

# Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm

Appendix 23 to Deadline 3 Submission: Statement of Common Ground – Marine Management Organisation

Relevant Examination Deadline: 3

Submitted by Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd

Date: March 2019

Revision B



Date	Issue No.	Remarks / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked	Approved
27/11/2018	01	Draft for issue to the MMO	GoBe	GoBe	VWPL
28/12/2018	03	Draft returned from the MMO	ММО	ММО	VWPL
11/01/2019	04	Revised draft re-issued from the MMO	ММО	ММО	VWPL
15/01/19	А	Original document submitted to the ExA	GoBe	GoBe	VWPL
11/02/19	05	Revised draft for issued to the MMO	GoBe	GoBe	VWPL
18/02/19	06	Revised draft received from the MMO	ММО	ММО	VWPL
04/03/2019	07	Revised draft agreed between both parties	GoBe	GoBe	VWPL
05/03/19	В	Revised document submitted to the ExA	GoBe	GoBe	VWPL



# **Table of Contents**

1	Inti	roduction	5
	1.1	Overview	5
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	5
	1.3	The Development	6
2	MN	ло's Remit	7
3	Cor	nsultation	8
	3.1	Application elements under the MMO's remit	8
	3.2	Consultation Summary	9
	3.3	Post-application Consultation	10
4	Agr	reements Log	11
	4.1	DCO and draft dML	11
	4.2	Project Description (Offshore)	15
	4.3	Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes	19
	4.4	Marine Water and Sediment Quality	26
	4.5	Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology	34
	4.6	Fish and Shellfish Ecology	39
	4.7	Marine Mammals	44
	4.8	Offshore Designated Sites	49
	4.9	Commercial Fisheries	52
	4.10	Shipping and Navigation	56
	4.11	Offshore Heritage	60
	4.12	Offshore Ornithology	63
5	Ma	tters under Discussion	66



Table 1: Consultation undertaken with the MMO pre-application	10
Table 2: Consultation undertaken with the MMO post-application	10
Table 3: Status of discussions relating to the DCO and dML	12
Table 4: Status of discussions relating to Project Description (Offshore)	16
Table 5: Status of discussions relating to Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical	
Processes	20
Table 6: Status of discussions relating to Marine Water and Sediment Quality	27
Table 7: Status of discussions relating to Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology	35
Table 8: Status of discussions relating to Fish and Shellfish Ecology	40
Table 9: Status of discussions relating to Marine Mammals	45
Table 10: Status of discussions relating to Offshore Designated Sites	50
Table 11: Status of discussions relating to Commercial and Recreational Fisheries	53
Table 12: Status of discussions relating to Shipping and Navigation	57
Table 13: Status of discussions relating to Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	61
Table 14: Status of discussions relating to Offshore Ornithology	64



#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Overview

- This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) relates to the proposed development of the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm (Thanet Extension). It has been prepared with respect to the application made by Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd (VWPL) (the Applicant) for a Development Consent Order (DCO) to the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) under the Planning Act 2008 (the Application).
- This SoCG with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) is a means of clearly stating any areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the Application. The SoCG has been structured to reflect the topics of interest to the MMO on the Application.
- It is the intention that this document will help facilitate post application discussions between both parties and also give the Examining Authority (ExA) an early sight of the level of common ground between both parties from the outset of the examination process. It therefore reflects the Rule 6 letter issued by the ExA on the 9<sup>th</sup> November 2018 as well as identifying key areas within the MMO relevant representation.

#### 1.2 Approach to SoCG

- This SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination phase of the Thanet Extension. In accordance with discussions between the Applicant and the MMO, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by the MMO within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Evidence Plan process that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties.
- 5 The structure of the SoCG is as follows:
  - Section 1: Introduction;
  - Section 2: MMO's Remit;
  - Section 3: Consultation;
  - Section 4: Agreements Log; and
  - Section 5: Matters under discussion.



# **1.3** The Development

- Thanet Extension will comprise of wind turbine generators (WTGs) and all the infrastructure required to transmit the power generated to the national grid. A maximum of 34 WTGs will be installed with a power output of 340 MW. The project will install up to four offshore export cables and may require the installation of one Offshore Substation (OSS) and up to one Meteorological Mast.
- 7 The key offshore components of Thanet Extension are likely to include:
  - Up to 34 Offshore WTGs;
  - OSS (if required);
  - Meteorological Mast (if required);
  - WTG Foundations;

- Subsea inter-array cables linking individual WTGs;
- Subsea export cables from the OWF to shore; and
- Scour protection around foundations and on inter-array and export cables (if required).
- The array area will have a maximum size of 70 km² and surrounds the existing Thanet Offshore Wind Farm (TOWF). It is located approximately 8 km Northeast of the Isle of Thanet, situated in the County of Kent. Each WTG will have a maximum blade tip height of 250 m above Highest Astronomical Tide (HAT), a maximum diameter of 220 m and a minimum 22 m clearance between the Mean High Water Springs (MWHS) and the lowest point of the blade.
- 9 Electricity generated will be carried via a maximum of four high voltage subsea cables to the landfall site, situated at Pegwell Bay. Offshore cables will be connected to the onshore cables and ultimately the national grid network at Richborough Energy Park. The onshore cable corridor is 2.6 km in length at its fullest extent.
- More details on the proposed development are described in the Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 2, Chapter 1: Project Description (Offshore) (PINS Ref APP-042/ Application Ref 6.2.1) and Volume 3, Chapter 1: Project Description (Onshore) (PINS Ref APP-057/ Application Ref 6.3.1) of the Environmental Statement (ES).



