chapter Ref | | parameters |
| Maximum desig | gn envelope for cable crossings for the offshore ex | port cables |
| Table 1.17 | Number of crossing | 20 |
| Table 1.17 | Total number of crossings Assuming a four- cable scenario | 80 |
| Table 1.17 | Length of crossings (m) | 100 |
| Table 1.17 | Width of crossings (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.17 | Post-lay berm height (m) | 0.5 |
| Table 1.17 | Volume of post-lay rock berm protection per crossing (m³) | 500 |
| Table 1.17 | Number of concrete mattresses (6.0 x 3.0 x 0.3 m) per crossing | 50 |
| Table 1.17 | Area of post-lay rock berm protection per cable crossing (m ²) | 1000 |
| Not presented in the project description as a total but calculated from the parameters outlined above from Table 1.17. | Total area of protection from export cable crossings (m ²) | 80,000 |
| Maximum design | gn envelope for open trenching within the intertid | al area |
| Table 1.18 | Open trench length per cable circuit (km) | 2 |
| Table 1.18 | Open trench depth (m) | 3 |
| Paragraph 1.4.95 and Figure 1.16 | Trench separation and associated temporary route tracks (m) | 5 |
| Table 1.18 | Width of cable route (based on 4 cable circuits, temporary route tracks and sediment storage) (m) | 40 |
| Table 1.18 | Area of disturbance (m ²) for four cable circuits | 80,000 |
| Maximum design envelope for HDD landfall option (Option 1) | | |
| Table 1.19 | Temporary works compound area (m) | 60 x 50 |
| Table 1.19 | Onshore cofferdam area (m²) | 704 |
| Table 1.19 | Excavated material from landfall/ TJBs (HDD) (m³) | 1,408 |



| Project | | |
|---|---|---|
| Description | Parameter description | Maximum |
| Chapter Ref | | parameters |
| Table 1.19 | Offshore cofferdam area (m²) | 1,600 (20 m x 20 m per cable with a maximum of 4 cables) |
| Table 1.19 | Minimum punch out distance from sea wall (m) | 100 |
| Table 1.19 | Volume of drilling mud volume to be released to environment (m³) | (All drilling mud to be captured within cofferdam or other structure) |
| Table 1.19 | Works duration (months) | 18 |
| Maximum coffe | erdam and trenched cable installation design para | meters (Option 3) |
| Table 1.20 | Width of cofferdam (m) | 165 |
| Table 1.20 | Depth of cofferdam (m) | 25 |
| Table 1.20 | Temporary works compound area (m) | 40 x 30 |
| Table 1.20 | Construction space required in saltmarsh (m²) | 3,872 ^{xv} |
| Table 1.20 | Piling Noise level (dBA) | 132 |
| Table 1.20 | Duration of piling (days) | 33 |
| Paragraph 1.4.109 | Maximum distance of TJBs from the existing sea wall (m) | 350 |
| UXO assumption | ons | |
| Table 1.21 | Number of UXO | 30 |
| Table 1.21 | Clearance/ Removal date (dependent on final construction programme) | 2020 |
| Table 1.21 | Days to clear (based on 4 per day) | 8 |
| Table 1.21 | Detonations per 24 hr period | 8 |
| Table 1.21 | Minimum charge weight anticipated (kg) | 0.5 |
| Table 1.21 | Maximum charge weight anticipated (kg) | 130 |
| Maximum construction vessel quantities on-site at the same time | | |
| Table 1.22 | Seabed preparation vessels | 3 |
| Table 1.22 | Foundation spreads per project | 1 |
| Table 1.22 | Number of vessels per foundation spread (includes tugs and feeders) | 5 |
| Table 1.22 | Transition piece installation vessels | 2 |
| Table 1.22 | Scour Installation Vessels | 6 |
| | | |

 $^{^{\}rm xv}$ See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application.



