Sammy Mullan From:

To: Kate Mignano; Thanet Extension

Cc:

Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm - Deadline 1 Submission - Email 9 Subject:

Date: 15 January 2019 21:43:25

Attachments: image001.png

D1 Appendix3 TEOW SoCG DDC RevA.pdf D1 Appendix4 TEOW SoCG EA RevA.pdf
D1 Appendix5 TEOW SoCG ESL RevA.pdf
D1 Appendix6 TEOW SoCG HighwaysEngland RevA.pdf

D1 Appendix7 TEOW SoCG HE RevA.pdf D1 Appendix8 TEOW SoCG KCC RevA.pdf D1\_Appendix9\_TEOW\_SoCG\_IFCA\_RevA.pdf D1 Appendix10 TEOW SoCG KWT RevA.pdf D1 Appendix11 TEOW SoCG MCA RevA.pdf
D1 Appendix12 TEOW SoCG MMO RevA.pdf
D1 Appendix13 TEOW SoCG MMO RevA.pdf
D1 Appendix14 TEOW SoCG NationalTrust RevA.pdf
D1 Appendix14 TEOW SoCG NEOrinthology RevA.pdf D1 Appendix15 TEOW SoCG NETechnicalTopics RevA.pdf

D1 Appendix16 TEOW SoCG Port of London.pdf D1 Appendix17 TEOW SoCF RSP RevA.pdf D1 Appendix18 TEOW SoCG RSPB RevA.pdf

D1 Appendix19 TEOW SoCG RYA RevA.pdf D1 Appendix20 TEOW SoCG TFA RevA.pdf D1 Appendix21 TEOW SoCG TDC RevA.pdf D1 Appendix22 TEOW SoCG THLS RevA.pdf D1 Appendix23 TEOW SoCG S&N CoS RevA.pdf D1 Appendix24 TEOW SoCG PoT LG Rev2.pdf D1 Appendix33 TEOW SoCG Tracking RevA.pdf

#### Dear Kate,

Please find the yellow shaded documents, in the table below, attached to this email. These should be considered part of the Applicant's Deadline 1 Submission for Thanet Extension. Those shaded in green have been sent to PINS and will show the progress of the Applicant's submission to PINS throughout the email correspondence.

#### Kind Regards, Sammy

Document Id No.	Document Name	Sent To PINS?
D1_1	Responses to Relevant Representations	Yes
D1_1A	Responses to Relevant Representations (Annexes A to G)	Yes
D1_2	Applicant's Summary of Relevant Representations	Yes
D1_3	Statement of Common Ground – Dover District Council (DDC)	Yes
D1_4	Statement of Common Ground – Environment Agency	Yes
D1_5	Statement of Common Ground – Estuary Services Limited	Yes
D1_6	Statement of Common Ground – Highways England (HE)	Yes
D1_7	Statement of Common Ground – Historic England	Yes
D1_8	Statement of Common Ground – Kent County Council	Yes
D1_9	Statement of Common Ground – Kent & Essex Inshore Fisheries Conservation Authority	Yes
D1_10	Statement of Common Ground – Kent Wildlife Trust	Yes
D1_11	Statement of Common Ground – Maritime & Coastguard Agency (MCA)	Yes
D1_12	Statement of Common Ground – Marine Management Organisation	Yes
D1_13	Statement of Common Ground – National Trust	Yes
D1_14	Statement of Common Ground – Natural England Offshore Ornithology	Yes
D1_15	Statement of Common Ground – Natural England Technical Topics (excluding Offshore Ornithology, Saltmarsh, and Site Selection)	
D1_16	Statement of Common Ground – Port of London Authority	Yes
D1_17	Statement of Common Ground – Riveroak Strategic Partners Limited (RSP)	Yes
D1_18	Statement of Common Ground – Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB)	Yes
D1_19	Statement of Common Ground – Royal Yachting Association	Yes
D1_20	Statement of Common Ground - Thanet Fishermen's Association	Yes
D1_21	Statement of Common Ground – Thanet District Council (TDC)	Yes
D1_22	Statement of Common Ground – Trinity House Lighthouse Service (THLS)	Yes
D1_23	Statement of Common Ground – Chamber of Shipping	Yes
D1_24	Statement of Common Ground – Port of Tilbury and London Gateway	Yes
D1_25	Applicant's Responses to the Examining Authority's First Written Questions – EXQ1	No

