

**From:** [Matthew Rooke](#)  
**To:** "[Hornsea Project Three](#)"  
**Subject:** Broadland District Council deadline 7 submission  
**Date:** 14 March 2019 17:01:03  
**Attachments:** [HOW03\\_SoCG\\_BDC\\_March 2019 final - deadline 7.docx](#)  
[HOW03\\_SoCG\\_BDC\\_March 2019\\_Annex A final version deadline 7.docx](#)

---

PINS ref: EN010080

I attach two documents for the District Council's deadline 7 submission, these are:

- A signed Statement of Common Ground, and
- An appendix to the Statement of Common Ground which identifies the summary of comments from the Council's Environmental Health Officer together with the applicant's response, subsequent Council comments and identification of the applicant's on-going position.

In addition the three local authorities (Broadland, South Norfolk and North Norfolk District Council's) had intended to provide a joint response on the revised Outline LP and Outline EMP for deadline 7, unfortunately it has not been possible to co-ordinate a joint response for deadline 7 in the few days since the last ISH. The applicant has agreed to make certain changes to the Outline LP and it is anticipated that once the revised versions are submitted the local authorities will then submit a joint response.

Any issues please contact me.

Regards

Matthew

**Matthew Rooke**  
**Planning Manager (West)**

t. 01603 430571 e. [matthew.rooke@broadland.gov.uk](mailto:matthew.rooke@broadland.gov.uk)



This email and any attachments are intended for the addressee only and may be confidential. If they come to you in error you must take no action based on them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please advise the sender by replying to this email immediately and then delete the original from your computer. Unless this email relates to Broadland District Council or South Norfolk Council business it will be regarded by the council as personal and will not be authorised by or sent on behalf of the councils. The sender will have sole responsibility for any legal actions or disputes that may arise. We have taken steps to ensure that this email and any attachments are free from known viruses but in keeping with good computing practice, you should ensure they are virus free. Emails sent from and received by members and employees of Broadland District Council and South Norfolk Council may be monitored.

Hornsea Project Three  
Offshore Wind Farm



## Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm

---

Statement of Common Ground between Hornsea Project Three  
(UK) Ltd. and Broadland District Council

---

Date: March 2019

  
**Hornsea 3**  
Offshore Wind Farm



**Statement of Common Ground between Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Ltd. and Broadland District Council**

---

Ørsted

5 Howick Place,

London, SW1P 1WG

© Ørsted Power (UK) Ltd, 2019. All rights reserved

Front cover picture: Kite surfer near a UK offshore wind farm © Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Ltd., 2019.

## Revision History

Version	Date	Author	Context
1	August 2018	Ørsted	Pre-examination: Initial draft for discussion with Broadland District Council
2	October 2018	Ørsted	Second draft for discussion with Broadland District Council
3	October 2018	Ørsted	Third draft following meeting on 31.10.2018.
4	November 2018	Ørsted	Fourth draft following inputs from Broadland District Council.
5	November 2018	Ørsted	Final draft for signing
6	January 2019	Ørsted	First draft for discussion with Broadland District Council for submission at Deadline 4
7	January 2019	Ørsted and Broadland District Council	Second Draft updated with Broadland District Council inputs
8	January 2019	Ørsted	Final draft for signing
9	January 2019	Ørsted	Final for submission at Deadline 4
10	February 2019	Ørsted	Draft for discussion with Broadland District Council
11	March 2019	Ørsted	Final draft for signing and submission at Deadline 7

**Signatories**

<i>Signed</i>	
<b>Name</b>	Matthew Rooke
<b>Position</b>	Hornsea Project Three Case Officer
<b>For</b>	Broadland District Council

<i>Signed</i>	
<b>Name</b>	Andrew Guyton
<b>Position</b>	Hornsea Project Three Consents Manager
<b>For</b>	Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Ltd

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
Overview .....	1
Approach to SoCG .....	1
Hornsea Three.....	1
2. Consultation .....	3
Application elements within Broadland District Council's administrative area .....	3
Consultation summary .....	3
3. Agreements Log.....	5
General .....	5
Ecology and Nature Conservation.....	8
Landscape and Visual Resources .....	13
Historic Environment .....	18
Traffic and Transport: .....	23
Noise and Vibration .....	28
Air Quality .....	36
Socio-economics .....	39
4. Summary .....	42

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Pre-application consultation with Broadland District Council.....	4
Table 2.2: Post application consultation with Broadland District Council.....	4
Table 3.1: General Issues.....	6
Table 3.2: Ecology and Nature Conservation.....	9
Table 3.3: Landscape and Visual Resources.....	14
Table 3.4: Historic Environment.....	19
Table 3.5: Traffic and Transport.....	24
Table 3.6: Noise and vibration.....	29
Table 3.7: Air Quality.....	37
Table 3.8: Socio-economics.....	40

## Acronyms

Acronym	Description
DCO	Development Consent Order
CEA	Cumulative Effect Assessment
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan
CWS	County Wildlife Site
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
Ex.A	Examining Authority
EMP	Ecological Management Plan
HVAC	High Voltage Alternating Current
HVDC	High Voltage Directional Current
LMP	Landscape Management Plan
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PRoW	Public Right of Way
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground

## 1. Introduction

### Overview

1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Ltd. ('the Applicant') and Broadland District Council (together 'the parties') as a means of clearly stating the areas of agreement, and any areas of disagreement, between the two parties in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Hornsea Project Three offshore wind farm (hereafter referred to as 'Hornsea Three'). This SoCG does not deal with or extend to any development other than Hornsea Three.

### Approach to SoCG

1.2 This SoCG has been developed during the pre-examination and examination phase of Hornsea Three. In accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on issues raised by Broadland District Council within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and pre-application consultation.

1.3 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:

- Section 1: Introduction;
- Section 2: Consultation;
- Section 3: Agreements Log; and
- Section 4: Summary.

1.4 It is the intention that this document will help facilitate post application discussions between the parties and also give the Examining Authority (Ex.A) an early sight of the level of common ground between both parties from the outset of the examination process.

### Hornsea Three

1.5 Hornsea Three is a proposed offshore wind farm located in the southern North Sea and will include all associated offshore (including up to 300 turbines) and onshore infrastructure.

1.6 The key components of Hornsea Three include:

- Turbines and associated foundations;
- Turbine foundations;
- Array cables;
- Offshore substation(s), and platform(s) and associated foundations;
- Offshore accommodation platform/s and associated foundations;
- Offshore export cable/s;
- Offshore and/or onshore HVAC booster station/s (AC transmission option only);
- Onshore cables (including the Hornsea Three landfall area); and
- Onshore HVDC converter/HVAC substation.