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Table 1.22 | Number of vessels engaged in foundations | 5 | |
| Table 1.22 | Wind turbine installation spreads | 3 | |
| Table 1.22 | Max vessels per WTG installation spread | 3 | |
| Table 1.22 | Total WTG installation vessels | 6 | |
| Table 1.22 | Commissioning vessels | 7 | |
| Table 1.22 | Accommodation vessels | 1 | |
| Table 1.22 | Total IA cable vessels | 4 | |
| Table 1.22 | Number of Export Cable spreads per Project | 3 | |
| Table 1.22 | Number of vessels per Export Cable spread | 2 | |
| Table 1.22 | Total export cable vessels | 6 | |
| Table 1.22 | Landfall cable installation vessels | 2 | |
| Table 1.22 | Substation/ collector IV | 3 | |
| Table 1.22 | Other vessels | 3 | |
| Table 1.22 | Total | 48 | |
| Construction pe | Construction period I&O Vessels Round Trips to Port for Project over 3 years | | |
| Table 1.23 | Seabed Preparation Vessel | 15 | |
| Table 1.23 | Foundation Installation Spread | 60 | |
| Table 1.23 | Transition Piece Installation | 30 | |
| Table 1.23 | Scour Vessel | 30 | |
| Table 1.23 | WTG Installation Spread | 23 | |
| Table 1.23 | Commissioning Vessels | 480 | |
| Table 1.23 | IA Cable Vessels | 60 | |
| Table 1.23 | Export Cable Vessels | 300 | |
| Table 1.23 | Landfall Cable Installation Vessels | 30 | |
| Table 1.23 | Substation Installation Vessels | 12 | |
| Table 1.23 | Other Vessels | 120 | |
| Table 1.23 | Total | 1,160 | |
| Construction period I&O Vessels Round Trips to Port for Project over 3 years | | | |
| Table 1.24 | Foundation Delivery | 30 | |
| Table 1.24 | Turbine Delivery | 15 | |
| Table 1.24 | Cable Delivery | 30 | |



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Table 1.24 | Scour Delivery | 30 |
| Table 1.24 | Substation Delivery | 3 |
| Table 1.24 | Total | 108 |
| Jack-up Vessels | | |
| Construction | | |
| Table 1.25 | Individual leg diameter (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.25 | Individual leg footprint area (m²) | 78.54 |
| Table 1.25 | Number of legs | 6 |
| Table 1.25 | Combined leg area (m²) | 471.24 |
| Table 1.25 | Leg penetration range | 15 |
| Table 1.25 | Jacking Operations per | 2 |
| Table 1.25 | Turbine sites | 34 |
| Table 1.25 | Total JUV visits | 68 |
| O&M | | |
| Table 1.25 | Individual leg diameter (m) | 6 |
| Table 1.25 | Individual leg footprint area (m²) | 28.27 |
| Table 1.25 | Number of legs | 6 ^{xvi} |
| Table 1.25 | Combined leg area (m²) | 169.65 |
| Table 1.25 | Leg penetration range | 15 |
| Table 1.25 | Jacking Operations per Turbine | 10 |
| Table 1.25 | Turbine sites | 34 |
| Table 1.25 | Total JUV visits | 340 |
| Decommissioni | ng | |
| Table 1.25 | Individual leg diameter (m) | 6 |
| Table 1.25 | Individual leg footprint area (m²) | 28.27 |
| Table 1.25 | Number of legs | 4 |
| Table 1.25 | Combined leg area (m²) | 113.1 |
| Table 1.25 | Leg penetration range | 15 |
| Table 1.25 | Jacking Operations per Turbine | 1 |

^{xvi} The values were incorrectly presented within the project description chapter for Table 1.25. The values presented in Table 1.34 were however presented correctly. Please see Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003).



| Project | | |
|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| Description | Parameter description | Maximum |
| Chapter Ref | | parameters |
| Table 1.25 | Turbine sites | 34 |
| Table 1.25 | Total JUV visits | 34 |
| Anchor footprin | nts for construction of Thanet Extension | |
| Installation of f | oundations | |
| Table 1.26 | Number of anchors for assumed construction vessel | 6 |
| Table 1.26 | Individual anchor footprint area for one deployment and recovery (m²) | 25 |
| Table 1.26 | Indicative anchor penetration depth (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted anchor area for one deployment (m ²) | 150 |
| Table 1.26 | Assumed number of anchoring operations per installation | 1 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted area (m²) | 150 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted volume (m³) | 450 |
| Installation of t | opside (WTG and tower) | |
| Table 1.26 | Number of anchors for assumed construction vessel | 4 |
| Assumptions | Individual anchor footprint area for one deployment and recovery (m²) | 25 |
| applied in the | Indicative anchor penetration depth (m) | 3 |
| ES based upon | Impacted anchor area for one deployment (m ²) | 150 |
| the installation of foundations in | Assumed number of anchoring operations per installation | 1 |
| Table 1.26. | Total impacted area (m²) | 150 |
| | Total impacted volume (m³) | 450 |
| Installation of t | opside (OSS) | |
| Table 1.26 | Number of anchors for assumed construction vessel | 6 |
| Table 1.26 | Individual anchor footprint area for one deployment and recovery (m²) | 25 |
| Table 1.26 | Indicative anchor penetration depth (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted anchor area for one deployment (m²) | 150 |
| Table 1.26 | Assumed number of anchoring operations per installation | 1 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted area (m²) | 150 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted volume (m³) | 450 |



