

From: [REDACTED]
To: HornseaProjectThree@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Subject: Fwd: Proposed traffic through Cawston
Date: 10 March 2019 23:01:50

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nikki Banham [REDACTED]
Date: Sun, 10 Mar 2019 at 08:42
Subject: Proposed traffic through Cawston
To: <HornseaProjectThree@orsted.co.uk>

I am forwarding this email to you for your information regarding my concerns over the Orsted proposals for Cawston.

FAO Emily Woolfenden

I was unable to attend the hearing on Friday as it was at such an inconvenient time and place for me to get to. I would however like to register my objection to the plans for so much traffic to be routed through Cawston. I live on the High Street [REDACTED] and my objections are for the following reasons :-

1. This is a conservation area and my property, like most on High Street is a listed building (grade 2*). It was built in 1700 and has a large cellar with a grate on the pavement outside leading to it. The proposed work traffic would damage the foundations of this house of special architectural interest. Already, like many other of the buildings on High Street, my house shakes when large HGV's and farm traffic passes by. I have also written to Broadland Historic Buildings with my concerns.
2. I run a small bed and breakfast business from my property. Guests love the peace and quiet of this small Norfolk village. Both bedrooms directly overlook the high street and the noise and vibrations from the proposed traffic would destroy my B&B.
3. Many homes along High Street do not have off road parking and residents parking on the road would make it impossible to manoeuvre that many HGV's through the High Street.
4. These proposals also put our children in danger. The vehicles would endanger children on their way to school, there are no pavements in some places and the diesel fumes would cause respiratory damage.
5. Cawston is a small Norfolk village in a conservation area. Many of the buildings in High Street are Georgian and the road is very narrow and the pavements are very narrow and non existant in some places, meaning wheelchairs and pushchairs often have to be on the road. Increased traffic on this scale will cause danger to life.
6. I believe these proposals are the cheapest option for Orsted but not the only option.

Please acknowledge receipt of my email and please keep me informed, thank you.

Nicola Banham

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
