INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING

THE INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING (EXAMINATIONS PROCEDURE) RULES 2010 THE THANET EXTENSION OFFSHORE WIND FARM ORDER

Summary of Written Representations submitted on behalf of the Port of London **Authority**

(Rule 8 letter 18 December 2018)

Unique Reference Number	EN010084
Rule No.	8(1)(i), and 10(1), (2) and (4)
Document Ref.	PLA 5
Author	Winckworth Sherwood LLP
Date	15 January 2019

Minerva House 5 Montague Close London SE1 9BB

DX: 156810 London Bridge 6

T 020 7593 5000 www.wslaw.co.uk

Solicitors and **Parliamentary Agents**

Winckworth Sherwood



Summary of Witten Representations on behalf of the Port of London Authority ("the PLA") in respect of an application for Development Consent submitted by Vattenfall Wind Power Limited ("the Applicant") for the Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm Order ("the DCO")

- 1.1 The PLA is the statutory harbour authority for the tidal River Thames ("the River") between Teddington and the outer Thames Estuary. The proposals under the dDCO are in close proximity to the PLA's pilot boarding locations.
- 1.2 The PLA does not object in principle to an extension of the Thanet Offshore Wind Farm ("the Wind Farm"). However, the extent of the proposals to the west of the current Wind Farm pose a risk to navigational traffic, the port's operations and the viability of two of its pilotage stations.
- 1.3 The PLA considers that certain ships will divert their routes in order to maintain a safe distance from the Wind Farm. This will have economic consequences.
- 1.4 The PLA does not accept the Applicant's position that the inshore channel will be used by the same number of vessels after the Scheme is implemented. However, if that argument is accepted, this will inevitably lead to an increase in risk of vessel collisions.
- 1.5 The Scheme will have an impact on the PLA's pilotage operations. It is the PLA's case that there will not be sufficient safe sea room at North East Spit pilot boarding station if the western expansion of the wind farm is permitted. The impact of this is for pilotage operations to be pushed out to either NE Goodwin or the Tongue. In addition, the location of the Tongue will need to be pushed further north, into less shelter waters. As well as safety implications, the movement of pilotage operations will have economic impacts.
- 1.6 The PLA does not consider the identification, assessment and management of shipping and navigation risks in the Navigation Risk Assessment (document reference 6.4.10.1) ("NRA") to be sound, nor is it compliant with Marine Guidance Note 543.
- 1.7 There was a lack of engagement from the Applicant and although meetings were held and the PLA expressed concerns about the reduction in sea room, the only resulting adjustment to the scheme by the Applicant does not address the PLA's concerns.
- 1.8 Additionally, the PLA considers that the ExA should not rely on the conclusion of the Pilot Bridge Simulation (Document Reference 6.4.10.2) that the continuation of pilotage operations at the NE Spit pilot station is feasible.

The Thanet Extension Offshore Wind Farm Order
Port of London Authority
Summary of Written Representations

1.9 The mitigation desired by the PLA is a further reduction in the Red Line Boundary of the application at the Western boundary of the site.

Winckworth Sherwood LLP Solicitors and Parliamentary Agents On behalf of the Port of London Authority 15 January 2019