1.7 The Hornsea Three array area (i.e. the area in which the turbines are located) is approximately 696 km<sup>2</sup> and is located approximately 121 km northeast off the Norfolk coast and 160 km east of the Yorkshire coast.

- 1.8 The Hornsea Three offshore cable corridor extends from the North Norfolk coast, offshore in a north-easterly direction to the western and southern boundary of the Hornsea Three array area. The Hornsea Three offshore cable corridor is approximately 163 km in length.
- 1.9 From the North Norfolk coast, underground cables will connect the offshore wind farm to an onshore HVDC converter/HVAC substation, which will in turn, connect to the existing National Grid substation near Swardeston. Hornsea Three will connect to the existing Norwich Main substation, located to the south of Norwich. The Hornsea Three onshore cable corridor is approximately 55 km in length at its fullest extent.

## 2. Consultation

### Application elements within Broadland District Council's administrative area

- 2.1 Work Nos. 8 and 13 to 15 detailed in Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the draft DCO (APP-027) describe the elements of Hornsea Three which may affect the interests of Broadland District Council (BDC).
- 2.2 Based on a review of the relevant representation submitted by Broadland District Council, those technical topics of the DCO application of relevance to Broadland District Council (and therefore considered within this SoCG) comprise:
- Landscape and Visual Resources;
  - Historic Environment (Onshore above ground; below ground is deferred to Norfolk County Council);
  - Noise and Vibration;
  - Air Quality;
  - Socio-economics.
- 2.3 BDC confirmed that matters related to ecology and nature conservation, and traffic and transport would be deferred to Norfolk County Council, with the exception of the following points raised in BDC's relevant representation:
- Traffic and Transport matters related directly to the Main Construction Compound at Oulton; and
  - Ecology matters related to Important Hedgerows and areas of woodland.
- 2.4 In addition to the technical topics above, a general section is included below where those issues which are general to the project are discussed.

### Consultation summary

- 2.5 This section briefly summarises the consultation that the Applicant has undertaken with Broadland District Council.

#### **Pre-application**

- 2.6 The Applicant has engaged with Broadland District Council on Hornsea Three during the pre-application process, both in terms of informal non-statutory engagement and formal consultation carried out pursuant to section 42 of the Planning Act 2008.
- 2.7 Table 2.1 summarises the consultation undertaken between the parties during the pre-application phase, including consultation through scoping, consultation on the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR), further section 42 consultation undertaken in November 2017 and the focused section 42 consultation in February 2018.

#### **Post-application**

- 2.8 Table 2.2 summarises the consultation undertaken between the parties during the post-application phase.

Table 2.1: Pre-application consultation with Broadland District Council.

Date	Detail
24 March 2016	Introduction to Hornsea Project Three
21 July 2016	Project Update
01 February 2017	Project Update and meeting with technical leads
07 June 2017	Project Update including planning process and scope of the PEIR
08 November 2017	Project Update including programme, review of S42 responses and onshore route refinements.

Table 2.2: Post application consultation with Broadland District Council.

Date	Detail
October 2018	Various emails regarding traffic and transport matters, including issue of the Main Construction Compound Access Strategy.
31 October 2018	Project Update, including examination programme and Statement of Common Ground
07 December 2018	Informal discussions at Hornsea Three ISH4
11 January 2019	Meeting between the Applicant and all Local Planning Authorities, as well as Norfolk County Council to discuss the DCO
27 February 2019	Meeting between the Applicant and Broadland District Council to discuss outstanding matters

### 3. Agreements Log

3.1 The following section of this SoCG identifies the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in paragraph 2.1). In order to easily identify whether a matter is “agreed”, “under discussion” or “not agreed”, a colour coding system of green, yellow and orange, respectively, is used in the “final position” column to represent the respective status of discussions. All matters agreed under this SOCG will remain agreed through the examination process.

#### **General**

3.2 Table 3.1 below identifies the status of discussions between the parties relating to issues which are project wide.

Table 3.1: General Issues.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
Need for renewable energy	There is a specific need to provide renewable energy, which is in line with government policy.	BDC notes that the proposed development will deliver a significant national benefit in the supply of substantial renewable energy in the UK.	Agreed
Adequacy of consultation	Proper pre-submission consultation activities were undertaken by the Applicant, including engagement with Broadland District Council and the local community.	BDC have been consulted through the process and have no specific points to raise.	Agreed
Site Selection and Route Refinement	The site selection and route refinement outlined in Volume 1, Chapter 4: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives of the Environmental Statement (APP-059) has properly considered the alternatives for the relevant elements of Hornsea Three (paragraph 2.1).	BDC are satisfied that a route refinement process has been undertaken to identify an appropriate onshore cable corridor route. BDC have no other specific comments in respect to site selection or alternatives.	Agreed
Transmission System	Inclusion of both HVAC and HVDC transmission systems within the envelope is appropriate to ensure that anticipated changes in available technology and project economics can be accommodated within the Hornsea Three design, and a decision on which transmission type to use will be made during the detailed design phase (post consent).	BDC note that a HVDC transmission system results in a reduced width cable corridor which is preferable to reduce visual and environmental impacts.	Not agreed - Both parties positions are final on this matter.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
Community benefit	<p>The Applicant has established voluntary Community Benefit Funds (CBFs) for a number of previous projects, which are currently under construction. These funds can make a valuable contribution to the local area, by supporting projects such as community building improvements and recreation facilities, conservation and wildlife projects etc. The Applicant will review the interactions of Hornsea Three, as the proposal is refined, and consider an appropriate way to feed benefits back into the local community. However, any decision to establish a community benefit fund for Hornsea Three, and the mechanism and triggers for contributions to it, would be made post financial investment decision (FID).</p>	<p>BDC is supportive of Community Benefit Funds which have the potential to support local projects.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

### **Ecology and Nature Conservation**

- 3.3 As noted in paragraph 2.3, all ecology matters are deferred to Norfolk County Council with the exception of Important Hedgerows and matters related to areas of woodland as it is considered these have an influence on other related matters e.g. Landscape and Visual.
- 3.4 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon hedgerows and areas of woodland, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation of the Environmental Statement (APP-075). An Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP, APP-179) and Outline Ecological Management Plan (EMP, APP-180) has been prepared that captures all relevant management and mitigation measures associated with this topic.
- 3.5 Table 3.2 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic between the parties.