# 2 MMO's Remit

- 11 The MMO is a prescribed consultee for the proposed development under section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 and Regulation 9 (1)(a) of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017.
- 12 The MMO's key responsibilities comprise:
  - Ensuring compliance with UK fisheries regulations and the management and monitoring of the UK fishing fleet and associated funding programmes;
  - Licensing for marine activities that may have an environmental, economic or social impact, working with other regulators where appropriate;
  - Production and publication of marine plans and guidance relating to all marine activities which can be used as a basis for development decisions;
  - Responding to marine pollution emergencies; and
  - Ensuring that the network of MPAs is well managed by bringing together conservation authorities and other regulatory bodies, enforcing wildlife legislation, issuing wildlife licenses and the introduction of marine nature conservation byelaws.



# 3 Consultation

Date: March 2019

# 3.1 Application elements under the MMO's remit

- Work Nos. 1 3B, detailed in Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the draft DCO describe the elements of Thanet Extension which may affect the interests of the MMO.
- 14 The MMO is an executive non-departmental public body sponsored by the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs. The MMO is responsible for licensing, regulating and planning marine activities in the seas around England so that they are carried out in a sustainable way.
- The technical components of the DCO application of relevance to the MMO (and therefore considered within this SoCG) comprise:
  - Volume 2, Chapter 1: Project Description (Offshore) (PINS Ref APP-042/ Application Ref 6.2.1);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 2: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes (PINS Ref APP-043/ Application Ref 6.2.2);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 3: Marine Water and Sediment Quality (PINS Ref APP-044/ Application Ref 6.2.3);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 4: Offshore Ornithology (PINS Ref APP-045/ Application Ref 6.2.4);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 5: Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology (PINS Ref APP-046/ Application Ref 6.2.5);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 6: Fish and Shellfish Ecology (PINS Ref APP-047/ Application Ref 6.2.6);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 7: Marine Mammals (PINS Ref APP-048/ Application Ref 6.2.7);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 8: Designated Sites (PINS Ref APP-049/ Application Ref 6.2.8);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 9: Commercial Fisheries (PINS Ref APP-050/ Application Ref 6.2.9);
  - Volume 2, Chapter 10: Shipping and Navigation (PINS Ref APP-051/ Application Ref 6.2.10);



- Volume 4, Annex 10-1: Navigation Risk Assessment (PINS Ref APP-089/ Application Ref 6.4.10.1);
- Volume 2, Chapter 11: Infrastructure and Other Users (PINS Ref APP-052/ Application Ref 6.2.11);
- Volume 2, Chapter 13: Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (PINS Ref APP-054/ Application Ref 6.2.13);
- Volume 4, Annex 3-1: Water Framework Directive Assessment (PINS Ref APP-076/ Application Ref 6.4.3.1);
- Disposal Site Characterisation (PINS Ref APP-148/ Application Ref 8.14);
- Biogenic Reef Mitigation Plan (PINS Ref APP-149/ Application Ref 8.15);
- Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (PINS Ref APP-146/ Application Ref 8.11);
- Summary of the EIA for Offshore Maintenance Activities (PINS Ref APP-145/ Application Ref 8.10)
- Shadow EPS License Assessment (PINS Ref APP-144/ Application Ref 8.9);
- Saltmarsh Mitigation, Reinstatement and Monitoring Plan (PINS Ref APP-147/ Application Ref 8.13);
- Draft Development Consent Order (PINS Ref APP-022/ Application Ref 3.1); and
- Report to Inform Appropriate Assessment (PINS Ref APP-030/ Application Ref 5.1).

# **3.2** Consultation Summary

Date: March 2019

This section briefly summarises the consultation that VWPL has undertaken with the MMO. Engagement during the pre-application phase, both statutory and non-statutory, is summarised in Table 1 below, this includes any meetings and correspondence held as part of the Evidence Plan process and Section 42 consultation. Full details of the Evidence Plan were provided in the Evidence Plan Report (PINS Ref APP-137/ Application Ref 8.5) in the Application.



Table 1: Consultation undertaken with the MMO pre-application

Date & Type:	Detail:
24 <sup>th</sup> October 2016	1 <sup>st</sup> Steerco Evidence Plan meeting
Evidence Plan	1 Steer to Evidence Plan meeting
26 <sup>th</sup> June 2017	2 <sup>nd</sup> Steerco Evidence Plan meeting
Evidence Plan	2 * Steer to Evidence Plan Meeting
28 <sup>th</sup> February 2017	1 <sup>st</sup> Evidence Plan meeting - Offshore Ecology Meeting.
Evidence Plan	
26 <sup>th</sup> May 2017 Evidence	and Evidence Dian mosting Offshore Ecology Mosting
Plan meeting	2 <sup>nd</sup> Evidence Plan meeting - Offshore Ecology Meeting.
12 <sup>th</sup> July 2017 Evidence	3 <sup>rd</sup> Evidence Plan meeting - Offshore Ecology Meeting.
Plan meeting	S Evidence Flan meeting - Onshore Ecology Weeting.
4 <sup>th</sup> October 2017	4 <sup>th</sup> Evidence Plan meeting - Offshore Ecology Meeting.
Evidence Plan meeting	4 Evidence Flan meeting - Onshore Ecology Weeting.
26 <sup>th</sup> January 2018	5 <sup>th</sup> Evidence Plan meeting - Offshore Ecology Meeting.
Evidence Plan meeting	5 Evidence Flan meeting - Onshore Ecology Weeting.
2 <sup>nd</sup> October 2018	1 <sup>st</sup> Evidence Plan meeting - HRA Meeting.
Evidence Plan meeting	T Evidence Fidil Meeting - TINA Weeting.
January 2018: Section 42	Comments relating to the Preliminary Environmental
Consultation	Information Report