| Project | | |
|-------------------|---|--------------------|
| Description | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
| Chapter Ref | | parameters |
| Installation of e | • | |
| Table 1.26 | Number of anchors for assumed construction vessel | 6 |
| Table 1.26 | Individual anchor footprint area for one deployment and recovery (m²) | 10 |
| Table 1.26 | Indicative anchor penetration depth (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted anchor area for one deployment (m ²) | 60 |
| Table 1.26 | Assumed number of anchoring operations per cable installation | 120 |
| Table 1.26 | Anchor deployments per asset crossing (per cable) | 4 |
| Table 1.26 | Total anchor deployments for asset crossings (per cable) | 20 |
| Table 1.26 | Anchor deployments per cable and foundation interface (per cable) | 4 |
| Table 1.26 | Total anchor deployments per cable installation | 144 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted area per cable (m²) | 8,640 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted volume per cable (m³) | 25,920 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted area (m²) | 34,560 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted volume per cable (m³) | 103,680 |
| Installation of a | array cables | |
| Table 1.26 | Number of anchors for assumed construction vessel | 6 |
| Table 1.26 | Individual anchor footprint area for one deployment and recovery (m²) | 10 |
| Table 1.26 | Indicative anchor penetration depth (m) | 3 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted anchor area for one deployment (m ²) | 60 |
| Table 1.26 | Assumed number of anchoring operations per installation | 15 |
| Table 1.26 | Number of installations | 34 |
| Table 1.26 | Total anchor deployments for inter-array installation | 510 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted area per cable (m²) | 900 |
| Table 1.26 | Impacted volume per cable (m³) | 2,700 |
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted area (m²)xvii | 30,600 |

xvii Discrepancies in this value within the ES are accounted for in Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003).



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters |
|--|--|--|
| Table 1.26 | Total impacted volume (m³) | 91,800 |
| Permanent ves | sel moorings ^{xviii} | • |
| Table 1.27 | Number of installations in total | 2 |
| Table 1.27 | Possible foundation types | Concrete Gravity Base or Standard Ground Tackle |
| Table 1.27 | Surface structure | A floating mooring buoy up to 3 m in diameter, and 3 m above sea level. |
| Table 1.27 | Marking & Lighting | Marked and lit as required (assume high-viz yellow colouration, radar reflector, navigation light). AIS beacon may be considered judged valuable (and acceptable to THLS). |
| Indicative cons | truction programme (assuming no breaks to work | :) |
| Table 1.28 | Foundation installation | 6 (includes 1 month weather downtime) |
| Table 1.28 | Cable installation (inter-array and export) | 6 (includes 1 month weather downtime) |
| Table 1.28 | OSS (if required) | 2.5 (includes 2 weeks for foundation installation and weather downtime) |
| Table 1.28 | Met Mast (if required) | 2.5 (includes 2 weeks for foundation installation and weather downtime) |
| Table 1.28 | WTG installation | 6 (includes 1 month weather downtime) |
| Table 1.28 | Scour protection installation | 1 (includes 2 weeks weather downtime) |
| Table 1.28 | Total duration | 28 |
| Maximum O&M vessel quantities per year | | |
| Table 1.29 | Small CTV O&M vessel | 2 |

xviii See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003).