Table 3.2: Ecology and Nature Conservation.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<i>Volume 3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation of the Environmental Statement (APP-075)</i>			
Assessment Methodology and Assessment Conclusions	<p>The applicants use of designed in mitigations, notably the use of horizontal drilling, to avoid designated sites and the sensitive habitats is appropriate. No further mitigation, in addition to those embedded measures identified in Volume 3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation of the Environmental Statement, the Outline CoCP (APP-179) and the Outline EMP (APP-180) are necessary as a result of the assessment conclusions.</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied that a robust route refinement process has been undertaken to optimise the route in respect of avoiding hedgerows, designated sites and areas of woodland.</p> <p>Furthermore, BDC note the use of HDD to avoid many hedgerows and areas of woodland, including Important Hedgerows.</p>	<b>Agreed</b>
	<p>The assessment methodology in respect to impacts on hedgerows and trees currently assumes a maximum design scenario of removal in all areas where HDD is not proposed, or specific protective measures are not identified within the Outline EMP (APP-180) (i.e. as done for retained habitats of ecology and nature conservation concern, paragraph 4.2.2). This approach is appropriate and provides BDC with sufficient comfort that impacts on hedgerows and trees identified under the maximum design scenario have been considered and where appropriate, suitable mitigation is captured within the Outline CoCP (APP-179), Outline EMP (APP-180) and Outline LMP (APP-181). Buffer zones around retained hedgerows will be established where practicable to minimise impacts to hedgerow roots (paragraph 4.2.2.1 of the Outline CoCP).</p> <p>The Applicant's has provided BDC with a plan clarifying the classification of Important Hedgerows relevant to the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 (issued on 26.10.2018). Hornsea Three has minimised the removal of these Important Hedgerows through the use of HDD or HDD with a haul road over. Where a haul road is proposed, the exact location of the haul road within the Order Limits would be optimised through agreement with a suitably qualified</p>	<p>BDC welcomes the use of HDD to avoid or minimise impacts on hedgerows, including Important Hedgerows, as well as the replanting following the completion of construction.</p> <p>Subject to the amendments noted, BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within the Outline CoCP to protect hedgerows during the construction phase, including the provision of buffer zones where practicable.</p>	<b>Agreed</b>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>ecologist. The Applicant has amended paragraph 6.5.1.13 of the Outline CoCP as follows to capture this commitment (new text shown in underline):</p> <p>6.5.1.14 – <i><u>"The length of individual hedgerow sections to be removed will be reduced as far as reasonably practicable. In this regard, where an HDD with a haul road, or HDD with ducting laydown is proposed, the exact location of the haul road/ducting laydown within the Order Limits would be optimised through agreement with a suitably qualified ecologist to further minimise hedgerow and tree removal."</u></i></p> <p>All sections of hedgerow removed to enable construction of the onshore cable corridor will be replanted as soon as practicable after each phase of cable installation. Replacement planting will comprise a species-rich mix of native shallow-rooting hedgerow species typical of the area. This commitment is captured in the Outline EMP (paragraph 5.3.3.2, APP-180). This approach is appropriate.</p>		
	<p>The Applicant notes that the preferred access strategy to the main construction compound (Option 1: Passing Places) has been designed to avoid impacts on hedgerows and trees along The Street. This option has been agreed with NCC as the local highway authority.</p> <p>The Stage 1 Road Safety Audit (provided as part of Appendix 20 to the Applicant's response to Deadline 1) has indicated that hedgerows on the bend along The Street currently restricts levels of forward visibility (particularly northbound). As such, a short section of hedgerows opposite the entrance to Docking Farm (The Granary) would be trimmed back and then maintained to satisfy visibility requirements along The Street by improving for inter-visibility through the bend in The Street and visibility at the existing field access. The area which would require maintenance is provided in Appendix 32 of the Applicant's submission at Deadline 4 (REP4-053). Based on the design of Option 1: Passing Places which has been agreed with NCC, no removal of hedgerows or trees would be required.</p>	<p>BDC defer the approval of the access strategy to Norfolk County Council as Highways Authority, but are content with the measures put in place to protect hedgerows and trees along The Street.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	Impacts to the hedgerows and trees along The Street, would be minimised and managed through the principles set out in the Outline EMP (REP4-022), paragraph 5.3.2.4.		
	<p>In response to concerns raised by Oulton Parish Council (OPC), the Applicant has considered an alternate 'Option R' access strategy to the main construction compound (Options R 'A'; Option R 'C1'; Options R 'C2'). This option remains under consideration, in consultation with NCC, BDC and OPC, but is expected to require significant sections of hedgerow and potentially tree removal both along the B1149 and along the field boundaries.</p> <p>Given the availability of an existing road, the potential environmental impacts associated with Option 'R' and potential cumulative impacts, the Applicant considers that, although Option R may provide some benefits associated with redirecting Hornsea Three project traffic away from The Street, Option 1: Passing Places remains the preferred access option to the main construction compound.</p>	BDC defer the approval of the access strategy to Norfolk County Council as Highways Authority, but are content with the measures put in place to protect hedgerows and trees along The Street.	<b>Agreed</b>
<b><i>Draft Development Consent Order</i></b>			
Commitments / restrictions	The commitment to produce both an Ecological Management Plan (EMP) (Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 10 of the draft DCO) and a Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (Requirement 17 of the draft DCO) that must be approved by relevant planning authority (including Broadland District Council) prior to the commencement of works are appropriate control measures for managing the potential effects on ecology and nature conservation landward of MHWS. The EMP and CoCP will include all relevant embedded measures cited within Volume 3, Chapter 3: Ecology and Nature Conservation of the Environmental Statement, as well as the Outline EMP (APP-180) and Outline CoCP (APP-179).	BDC welcome the opportunity to input and agree the detailed Ecological Management Plan and Code of Construction Practice post-consent.	<b>Agreed</b>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<i>Outline Management Plans</i>			
Ecological management	The management measures described to minimise impacts on ecology receptors in Outline CoCP (APP-179) and Outline EMP (APP-180) are appropriate, including the appointment of an Ecological Clerk of Works. The Applicant will consult with North Norfolk, Broadland and South Norfolk District Councils, as well as Norfolk County Council, on any development of the Ecological Management Plan.	BDC are satisfied that the measures contained within the Outline CoCP and Outline EMP are appropriate.	<b>Agreed</b>
Woodland buffer	The commitment within the Outline EMP to provide productive buffer zone surrounding retained areas of woodland (15 m in width or the width of the tree root protection zone, whichever is the greater, as advised by an appropriately qualified surveyor) is appropriate and provides sufficient protection to the areas of woodland (including Jennis Wood and Harman's Grove as identified in BDC's relevant representation RR-057) located directly adjacent to the onshore cable corridor.	BDC welcome the inclusion of a buffer distance to the areas of woodland identified.	<b>Agreed</b>

### Landscape and Visual Resources

- 3.6 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon landscape and visual resources, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement (APP-076). Table 3.3 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic between the parties.

