

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia One](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: My ref. 20023190 and 20023191
Date: 30 November 2021 10:25:18

Response to your recent request for further information

I will not attempt to respond to the various technical issues raised. I will leave that to the experts

But I will just make three simple points

1. This application needs to be considered alongside the other windfarm related applications current and pending. The Nautilus Interconnector has just started 'consultation' and there are many more windfarms planned in the green energy drive. I would submit that the cumulative impact of these applications needs to be considered. It was sad to find when I attended the Nautilus meeting that they had not tried to work in collaboration with Scottish Power to reduce the environmental impact.
2. The PM has announced that part of the response to the biodiversity crisis will be to extend environmental protection to 30% of the UK land area. The Scottish Power application causes damage and destruction to areas that are already protected by AONB and SSSI designation. It makes no sense to allow existing protected areas to be damaged and destroyed if one is trying to increase the area of protected land.
3. The 'obvious' solution to these problems is the 'offshore ring main' whereby all the offshore wind generation would come ashore at one 'brownfield site' and would access this site by connection to the offshore ring main. To achieve this requires the government, National Grid and the windfarm developers to work together to develop a strategy. This is very urgent in the context of the global warming crisis. This solution has been advocated by many, including our MP. Theresa Coffey.

I would therefore urge you to reject the onshore portion of these applications and to draw the attention of government to the urgent need to develop this strategy

Thank you

David Gordon

[REDACTED]