

**From:**



[North; East Anglia Two](#)

**Subject:**

FW: Re East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two Windfarms DCO.

**Date:**

05 July 2021 22:15:28

---

Dear Joanna.

I have today received an E mail to you from Louise Fincham with regards roads being blocked by heavy plant machinery being loaded onto low loaders. I to was blocked today going on the road from Friston to Sternfield by heavy plant being loaded .

I notice in your reply to Fiona that you state “ This was an attended plant movement supported by two traffic management teams operating under Temporary Obstruction 15 (TO-15) procedures, where the traffic can be stopped for 15-minute intervals using stop-go boards, as agreed with Suffolk County Council.” Unfortunately in this instance |I did not have my camera with me but I can assure you that there were no Stop/Go boards in use. I attach for you two other instances where traffic was blocked along Grove Road where I did have my camera with me and I would interested to hear your comments with regards the stop/go boards that were being used. If you are having trouble seeing them it is because they also were not there. There seems to be a huge disconnect between what we are being told by SPR and reality. Is this a portent of what is to become should you get permission to build these substations or are you just misguided.

I look forward to hearing from you as to who is responsible to make sure that what ever has been agreed is adhered to as I have now been blocked three times and no stop/go boards were in use.

With best regards

David Steen.

Friston Suffolk.

---

**From:** David Steen

**Sent:** 27 June 2021 17:41



**Subject:** Re East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two Windfarms DCO.

Re East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two Windfarms DCO.  
REF 200223461 And REF 200223462.

Dear Mr Smith.

As we come to the end of the Planning process with regards the two SPR Wind Farms I find that I must write to you one final time with my deep concerns about the two totally misguided planning applications that SPR have applied for. Over the past two years I have been amazed at amount of information that has been presented to you explaining why this project is so wrong, and also I am in awe at the amount of personal time and energy that the general public have devoted in presenting to you and your colleagues, and without doubt highlighting the complete deficiencies and arrogant way that Scottish power have approach their applications. They are not fit to run such a project and are most definitely not to be trusted. The other element that is glaringly obvious is the total lack of support for this onshore project from any quarter apart from the very dubious support of East Suffolk Council. This must speak volumes.

However apart from my general opposition to this onshore project I have more specific concerns about Scottish Power and that is (Should this project get the go ahead) their ability to control the contractors and sub-contractors. Throughout the inspection process very little has been heard from them about their responsibility to the thousands of people who are going to be affected by the years of construction work that they will control. Who is going to police Scottish Power. Are they going to be overall responsible for their contractors and sub- contractors or when problems arise are we going to get the age old excuse that it is not their problem it is all down to the contractor. There must be a clear and easy line of communication with SPR that is clearly communicated to all interested and affected parties with clear sanctions laid out if they do not uphold their responsibility. Already in just their test drilling phase they have denied all responsibility of the spraying of the land that they have been working on, which I understand took place during the breeding and nesting season causing damage and disruption. Is this a portent of what is to come "Its not my problem John"

"IT IS IS THEIR PROJECT IT IS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY"

Secondly the test drilling period has highlighted another serious issue and that is the unsuitability of Grove Road as an access road. Despite all the signs stating that there are work access areas I have twice been forced to wait while the road is completely blocked by heavy plant being unloaded in the road. Signs were also placed on the road causing the narrowing of a road that is already narrow. Please see attached photos These signs had no lighting which only increased the danger to road users at night This is not acceptable. How bad will it be if the full project gets the go ahead. Who is policing the contractors.

Finally I would like to express my deep dismay following my letter to you on the 15<sup>th</sup> April that it now appears that the examination extension was not primarily to allow you and your colleagues more time to deal with the vast amount of information that you had been sent but in fact was to allow Scottish Power more time to put their house in order. If this is the case then it means that the whole examination process has been a complete sham, and completely undermines one's faith in the whole process and the subsequent damage that this will do in the future. Once again I ask you to reassure me that this is not the case.

I look forward to hearing from you.

With best regards

David Steen.

 .





