


Hornsea Project Three
Offshore Wind Farm



Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm

Appendix 18 to Deadline I Submission –
Errata to the Application Documentation

Date: 7th November 2018

**Hornsea 3**
Offshore Wind Farm

**Orsted**

Document Control			
Document Properties			
Organisation	Ørsted Hornsea Project Three		
Author	Thomas Neall		
Checked by	Andrew Guyton		
Approved by	Andrew Guyton		
Title	Appendix 18 to Deadline I Submission – Errata to the Application Documentation		
PINS Document Number	n/a		
Version History			
Date	Version	Status	Description / Changes
07/11/2018	A	Final	Submitted at Deadline I (07/11/2018)

Ørsted

5 Howick Place,

London, SW1P 1WG

© Ørsted Power (UK) Ltd, 2018. All rights reserved

Front cover picture: Kite surfer near a UK offshore wind farm © Ørsted Hornsea Project Three (UK) Ltd., 2018.

Table of Contents

1. Hornsea Project Three Errata Log.....	3
Introduction	3
Errata Log	3
Examination process.....	8

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Errata Log	3
----------------------------	---

1. Hornsea Project Three Errata Log

Introduction

1.1 On the 14th of May 2018 the Applicant submitted their application for a Development Consent Order for the Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind farm, to the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) for examination. On the 8th June 2018 the application was formally accepted for examination by PINS. As part of the ongoing review and reference to documents submitted, as part of the application, errors or minor corrections have been identified. This document aims to highlight errors identified and seek to clarify amendments.

Errata Log

1.2 In order to ensure this document remains concise, all error will be listed in tabular format, referring to the document, paragraph or table and highlighting the intended amendment. Table 1.1 outlines the errors identified between application submission and deadline one.

Table 1.1 Errata Log

Document (As Submitted)	PINS Reference	Paragraph/ Area	Error/ Change Description	Does this change the assessment
6.3.4 ES Volume 3 - Ch 4 - Landscape and Visual Resources	APP-076	4.11.2.10	Incorrect paragraph referred to: 4.11.2.3 should refer to 4.11.2.4	No
6.3.4 ES Volume 3 - Ch 4 - Landscape and Visual Resources	APP-076	4.11.2.18	Incorrect paragraph referred to: 4.11.2.3 should refer to 4.11.2.4	No
6.3.4 ES Volume 3 - Ch 4 - Landscape and Visual Resources	APP-076	4.11.2.55	Incorrect paragraph referred to: 4.11.1.42 should refer to 4.11.2.50	No
6.3.4 ES Volume 3 - Ch 4 - Landscape and Visual Resources	APP-076	4.11.2.64	Incorrect paragraph referred to: 4.11.2.64 should refer to 4.11.2.50	No
6.6.7.8 ES Volume 6 – 7.8 Traffic and Transport Figures	APP-166	Traffic and Transport Figures	B149 should be B1149	No

Document (As Submitted)	PINS Reference	Paragraph/ Area	Error/ Change Description	Does this change the assessment
6.2.6 ES Volume 2 - Ch 6 - Commercial Fisheries	APP-066	Table 6.9	Max design table wrongly states floating foundations as worst case (it was omitted from rest of document and the application after PEIR and this has accidentally been left in). Identified by NFFO and stated in their SoCG.	No
6.6.3.1 ES Volume 6 - 3.1 - Desk Study and Phase 1 Habitat Survey	APP-129	Figure 4.9 & Table 1.1	Discrepancy between the Onshore Crossing Schedule and the Phase 1 habitat survey has been identified. The Phase 1 survey identifies a Glaven tributary stream adjacent to a road (Volume 6, Annex 3.1, figure 4.9, sheet 2 on page 44) which are identified within crossing schedule plans (sheet 4 on page 30) with crossing IDs 468 and 469 and are crossed by HHD 84. However, in Table 1.1 of the crossing schedule IDs 468 and 469 are identified as Cromer Road (A148) which is actually north of the road in question and cables.	No
6.2.11 ES Volume 2 - Ch 11 - Infrastructure and Other Users	APP-071	Table 11.4	Shell's RR notes that incorrect Table (11.16) referred to in Table 11.4 Summary of Key Consultation. Correct table reference should be 11.15 Specifically, Consultation Date 20 Sep 2017: Shell - Preapplication under Response to Issues Raised: Table reference should be 11.15 not 11.16.	No

Document (As Submitted)	PINS Reference	Paragraph/ Area	Error/ Change Description	Does this change the assessment
6.6.2.5 ES Volume 6 - 2.5 - Water Framework Directive Surface Water Assessment	APP-128	1.2.1.3, 5.1.1.2, 5.2.1.4, 5.4.1.1, 7.2.1.2, 8.1.1.2 Table 2.1	In their RR, the Environment Agency highlight that there is an error in the naming of an RBMP in the document Volume 6, Annex 2.5 – Water Framework Directive Surface Water Assessment. Anglian River Basin Management Plan was mis spelt: "Anglian" rather than "Anglican"	No
6.6.7.3 ES Volume 6 - 7.3 - Base Traffic Flows	APP-161	Table 1	Link 90 is missing from the table. Addition of link 90 to column 1 row 17 (so as on the same row as links 88 and 89)	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	Table of Contents on page ii and heading for Cumulative Effects Assessment on page 79	Page 79 "Cumulative Effects Assessment" should be numbered 4.14. Consequential amendments would then be applied to ensure consistency between the numbering in the ES chapter.	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	4.7.3.17	Delete "onshore HVAC booster station"	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	4.7.6.32	"HVAC booster station" should read "HVDC converter/HVAC substation"	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	4.11.1.37 and Table 4.17 on page 83	"England Coast Path" should read "Suffolk Coast Path"	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	4.11.2.55	Correction of paragraph cross reference, from 4.11.2.42, should be 4.11.2.50.	No