Table 3.3: Landscape and Visual Resources.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<b>Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement (APP-076)</b>			
Planning and Policy	Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to landscape and visual resources in the application area and has given due regard to them within the assessments.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to planning and policy.	<b>Agreed</b>
Baseline Environment and Assessment Methodology	The baseline information utilised to inform the assessment and the methodology used to assess impacts on landscape and visual resources in Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement is appropriate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the baseline and assessment methodology, they are considered appropriate to inform the assessment.	<b>Agreed</b>
Assessment conclusions	<p>The assessment of potential effects on landscape and visual resources during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of Hornsea Three in Section 4.11 of Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate given the implementation of the measures adopted as part of Hornsea Three (outlined in Section 4.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement).</p> <p>Specific consideration is given to visual effects of the main construction compound in paragraph 4.7.6.5 and confirms that, given the nature of temporary buildings, equipment and materials stored at the main construction compound (which would not be fundamentally different from existing uses on the airfield site) as well as the extent of screening from existing vegetation, effects on</p>	<p>BDC note that all infrastructure within their jurisdiction, with the exception of the main construction compound is associated with the onshore cable corridor and as such impacts on landscape and visual receptors are temporary and during the construction period only. BDC have no specific concerns to raise in respect to the onshore cable corridor assessment.</p> <p>BDC note that further detail on the use and layout of the main construction compound, including fencing and lighting, would be provided at the detailed design stage and would be agreed with BDC through the final CoCP.</p>	<b>Agreed</b>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>visual receptors resulting from the Hornsea Three main construction compound would not be significant. Furthermore, the implementation of a good housekeeping policy, and appropriate layout of features at the main construction compound is appropriate to contain and limit visual intrusion of the main construction compounds (paragraph 4.1.2 of the Outline CoCP).</p> <p>The principles of the use and set-up of the main construction compound, including fencing and lighting is set out in the Outline CoCP (paragraph 4.1.7.5). This identifies fencing to likely be "<i>bolted and anchored herras fencing or its equivalent</i>". To reflect the sensitivity of the main construction compound site to light impacts (due to the proximity to the designated Dark Sky Discovery Sites), the Applicant has made the following amendments to the Outline CoCP to strengthen the mitigation at this location (new text shown in underline):</p> <p>Paragraph 4.1.7.6: "<i>In establishing <u>and operating</u> the compound, the principal contractor will:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Ensure any crossing points over existing local services will be installed in a manner agreed with the asset owner;</i></li> <li>• <i>Ensure surface runoff is managed appropriately;</i></li> <li>• <i>Ensure any temporary services necessary to support the main construction compound will be installed in a manner agreed with the landowner and service provider; and</i></li> <li>• <i>Co-ordinate activities with other users and tenants of the airfield to minimise wider disruption;</i></li> <li>• <i><u>Use lighting only during periods of poor visibility due to weather conditions or low light levels;</u></i></li> <li>• <i><u>Use low levels of security lighting where required, i.e. at the</u></i></li> </ul>		

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p><u>perimeter of the site, at the entrance to the site and office facilities; and</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Use lighting fixtures which are no greater than 4 m in height to minimise light spill."</u></li> </ul> <p>The detailed lighting strategy, as well as the layout and fencing to be implemented at the main construction compound, will be agreed through the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) for the approval of the local planning authority under DCO Requirement 17 Code of Construction Practice.</p>		
	<p>The Applicant's use of designed in mitigation, notably the use of HDD and landscape planting, to minimise impacts on landscape and visual resources is appropriate.</p> <p>No further mitigation to those embedded measures identified in Section 4.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement, the Outline CoCP (APP-179) and the Outline LMP (APP-181) are necessary as a result of the assessment conclusions.</p>	<p>BDC welcomes the use of HDD to avoid or minimise impacts on hedgerows, including Important Hedgerows, as well as the replanting following the completion of construction.</p> <p>As noted above, BDC note that further detail on the use and layout of the main construction compound, including fencing and lighting, would be provided at the detailed design stage and would be agreed with BDC through the final CoCP.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>
	<p>The assessment of potential cumulative effects in Section 4.13 of Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate. Specific consideration has been given to the potential for cumulative effects between Hornsea Three and Norfolk Vanguard at the proposed crossing point, north of Reephams, in paragraphs 4.13.2.3 – 4.13.2.4 of Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources. This concludes that the combined effects would be no greater than any of the projects on their own and therefore effects</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied that cumulative effects have been assessed and that there will be sufficient opportunity for site specific measures to be identified at the proposed crossing point (should the construction phase of the projects overlap) through the development of a final CoCP to be submitted and agreed with BDC. BDC are satisfied with the conclusions of the cumulative assessment.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>were not assessed to be significant.</p> <p>Hornsea Three, Norfolk Vanguard, Norfolk Boreas have entered into a co-operation Agreement. Whilst the terms of that agreement are confidential – matters pertinent to minimising cumulative impacts of construction at the crossing location have been included. Where appropriate these will be incorporated into the final CoCP to be agreed with BDC post-consent (Requirement 17 of the dDCO)</p>		
<b><i>Draft Development Consent Order (APP-027)</i></b>			
Commitments / restrictions	<p>The commitment to produce a LMP (Requirement 8 of the draft DCO) in conjunction with an EMP (Requirement 10 of the draft DCO), and a CoCP (Requirement 17 of the draft DCO), that must be approved by relevant planning authority (including Broadland District Council) prior to the commencement of works are appropriate control measures for managing the potential effects landscape and visual resources. The LMP, EMP and CoCP will include all relevant embedded measures cited within Volume 3, Chapter 4: Landscape and Visual Resources of the Environmental Statement, as well as the Outline LMP (APP-181), Outline EMP (APP-180) and Outline CoCP (APP-179).</p>	<p>BDC will review and provide input into the preparation of the final LMP, EMP and CoCP as appropriate.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>
<b><i>Outline Management Plans</i></b>			
Landscaping management	<p>The management measures described to minimise impacts on landscape and visual resources in the Outline LMP (APP-181), Outline EMP (APP-180) and Outline CoCP (APP-179) are appropriate.</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within these outline documents and that these will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

### Historic Environment

- 3.7 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon the historic environment, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement (APP-077). An Outline Code of Construction Practice (Outline CoCP, APP-179) has been prepared that captures all relevant management and mitigation measures associated with this topic.
- 3.8 Table 3.4 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic in respect of the above ground historic environment between the parties.

