

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Hornsea Project Three](#)
Subject: Hearing of 26.03.19
Date: 01 April 2019 18:50:09

Dear sirs,

On listening to the oral recording of the above hearing I understand this hearing was an “extraordinary meeting” in the fact that with no compulsory purchase applicants present time was allowed for Mr Monk to address the Orstead team to ask/ answer specific questions in regards to Cawston I appreciate that everyone did this in the spirit of openness/ consultation and all parties were unprepared. I have a serious question about information offered at that open discussion which may have a serious impact on my home and family personally, and affect many residents. In light of the style of that meeting I home my comments may be considered.

When asked about mitigation: road surfacing - [REDACTED] stated that if highways were not to get around to this before the required deadline it would be undertaken by Orstead and they would “skim” the surface and re-instate with current surfacing to allow for ease of continuing maintenance by NCC.

Has road surfacing not been mentioned on a number of occasions and was it not inferred that some type of surfacing with noise inhibiting property would be utilised? As a property with an Orstead projected 3.5db noise increase I am now desperate to know how the noise reduction to less than a 3db rise will be achieved. In the outline CTMP in the 5.4 Cawston section it appears a 20 mph limit is the only other element which may have an impact on noise. We know that once the traffic plan goes through we have no redress to EHO complaints because of exclusions for traffic noise, what are we left with? Do not let this issue be swept under the carpet or left in the “Rochdale envelope”, it is element which will have huge impact on our lives for years to come and specific mitigation measures must be stipulated now.

Thank you a for your consideration.

Polly Brockis