D1_25A	Figures related to designated sites for ease of reference	No
D1 25B	Natural England letter	No
D1 25C	ExQ1.3.5 Crown Land and Consent	No
D1_25D	ExQ1.3.6 Schedule of CA and TP Objections	No
D1_25E	ExQ1.3.7 PA2008 s127 Statutory Undertakers Land_Rights V1	No
D1 25F	ExQ1.3.8 PA2008 s138 Statutory Undertakers Apparatus V1	No
D1 25G	Vessel Traffic Analysis Plots - Dipping, anchoring and inshore route by draught, length and type	No
D1 25H	Gate Analysis Foxtrot	No
D1_25I	Consultation Matrix	No
D1_25J	Consultation Minutes and Correspondence	No
D1 25K	Pilot Transfer Bridge Simulation – Inception Report	No
D1_25L	Pilot Transfer Track Plots	No
D1_25M	Supplementary ExAQ 1.12.1	No
D1_25N	Supplementary ExAQ 1.12.3	No
D1_25O	Supplementary ExAQ 1.12.4	No
D1_25P	Supplementary ExAQ NRA	No
D1_25Q	Re-presented Hazard Log	No
D1_26	Response to ExA Action Points arising from Preliminary Meeting (Annexes A & B)	Yes
 D1_27	Response to ExA Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 1	Yes
 D1_28	Response to ExA Action Points arising from Issue Specific Hearing 2	No
D1_28A	Nautical Chart	No
D1_28B	NE Spit Searoom	No
D1_28C	safety zone figure(s)	No
D1_28D	Minutes with MCA from October 2018	No
D1_29	Preliminary Meeting Oral Summary	Yes
D1_30	Appendix 30 to Deadline 1: Written Summary of Vattenfall's Oral Case put at the Issue Specific Hearing 1 and Annexes	Yes
D1_31	Appendix 31 to Deadline 1: Written Summary of Vattenfall's Oral Case put at the Issue Specific Hearing 2 and Annexes	Yes
D1_32	Draft Itinerary for Accompanied Site Inspections	Yes
D1_33	Request for Statements of Common Ground and Statement of Commonality	Yes
D1_34	Guide to the Application	No
D1_35	Revised Draft Development Consent Order	Yes
D1_35A	Revised Draft Development Consent Order - Tracked Changes	Yes
D1_35B	Log of Changes to the Draft Development Consent Order	Yes
D1_36	Statement of Reasons	Yes
D1_37	Book of Reference (Parts 1-5)	Yes
D1_38A	Land Plan (Offshore)	Yes
D1_38B	Land Plan (Onshore)	Yes
D1_38C	Special Category Land Plans	Yes
D1_38D	Works Plan (Offshore)	Yes
D1_38E	Works Plan (Offshore): RLB Comparison	Yes
D1_38F	Works Plans (Onshore)	Yes
D1_38G	Works Plan (Onshore) - Key Plan (Comparison)	Yes
D1_38H	Crown Land Plans	Yes
D1_39	Offshore Archaeology Draft Written Scheme of Investigation	Yes
D1_40	Onshore Archaeology Draft Written Scheme of Investigation	Yes
D1_41	Shipping and Navigation: Schedule of Mitigation	Yes
D1_42	Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan	Yes
D1_43	Biogenic Reef Mitigation Plan	Yes
D1_44	Geophysical Investigation Report 3 of 3 - Geophysical Site Survey	Yes
N/A	Letter to PINS - Overarching D1	Yes
D1_45	Removal of Landfall Option 2	Yes
N/A	Nemo Link development consent and Environmental Statement documents for inclusion in the Examination Library	Yes

### Sammy Mullan

Consultant



Mob: +44 (0) 7538 816585
Tel: +44 (0) 1626 323890
Fax: +44 (0) 1626 333264
Web: www.gobeconsultants.com

Address: 34 Devon Square, Newton Abbot, TQ12 2HH

The information contained in this email is intended only for the use of the intended recipient at the email address. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender and ask for the sender and then delete it immediately from your system.