Table 3.4: Historic Environment.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<b>Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement (APP-077)</b>			
Planning and Policy	Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to the historic environment in the application area and has given due regard to them within the assessments.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to planning and policy.	Agreed
Baseline Environment	The baseline information utilised to inform the assessment and the methodology used to assess impacts on the above ground historic environment, in Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement is appropriate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the baseline and assessment methodology, they are considered appropriate to inform the assessment.	Agreed
Assessment conclusions	<p>The assessment of potential effects on the historic environment in Section 5.11 of Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate given the implementation of the measures adopted as part of Hornsea Three (outlined in Section 5.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement).</p> <p>Paragraph 1.6.2.52 of Volume 6, Annex 5.1: Desk Based Assessment of the Environmental Statement (APP-149) briefly describes the baseline in terms of Oulton former airfield and notes that some of the buildings remain, including the control tower and that a large portion of the runways were removed in 1979. In addition, this annex notes that the airfield is an undesignated heritage asset by including its Historic Environment Record (HER) number (this is HER number 7364). The Works Plan - Onshore (APP-013) show Works Numbers 13 and 14 (the main construction</p>	<p>BDC's concern focuses on the main construction compound, on the former airfield which is itself an undesignated heritage asset which is also close to Blickling Conservation Area as well as listed buildings.</p> <p>BDC note that detail on the use and layout of the main construction compound, including fencing and lighting, which have the greatest potential to impact the setting of the Conservation Area and nearby listed buildings, would be provided at the detailed design stage and would be agreed with BDC through the final CoCP. BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within these outline documents and that these will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.</p>	Agreed

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>compound and associated access), which are secured through DCO, as being located on the existing hardstanding at the former airfield. It is the Applicant's view that Oulton Airfield has arguably lost much of its heritage value due to removal of features as noted above.</p> <p>Notwithstanding this, it is noted that the proposed use as a Main Construction Compound (set out throughout the application. Paragraphs 3.7.3.31 to 3.7.3.32 of Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description and paragraphs 4.1.7.3 to 4.1.7.6 inclusive of the Outline CoCP (APP_179)) means that no intrusive works would occur and it would be in temporary use only. The airfield already comprises hard standing suitable for the temporary placement of site facilities (such as offices, briefing rooms, catering facilities, storage etc. typically housed in port-a-cabins) and to allow plant and materials to be stored safely and securely. Therefore, no significant effects to Oulton Airfield are anticipated.</p> <p>Finally, paragraph 5.11.1.158 et seq. of Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment (APP-077) of the Environmental Statement outlines the assessment of the setting of the Blickling Conservation Area, located some 600 m east of the main construction compound at its nearest point. It concludes that no significant effects will occur as a result of Hornsea Three.</p>		

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>Designed in measures, as set out in Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement are considered sufficient to mitigate potential impacts on heritage assets.</p> <p>No further mitigation to those embedded measures identified in Section 5.10 of Volume 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement and the Outline CoCP (APP-179) are necessary as a result of the assessment conclusions.</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied that a robust route refinement process has been undertaken to optimise the route in respect of avoiding designated and undesignated heritage assets where possible.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>
	<p>The Cawston Conservation Area was screened out of the assessment in respect to impacts from the onshore HVAC booster station as it was located outside of the ZTV (see Annex 5.4: Screening Assessment – Onshore HVAC Booster Station [APP-153] of the Environmental Statement). Furthermore, no significant effects were identified in Volume 3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport, Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration or Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement resulting from Hornsea Project Three. On this basis, there was no indication that other environmental factors would affect the settings of heritage assets, and a full assessment of the Cawston Conservation Area was not assessed within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken baseline noise and vibration surveys within Cawston Village, as well as an assessment of potential impacts from the traffic movements associated with Hornsea Three. This assessment has concluded that there would be no significant vibration impacts on heritage assets. These findings will be submitted at Deadline 7.</p>	<p>BDC welcomes the reduction of traffic through Cawston (based on the HGV reduction) and will review the noise and vibration report following its submission at Deadline 7. Subject to no significant vibration effects, BDC are satisfied that no significant effects will occur on the historic environment.</p>	<p><b>Under discussion</b></p>
	<p>The assessment of potential cumulative effects on the historic environment in Section 5.13 of Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic</p>	<p>BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	Environment of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate.	cumulative effects.	
<i>Draft Development Consent Order (APP-027)</i>			
Commitments / restrictions	The commitment to produce a CoCP (Requirement 17 of the draft DCO), that must be approved by relevant planning authority (including Broadland District Council) prior to the commencement of works are appropriate control measures for managing the potential effects the historic environment. The CoCP will include all relevant embedded measures cited within Volume 3, Chapter 5: Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement, as well as the Outline CoCP (APP-179).	BDC will review and provide input into the preparation of the final CoCP as appropriate. BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within the outline COCP in respect to heritage impacts and that these will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.	<b>Agreed</b>

**Traffic and Transport:**

- 3.9 As noted in paragraph 2.3, all traffic and transport matters are deferred to Norfolk County Council with the exception of those impacts and proposals at the main construction compound (along The Street). BDC also have an interest in the ongoing development of the Outline CTMP, particularly as it relates to Cawston village, although their interest relates to the interests in residential amenity and heritage as the village is a Conservation Area with many listed buildings, as opposed to traffic and transport.
- 3.10 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon these locations, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport of the Environmental Statement (APP-079). An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Outline CTMP, APP-176) has been prepared that captures the principles of management and mitigation measures associated with this topic.
- 3.11 Table 3.5 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic between the parties.

