## NATIONAL GRID ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION PLC

Response to ExA's First Written Questions issued 18th December 2018 on behalf of National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc



2 Colton Square Leicester LE1 1QH Tel: 0116 289 2200

www.shma.co.uk

Ref: AW/1051879-10

1.3.9	Applicant and National Grid Electricity	Richborough Connection and Substation
	Transmission Plc	The application proposal includes land on which the consented Richborough 400kV substation would be constructed within the Order limits.
		NGET [RR-027] states that it is 'concerned' about the prospect of CA and/ or TP affecting this land. It is requested to explain why CA and/ or TP is inappropriate, with reference to the effect that it would have on: i. the intended operational land required for the transmission and substation facilities proposed to be developed in the Richborough Connection; and/ or ii. any other land that NGET may hold that is intended to be nonoperational.
		b) The Applicant is asked to explain why CA and/ or TP is required and whether or not its needs could be met by any alternative provisions, a lease or other legal agreement relating to NGET intended operational and/ or non-operational land.
		c) NGET is requested to identify whether any alternative provisions, a lease or a legal agreement could address its concerns

Response by NGET	(a) NGET have a leasehold interest in
Tresponde by NOE1	Plot 2/130 which is the Richborough
	Sub Station plot. This is NGET
	Operational Land required for the
	operation of their existing 400kV Sub
	Station. No property rights should be
	authorised to be acquired or created
	that would acquire/override or conflict
	with NGET's existing property rights
	within the Sub Station boundary in
	order to ensure that the integrity of
	the existing system is not affected by
	the promoters DCO. NGET have
	entered into a connection agreement
	with the Promoter to facilitate
	connection of the Thanet Offshore
	Windfarm into the Richborough
	400kV Sub Station. The Connection
	Agreement will apply from the
	boundary of the substation and the
	connection works will be done by
	NGET in accordance with the
	Connection Agreement and are
	allowed for in the NGET lease. As
	such NGET is of the view that the
	Promoter does not need to acquire
	any rights from the NGET leasehold
	interest to be able to connect into the
	Richborough 400kV Substation from

this point. However this is one issue that we would like further clarity from the Promoter on as it is not usual to seek compulsory acquisition rights across NGET Sub Station Land and NGET would ordinarily require such rights to be taken out of the Order. The right to connect into the Sub Station can only be secured from NGET under a Connection Agreement. NGET therefore object to any compulsory acquisition powers being used against their interests in this plot. The need or otherwise for compulsory acquisition powers against other parties with different ownership categories within plot 2/130 is a matter for the promoter and the relevant parties to address. NGET will clarify the position in relation to non-operational land, if any, held in this area affected by the DCO and update the ExA shortly. (b) For the Applicant (c) As explained above it is NGET's contention that the Connection Agreement between the parties is

sufficient to ensure the Connection
into NGET's Sub Station across the
Sub Station land as this isn't in
conflict with NGET's lease.