| Project Description Chapter Ref | Parameter description | Maximum parameters | |
|---|--|--------------------|--|
| Table 1.29 | Large O&M Vessel | 1 | |
| Table 1.29 | Lift vessels | 1 | |
| Table 1.29 | Cable maintenance vessel | 1 | |
| Table 1.29 | Auxiliary vessels | 1 | |
| O&M Vessel Ro | ound Trips to Port per year, per vesselxix | | |
| Table 1.30 | Small CTV O&M vessel | 300 | |
| Table 1.30 | Large O&M Vessel | 2 | |
| Table 1.30 | Lift vessels | 1 | |
| Table 1.30 | Cable maintenance vessel | 1 | |
| Table 1.30 | Auxiliary vessels | 3 | |
| Table 1.30 | Accommodation O&M | 0 | |
| Table 1.30 | Total (including all vessels) | 307 | |
| O&M estimation | O&M estimations – inter-array cables replacement worst-case estimates** | | |
| Table 1.31 | Number of inter-array cable failure during lifetime of wind farm | 7 | |
| Table 1.31 | Length of replacement (longest inter-array cable) (m) | 2,000 | |
| Table 1.31 | Width of seabed being disrupted for replacement of inter-array cable (m) | 10 | |
| Table 1.31 | Overall impact area (cable and JUV) per repair (m²)xxi | 20,000 | |
| Table 1.31 | Total repair area (m²)xxii | 140,000 | |
| O&M estimations – inter-array cables repair worst-case estimates ** | | | |
| Table 1.32 | Cable re-burial - Reburial (total inter-array length) (m) | 64,000 | |
| Table 1.32 | Cable re-burial - Frequency (once every 5 years) | 6 | |
| Table 1.32 | Cable repair - Total width of disturbance (m) | 10 | |
| Table 1.32 | Cable repair - Total area (cable alone) (m²) | 640,000 | |

 $^{^{}xix}$ See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) with respect to O&M vessels quantities assessed within the ES.

xxii This value was incorrectly presented in the project description chapter as 980,000. Please see Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003).



 $^{^{}xx}$ See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) with respect to O&M cable activities assessed within the ES.

xxi This value was incorrectly presented in the project description chapter as 140,000. Please see Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003).

| Project Description | Parameter description | Maximum |
|---------------------|---|------------|
| Chapter Ref | rurameter description | parameters |
| Table 1.32 | Overall cumulative impact area (cable +JUV) per repair (m²) | 3,840,000 |
| Export cable re | pairs/ reburial worst-case estimates ** | |
| Table 1.33 | Cable inspection- One failure per cable per 5 years (total repairs in lifetime of project) | 24 |
| Table 1.33 | Cable inspection- Assumed repair length (through removal) (m) | 300 |
| Table 1.33 | Cable burial using surface protection- Total width of disturbance (same method as installation but decreased from 30 to 10 m) (m) | 10 |
| Table 1.33 | Cable re-burial Total area (cable alone) (m²) | 3,000 |
| Table 1.33 | Additional cable laying- Overall cumulative impact area (cable +JUV) per repair (m²) | 72,000 |
| WTGs O&M we | orst-case estimates | |
| Table 1.34 | Individual leg diameter (m) | 6 |
| Table 1.34 | Individual leg footprint area (m²) | 28.27 |
| Table 1.34 | Number of legs | 6 |
| Table 1.34 | Combined leg area (m²) | 169.65 |
| Table 1.34 | Leg penetration range | 15.00 |
| Table 1.34 | Jacking Operations per Turbine (1 visit every 3 years) | 10 |
| Table 1.34 | Turbine sites | 34 |
| Table 1.34 | Total operations | 340 |
| Table 1.34 | Total footprint during operational period (m²) | 57,680 |
| OSS O&M wor | st-case estimates | |
| Table 1.35 | Individual leg diameter (m) | 6 |
| Table 1.35 | Individual leg footprint area (m²) | 28.27 |
| Table 1.35 | Number of legs | 6 |
| Table 1.35 | Combined leg area (m²) | 169.65 |
| Table 1.35 | Leg penetration range | 15 |
| Table 1.35 | Jacking Operations (total) (1 visit every 2 years) | 12.5 |
| Table 1.35 | OSS sites | 1 |
| Table 1.35 | Total operations | 13 |
| Table 1.35 | Total footprint during operational period (m ²) | 2,121 |



Table 2: Maximum Disposal Volumes (sand wave and seabed preparation of suction caisson foundations) for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Volume (m³) |
|----------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Transmission | Table 1.16 and assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the OSS (Table 1.6). Table 1.16 presented the pre-sweeping assumptions for the cable corridors. | Pre-sweeping (sand wave clearance) and seabed preparation for OSS suction caisson foundation | 1,440,000 + 9,600 = 1,449,600 |
| Generation | Table 1.6 and assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the met mast (Table 1.6) | Seabed preparation for suction caisson foundations (28 WTGs and a met mast) | 29 x 9,600 = 278,400 |
| Total | | | 1,728,000 |