Table 3.5: Traffic and Transport.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<i>Volume 3, Chapter 7: Traffic and Transport of the Environmental Statement (APP-079)</i>			
Assessment Conclusions and Mitigation	<p>The effect of Hornsea Three traffic flows, as well as the cumulative effects of Hornsea Three in combination with Norfolk Vanguard/Norfolk Boreas have been considered and suitable options to manage the flows are set out in an updated version of the Main Construction Compound Access Strategy submitted as Appendix 1 at Deadline 3. This Strategy sets out four access strategy options for vehicles to and from the main construction compound and demonstrates how vehicles could access the compound from the B1149 via The Street in a safe and efficient manner. The strategy addresses matters relating to driver delay; pedestrian amenity and accidents and road safety. It also demonstrates how the access overcomes concerns raised in respect to other projects (e.g. AD facility (planning application reference 20130860).</p> <p>NCC as the local highway authority, have confirmed the in-principle agreement to Option 1: Passing Places as presented in Annex A of Appendix 1 of the Applicant's response to Deadline 3. It has been agreed that the principle of the access will be secured through the Outline CTMP (APP-176) although the mechanism for delivery (278 Agreement or licence) remains under discussion with NCC. Further site-specific details would be developed during detailed design and will be set out in the detailed CTMP (Requirement 18 of the draft DCO). This will be submitted to Norfolk County Council (as Local Highway Authority) for approval, with consultation with BDC (as Local Planning Authority), OPC and Norfolk Vanguard/Norfolk Boreas as appropriate.</p>	<p>BDC notes that NCC have confirmed an in-principle agreement to Option 1 – passing places and that further consultation will be undertaken with BDC as part of the detailed CTMP.</p> <p>BDC welcomes confirmation that specified works to The Street are to be temporary.</p>	<b>Agreed</b>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>The Access Strategy is a 'live' document and continues to be developed as part of ongoing discussions with the Norfolk County Council, OPC Working Group, Broadland District Council and Norfolk Vanguard/Norfolk Boreas. The Applicant is committed to continuing this engagement and is confident that a reasonable solution which is acceptable to Norfolk County Council, as the local highways authority, will be reached, in consultation with OPC, Broadland District Council and Norfolk Vanguard/Norfolk Boreas.</p> <p>Based on consultation with NCC, it has been agreed that works to The Street would be temporary (removed and reinstated to condition commensurate with baseline condition following the completion of each construction phase, unless otherwise agreed with the local highway authority). Works at the B1149/The Street junction are anticipated to be permanent as the works would provide an improvement in visibility at the junction, improving safety. Works to the road hump would also be permanent.</p> <p>It is noted that, in response to concerns raised by Oulton Parish Council (OPC), the Applicant has considered an alternate 'Option R' access strategy to the main construction compound (Options R 'A'; Option R 'C1'; Options R 'C2'). This option remains under consideration, in consultation with NCC, BDC and OPC. Given the availability of an existing road, the potential environmental impacts associated with Option 'R' and potential cumulative impacts, the Applicant considers that, although Option R may provide some benefits associated with redirecting Hornsea Three project traffic away from The Street, Option 1: Passing Places remains the preferred access option to the main construction compound.</p>		

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>As noted in the written summary of ISH4 (REP3-006), the Applicant has committed to a refinement in the depth of the haul road, which has resulted in a reduction in HGV movements which equates to approximately one third less movements on the network. This has reduced the traffic movements through Cawston. The Applicant developed a proposed set of management measures which has been subject to consultation with Cawston Parish Council working group, Broadland District Council and Norfolk County Council. The Applicant is in the process of responding to this feedback and will provide a revised outline scheme to these stakeholders prior to Deadline 7.</p> <p>Hornsea Three and Norfolk Vanguard continue to engage to reach a shared common point of agreement on this cumulative link. As agreed with BDC, matters relating to traffic and transport have been deferred to NCC.</p>	<p>BDC notes that the Applicant has and will continue to liaise with NCC to reach an appropriate solution at Cawston in respect to traffic and transport. BDC defer matters relating to traffic and transport to NCC, but would welcome continued involvement and consultation on the emerging intervention scheme in respect to residential amenity (as noted in other sections of this SoCG).</p>	<p><b>Agreed in respect to traffic and transport</b> <b>Amenity impacts under discussion (as per below)</b></p>
<b><i>Outline Management Plans</i></b>			
<p>Traffic and transport management</p>	<p>The management measures described to minimise impacts on traffic and transport in the Outline CTMP (APP-176) and Outline CoCP (APP-179) are appropriate.</p> <p>The Applicant has agreed to add a commitment within the Outline CTMP which recognises that in some locations, additional management measures may apply to the movement of abnormal loads in close proximity to sensitive receptors. The exact nature of the measures as well as the locations and timings for when they will apply will be agreed with the highway authority and relevant planning authority environmental health officer post-consent as part of the detailed CTMP.</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within the outline CTMP with the exception of the points above and that further details will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>



### Noise and Vibration

- 3.12 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon noise and vibration, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement (APP-080). An Outline Code of Construction Practice (Outline CoCP, APP-179) has been prepared that captures all relevant management and mitigation measures associated with this topic.
- 3.13 Table 3.6 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic between the parties.

Table 3.6: Noise and vibration.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<i>Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement (APP-080)</i>			
Planning and Policy	Section 8.4 of Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to noise and vibration in the application area and has given due regard to them within the assessments.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to planning and policy.	<b>Agreed</b>
Baseline and Assessment methodology	The baseline information utilised to inform the assessment and the methodology used to assess impacts on noise and vibration in Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement is appropriate.  Baseline information on noise and vibration within the Hornsea Three noise and vibration study area was collected through a detailed desktop review (see paragraph 8.6.1.1 of Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration). Where existing noise levels are generally low (as is the case along the onshore cable corridor within BDC), construction criteria are independent of the precise noise levels. Consequently, no site specific noise baseline surveys were undertaken for locations where only construction noise was predicted to be generated. This approach is considered to be appropriate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the baseline and assessment methodology, they are considered appropriate to inform the assessment.	<b>Agreed</b>
Assessment conclusions	The assessment of potential effects on noise and vibration along the onshore cable corridor during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of Hornsea Three in Section 8.12 of Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate given the implementation of the measures adopted as part of Hornsea Three	BDC are satisfied that effects relating to noise and vibration are unlikely to be significant along the onshore cable corridor. However, BDC would be grateful for further evidence of the noise levels which would be expected at the HDD location to confirm this.	<b>Agreed</b>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>(outlined in Section 8.11 of Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement).</p> <p>In respect to the HDD locations, a large HDD rig would include a large diesel power-pack for the drill rig; pumps and auxiliary plant for the processing of bentonite, slurry and cuttings with associated power generation plant; and generators for site lighting and welfare facilities. Source terms for this typical plant have been based on available sources of information including BS 5228-1 (BSI 2014b). These source terms have fed into the construction noise model, see Volume 6, Annex 8.2: Construction Noise Model Output which has then informed the assessment conclusions reported in paragraphs 8.12.1.9 – 8.12.1.11. This concludes that no significant effects would occur as a result of the HDD works along the onshore cable corridor.</p> <p>The location of major HDD compounds will be determined for each individual major HDD location once a contractor is appointed and the detailed design of the onshore cable corridor, including length of cables and cable trench location are known. Notwithstanding this, Hornsea Three will seek to site major HDD compounds in areas which reduce interference with farming operations and minimise impacts to landowners' use of their land and ecological sensitive receptors. The Applicant has amended paragraph 4.1.7.14 of the Outline CoCP (APP-179) to secure this (see below).</p> <p><i>"4.1.7.14 It is envisaged that only the major HDDs (i.e. typically greater than 200 m in length) will require a compound, which will be used to contain the drilling rig, equipment and the drill entry and exit pit. <u>Any structures at the compounds will be no greater than one storey in height, whilst any portable task lighting or security lighting fixtures (used in times of low natural light) would be no</u></i></p>		