Please note that neither GoBe Consultants Ltd nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com



# Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm

Appendix 17 to Deadline 1 Submission: Statement of Common Ground – RiverOak Strategic Partners Limited (RSP)

Relevant Examination Deadline: 1

Submitted by Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd

Date: January 2019

Revision A



Date	Issue No.	Remarks / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked	Approved
06/12/2018	01	Draft for review	Vattenfall	Vattenfall	VWPL
14/01/2019	02	Comments on draft	RSP	RSP	VWPL



# **Table of Contents**

1	Int	roduction	4
	1.1	Overview	4
	1.2	Approach to SoCG	4
	1.3	The Development	5
2	Riv	erOak Strategic Partners Limited's Remit	7
3	Coi	nsultation	8
	3.1	Application elements under RSP's remit	8
	3.2	Consultation Summary	8
	3.3	Post-application Consultation	8
4	Agı	reements Log	9
5	Ma	tters under further discussion	.12
Ta	able 1:	Consultation undertaken with the RSP pre-application	8
		Status of discussions relating to Aviation	



#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Overview

- This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) relates to the proposed development of the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm (Thanet Extension). It has been prepared with respect to the application made by Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd (VWPL) (the Applicant) for a development consent order (DCO) to the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) under the Planning Act 2008 (the Application).
- This SoCG with RiverOak Strategic Partners Limited (RSP) is a means of clearly stating any areas of agreement and disagreement between the two parties in relation to the Application. The SoCG has been structured to reflect the topics of interest to RSP in the Application, and in line with the request made by the Planning Inspectorate within the 'Rule 6' letter published on the 6<sup>th</sup> November 2018.
- It is the intention that this document will help facilitate post application discussions between both parties and also give the Examining Authority (Ex. A) an early sight of the level of common ground between both parties from the outset of the examination process.

#### 1.2 Approach to SoCG

- This SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination phase of the Thanet Extension. In accordance with discussions between the Applicant and RSP, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by RSP within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Evidence Plan process that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties.
- 5 The structure of the SoCG is as follows:
  - Section 1: Introduction;
  - Section 2: RSP's remit;
  - Section 3: Consultation;
  - Section 4: Agreements Log; and
  - Section 5: Matters under discussion.



#### 1.3 The Development

- The Application if for development consent for VWPL to construct and operate the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm (Thanet Extension) under the Planning Act 2008.
- Thanet Extension will comprise of wind turbine generators (WTGs) and all the infrastructure required to transmit the power generated to the national grid. A maximum of 34 WTGs will be installed with a power output of 340 MW. The project will install up to four offshore export cables and may require the installation of one Offshore Substation (OSS) and up to one Meteorological Mast.
- The key offshore components of Thanet Extension are likely to include:
  - Offshore WTGs;
  - OSS (if required);
  - Meteorological Mast (if required);
  - Foundations;

- Subsea inter-array cables linking individual WTGs;
- Subsea export cables from the OWF to shore; and
- Scour protection around foundations and on inter-array and export cables (if required).
- 9 The array area will have a maximum size of 70 Km² and surrounds the existing Thanet Offshore Wind Farm (TOWF). It is located approximately 8 km Northeast of the Isle of Thanet, situated in the County of Kent. Each WTG will have a maximum blade tip height of 250 m above Mean High Water Springs (MHWS), a maximum diameter of 220 m and a minimum 22 m clearance between the MHWS and the lowest point of the rotor.
- 10 Electricity generated will be carried via a maximum of four high voltage subsea cables to the landfall site, situated at Pegwell Bay. Offshore cables will be connected to the onshore cables and ultimately the national grid network at Richborough Energy Park. The onshore cable corridor is 2.6 km in length at its fullest extent.



