

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Hornsea Project Three](#)  
**Cc:** [kay.sully@hornseaprojectthree.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:kay.sully@hornseaprojectthree.gsi.gov.uk)  
**Subject:** Cawston - Orsted  
**Date:** 07 December 2018 11:02:35  
**Attachments:** [2018-12-07-Orsted Hearing -CawstonPC.pdf](#)

---

Dear Hornsea 'pins'

I write further to my necessarily brief attendance at the hearing this morning and chat with Kay Sully. As agreed , I now attach a short further representation regarding Cawston's concerns about traffic movements arising from the proposed works .

With thanks  
Kind Regards

James Livingstone

For Cawston Parish Council

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

## **Orsted Hearing –Onshore Cable Route – 7<sup>th</sup> December 2018**

James Livingstone . Cawston Parish Councillor

We have grave concerns regarding the traffic impact through Cawston if the work goes ahead as proposed.

The total number of daily HGV movements expected through Cawston is 240. The total number of other vehicles using the route is 394, therefore making a total number of movements of 634. For HGVs this is estimated as a 839.6% increase in daily movements and for other vehicles a 13.5% increase.

Cawston already has serious traffic problems

- There are pinch points caused by narrowing of the road and by parked vehicles -where grid locks already frequently occur.
- There are listed and other historic buildings that already suffer from vibration damage.
- There are old drains in the roads that have already flooded cellars
- There is a narrow bridge which extra-large low loader type vehicles would struggle to cross .
- There are inadequate pavements and pedestrian routes so people need to walk in the road from place to place
- There are many pedestrian road users in the High Street and Norwich Rd - including school children from Cawston Primary and those taking buses to and from Reepham High school

We recognize that the route may be a necessary one for construction traffic - and in principle support the project , but do not think that sufficient consideration has been given to the impact on residents.

We therefore request that in the first instance other routes be considered for construction traffic.

Ultimately, if it proves necessary to use the B1145 through Cawston, then the mitigation measures AND their impact on residents needs careful consideration .

This consideration should include:

- The effectiveness of the mitigation measures and their unintended effects.
- Developing effective communication strategies with the community and particularly those directly affected by the works
- Carrying out and agreeing condition surveys on buildings and infrastructure
- Assessing the impact on local business and seeking to mitigate that.
- Ensuring that road users and pedestrians are not endangered by the traffic increase