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p><i>greater than 4 m in height and directional to avoid light spill. These compounds have all been provided for within the onshore cable corridor (i.e. within the Order Limits) and will where possible, be located in areas which reduce interference with farming operations and minimise impacts to residential properties, ecologically sensitive receptors and landowners use of their land. The size of the HDD compounds is dependent on the amount of equipment that is required to construct the crossing, which in turn is primarily governed by the length of the HDD or its complexity."</i></p>		
	<p>The measures identified in the Outline CoCP are appropriate and sufficient to reduce the potential for emissions and noise impacts on sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the Main Construction Compound as a result of the operation of the main construction compound itself (access is addressed separately below). For example, construction works will be undertaken in accordance with the best practicable means (as defined in Section 72 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974), to minimise noise and vibration effects. Measures specific to the Main Construction Compound, in particular the use of generators on site, vehicle movements on site amongst others and the use of reversing alarms from vehicles, will be developed as part of the detailed CoCPs (Schedule 1, Part 3, DCO Requirement 17) which will be agreed with the local planning authorities prior to commencement.</p>	<p>BDC note that detail on the use of the main construction compound, including use of generators vehicle movements and reversing alarms have the greatest potential to have a noise impact, would be provided at the detailed design stage and would be agreed with BDC through the final CoCP. BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within these outline documents and that these will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>
	<p>The Applicant continues to consider potential noise and vibration impacts at the Old Railway Gatehouse, located on The Street, following the in-principle agreement of Option 1: Passing Places for the access to the main construction compound (see above). The Applicant has undertaken baseline surveys and an</p>	<p>BDC notes that the applicant is continuing to assess the impact of the access strategy in regard to amenity impacts on the Old Railway Gatehouse and welcomes the opportunity to comment upon any proposed mitigation measures. The outstanding matters are set</p>	<p><b>Under discussion</b></p>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>assessment of noise and vibration impacts on the Old Railway Gatehouse. With the designed-in mitigation in place (speed restriction, regrading of the road hump and additional measures for abnormal load movements), the Applicant considers that the noise level would be reduced to a level which is not significant in EIA terms. However, the Applicant notes the potential for there to be an increase in disturbance experienced by the residents of the Old Railway Gatehouse as a result of the additional traffic movements and the change in the flow of the traffic (i.e. vehicles accelerating from the passing place). As such, the Applicant has identified measures to further minimise impacts comprising the installation of double glazing along the façade closest to The Street, or the provision of a boundary marker (e.g. fence) along the garden. This would be offered as optional mitigation, to be taken forward should residents wish. Any mitigation measures agreed, will ultimately be secured by means of the detailed CTMPs to be submitted and agreed with the local planning authority and Highways Authority prior to commencement (Requirement 18 of the draft DCO).</p> <p>Comments on BDC's outstanding matters are addressed in the attached Appendix.</p>	<p>out in the attached Appendix.</p>	
	<p>The Applicant is currently preparing an assessment of noise and vibration impacts which may be caused by the movement of abnormal loads outside of the core working hours, as well as at night-time (to represent a maximum design scenario), as well as compare the assessment results against WHO levels, to provide further evidence that no significant effects are expected on the Old Railway Gatehouse. This will be provided to BDC at the earliest opportunity and submitted at Deadline 7.</p>	<p>BDC notes the ongoing assessments of noise and vibration impacts and will comment further once the results have been considered.</p>	<p><b>Under discussion</b></p>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>The Applicant has agreed to add a commitment within the Outline CTMP which recognises that in some locations, additional management measures may apply to the movement of abnormal loads in close proximity to sensitive receptors. The exact nature of the measures as well as the locations and timings for when they will apply will be agreed with the highway authority and relevant planning authority environmental health officer post-consent as part of the detailed CTMP. However, this is considered a suitable mitigation measure to minimise any impacts associated with movements outside of the core working hours.</p>		
	<p>The Applicant continues to consider potential noise and vibration impacts within Cawston Village, as this will be affected by the refinements in the Hornsea Three HGV traffic flows, following reduction of the haul road depth<sup>1</sup>. Although the refinement in HGV movements will not have a bearing on the conclusions of the ES or the TA (which continue to represent the maximum design scenario), the revised traffic flows will be used to inform the refinement of the Outline CTMP, and identification of any associated noise and vibration mitigation (if deemed necessary). The Applicant has developed a proposed set of management</p>	<p>BDC note the ongoing work regarding the access strategy within Cawston village and will continue to engage with the Applicant in regard to amenity impacts on residential properties.</p>	<p><b>Under discussion</b></p>

<sup>1</sup> As noted in the written summary of ISH4, the Applicant has committed to a refinement in the depth of the haul road, comprising a reduction from 1m to 0.5m, which has resulted in a reduction in HGV movements which equates to approximately one third less movements on the network (367,529 to 260,804). Details of this reduction will be provided as an appendix to be submitted at Deadline 4. This reduction continues to allow for some contingency for maintenance and variations in ground conditions along the onshore cable corridor route, and will be secured through an addition of the following statement within the Outline CTMP: Paragraph 4.3.1.4 "The haul road(s) would have a maximum aggregate depth of 0.5 m."

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	<p>measures which has been subject to consultation with Cawston Parish Council working group, Broadland District Council and Norfolk County Council (submitted as part of REP6-016). The Applicant is in the process of responding to this feedback and will provide a revised outline scheme to these stakeholders prior to Deadline 7. The Applicant has undertaken baseline noise and vibration surveys within Cawston Village, as well as an assessment of potential impacts from the traffic movements associated with Hornsea Three. This assessment has concluded that there would be no significant noise and vibration impacts on the residential properties of Cawston Village as a result of Hornsea Three. These findings will be submitted at Deadline 7.</p> <p>The Applicant continues to engage with Norfolk Vanguard to reach a shared common point of agreement on this cumulative link in order to avoid significant noise and vibration effects on residential receptors. The Applicant is committed to continuing this engagement and is confident that a reasonable solution will be reached.</p>		
	<p>No further mitigation to those embedded measures identified in Section 8.11 of Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement the Outline CoCP (APP-179) are necessary.</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied with the mitigation proposed.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>
	<p>The assessment of potential cumulative impacts on noise and vibration in Section 8.14 of Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate.</p>	<p>BDC are satisfied with the cumulative effects assessment undertaken.</p>	<p><b>Agreed</b></p>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<i>Draft Development Consent Order (APP-027)</i>			
Commitments / restrictions	The commitment to produce a CoCP (Requirement 17 of the dDCO), that must be approved by relevant planning authority (including Broadland District Council) prior to the commencement of works are appropriate control measures for managing the potential effects of noise and vibration. The CoCP will include all relevant embedded measures cited within Volume 3, Chapter 8: Noise and Vibration of the Environmental Statement, as well as the and Outline CoCP (APP-179).	BDC will review and provide input into the preparation of the final CoCP as appropriate.	<b>Agreed</b>
<i>Outline Management Plans</i>			
Noise and Vibration management	The management measures described to minimise impacts on noise and vibration in the Outline CoCP (APP-179) are appropriate.	BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within the outline CoCP and that further details will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.	<b>Agreed</b>