# 3.3 Post-application Consultation

17 VWPL has engaged with the MMO since the Thanet Extension development was accepted for examination by the Planning Inspectorate on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2018. A summary of the post-application consultation with the MMO is detailed in Table 2.

Table 2: Consultation undertaken with the MMO post-application

Date/ Type:	Detail:
14 <sup>th</sup> August 2018	Progress meeting prior to relevant representations
Teleconference	
8 <sup>th</sup> October 2018	Meeting to discuss the development of the SoCG
Teleconference	
11 <sup>th</sup> February 2019	Teleconference to discuss disposal sites post-deadline 2



# 4 Agreements Log

The following section of this SoCG identifies the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application material (as identified in Section 3.1). In order to easily identify whether a matter is "agreed", "under discussion" or indeed "not agreed" a colour coding system of green, yellow and orange is used in the "final position" column to represent the respective status of discussions.

#### 4.1 DCO and draft dML

Date: March 2019

Table 3 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 3: Status of discussions relating to the DCO and dML

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Cable protection	The project propose to use the most appropriate form of cable protection, both from a cable protection and ecological perspective. This decision would be made in the detail design phase of the project. The Applicant does note a desire to not to introduce plastic into the marine environment unless this is the most appropriate method.	Agreed	Agreed
Arbitration	Revised wording to be provided – under discussion.	Not agreed	Not agreed
General comments on numbers and cross-referencing	Following the responses to the MMO's RR, in Appendix 35, the draft DCO and dML accurately cross reference documents and project description information.	Under discussion – pending review of Appendix 35	Under discussion
Inclusion with the dML	Hammer energy should not be included on the face of the dML as it would preclude the need to consider the effects as presented in the ES for enforcement.	Not agreed – see point 1.46 (MMO-46) of MMO's relevant representation.	Disagree
O&M activities	The Applicant will provide annual reporting of O&M activities in the context of the consent (if granted).	Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Monitoring plans	The effects are known and understood, given the unique position of Thanet Extension as a project, so there is no necessity to provide a Inprinciple Monitoring Plan (IPMP) or further monitoring plans. The Applicant has provided detailed monitoring plans for known risks or areas of uncertainty with the application.	Under discussion. Agreed to not-providing an IPMP subject to MMO being comfortable that the monitoring plans adequately secure the monitoring requirements	Under discussion
	The provision of monitoring plans 18-months prior to commencement is not considered appropriate given the proposed construction programme of the project.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Submission Timescales	The provision of documents 6 months in advance of proposed works is considered disproportionate given that the project inherently requires less flexibility and will be built out to the extents assessed.	Not agreed	Disagree
	The Applicant agrees that early engagement with the MMO should be undertaken to aid in the signing-off of documents.	Agreed	Agreed
Commencement	The clearance of UXO will not be licensed under the DCO, and therefore will not be included under commencement. If required, UXO clearance will be sought in a separate marine licence.	Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The Applicant will revise the wording of commencement to reach agreement with the MMO – on-going discussion.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Offshore noise	The Applicant will monitor offshore noise, and this has been adequately secured within the DCO/dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Cable burial assessment	A cable burial risk assessment will be undertaken post-consent as is adequately secured.	Agreed	Agreed



# **4.2** Project Description (Offshore)

Volume 2, Chapter 1: Project Description (Offshore) of the ES (PINS Ref APP-042/ Application Ref 6.2.1) outlines the parameters and methods for the construction, operational and maintenance and decommissioning phases with regard to the offshore elements. Table 4 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 4: Status of discussions relating to Project Description (Offshore).

Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
General Project Policy Context	It is agreed that the National Policy Statement (NPS) for Renewable Energy (NPS EN-3), when read in combination with other relevant NPS, is the overriding policy document in relation to Thanet Extension.	Agreed, noting that public consultation for the South East Marine Plans is planned to commence in summer 2019	Agreed
	It is agreed that section 4.1.6 of NPS EN-1 applies, which states that PINS shall have regard to the Marine Policy Statement (MPS) and applicable marine plans in making any recommendation relating to the UK marine area.	Agreed, noting that public consultation for the South East Marine Plans is planned to commence in summer 2019	Agreed
Construction	An appropriate degree of detail has been provided for the proposed construction activities to enable an informed assessment of the potential effects.  The clarification note of the maximum design parameters was submitted as Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 1 submission (PINS Ref REP1-023).	Under discussion – further clarification requested on maximum footprints for sandwave levelling & cable protection	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
О&М	An appropriate degree of detail has been provided for the proposed O&M activities, including vessel movements, to enable an informed assessment of the potential effects.	Under discussion – see 1.26 (MMO-26) of the MMO's relevant representation	Under discussion
	The Outline Offshore O&M plan was submitted with the application (PINS Ref APP-145/Application Ref 8.10) which provides clarity on where the activities have been assessed and how they would be secured within marine licenses.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Decommissioning	An appropriate degree of detail has been provided for the proposed decommissioning activities to enable an informed assessment of the potential effects.	Agreed	Agreed
	A decommissioning plan and programme will be produced post-consent (if granted) and is adequately secured within the DCO.	Agreed	Agreed
Cable burial assessment	As per paragraph 1.4.91 (PINS Ref APP-042/ Application Ref 6.2.1) no cable protection will be installed within in the Sandwich Bay intertidal area and this has been adequately secured in the DCO.	Not agreed	Disagree