Table 3: Maximum Disposal Volumes (sand wave and drill arisings for monopile foundations xxiii) for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Volume (m³) |
|----------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Transmission | Tables 1.12 and 1.16 | Pre-sweeping (sand wave clearance) and drill arisings for OSS monopile foundation | 1,440,000 + 1,000= 1,441,000 |
| Generation | Table 1.4 and assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the met mast (Table 1.4) | Drill arisings for monopile foundations (28 WTGs and a met mast) | 19,627 + 1,155 = 20,782 |
| Total | | | 1,461,782 (1,440,000 + 21,782) |

xxiii See Table 9 for details of how the drill arising volumes have been derived.



Table 4: Summary of the total maximum disposal volumes (sandwave and seabed preparation or drill arisings) in the proposed disposal sites (as presented in Appendix 27 of the Applicant's Deadline 5 Submission)

| Disposal Site | Total volume to be disposed of in the site from cable sand wave clearance, suction caisson seabed preparation and monopile drill arisings (m ³) |
|--|---|
| | 594,240 (360,000 + 230,400) for seabed preparation/sandwave clearance |
| | relating to cable works, and suction caisson foundations respectively; or |
| 1 | 371,968.5 (360,000 +9,813.5+1,000+1,155) for sandwave clearance relating to cable works, monopile drilling, offshore substation and metmast drilling respectively |
| | 432,960 (360,000 + 76,800) for seabed preparation/sandwave clearance |
| | relating to cable works, and suction caisson foundations respectively; or |
| 2 | 371,968.5 (360,000 +9,813.5+1000+1,155) for sandwave clearance relating to cable works, monopile drilling, offshore substation and metmast drilling respectively |
| 3 | 720,000 (50% of the total) |
| 1,728,000 (1,440,000 + 288,000) in the case of dredging ^{xxiv} ; or | |
| Total (m³): | 1,461,782 (1,440,000 + 21,782) in the case of monopile drilling*xv. |

Table 5: Maximum scour protection area for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Area (m²) |
|----------------|---|--|------------------------------|
| Transmission | Table 1.13 | Scour protection for the OSS | 7,854 |
| Generation | Table 1.7 and assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the met mast (Table 1.7) | Scour protection for WTGs and the met mast | 219,912 + 7,854 = 227,766 |
| Total | | | 235,620 |

xxv See Table 3 for details of how the drill arising volumes have been derived.



xxiv See Table 2 for details of how the drill arising volumes have been derived.

Table 6: Maximum scour protection volume for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Volume (m³) |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Transmission | The assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the OSS (Table 1.7) | Scour protection for OSS | 39,269.9 |
| Generation | Table 1.7 and assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the met mast (Table 1.7) | Scour protection for the WTGs and the met mast | 1,112,647.4 + 39,269.9 = 1,151,917.3 |
| Total | | | 1,191,187.2 |

Table 7: Maximum cable protection area for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Area (m²) |
|------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| | Table 1.16 | Export Cable | 210,000 |
| Transmission | Table 1.17 | Export Cable crossings | 80 crossings x 1000 = 80,000 |
| 1101131111331011 | Not presented as a total in the project description | Total for Export Cable | 290,000 |
| | Table 1.9 | Inter-array cables | 80,000 |
| | Table 1.10 | Inter-array cables crossings | 12,000 |
| Generation | Table 1.9 | Total area of WTG foundations requiring rock dumping/ remedial protection (m ₂) | 17,500 – 500 =17,000 |
| | Not presented as a total in the project description | Total for inter-array cables | 109,000 |
| Total | | | 399,000 |

Table 8: Maximum cable protection volume for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Area (m³) |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| | Table 1.16 | Export Cable | 210,000 m ² x 0.5 m = 105,000 m ³ |
| Transmission | Table 1.17 | Export Cable crossings | 80 crossings x 500m ³ = 40,000 m ³ |



| | Not presented as a total in the project description | Total for Export Cable | 145,000 m ³ |
|------------|---|---|--|
| | Table 1.9 | Total area of WTG foundations requiring rock dumping/ remedial protection (m ₂) | 17,500 m ² x 0.5 m = 8,750 m ³ |
| Generation | Table 1.9 | Inter-array cables | 16 km x 1,250 m ³ km ⁻¹ = 20,000 m ³ |
| | Table 1.10 | Inter-array cables crossings | 6,000 |
| | Not presented as a total in the project description | Total for inter-array cables | 34,750 |
| Total | • | | 179,750 |