Document (As Submitted)	PINS Reference	Paragraph/ Area	Error/ Change Description	Does this change the assessment
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	4.11.2.81	"Edgefield" should read "Swainsthorpe".	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	4.7.3.13	Of the LCAs listed should state HVAC booster station study area ZTV and entirely outside the ZVI.	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	Table 4.17	Page 84 (bottom row first column) should state "The permanent onshore HVAC booster station HVDC converter/HVAC substation... "	No
6.6.3.4 ES Volume 6 - 3.4 - White-Clawed Crayfish Survey	APP-132	Table 4.17	For receptor group Local Routes between the B1113 and A140, north of Swainsthorpe magnitude incorrectly stated as "Large (roads)" should read "Moderate (roads)". Assessment text at para. 4.11.2.125 is correct.	No
6.6.4.7 ES Volume 6 - 4.7 - Effects of the Offshore HVAC Booster Station	APP-148	1.2.1.3	Should state "This is defined in volume 1, chapter 3: Project Description, Table 3.40 Table 3.42... ".	No
8.5 Outline Code of Construction Practice	APP-179	6.5.1	The 'Wintering birds' section starts with A.1.1.1. Numbering should be continuous through the section.	No
6.2.10 ES Volume 2 - Ch 10 - Seascape and Visual Resources	APP-070	Table 10.24	Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm is operational (see Volume 4, Annex 5.2: Cumulative Effects Screening Matrix) and its inclusion as an 'Under Construction' project in Table 10.24 of Volume 2, Chapter 10: Seascape and Visual Resources is an error. There will be no overlap between construction activities for Hornsea Three and Dudgeon offshore wind farms.	No

Document (As Submitted)	PINS Reference	Paragraph/ Area	Error/ Change Description	Does this change the assessment
6.2.5 ES Volume 2 - Ch 5 - Offshore Ornithology	APP-065	5.11.1.133, Table 5.4 and Table 5.16	References to Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) being developed and implemented for the construction phase and PEMMP produced for O&M phase. Should refer to PEMMP for all phases in line with other offshore chapters.	No
6.1.3 ES Volume 1 - Ch 3 - Project Description	APP-058	3.7.3.31	ES states "It is envisaged that each secondary construction compound will be in place for period of up to 30 months." Should state "It is envisaged that the main construction compound will be in place for period of up to 30 months." This ensure consistency across the application.	No
6.5.9.2 ES Volume 5 - 9.2 - Outline Written Scheme of Investigation	APP-115	7.1.1.1	Second sentence "Table 7.1 provides a brief description...." is repeated 3 times and duplication to be removed.	No
6.3.3 ES Volume 3 - Ch 3 - Ecology and Nature Conservation	APP-075	3.11.1.102	Number of hedgerow locations of high or very high bat activity recorded that will be temporarily severed and where artificial hedgerows will be provided is 21 (16 of which are within the onshore cable corridor).	No
6.3.3 ES Volume 3 - Ch 3 - Ecology and Nature Conservation	APP-075	Figure 3.2	Artificial hedgerows had not been shown in all of the locations	No
8.6 Outline Ecological Management Plan	APP-180	Figure 10.1	As above	No
6.6.5.4 ES Volume 6 - 5.4 - Screening Assessment – Onshore HVDC Converter/HVAC Substation	APP-152	Tables 1.2	Some Listed Building entries had been incorrectly screened into the assessment within Volume 3, Chapter 5 but had actually been screened out.	No

Document (As Submitted)	PINS Reference	Paragraph/ Area	Error/ Change Description	Does this change the assessment
6.6.5.5 ES Volume 6 - 5.5 - Screening Assessment – Onshore HVAC Booster Station	APP-153	Tables 1.2	Some listed building entries had been incorrectly screened into the assessment within Volume 3, Chapter 5 but had actually been screened out.	No
6.3.7 ES Volume 3 - Ch 7 - Traffic and Transport	APP-079	Table 7.25	Heading of the table to state "Plus Tier 3 including Tier 4 developments ".	No
6.3.8 ES Volume 3 - Ch 8 - Noise and Vibration	APP-080	Table 8.16	Some of the rows have been incorrectly amalgamated. The text currently applied to the moderate magnitude of impact (i.e. "A difference of around +5 dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context.") should be applied to both moderate and minor magnitude of impacts.	No

Examination process

- 1.3 Although caution and precision is exercised in the preparation of all documentation, both at application and during the examination phase. In the event that any further errors to submitted documents are noted during the examination process (to documents initially submitted as part of the application and also examination submissions), these will be noted in a revised version of this document and submitted at the next deadline submission.