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion



# 4.3 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes

The Project has the potential to impact upon marine processes and these interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 2: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-043/ Application Ref 6.2.2). Table 5 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 5: Status of discussions relating to Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes.

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to physical processes and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following S42 and Evidence Plan consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The evidence based approach to the assessment of effects is deemed appropriate for the purposes of predicting changes to the receiving environment.	Agreed	Agreed
Scope and Assessment	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurate for physical process receptors and pathways.	Agreed	Agreed
Methodology	The linkages of pathways to other topics are clearly presented within the ES chapter.	Agreed	Agreed
	The definitions of sensitivity and magnitude are appropriate for the purposes of the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	All potentially significant effects and the relevant maximum design scenario for each effect have been identified.  Applicant provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017). The Applicant provided clarification on the maximum design parameters, as noted in Applicant's responses to Relevant Representations (MMO-11-12, 14 and 17-19) (Annex A of PINS Ref REP1-023).	Under discussion Pending close out of comments 1.12-20 (MMO-11-19) of MMO's relevant representation	Under discussion
	The study area defined for the assessment is appropriate for the impacts and pathways considered.  Applicant provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).	Under discussion pending close out of comments 1.4 (MMO-13) and 1.12-20 (MMO-11-19) of MMO's relevant representation	Under discussion
Baseline data used in the assessment	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the	Agreed	Agreed



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.		
	Sufficient metocean data has been collected and analysed to characterise the environment.	Agreed	Agreed
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Mitigation Measures	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate.  The Applicant provided clarification on the maximum design parameters, including scour and cable protection area and volume in responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (Annex A of PINS Ref REP1-023).	Under discussion pending closing out of clarification of cable protection method & maximum affected area, and installation of scour protection - related points from Relevant Representation 1.4 (MMO-4); 1.14 (MMO-13); 1.19-1.20 (MMO-18-19)	Under discussion
	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Outcomes of the EIA	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.  The Applicant provided further clarification on the information presented in the chapter for wave energy on sandbanks and local receptors in their responses to Relevant Representations (MMO-95) (PINS Ref REP1-017).	Under discussion pending close of point 3.2 (MMO-95) in MMO's relevant representation in relation to wave energy	Agreed
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on physical processes within the study area.	Under discussion	Agreed
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
	The effects of turbid wakes have adequately and appropriate been assessed based on site specific data, analogous projects and available literature.	Agreed	Agreed



MMO Position	Final Position
f Under discussion pending close of point 3.2 (MMO-95) in MMO's relevant representation in relation to wave energy	Agreed
:1	Under discussion pending close of point 3.2 (MMO-95) in MMO's relevant representation in relation to wave energy



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	Following clarification in the response to relevant representations sufficient information has been provided to understand the cumulative impacts on waves from the presence of both TOWF and Thanet Extension's WTG foundations and infrastructure.	Under discussion pending close of point 3.2 (MMO-95) in MMO's relevant representation in relation to wave energy	Agreed
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion



# 4.4 Marine Water and Sediment Quality

- The Project has the potential to impact upon marine water and sediment quality and these interactions are duly considered within:
  - Volume 2, Chapter 3: Marine Water Quality and Sediment Quality (PINS Ref APP-044/ Application Ref 6.2.3)
  - Volume 4, Annex 3-1: Water Framework Directive Assessment (PINS Ref APP-076/ Application Ref 6.4.3.1); and
  - Sand Wave Clearance, Dredging and Drill Arising: Disposal Site Characterisation (PINS Ref APP- 148/ Application Ref 8.14).
- Table 6 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 6: Status of discussions relating to Marine Water and Sediment Quality.

Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to water and sediment quality and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following S42 and Evidence Plan consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Agreed	Agreed
	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurate for water and sediment quality receptors.	Agreed	Agreed
Scope and Assessment	The definitions of sensitivity and magnitude are appropriate for the purposes of the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Methodology	All potentially significant effects and the relevant maximum design scenario for each effect have been identified following clarification in the response to relevant representations.	Under discussion pending clarifications to be submitted at deadline 1	Agreed



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).		
	The study area defined for the assessment is appropriate for the impacts considered.	Agreed	Agreed
	The WFD assessment is appropriate and the conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts of WFD features.	Defer to Environment Agency for WFD advice	Noted
	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.		
Baseline data used in the assessment	The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).	Under discussion pending clarification of 4.2 (MMO-102) in the MMO's relevant representation, expected at deadline 1. And discussion on 4.3 (MMO-103)	Under discussion
	The clarification note of the maximum design parameters, as noted in the Applicant's response to MMO-102, was submitted as		