11 More details on the proposed development are described in the Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 2, Chapter 1: Project Description (Offshore) (Application Ref 6.2.1) and Volume 3, Chapter 1: Project Description (Onshore) (Application Ref 6.3.1) of the Environmental Statement.



## 2 RiverOak Strategic Partners Limited's Remit

- RSP is a consultee for the proposed development due to potential interactions between Thanet Extension and their proposed development comprising works to provide an integrated aviation services hub on the site of the former Manston Kent International Airport.
- The main feature of the proposal is a major international centre for air freight that is capable of handling a minimum 10,000 air freight Air Traffic Movements per year and other associated development ('Manston Airport').
- 14 RSP have submitted a DCO application for Manston Airport which was accepted for examination on 14 August 2018.
- 15 VWPL and RSP have entered into a SoCG for the Manston Airport DCO application. The points of agreement are consistent between this SoCG and the RSP SoCG.



#### 3 Consultation

#### 3.1 Application elements under RSP's remit

- 16 Work No. 1, detailed in Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the draft DCO describe the elements of Thanet Extension which may affect the interests of RSP (i.e. the turbine array).
- 17 The technical components of the DCO application of relevance to RSP (and therefore considered within this SoCG) comprise:
  - Volume 3, Chapter 11: Aviation (Application Ref 6.3.11);

#### 3.2 Consultation Summary

This section briefly summarises the consultation that VWPL has undertaken with RSP. Engagement during the pre-application phase, both statutory and non-statutory, is summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Consultation undertaken with the RSP pre-application

Date & Type:	Detail:
July 2017	Meeting to discuss the projects
February 2018	Conference call between the parties

#### 3.3 Post-application Consultation

19 VWPL has engaged with RSP via email since the Thanet Extension development was accepted for examination by the Planning Inspectorate on 23<sup>rd</sup> July 2018.



## 4 Agreements Log

Date: January 2019

The following section of this SoCG identifies the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application material (as identified in Section 3.1). In order to easily identify whether a matter is "agreed", "under discussion" or indeed "not agreed" a colour coding system of green, yellow and orange is used in the "final position" column to represent the respective status of discussions.



**Table 2: Status of discussions relating to Aviation** 

Discussion Point	Thanet Extension Position	RSP Position	Final Position
Cumulative effects	It is agreed that Thanet Extension has appropriately considered potential cumulative effects relating to Manston Airport.	The Environmental Statement is still being reviewed.	
Flight paths	It is agreed that should Manston Airport become operational that the flight paths will take Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm into account	Agreed.	
Radar	It is agreed that any new radar required for the operation of Manston Airport will take account Thanet Extension and that this is incumbent on RSP (or the subsequent undertaker) and not VWPL. VWPL will not contribute financially towards a radar system.	Agreed.	
Aviation lighting	It is agreed that RSP will not seek to impose different or more onerous aviation lighting on each of the turbines, except as may be required in accordance with the Air Navigation Order 2016 which is secured through Requirement 6 (Aviation Safety) of the draft DCO.	Agreed, subject to being added as a consultee before the requirement is discharged, and may make comments on the lighting at that point but will not otherwise propose any changes to the DCO in respect of lighting.	



<b>Discussion Point</b>	Thanet Extension Position	RSP Position	Final Position
	Applicant response to RSP position: Requirement 6 does include consultation as these matters are directed to the undertaker under the Air Navigation Order 2016 and by the CAA and DIO Safeguarding. This is a compliance requirement and as such it is not appropriate to add a consultee.		



# 5 Matters under further discussion

21 There are no outstanding matters under discussion