### Air Quality

- 3.14 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon air quality, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Land Use and Recreation of the Environmental Statement (APP-081). An Outline Code of Construction Practice (Outline CoCP, APP-179) has been prepared that captures all relevant management and mitigation measures associated with this topic.
- 3.15 Table 3.6 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic between the parties.

Table 3.7: Air Quality.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<b>Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality (APP-081)</b>			
Planning and Policy	Section 9.4 of Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to air quality in the application area and has given due regard to them within the assessments.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to planning and policy.	Agreed
Baseline and Assessment methodology	The baseline information utilised to inform the assessment and the methodology used to assess impacts on air quality in Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement is appropriate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the baseline and assessment methodology, they are considered appropriate to inform the assessment.	Agreed
Assessment conclusions	The assessment of potential effects on air quality during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of Hornsea Three in Section 8.11 of Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate given the implementation of the measures adopted as part of Hornsea Three (outlined in Section 9.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement).	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to air quality effects.	Agreed
	No further mitigation to those embedded measures identified in Section 9.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement the Outline CoCP (APP-179) are necessary as a result of the assessment conclusions.	BDC is satisfied that suitable measures to minimise air quality impacts, including dust impacts along the onshore cable corridor and at the main construction compound, are incorporated into the Chapter or Outline CoCP.	Agreed
	The assessment of potential cumulative effects on air quality in Section 9.13 of Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the cumulative effects assessment.	Agreed

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<i>Draft Development Consent Order (APP-027)</i>			
Commitments / restrictions	The commitment to produce a CoCP (Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 17 of the draft DCO), that must be approved by relevant planning authority (including Broadland District Council) prior to the commencement of works are appropriate control measures for managing the potential effects on air quality. The CoCP will include all relevant embedded measures cited within Volume 3, Chapter 9: Air Quality of the Environmental Statement, as well as the Outline CoCP (APP-179).	BDC will review and provide input into the preparation of the final CoCP as appropriate.	<b>Agreed</b>
<i>Outline Code of Construction Practice (APP-179)</i>			
Air Quality management	The management measures described to minimise impacts on air quality in the Outline CoCP (APP-179) are appropriate.	BDC are satisfied that sufficient principles are included within the outline CoCP and that further details will be incorporated into the final documents post-consent.	<b>Agreed</b>

### Socio-economics

- 3.16 Hornsea Three has the potential to impact upon socio-economics, and these impacts are considered within Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement (APP-082). Table 3.6 identifies the status of discussions relating to this topic between the parties.

Table 3.8: Socio-economics.

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
<b><i>Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics (APP-082)</i></b>			
Planning and Policy	Section 10.4 of Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement has identified all appropriate plans and policies relevant to socio-economics in the application area and has given due regard to them within the assessments.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to planning and policy.	<b>Agreed</b>
Baseline and Assessment methodology	The baseline information utilised to inform the assessment and the methodology used to assess socio-economic impacts in Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement is appropriate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the baseline and assessment methodology, they are considered appropriate to inform the assessment.	<b>Agreed</b>
Assessment conclusions	<p>The assessment of potential effects on socio-economics during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of Hornsea Three in Section 10.11 of Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate given the implementation of the measures adopted as part of Hornsea Three (outlined in Section 10.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement).</p> <p>The socio-economic effects on tourism and recreation are addressed under the assessment of effects on receptors relating to offshore tourism/recreation activities, coastal tourism and onshore tourism/recreational resources. The effects during the construction phase are assessed in paragraphs 10.11.1.125 to 10.11.1.132 of Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-Economics (APP-082). Effects during O&amp;M are assessed in paragraphs 10.11.2.102 to 10.11.2.117 and effects associated with decommissioning activity are assessed in paragraph 10.11.3.43 to 10.11.3.52.</p>	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to socio-economic effects and is satisfied that sufficient consideration has been given to the potential for impacts on tourism.	<b>Agreed</b>

Discussion Point	The Applicant's Position	Broadland District Council's Position	Final Position
	No further mitigation to those embedded measures identified in Section 10.10 of Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement are necessary as a result of the assessment conclusions.	BDC is satisfied with the mitigation measures relevant to socio-economics.	<b>Agreed</b>
	The assessment of potential cumulative effects on socio-economics in Section 10.13 of Volume 3, Chapter 10: Socio-economics of the Environmental Statement is appropriate and accurate.	BDC has no specific concerns to raise in respect to the cumulative effects assessment.	<b>Agreed</b>
<b><i>Draft Development Consent Order</i></b>			
Commitments / restrictions	The commitment to produce a skills and employment plan (Requirement 22 of the draft DCO) in consultation with New Anglia Local Enterprise Partnership and approved by the relevant planning authority is appropriate.	BDC is satisfied with this commitment	<b>Agreed</b>

## 4. Summary

- 4.1 This SoCG has been developed with Broadland District Council to capture those matters agreed, under discussion and not agreed in relation to ecology and nature conservation, landscape and visual resources, traffic and transport, noise and vibration, air quality and socio-economics.
- 4.2 All matters relating to the following have been agreed between parties:
- Landscape and Visual Amenity;
  - Ecology and Nature Conservation;
  - Traffic and Transport;
  - Air Quality; and
  - Socio-Economics.
- 4.3 Following a review of the Deadline 7 material, it is expected that matters relating to the Historic Environment will also be addressed.
- 4.4 In respect to noise and vibration, points under discussion focus primarily on the impacts associated with the vehicular access to the main construction compound including any impacts on the occupiers of Old Railway Gatehouse and the movement of vehicles through Cawston Village. The Applicant is hopeful that the submissions at Deadline 7 will progress these discussions and both parties are committed to continuing engagement on these matters.