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	Annex A to Appendix 1 of the Applicant's Deadline 1 submission (PINS Ref REP1-023).		
	The Applicant, the MMO and Cefas held a teleconference (11 <sup>th</sup> February 2019) to discuss the geometry of the proposed disposal sites (as identified in MMO-103).		
	The survey scope and methodology undertaken for the intertidal surveys was adequate for characterising the baseline contamination of sediments.	Agreed	Agreed
	The survey scope and methodology undertaken for the Fugro surveys (array and offshore extents of the OECC) was adequate for characterising the baseline contamination of sediments.	Agreed	Agreed
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.  The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations	Under discussion pending clarification of 4.2 (MMO-102) in the MMO's relevant representation, expected at deadline 1. And discussion on 4.3 (MMO-103)	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017) and welcomes further discussion on these points (maximum design parameters and disposal sites).		
	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
Mitigation Measures	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Outcomes of the EIA	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Agreed	Agreed
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the marine water quality within the study area.	Agreed	Agreed
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the marine sediments within the study area.	Agreed	Agreed



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Disposal Site Characterisat	tion (PINS Ref APP-148/ Application Ref 8.14)		
Baseline data used in the assessment	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment of the disposal sites.  The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).	Under discussion pending clarification of 4.2 (MMO-102) in the MMO's relevant representation, expected at deadline 1. And discussion on 4.3 (MMO-103)	Under discussion
	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the proposed disposal material.	Under discussion pending clarification of 4.2 (MMO-102) in the MMO's relevant representation, expected at deadline 1. And discussion on 4.3 (MMO-103)	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).		
Outcomes of the	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts from in-situ disposal within the array.	Agreed	Agreed
assessment	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts from in-situ disposal within the OECC.	Agreed	Agreed
Mitigation	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions.	Agreed	Agreed
Disposal Site Geometry	The proposed disposal site coordinates reflect the presence of an existing windfarm and existing disposal site and as such are considered to be appropriate.  Following the call held with the MMO and Cefas (11 <sup>th</sup> February 2019) several methodologies to define appropriate disposal site(s) boundaries have been agreed. The	Under discussion- agreed in principle that the proposed disposal activities are acceptable, further discussion required with MMO and its advisers at Cefas to agree how the coordinates can be captured to work around existing disposal sites and the Thanet OWF.	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	Applicant has taken an action to provide revised co-ordinates of the disposal sites to the MMO (and Cefas) in order to reach agreement prior to Deadline 3.		
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/dML.	Agreed	Agreed



# 4.5 Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology

- 24 The Project has the potential to impact upon benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology and these interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 5 of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-046/ Application Ref 6.2.5).
- The Applicant also provided the Biogenic Reef Mitigation Plan (Application Ref 8.15) and the Saltmarsh Mitigation, Reinstatement and Monitoring Plan (Application Ref 8.13) within the Application. These documents have been revised and provided to the ExA as part of the Applicant's Deadline 1 and 2 Submissions (PINS Refs REP1-071 and REP2-023 respectively). The revised versions of these documents are referred to hereafter.
- Table 7 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area and associated documents between the parties.



Table 7: Status of discussions relating to Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology.

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to benthic ecology and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following S42 and Evidence Plan consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.  The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017) and will continue to consult with the MMO on the issues identified (O&M activities, the core reef approach, MCZ assessment and turbid wakes).	Under discussion pending clarification of the following points from MMO's relevant representation: 5.1 (MMO-108); 5.3 (MMO-110); 5.6 (MMO-113); 5.8 (MMO-115); 5.10 (MMO-117); 5.12 (MMO 119)	Under discussion
Scope and Assessment Methodology	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurate for benthic ecology receptors.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The definitions of sensitivity and magnitude are appropriate for the purposes of the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	All potentially significant effects and the relevant maximum design scenario for each effect have been identified.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The study area defined for the assessment is appropriate for the impacts considered.	Under discussion	agreed
Baseline data used in the assessment	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The survey scope and methodology undertaken for the intertidal surveys was adequate for characterising the baseline population of benthic species.	Agreed	Agreed
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Mitigation Measures	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate.	Under discussion pending close of discussions on core reef approach	Under discussion
	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions.	Under discussion	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Outcomes of the EIA	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the benthic ecology within the study area.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Core Reef Approach	The report (PINS Ref APP-149/ Application Ref 8.15) has been revised and adequately updated (PINS Ref REP1-076) following relevant representations and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Monitoring	There is sufficient knowledge and evidence from the TOWF that benthic monitoring (beyond surveys of biogenic reefs) for Thanet Extension is not required to validate the findings of the EIA and would be disproportionate.	Under discussion in regards to longer term effects e.g. in regards to impacts from turbid wakes	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
•	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion



# 4.6 Fish and Shellfish Ecology

Date: March 2019

The Project has the potential to impact upon fish and shellfish ecology and these interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 6 of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-047/ Application Ref 6.2.6). Table 8 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 8: Status of discussions relating to Fish and Shellfish Ecology.