Table 9: Maximum drill arising volume for Thanet Extension

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Volume (m²) |
|----------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| Transmission | Table 1.12 | Maximum volume for to drill OSS | 1,000 |
| Generation | Table 1.4 and assumptions of WTGs foundations were applied for the met mast (Table 1.4) | Maximum volume to drill 50% of WTG foundations and one met mast (assuming 10MW) | 19,627 + 1,155 = 20,782 |
| Total | | | 21,782 ^{xxvi} |

Table 10: Maximum disturbance sediment volume for installation of cabling for Thanet Extension (excluding pre-sweeping/ sand wave clearance)

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Volume (m³) |
|----------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Transmission | Table 1.16 | Jetting of export cables (assuming a v-shaped trench and 50% of sediment is liquidised) | 10 m x 3 m x 120 km x 0.5 x 50% = 900,000 ^{xxvii} |

xxvii See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application. This value was not presented as total within the project description chapter.



xxvi See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application. This value was not presented as total within the project description chapter.

| Generation | Table 1.9 | Jetting of inter-array | 1 m x 3 m x 64 km x |
|------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | cables (assuming a v- | 0.5 x 50% = |
| | | shaped trench and 50% of | 48,000 ^{xxviii} |
| | | sediment is liquidised) | |
| Total | | | 948,000 |

Table 11: Maximum disposal volumes from cable related sand wave clearance

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Volume (m³) |
|----------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Transmission | Table 1.16 | Maximum disposal volumes from cable related sand wave clearance | 24 km x 20 m x 60 m ³ /m = 1,440,000 m ³ |
| Generation | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total | | | 1,440,000 m ³ |

Table 12: Maximum infrastructure footprint for Thanet Extension Construction activities

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Area (m²) |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Transmission | Based on parameters from Table 1.13 | One OSS (based on a tripod suction bucket diameter of 20 m) | 942.5 ^{xxix} |
| Generation | Based on parameters from Table 1.6 | 28 x 12 MW WTGs and one met mast with a diameter of 20 m (assuming the same parameters as WTGs) | 1,256.6 x 29 = 36,442.5 |
| Total | | | 37,385 ^{xxx} |

Table 13: Maximum disturbance area for Thanet Extension O&M activities

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Area (m²) |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Transmission | Table 1.33 | Export Cable O&M works | 72,000 |
| | Table 1.35 | JUVs for OSS | 2,121 |
| | N/A | Transmission Total | 74,121 |

xxviii See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application. This value was not presented as total within the project description chapter.

Based on the discrepancy between the footprint for the OSS, this has been assessed as 37,680 m² within the ES. Please see Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003).



xx/x This is based on a tripod OSS as within the project description chapter, however this has been assessed as 1,256 m² within the ES.

| Generation | Tables 1.31 & 1.32 | Inter-array cable O&M | 140,000 + |
|------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | replacement and reburial | 3,840,000 = |
| | | | 3,980,000 |
| | Table 1.25 | JUVs for WTGs | 169.65 x 340 = |
| | | | 57,680 |
| | N/A | Generation Total | 4,037,860 |
| Total | | | 4,111,801 ^{xxxi} |

xxxii See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application. This value was not presented as total within the project description chapter.



Table 14: Maximum disturbance volume for Thanet Extension O&M activities

| Infrastructure | Project Description Chapter Ref | Activity | Maximum Area(m²) |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Transmission | Table 1.33 | Export Cable O&M works | 72,000 m ² x 3m x |
| | | | 0.5 x 50% = 54,000 |
| | Tables 1.31 & 1.32 | Inter-array cable O&M | 3,980,000 m ² x 3m x |
| Generation | | replacement and reburial | 0.5 x 50% = |
| | | | 2,985,000 |
| Total | | | 3,039,000 ^{xxxii} |

xxxiii See Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 3 Submission (PINS Ref REP3-003) for how this has been assessed within the application. This value was not presented as total within the project description chapter.

