

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: FW: Re East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm & East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm
Date: 23 November 2021 16:42:38

Dear Sir/Madam.
Please see E mail below for your information.
With best regards
David Steen.

From: David Steen
Sent: 23 November 2021 15:02
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
info@suffolkenergyactionsolutions.co.uk; offshore.coordination@beis.gov.uk;
[REDACTED]@suffolk.gov.uk; [REDACTED]@eastsoffolk.gov.uk
Subject: Re East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm & East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm

Re East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm & East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarm
Your Ref: EN010078/ Our ref EN010077
Ref: 200223461 & 200223462

Dear Secretary of State,
Following your request for further information dated 2nd November, with regards the above mentioned DCOs I feel that I must write to you on behalf of myself and my family. We live in Suffolk, in the village of Friston and will be directly affected by the proposed industrialisation in this area by Scottish Power Renewables (SPR). As will many thousands of other people who work and live along the beautiful Suffolk Heritage Coast.
I must point out at the outset of this letter that I and my family are not against renewable energy in the form of windfarms and we would encourage you to consider a split decision as recommended by our MP, The Rt Hon Therese Coffey and also by SEAS that the windfarms are consented but that the site of the onshore development is rejected.
With regards to your question regarding flooding in the area, I am not a flooding expert, however throughout the Inspectors' examination it became abundantly clear that SPR have totally ignored the seriousness of flooding in both Friston village and along the cable route, and in addition the effect on the fragile River Hundred and its ecology by such flooding events. SPR's mitigation towards flooding was ill conceived and generally felt to be totally inadequate. Having lived in Friston and Knodishall for the past [REDACTED] I can attest to witnessing flooding events. In the past two years flooding both along Grove Road and Low Road, Friston. The situation will be made worse due to the accumulative impact of further proposed projects by National Grid such as Nautilus and Interlink and further projects that are in the pipeline should SPR get the go ahead.
Furthermore, it should be strongly pointed out that due to the erroneous non-disclosure agreements (gagging orders) that were imposed by SPR upon any householders or landowners whose land SPR wish to acquire resulted in the fact that the Inspectors were unable to gain a clear picture of the consequences of SPR's proposed development. With regards your request for further information on flooding, due to SPR's non disclosure agreement the same householders and landowners will not be able to respond to you either. This cannot be right. It

must therefore be obvious to you that SPR's action renders the whole planning process as being biased and unjust and therefore UNSAFE.

Recently Anna Borg, the CEO of Vattenfall wrote an article in The Times bemoaning the fact that British Planning Laws were holding up the speedy development of offshore renewable energy. At no point in her argument did she say that the whole process would be easier if the large energy companies worked with local communities and did their best to site their onshore industrial infrastructure on brownfield sites instead of taking the cheap and easy options that result in the wholesale destruction of virgin farmland and precious ANOB. The recent Natural History Museum biodiversity report has highlighted how appallingly we as a Country are doing, we are in the bottom 10% of world countries and we are bottom of the G7 countries with regards biodiversity. This is appalling, and you are in a position to help readdress this shameful situation. I suspect that there are few times in the life of a Minister of State when he/she can make a real difference to not only the Country that he represents but also to the world. This is your chance! Reject this planning application and let it be known to all the privately owned energy giants that feel they can ride roughshod over small communities that they must think again and be far more proactive in working for the general wellbeing of the whole community, not just their shareholders.

Yours sincerely

David Steen