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to fish and shellfish ecology and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Under discussion- outstanding comments on herring/sole spawning to consider	Under discussion
	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurately reflect the potential impacts on the fish and shellfish ecology.	Agreed	Agreed
	The definitions of sensitivity and magnitude, presented in Tables 6.4 and 6.5 are appropriate for the purposes of the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Scope and Assessment methodology	All potentially significant effects and the relevant maximum design scenario for each effect has identified in Table 6.7.	Agreed	Agreed
	The noise modelling and metrics are appropriate for assessing the impacts on fish species.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The study area defined for the appropriate is appropriate for the impacts considered.	Agreed	Agreed



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The methodology used to assess spawning behaviour in herring is consistent with recent publications (ORJIP) and metrics discussed during the evidence plan process. The methodology is therefore robust and adequate for the purposes of the assessment.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The methodology applied to undertake the sandeel habitat suitability assessment is adequate for the purposes of the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
Baseline data used in the assessment	The survey scope and methodology undertaken for the fish surveys was adequate for characterising the baseline population of fish species.	Agreed	Agreed
	The baseline of shellfish, including cockles, has been adequately characterised in terms of population species and spatial distribution.	Agreed	Agreed
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.	Under discussion	Under discussion



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	All relevant species of fish within the study area have been identified and assessed.	Agreed	Agreed
	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate including the use of a soft start procedure to piling.	Agreed	Agreed
Mitigation Measures	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions.  The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).	Under discussion – pending clarification of the following points in MMO's relevant representation: 6.2-6.11 (MMO-125-134)	Under discussion
	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Outcomes of the EIA	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Under discussion – pending clarification of the following points in MMO's relevant representation: 6.2-6.11 (MMO-125-134)	Under
	The Applicant has provided further clarification, on a point by point basis, in their responses to	MMO requested modelling of SELcum impact ranges based on a stationary receptor overlaid over the herring spawning heatmaps	discussion



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).		
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the fish and shellfish ecology within the study area.	Under discussion	Under discussion
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Under discussion	Under discussion
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion



### 4.7 Marine Mammals

Date: March 2019

- The Project has the potential to impact upon marine mammals and these interactions are duly considered within:
  - Volume 2, Chapter 7: Marine Mammals of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-048/ Application Ref 6.2.7);
  - Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (PINS Ref APP-146/ Application Ref 8.11);
     and
  - Shadow EPS License Assessment (PINS Ref APP-144/ Application Ref 8.9).
- Table 9 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties for marine mammals.



**Table 9: Status of discussions relating to Marine Mammals** 

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to marine mammals and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Agreed Defer to Natural England regarding HRA	Agreed and noted
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following both the S42 consultation and the Evidence Plan concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Agreed	Agreed
	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurately reflect the potential impacts on the marine mammals.	Agreed	Agreed
Scope and	The definitions of sensitivity and magnitude are appropriate for the purposes of the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
Assessment methodology	All potentially significant effects and the relevant maximum design scenario for each effect has identified adequately.	Agreed	Agreed
	The underwater noise modelling and metrics are appropriate for assessing the potential impacts on marine mammals.	Under discussion pending clarifications expected at deadline 1	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The Applicant has provided further clarification in their responses to Relevant Representations provided by the MMO (PINS Ref REP1-017).		
	The study area defined for the assessment is appropriate for the impacts considered.	Agreed	Agreed
	The main species of interest have been considered within the assessment.	Agreed	Agreed
	The assessment for PTS was undertaken using the NOAA criteria only as agreed in the Evidence Plan process.	Agreed	Agreed
	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.	Agreed	Agreed
Baseline data used in the assessment	The survey scope and methodology undertaken for the marine mammal surveys was adequate for characterising the baseline population of marine mammal species.	Defer to the expert opinion of the Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies.	Noted
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.	Defer to the expert opinion of the Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies	Noted



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate.	Agreed	Agreed
Mitigation Measures	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions when the project is considered alone.  Appendix 22 of the Applicant's Deadline 2 Submission provided an outline Site Integrity Plan (PINS Ref REP2-033).	Under discussion, Noting Natural England's request in their Relevant Representation to include provision of a site integrity plan.	Under discussion
	No further mitigation to those embedded measures are necessitated as a result of the assessment conclusions when the project is considered cumulatively.	Under discussion, Noting Natural England's request in Relevant Representation to include provision of a site integrity plan.	Under discussion
	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Defer to the expert opinion of the Statutory Nature conservation Bodies	Noted
Outcomes of the EIA	The sensitivity and importance of the species is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Defer to the expert opinion of the Statutory Nature conservation Bodies	Noted
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on marine mammals within the study area.	Agreed	Agreed



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Defer to the expert opinion of the Statutory Nature conservation Bodies	Noted
Mitigation	The mitigation proposed in the MMMP (Application Ref 8.11) is appropriate and sufficient given the conclusions of the assessment.	Agreed (for EIA, defer to SNCB for HRA advice), noting that this is for piling only ¬ for UXO clearance	Agreed and noted
EPS Licence	Where piling works are proposed, an additional application for a European Protected Species Licence may be required to allow for the potential disturbance of marine mammals.	Agreed	Agreed
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.  Appendix 12 of the Applicant's Deadline 2 Submission provided a revised draft Development Consent Order which provided the inclusion of a SIP condition (PINS Ref REP2-033).	Under discussion- noting recommendation for inclusion of SIP condition	Under discussion



# **4.8** Offshore Designated Sites

Date: March 2019

The Project has the potential to impact upon conservation interests and marine designated sites and these interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 8: Offshore Designated Sites (PINS Ref APP-049/ Application Ref 6.2.8) of the ES. Table 10 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 10: Status of discussions relating to Offshore Designated Sites

Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to the offshore designated sites and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted
Baseline data used in the assessment	Appropriate data and information was used to characterise the baseline for the purposes of the assessment.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted
Scope and Assessment	All relevant designated sites have been accurately identified and included within the assessment.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted
methodology	The conservation objects have been appropriate identified within the assessment.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted
Outcomes of the EIA	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted
Outcomes of the EIA	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the designated sites.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Defer to the expert of opinion of the SNCBs for conservation advice	Noted
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/dML.  Appendix 12 of the Applicant's Deadline 2 Submission provided a revised draft Development Consent Order (PINS Ref REP2-035).	Under discussion	Under discussion



### 4.9 Commercial Fisheries

Date: March 2019

The Project has the potential to impact upon commercial fisheries. These interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 9 of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-050/ Application Ref 6.2.9). The Fisheries Liaison and Co-Existence Plan (PINS Ref APP-143/ Application Ref 8.8) was submitted with the Application. Table 11 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



**Table 11: Status of discussions relating to Commercial and Recreational Fisheries.** 

Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Baseline data used in the assessment	Accepting the recognised limitations, the baseline data comprises the best available data and is complemented by a robust site specific (Succorfish) dataset. The baseline (local fishing) receiving environment has therefore been adequately characterised.	Agreed	Agreed
	Accepting the recognised limitations, the baseline data comprises the best available data. The baseline (international fishing) receiving environment has therefore been adequately characterised.	Agreed	Agreed
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Under discussion, further clarification requested in response to point 6.31 of MMO's RR (representation number MMO-154)	Under discussion
	The co-existence plan (PINS Ref APP-143/ Application Ref 8.8) provides sufficient information about the methodology and mechanisms for information exchange.	Under discussion, subject to clarification of where details of FLO will be secured	Under discussion
	The co-existence plan was agreed by TFA prior to application and will be updated to reflect that it is a final plan.	Under discussion subject to reviewing any updates	Under discussion



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Scope and Assessment methodology	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurately reflect the potential impacts on the fishing fleet.	Under discussion; further clarification requested in response to point 6.31 of MMO's RR (representation number MMO-154)	Under discussion
Mitigation Measures	The refined Red Line Boundary results in a reduced interaction of the project with commercial fishing interests.	MMO queries whether modification to the red line boundary can be considered mitigation, however agrees that the refined boundary will reduce spatial interaction with commercial fishing interests	Agreed
	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate.	Defer to the opinion of local fishing organisations for specialist knowledge	Noted
Outcomes of the EIA	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Under discussion	Agreed
	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Under discussion; further clarification requested in response to point 6.31 of MMO's RR (representation number MMO-154)	Under discussion
	The impact of the loss of fishing ground and fishing opportunity in and around the extension project has been appropriately assessed.	Under discussion; further clarification requested in response to point 6.31 of MMO's RR (representation number MMO-154)	Under discussion
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the fishing fleet.	Under discussion	Under discussion



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Under discussion	Agreed
DCO/ dML	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Agreed, to the extent that mitigation measures that have already been identified have been secured.	Agreed
Requirement	Details of the Fisheries Liaison Officer will be secured within the PEMP (post-consent) when the details are known.	Agreed - subject to review and confirming the proposed wording in condition	Agreed



### 4.10 Shipping and Navigation

Date: March 2019

- The Project has the potential to impact upon shipping and navigation. These interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 10 of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-051/ Application Ref 6.2.10) and within Volume 4, Annex 10-1: Navigational Risk Assessment (PINS Ref APP-089/ Application Ref 6.4.10.1).
- Noting that the MMO defer to the MCA on these matters, for the purposes of transparency the Applicant have included areas of agreement with the MCA within this first draft of this SoCG. Therefore, Table 12 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties (the Applicant, the MMO and the MCA).



**Table 12: Status of discussions relating to Shipping and Navigation** 

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Study area	It is agreed that the study area used to inform the assessment of the project on shipping and navigation receptors was appropriate.	<b>MCA 041018</b> – Agreed	Agreed
Consultation	It is agreed that throughout the pre-application process the level of consultation and the provision of information has been sufficient in informing MCA of the development of the project and the predicted impacts on shipping and navigation.	<b>MCA 041018</b> – Agreed	Agreed
Approach to NRA	It is agreed that the Navigational Risk Assessment has been undertaken in line with the requirements set out in the Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 543 – Guidance on UK Navigation Practice, Safety and Emergency Response Issues and complies in full with the MGN 543 checklist.	<b>MCA 041018</b> - Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Environmental Statement Baseline and Methodology	It is agreed that the shipping and navigation baseline environment has been adequately and appropriately described in the ES. Based on that information it is further agreed that the marine traffic survey data and wider data sources used are appropriate for the assessment and details a good representation of commercial traffic in the area of the project	<b>MCA 041018</b> - Agreed	Agreed
Environmental Statement Baseline and Methodology	It is agreed that the approach adopted in the Environmental Statement is appropriate to assess the magnitude and range of navigational safety impacts from the proposed Project on the users of commercial vessels	<b>MCA 041018 –</b> Agreed	Agreed
Environmental Statement Baseline and Methodology	It is agreed that the design parameters of the project would result in the worst case collision and allision scenario for commercial vessels.	<b>MCA 041018</b> – Agreed	Agreed
Tolerability definition and assessment	In the absence of industry specific guidance it is agreed that the tolerability of risk is appropriately defined and assessed through application of the HSE standards	MCA 041018 - MCA does not write its own guidance on tolerability however the statement drafted with MCA and TH is agreed.	Agreed
Environmental Statement assessment	It is agreed that the Applicant has adequately assessed navigational safety impacts on users of commercial vessels from the Project.	<b>MCA 041018 –</b> Agreed	Agreed



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
dML(s)	It is agreed that Condition 12 (1)(b) and Condition 10 (1)(c) (Pre-construction plans and documentation) of the Generation Assets and Transmission Assets dML (Schedule 11 and 12 of the DCO respectively) provides adequate mitigation by ensuring the proposed final layout will be submitted for approval to the MMO; who will then in turn consult with the MCA and THLS on any issues with navigational safety, prior to giving approval. This agreement includes all surface structures (structures visible above Lowest Astronomical Tide) noted within the DCO including the wind turbine generators, and offshore substation.	Agreed	Agreed
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion - further consideration still required for O&M phase	Under discussion



## **4.11** Offshore Heritage

Date: March 2019

The Project has the potential to impact upon offshore archaeology and cultural heritage. These interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 13 of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-054/ Application Ref 6.2.13), with control and mitigation measures provided for within the DCO and dML(s). Table 13 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 13: Status of discussions relating to Offshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage.

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to historic environment assessment and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following S42 and Evidence Plan consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
Scope and	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurate for the relevant receptors.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
Assessment methodology	The study area defined for the assessment is appropriate for the impacts considered.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
Baseline data used in	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
the assessment	The scopes and methodologies undertaken for the viewpoints were adequate for characterising the baseline and informing photomontage drafting.	Defer to Historic England	Noted



Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate and are appropriately secured through the DCO.	Under discussion	Under discussion
Mitigation Measures	The Outline Offshore WSI (PINS Ref Application Ref 8.6) is appropriate with regards landscape management principles.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
0.1	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
Outcomes of the EIA	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on the receiving environment within the study area.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Defer to Historic England	Noted
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion



# **4.12** Offshore Ornithology

Date: March 2019

The Project has the potential to impact upon offshore ornithology and these interactions are duly considered within Volume 2, Chapter 4 of the Thanet Extension ES (PINS Ref APP-045/Application Ref 6.2.4). Table 14 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic area between the parties.



Table 14: Status of discussions relating to Offshore Ornithology

<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
Policy and Planning	The assessment has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to offshore ornithology and has given due regard to them within the assessment.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
Consultation	The ES chapter has been adequately updated following S42 and Evidence Plan consultation and concerns raised have been adequately addressed or clarified.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
	The potential impacts identified are appropriate and accurate for offshore ornithology receptors.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
Scope and Assessment methodology	The study area defined for the assessment is appropriate for the impacts considered.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
<i>57</i>	The methods of assessing collision risk and displacement are appropriate and have been applied accurately	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
Baseline data used in the assessment	Sufficient primary and secondary data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment for the purposes of informing the EIA.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	MMO Position	Final Position
	All data gaps have been highlighted and all appropriate measures for filling any data gaps have been proposed.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
	The sensitivity and importance of the receiving environment is accurately described within the Environmental Statement.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
Mitigation Measures	The embedded mitigation measures are considered appropriate.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
Outcomes of the EIA	The assessment criteria and assignment of significance is appropriate.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
	The conclusions of the assessment accurately reflect the potential impacts on offshore ornithology receptors within the study area.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
	The cumulative effects have been adequately and appropriately described within the ES and the conclusions are appropriate.	Defer to the expert opinion of the SNCB Natural England	Noted
DCO/ dML Requirement	All relevant mitigation requirements have been appropriately secured within the DCO/ dML.	Under discussion	Under discussion



### 5 Matters under Discussion

- This summary section identifies those matters raised by the MMO during the preapplication consultation that have yet to be resolved and are subject to ongoing discussion as of the last consultation meeting held with the MMO.
- 36 The current topics are still under discussion:
  - DCO & DML
    - o Inclusion of arbitration within the DCO;
    - o Inclusion of hammer energy on the DML;
    - Inclusion of maximum areas and volumes permitted for seabed preparation/disposal activities and for installation of scour/cable protection on the DML;
    - Submission timescales for monitoring documentation and;
    - Offshore noise monitoring
  - Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes
  - Wave energy
  - Water Quality and Sediment Quality:
    - o The geometry of the proposed disposal sites;
  - Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology
    - Core reef approach and;
    - Turbid wakes
  - Fish and Shellfish:
    - Mitigation for fish species;
    - TTS on fish species and eggs;
    - Fleeing rate of fish and;
    - Succorfish data.
  - Marine Mammals
    - Requirement for a Site Integrity Plan;



• Significance of effects on shellfish fisheries.

Date: March 2019